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AN ALTERNATIVE TO LEXICAL INSERTION FOR YORUBA COMPLEX NOUNS*

S. Ayotunde Ẹkundayo<br>University of Ife

In the standard theory of transformational generative grammar lexical insertion takes place in phrase structure before any transformational rules apply. In Yoruba, complex nouns can be formed very productively by processes which frequently involve application of well-accepted syntactic transformations. Since these noun forming processes are infinitely productive, nouns so-formed cannot all be entered in the lexicon. It is proposed that one of the rewrites of NP include noun complexes ( Nc ), i.e. syntactic structures to which transformations apply, followed by a non-transformational process of "amalgamation" which turns the derived structure into a noun. This proposal preserves the essential claims of the standard theory and avoids the pitfalls of lexical decomposition proposals.

## 1. Introduction

The standard theory of transformational grammar demands that all lexical insertion take place in a block in the syntactic deep structure, and before any syntactic transformation operates. This requirement raises some problems in Yoruba syntactic structure. In Yoruba, some word derivation prefixes can be used to derive single words from almost any verb phrase regardless of the complexity of its internal structure. At least, one of these prefixes derives nouns from almost all possible verb phrases. Since most of the verb phrases used as stems for noun derivation are obtainable only after the operation of true syntactic transformations like deletion, adjunction and replacement for reflexivization, relativization, etc., it is difficult to decide on how to place the prefixes. Should they operate for noun derivation

[^0]only in the deep structure before all true syntactic transformations apply, thereby excluding the possibility of lexical insertion for an infinite number of derived nouns, or are there alternative proposals?

Chomsky's statements on what the standard theory is, on what a lexical transformation is, and the requirement that lexical insertion take place in a block before any true syntactic transformation operates are now respectively stated as (1), (2), and (3):
(1) "A standard theory specifies, for each sentence, a syntactic structure $\Sigma=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i} \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ (where $P_{i}$ is the deep, and $P_{n}$ the surface structure), a semantic representation $S$, and a phonetic representation $P$. It asserts furthermore that $S$ is determined by $P_{i}$ and $P$ by $P_{n}$ under the rules of semantic and phonological interpretation, respectively. More generally, the theory is 'syntactically based' in the sense that it assumes the sound-meaning relation ( $P, S$ ) to be determined by $\Sigma$."

Chomsky [1971:185]
(2) "A lexical transformation associated with the lexical item I maps a phrase-marker $P$ containing a substructure $Z$ into a phrasemarker $P^{\prime}$ formed by replacing $Q$ by I."

Chomsky [1971:184]
(3) "Given ( $P_{1} \ldots, P_{n}$ ) in $K$, there is an $i$ such that for $j<i$, the transformation used to form $P_{j+1}$ from $P_{j}$ is lexical, and for $j \geqslant i$, the transformation used to form $P_{j+1}$ from $P_{j}$ is nonlexical."

Chomsky [1971:184]
What we state as (1) is generally known as a standard theory or the Aspects theory. The intermediate point $P_{i}$ between the $P_{1}$ and $P_{n}$ of $\Sigma$ in (1) is the level of syntactic deep structure. Then, (3) implies that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ must be the last place where lexical transformations operate since the transformation used to form $P_{j+1}$ from $P_{j}$ for $j \geqslant i$ is nonlexical. A lexical transformation as we can see from (2) is just an instruction that we replace a substructure $Q$ (cf. the complex symbol of Chomsky [1965:84]) with the lexical item associated with it. One consequence of the suggestion that "for $j \geqslant i$, the transformation used to form $P_{j+1}$ from $P_{j}$ is nonlexical" is that once a nonlexical or true syntactic transformation has ap $\quad$ lied, no lexical transformation can again apply.

The implication of this position of the standard theory for Yoruba
shall be discussed in the next few sections. Yoruba has some nominalization prefixes like a- (usually an agentive nominalization prefix), i(usually an abstract noun nominalization prefix) and ài- (which is both a negative abstract noun and a negative gerundive nominalization prefix). These prefixes derive nouns from verb phrases (hereafter VP) most of which are obtainable only after the operation of nonlexical or true syntactic transformations (hereafter transformational or T- rules). The dilemma now is: should there be another lexical insertion stage where nouns formed from VP's derived only after the operation of $T$ - rules are inserted, thereby violating the requirement of the standard theory that lexical insertion takes place in a block in the deep structure? Or is there any way of solving the lexical insertion problem without violating this requirement? One may note that at present, any lexical item that cannot be inserted anywhere in the syntactic structure $\Sigma=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ of the standard theory of generative grammar is not describable by the grammar.

Instead of suggesting another lexical insertion stage apart from the one at the level $P_{i}$ of syntactic deep structure (thereby adopting the multiple lexical insertion policy of the basic theory or of generative semantics), this paper is proposing an alternative to lexical insertion for Yoruba complex nouns in the transformational subcomponent of the standard theory. The lexical amalgamation proposal for complex nouns in section 5 involves, simply, the amalgamation of all the formatives which constitute the terminals ${ }^{l}$ of the last derived phrase marker under a complex noun category into a single word. A complex noun, for the purpose of this paper, is defined as one containing verb phrases obtainable only after the oseration of pure nonlexical or $T$ r-rules (not derivational constraints). Although it operates inside the transformational subcomponent, lexical amalgamation is not a transformational rule, and it takes place only on

[^1]constituents to which no other transformational rule ever applies. Its operation is demonstrated diagrammatically in section 5. Some of its consequences and implications for syntactic theory are stated in section 6 .

In section 2 we examine some Yoruba nominalization processes and exiress them in rule form. Section 3 deals with simple nouns derived through the use of selected Yoruba nominalization prefixes while section 4 sets out the lexical insertion problem arising from the nominalization of syntactically derived verb phrases.

## 2. Some Verb Phrase Nominalization Processes

Yoruba grammarians have often recognized the productivity of Yoruba nominalization processes. Some typical comments are:
(4) "Yoruba has an almost unlimited power of making nouns from other words."

Ward [1952:179]
(5) "For example, any verb, adjective, predicate phrase, clause or clause complex in Yoryba can be nominalized or negatived by adding the morpheme al- as a prefix to the nominalized element." (italics supplied)

Afolayan [1968:449]
(6) "Again, the use is made of $a-$, and and $i$ and some features of reduplication to nominalize almost any verb, adjective, predicate phrase, clause or clause complex in Yoruba." (italics supplied)

Afolayan [1968:449]
Both Ward and Afolayan recognize the productive capacity of Yoruba nominalization processes as we see from (4) to (6). But only Afolayan's statement is relevant to this discussion because he spelt out the sources of nominalizations.

Although Afolayan's statement in (5) and (6) is not strictly accurate, ${ }^{2}$

[^2]we may just extract from it the idea that all verbs and verb phrases are nominalizable. /ai/ derives nouns from all verbs and VP's with the exception of VP's starting with the focus markers ni 'is' or 'it is' and kọ́ or kọ́ ni 'is not' or 'it is not' as well as those starting with the progressive aspect auxiliary $n=/ \tilde{u} /$. Incidentally, all other auxiliarylike elements in Yoruba and pre-main-verb adverbs can start nominalizable VP's. For instance, VP's can start with máa 'be going to', yío 'shall/ will', ní 'shall/will' for negative contexts, lè 'can' or 'be able', or with pre-main-verb adverbs (Bamgbose's "unrestricted preverbs") ${ }^{3}$ like tilè 'even', kọ́kọ́ 'first', kúkú 'rather', tún 'again', ṣẹṣè 'just' mọọ́mọ 'intentionally', etc., and such VP's are nominalizable with the /ail/ prefix. But the listed auxiliary-like elements and the pre-main-verb adverbs themselves are not nominalizable since they are bound in VP structure. So from the VP's in (7), we can have the nouns in (8), but we cannot derive the ones in (9) from the initial VP items alone: ${ }^{4}$

```
(7) a. ní şe (NEG-shall do)
        'shall not do'
    b. lè máa fé puró (can be-going-to like-to lie)
        'may be willing to tell lies'
    c. tilẹ̀ fẹ́ şe iṣé (even want do work)
        'even likes to work'
    d. tètè jalè (quickly steal)
        'steal quickly'
```

starting with focus markers like ni 'it is' or with the progressive aspect auxiliary are not nominalizable.
${ }^{3}$ See Bamgbose [1966]. Bamgbose simply treated all VP items before main verbs as "preverbs" and subclassifies them according to order of appearance in surface representation.
${ }^{4}$ Some of our examples are followed by word-for-word glosses in round brackets and actual translations in single quotation marks. When two English words correspond to one Ycruba word in the word-for-word translation, the English words are connect.ed with a hyphen. But the word-for-word glosses do not always necessarily appear. In the representation of Yoruba examples, two tones are indicated. They are: the high tone $=$ " and the low tone $=$ ' above the appropriate syllabic nasal or vowel letter. The mid tone is not represented. The underdot is used to distinguish e = [e], $0=[0], s=[s]$ from $\underset{!}{e}=[\varepsilon], O=[0]$ and $s=\left[\int\right]$ respectively.

```
(8) a. ànişe 'idleness'
    b. àilèmáafẹ́purọ́ 'unwillingness to lie'
    c. àtilệféşiṣé 'unwillingness to work'
    d. àitètèjalè 'not stealing quickly'
    a. *ainí *'not being shall'
    b. *ailè *'not being can'
    c. *àtilẹ̀ *'not being even (Adv.)'
    d. *àitètè *'not being quickly*
```

However, from verbs which can constitute single element VP's, i.e. free verbal items, we derive nouns through the /ai/ operation, e.g.
(10) a. àpurọ 'not lying'
b. àijalè 'not stealing'

From the preceding discussion, since single verbs can be taken as single element VP's, the only useful nominalization rule here is one which derives nouns from VP's and not from verbs (as Afolayan's statement suggests).

The problem of the progressive aspect auxiliary is easily handled in relation to the second productive nominalization process which applies to all verb phrases, that is, Afolayan's "features of reduplication". The reduplication of the initial consonant of the verb phrase and the insertion of vowel /i/ on a high tone between the geminate consonant cluster thereby created leads to the derivation of Yoruba (positive) gerundive nominals. The /ai/ operation is for the negative variety plus the negative abstract noun derivation. Since the progressive aspect auxiliary pronounced / $\mathbf{u} /$ or as a syllabic nasal is not a consonantal sound, it cannot be reduplicated by the rule which instructs us to double the initial consonant. ${ }^{5}$ And since the positive gerundive nominalization via reduplication is not possible, its negative counterpart via the à prefixation is also impossible.

[^3]One may note that the problematic formative, $n=/ \tilde{u} /$ may occur after other auxiliaries like máa, and that gerundivization by reduplication is possible in such cases, but this is so because the initial element in the VP now is $/ \mathrm{m} /$ which is a consonantal sound. Perhaps $/ \mathrm{máa} /+/ \tilde{\tilde{u}} /=/ \mathrm{máa} \tilde{u}^{\prime} /$ 'to be in the habit of' can be considered as a single auxiliary. ${ }^{6}$ This consideration does not affect our discussion here.

From the preceding discussion, it is possible to write the productive rules for noun derivation from VP's. The rules are stated tentatively as (11):
(11) a. $N=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { /ai/ } \\ R E D\end{array}\right\}+\mathrm{VP}$
b. RED $=C_{1} i / / \ldots{ }^{[ } /{ }_{V P} C_{1} V_{W X]}{ }_{V P}$

Condition: VP $\neq \#\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { VW } \\ F M\end{array}\right\} X \quad \#$

```
RED = reduplication
X = is a variable standing for any syllable or sequence
    of syllables or nothing
FM = focus marker (e.g. ni 'it is')
C = consonant
Vw = vowel
# = is a boundary marker
```

The condition on (ll) takes care of all the exceptions by restricting VP to only those which do not start with vowels or focus markers. So, from there, VP's starting with / is the only vowel auxiliary) are excluded. Rule (11a) states that a noun is derived from either /à/ or reduplication plus VP, i.e. from the VP affected by our condition. Then, (llb) says that reduplication is made up of the first consonant of the VP (cf. $C_{1}$ ) plus the vowel/i/before the whole VP. Since all Yoruba verbs start with consonants (for reasons we do not know), when the verbs are not preceded by auxiliaries or pre-main-verb adverbs in VP's, (11) still covers them.

It should be noted that the word derivation rules in (ll) are not yet

[^4]stated as phrase structure rules for which we need rewriting arrows, and they are not transformational rules. They are word derivation rules as stated. In section 5, (lla) will be introduced into the categorical subcomponent of grammar. Since (11b) is context-sensitive and does not contain category symbols on the right of the equality sign, it cannot be reformulated as a categorial rule. It is actually a phonological rule, so it cannot apply until all transformational rules have applied. More will be said about (11) in section 5. But one final point about (11) now is that the positive abstract noun derivation rule, i.e. $/ i /+V P$, is excluded from our tentative rule, (ll), only because it does not apply to all VP's. But we sometimes refer to it or to its products to give the positive version of our negative gerundive/abstract noun derivations.

## 3. Internal Structures of Simple Nominalized VP's

Rules (lla-b) apply to simple monosyllabic verbs as well as complex VP's. When they apply to simple monosyllabic verbs or adjective-like verbs like those in (a) to (e) of the table in (12) below for the derivation of minimal nouns (note that no Yoruba noun is monosyllabic so that a minimal Yoruba noun is disyllabic), there is no lexical insertion problem since they can be entered in the lexicon side by side with other nouns (derived or basic). Also, when they apply to VP's which appear not to be the result of structural changes of transformational rules, e.g. those in (12f-i) below, there is no problem since no T-rule has applied so that lexical insertion is still possible. The noun derivations which create problems are discussed in section 4.

Some examples of derived nouns using (ll) which constitute no problems in Yoruba syntax are given in the table of (12). We draw up the table to show the result of rule (1l) when it operates and to justify our exclusion of the (positive) abstract noun prefix from (ll). One may note that where we have asterisks indicating the unavailability of lexical items for abstract nouns in column 2, all the other columns have formatives, and vice versa (cf. 12ei-ii). Note that where we have no /i/ + VP abstract forms for some forms in (12), e.g. in (b), (c), (d) and (eii), there are other abstract nouns like ogbọ́n 'wisdom' and orun 'sleep' for (c) and (d) respectively.

It is the existence of these basic, i.e. non-derived, abstract nouns which actually forbids the / / / + VP derivation in those places. ${ }^{7}$ This is why we have found it difficult to ignore the $/ / /+V P$ derivation completely in this work.

In (12), PAN = positive abstract noun nominalization, $P G N=$ positive gerundive nominalization through reduplication, NAN + NGN = negative abstract and negative gerundive nominalization, and $N E=$ not exist.
$7_{\text {The main }}$ reason why the abstract noun rule is less productive than the negative abstract/gerundive and positive gerundive rule is that we usually have basic (i.e. non-derived) abstract nouns in place of our asterisked items. So, where such exist, the /i/ + VP rule does not normally derive other abstract nouns. This is why there are more of the asterisked or impossible derivations in places where there are longer VP's. Since Yoruba minimal nouns are disyllabic, minimal (non-basic) abstract nouns must also be disyllabic, and their existence usually forbids the occurrence of derived varieties.
(12) Examples of nouns derived through the rules in (11)

|  | VP | PAN | PGN | NAN + NGN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fé } \\ & \text { 'to love' } \end{aligned}$ | ifé 'love' | fífé <br> 'loving' | àifé 'not loving' |
| b. | dára <br> 'to be good' | *i dára NE | dídára <br> 'being good' | àìdára <br> 'not being good' |
| c. | gbọ́n <br> 'to be wise' | *igbọ́n NE | gbígbọ́n 'being wise' | àigbọ́n <br> 'not being wise' |
| d. | $\sin$ 'to sleep' | *ì sùn NE | sísùn <br> 'sleeping' | àisun <br> 'not sleeping' or <br> 'sleeplessness' |
| e.i. | $\begin{gathered} \text { *sé } \\ \dot{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E} \end{gathered}$ | Ì ṣẹ 'wretchedness' | $\begin{gathered} \text { *sísé } \\ \underset{\mathrm{N} E}{ } \mathrm{e} \text { é } \end{gathered}$ | *à ṣé NE |
|  | sé (igi) ito fetch firewood' | $\underset{\text { *isé }}{\text { *igi) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sísé (igi) } \\ & \text { ifetching of } \\ & \text { firewood' } \end{aligned}$ | àiṣé (igi) 'not fetching firewood' |
| f. | pa èni yàn 'kill people' | ìpàniyàn <br> 'murder' | pípàniyàn 'murdering' | àipàniyàn <br> 'not murdering' |
| $\mathrm{g} .$ | gbà gbọ 'listen and accept' i.e. 'to believe' | İgbàgbọ 'belief' | gbígbàgbọ 'believing' | àigbàgbó <br> 'disbelief' or <br> 'not believing' |
| h. | dúró ṣinṣin 'stand closely' | idúrósinṣin 'steadfastness' | dídúróṣinṣin 'being steadfast' | àdúróṣinṣin 'pusilianimity' |
| i. | sé owó <br> 'change money' or <br> 'practice prosti- <br> tution' | *iséwó NE | síṣẹ́wó <br> 'changing money' or 'practicing prostitution' | ài ṣéwó <br> 'not changing money' or 'not practicing prostitution' |

4. Syntactically Derived VP's as Source for Nouns

We shall limit our examination here only to VP's which have transformationally derived formatives. The first to be considered is the reflexive since the formative ara 'self' is transformationally introduced into reflexive VP's obligatorily. ${ }^{8}$ When the subject and object of a sentence are coreferential, and the main verb is not copulative in form and function, the ref'lexive formative ara 'self' is obligatorily adjoined as the left sister of the second or repeated NP. This obligatory sister adjunction of the reflexive formative also converts the second or repeated NP into a genitive (or an associative) form. The sister adjunction and genitivization operations are stated as (13). Sometimes the repeated NP is pronominalized. This second operation on the output or structure change (SC) of (13) is stated as (14):


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SC: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6 a r a+79$ | 8 |  |$\quad \Rightarrow$

(where $g=$ genitive, and $W, X, Y$, and $Z$ are variables)


[^5]Suppose we have (15a) where both repeated items are coreferential: (15) a. ?Òjó féràn òjó (Ojo love 0jo)

Then we use (13) to derive:
b. Ojjó fẹ́ràn ara Òjó (Ojo love self of-ojo)

After the application of (14) we obtain:
c. Òjó fẹ́ràn ara rè̀ (Ojo love self his)

Both (15b) and (15c) are gramatical since rule (14) is optional. The only crucial rule for us here is (13) which shows how ara is transformationally introduced. So when reflexive VP's containing this formative are used for noun derivation through rule (11), we have a lexical insertion problem.

Since our main business is with the VP, we shall now ignore sentential structures like the SI's of (13) and (14). Suppose we now have the transformationally derived VP in (16):
(16) mẹti ara eni nikan (know of self of-one only) 'look after one's own affairs only'

Then we can use (1la-b) to derive (17a-b) where the transformationally derived formative ara is underlined:
a. àimotaraeninikan 'selflessness'
b. mímọtaraeninikan 'being selfish'10

Since a true syntactic transformation, the reflexivization rule, has applied prior to the application of (li) to derive ( $17 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ ), these derived items now constitute a problem since by (2) and (3) above, they cannot be inserted anywhere in the grammar. Note that the negative abstract/

[^6]gerundive noun and the (positive) gerundive noun in (17) each constitute just one lexical item (and also an orthographic word) in the Yoruba language. Note also that the Yoruba reflexive transformation is obligatory.

Another Yoruba transformational rule which obligatorily introduces formatives into SC's is the relative. The Yoruba relative marker, tí, is transformationally introduced when certain items in embedded (or constitutent) sentences are coreferential to some elements in matrix sentences. We shall not state the relative as a rule. ${ }^{11}$ Instead, we shall draw tree diagrams in (20) showing how (19) is obtained from (18):
 (19) $\left[_{N P} \quad o_{0} o_{i} \text { tí }\left[_{S} \dot{o ́}_{i} \text { fọ́ ojú }\right]_{S}\right]_{N P} \quad$ (child ${ }_{i}$ WH he ${ }_{i}$ break eye) 'the child who is/was blind' or 'the blind child'
(20)


If the phrase structure (PS) tree diagram on the left in (20), which represents (18), is considered as the structure index, then the tree on the right, i.e. the PS diagram for (19), constitutes the structure change of a transformational rule. In the $S C$, the formative $t i$ (REL) has been introduced obligatorily through adjunction to the left of the embedded sentence.

Now consider the VP in (21) where a noun or a NP is needed to replace $X$ :
(21) fi $\frac{X}{}$ gún oṣe (use $X$ pound soap)
'use $X$ for preparing soap'.
In place of $\underline{X}$ in (21) we can insert the whole of (19), i.e. the derived phrase marker in (20), to obtain:

[^7](22) fi ơmọ tíó fọ́ ojú gún oṣẹ (use child ReL he break eye pound soap) 'use a blind child for preparing soap'

Now we can use the whole of (22), which already contains a transformationally derived formative tí (REL), to derive the nouns in (23):
(23) a. fífọmọtófọ́júgúnọ́sẹ 'using a blind child for preparing soap' b. à ì fumotófợjúgúnớsẹ (NEG abstract) 'failure to use a blind child to prepare soap', or (NEG gerundive) 'not using a blind child (or blind children) for preparing soap'

The complex derived items in (23) are nouns because they can be modified (like nouns) by determiners. For instance, the demonstrative yẹn 'that' as well as yí 'this' can modify either (23a) or (23b), e.g. àifọọtófọ́júgúnọ́sẹ yẹn 'that failure to use a blind child to prepare soap'. And they can function (like nouns) as subjects or objects of verbs, and also as the objects of prepositions. They are also replaced with pronouns when necessary. We have (23a) as the subject of a verb in (24a), as an object in (24b), as the object of a preposition in (24c); it is replaced with a pronoun in (24d) as the referential indices show, and it is qualified like nouns by the adjective burúkú 'bad' and the demonstrative yen 'that' in (24e):
(24) a. fífọmọtófọjúgúnóṣe kò dára '(23a) is not good'12
b. ó jọ fífọmọtófọ́júgúnọṣe 'it resembles (23a)'
c. mo lù ú fún fifọmotófójúgúnóṣe 'I beat him for (23a)'
d. fífomotófớjứgúnớṣe ${ }_{i}$ ni ó
( $(\dot{2} 3 a)_{i}$ is $i t_{i}$ drive Deji go from town)
' (23a) is what drove Deji out of the town' (where coreferential items have the same index)
e. fífọmotófớjúgúnọ́se burúkú yen ni a ń so 'it is that bad (habit of) (23a) we are discussing'

[^8]Like (23), the two derived items in (17) (including the abstract noun imotaraẹninikan 'selfishness' from footnote 10) also behave as nouns in syntactic structure.

Furthermore, only nouns can be modified by nouns in the Yoruba noun phrase. These items are modified by nouns, and their nominal modifiers become genitives or what some grammarians recently have referred to as associatives, e.g. in
(24) f. mimọtaraẹinikan Adélù (being-selfish off-Adelu)
'Adelu's selfishness'
However, (23a-b) contain the whole of the relative structure in (19) so that a major part of their constituents is the output of a true syntactic transformation. Hence, by (2) and (3) which forbid lexical insertion after the operation of nonlexical transformations, the lexical insertion of (23ab) and an infinite number of similarly derived nouns is prohibited.

We can make ( 24 e ) more interesting and complex by allowing one burúkú to be a constituent of the complex word and another one a qualifier of the new complex item as in (25).
(25) fífọmotófọ́júgúnọṣeburúkú burúkú yen ni
'it is that bad use of a blind child for preparing bad soap'
So far we have been considering the single application of rule (ll) to VP's. Actually, application may be recursive. We may consider only the à $+V P$ operation now although the reduplication operation covers the same set of VP's. The /ai/ rule applies to all conceivable VP's if the condition on rule (ll) is noted. Since this rule applies to practically all VP's, and some of the nouns used in such VP's might also have been derived through the à or "RED" operation, it can apply and re-apply to structures indefinitely. So, when à + VP applies, a repeated application of the operation to other repeated applications could lead to the complex noun subject of kò burú 'is not bad' in (26).
(26) ài fà maláìmàidáraṣàidárasáláimàidára kò burúl${ }^{3}$

[^9]The subject noun in (26) is obtained after seven repeated applications of the /à/ + VP operation as the underlining of à indicates. A rough translation of the complex noun is 'not taking advantage of a failure to know one who has no conscience to misbehave to the person who has no conscience'. Within the complex noun are other nominalizations like àdára 'not being good' or 'evil', àmàidára 'not recognizing evil', alaimàidára 'one who does not know what is not good' or 'one who does not recognize evil', etc. The important point about (26) is that its subject noun will be recognized as one lexical item, a single noun like (23a-b) in the Yoruba language. And since this /à/ rule derives single lexical nouns from practically all VP's including those that have undergone all types of true syntactic transformations, condition (3) above will make the lexical insertion of these nouns impossible. Furthermore, we do not know the number of VP's in Yoruba, so one can say that the à and RED(uplication) rules derive an infinite number of nouns; and that condition (3) makes the lexical insertion of this infinite set of lexical items impossible.

So we now find that there is a lexical insertion problem for complex noun derivations in the Yoruba language. We saw from (2) and (3) that lexical insertion must take place before any true syntactic transformation applies. For many Yoruba noun derivations, this condition poses no problems even when the VP's in (12f-i) are used as stems. However, there are VP's for which a problem exists. These are the ones which had already undergone true syntactic transformational operations. In this work, we exemplified the second class of VP's only with those into which formatives are transformationally introduced. An infinite set of other VP's are derived through the use

[^10]of elementary transformations, and they also function as stems for noun derivation when (11) applies. Since, after the application of (ll), these complex derived VP's plus their prefixes become single lexical items (like (17) and (23)), they operate in the structural positions of Yoruba nouns (as in (24a-f)), and these problematic lexical items also constitute an infinite set as we have already observed, the lexical insertion of this set of nouns anywhere in the syntactic structure $\Sigma=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ is prohibited, and therefore indescribable, in a grammar which incorporates condition (3). This is so because, at present, lexical insertion is obligatory for all lexical items.
5. The Lexical Amalgamation Proposal

If we want a transformational grammar to handle the lexical insertion problem in Yoruba syntax without violating the main Chomskyan requirement of the standard theory already stated as (3) in section l, we must suggest an alternative to lexical insertion for Yoruba complex nouns. The alternative suggested here is the lexical amalgamation proposal. Only two steps are necessary.

First, we have to introduce the complex noun into the categorial subcomponent of the syntactic component. Suppose we designate the complex noun as Nc in a phrase structure gramar (PSG), then Nc can be introduced wy a rule which introduces $\mathbb{N}$ (oun) ordinarily, e.g. in addition to a common Yoruba rule like $N P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (DET) (S), we can have $N P \rightarrow \mathbb{N c}$ (DET) (S). ${ }^{14}$ The two possibilities can be combined together into a single rule like (27a). Examples ( $27 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ) show how $\mathbb{N}$ and $N c$ are separately developed. Since $\mathbb{N} c$ is now a category of the PSG, we can replace the equality sign of the word derivation rule (llb) with a rewriting arrow:

[^11](27) a. $N P \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}N \\ N C\end{array}\right\} \quad(D E T)$
b. $N \rightarrow C S$ (by Chomsky [1965:114])
c. $\mathrm{Ne} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{lai} / \\ \mathrm{RED}\end{array}\right\} \mathrm{VP} \quad$ (from (Ila) above)

The condition under which Nc gets expanded was stated in (11) earlier. That condition need not appear with our rewriting rule since it is just the type of statement linguists always ignore. ${ }^{15}$ Also, the further expansion of RED(uplication) in (Ilb) is beyond the scope of the categorial section of grammar since only phonological symbols like consonant and vowel appear on the right of the equality sign. The VP labels for the brackets are not parts of the relevant symbols since they serve merely as boundary markers for the relevant phonological symbols.

The second step is the erasure of word boundary symbols for all formatives under any Nc category followed by their amalgamation into a single word in the transformational subcomponent when transformational rules cease to apply. ${ }^{16}$ The only condition for the disappearance of word boundary symbols between the affected formatives and their eventual amalgamation into a single word is the ultimate dominance of all the formatives somewhere in the categorial section by the category symbol Nc. We illustrate this process with only one tree diagram. In this diagram, in order to show that lexical amalgamation is not a T-rule, we allow for several occurrences of Nc under one principal Nc category. From the step by step description of how sentence (28) is derived from (29), we see how lexical amalgamation operates.

[^12]Let us examine sentence (28) in which the transformationally inserted formatives, one REL item and the reflexive formative ara 'self', are underlined. The English translation of the complex noun is also underlined. (28) àifàloọgbọ́nlóriffigòtót ifọ́bálál fúnrarẹ̀nísimijà ni a bú Délé fún 'not using a broken bottle to fight against one who does not give himself any peace of mind owing to lack of wisdom is what we condemned Dele for'

The generalized phrase marker for (28) is given as (29) and in that diagram, category symbols are numbered to facilitate our description of the transformational and amalgamation processes. Also, referential indices indicate coreferential items.

The complex subject of (28), which also occurs as the configuration under the $N c_{1}$ category in (29), is a single Yoruba noun (or nominal) by function since it operates in the structural positions of single nouns. The most significant of these structural positions were illustrated in (24) above. Example (28) occurs in all the identified positions. It is also a noun by form since it takes a noun derivational prefix. Hence, we have followed the example of linguists who define parts of speech as nominals, adjectivals, adverbials and verbals by function, but nouns, adjectives, adverbs and verbs by form. We can say that the subject of (28) is a nominal and a noun. We may now look at tree diagram (29) in which FM is a focus marker.


For a description of how (28) is obtained from (29), we start from $S_{8}$. The coreferential items which are marked with the index $j$ make $S_{8}$ satisfy the structure index for the reflexive (transformational) rule in (13). So the obligatory rule (13) and the optional (14) apply, giving us for $S_{8}$ (30) $S_{8}=$ eni kan kò fún ara rè ní ìsimi
'someone (does not) give himself some rest'
After an indefinite subject NP deletion rule has deleted eni kan 'someone' from $S_{8}$ because it is coreferential to oníj 'the person who is closely connected with (something)' or 'the owner of (something)' higher in the tree, we are left with a VP which becomes $\mathrm{VP}_{8}$. No other T-rule can apply to the constituents of $\mathrm{VP}_{8}$, so the lexical amalgamation of $\dot{a}$ and all the formatives dominated by $\mathrm{VP}_{8}$ takes place giving us a single word under $\mathrm{Nc}_{4}$.
(3I) $\mathrm{Nc}_{4}=$ àikòfúnrarẹ̀nísimi or àifúnrarẹ̀nísimi 'not giving himself some rest' ${ }^{17}$

The two possibilities in (31) are synonymous since kò 'not' is optional and semantically insignificant after à̀ in ài + VP nominalizations. The element will be omitted henceforth.

At $\mathrm{Nc}_{3}$, we have oni $+\mathrm{Nc}_{4}$. No transformational rule can now apply to the constituents of $\mathrm{Nc}_{3}$, so the lexical amalgamation of oni and $\mathrm{Nc} c_{4}$ produces
(32) $\quad \mathrm{Ne}_{3}=$ alail fúnrarẹ̀nísimi
'a person who does not give himself any peace of mind'
After other syntactic operations on higher constituents, e.g. the transformational permutation of jà 'fight' from bá ja 'fight against' to the

[^13]right of $\mathrm{NP}_{8}$, we reach level $S_{6}$ where we have
(33) $S_{6}=$ eni kan bá aláìfúnrarẹnísimi jà
'someone fought against a person who does not give himself any peace of mind'

Later we find that $\mathrm{NP}_{5}$ satisfies the SI of a relativization transformation, af. tree diagram (20), and so we introduce tí (REL) transformationally between $N$ and $S_{7}$ and pronominalize ìgò under $S_{7}$ to ó giving (34) $\mathrm{NP}_{5}=$ igò tí ó ti fọ́ 'the bottle which has got broken'

Then, further $u_{p}$ in the tree, we come to $S_{4}$ where we now have
(35) $\quad S_{4}=$ ẹni kan fi ìgò tí ó ti fọ bá alálfúnrarẹnísimi jà
'someone used a.broken bottle to fight against a man or person who does not give himself any peace of mind'

After all necessary transformational operations on the constituents of $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{VP}_{5}$ have ceased, we move to $\mathrm{Nc}_{2}$ where through the lexical amalgamation of all formatives into a single word, we have
(36) $\mathrm{Nc}_{2}=$ àiloógbọnlórí (lit. not having wisdom in the head) 'being unwise' or 'foolishness'

After the application of other T-rules, and before final indefinite NP deletion under $S_{3}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3}= & \text { ẹi kan fi àilógbọ́nlórí fi igo tí ó ti fọ́ bá alàifúnrarènísimi jà }  \tag{37}\\
& \text { (where already amalgamated constituents of Nc's are underlined) } \\
& \text { 'someone, through lack of wisdom, used a broken bottle to fight } \\
& \text { against the person or man who does not give himself any peace } \\
& \text { of mind' }
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have final indefinite NP deletion leaving us with $\mathrm{VP}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{VP}_{3}$ in a direct line of derivation, and the last two mentioned categories disappear through tree pruning giving us à̀ $+\mathrm{VP}_{2}$ alone under $\mathrm{Nc}_{1}$. Since no other $\mathbb{T}-r$ ule can now apply to the constituents of $\mathrm{Nc}_{1}$, final lexical amalgamation takes place giving us a single word under $\mathrm{Nc}_{1}$ :

## (38) ${N c_{1}}=$ àìfàl lọ́gbọ́nlórífigòtótifọ́bálail fúnrarẹ̀nísimi jà

This is the subject noun of (28) and (29).
Several steps are omitted, e.g. the assimilation of tí (REL he/it)
to tó, fi lgò to figò 'use bottle', fún ara 'for self' to fúnra , etc., but this must be expected since phonological rules like assimilation ought not to take place in the transformational subcomponent. Actually, a step by step statement of how (28) is derived from (29) in all components of grammar does not really increase one's awareness or appreciation of lexical amalgamation.

From the preceding description we see how lexical amalgamation operates in practice. It is not ordered among the T-rules of grammar since it operates only on constituents to which no other T-rule ever applies. The product of a lexical amalgamation operation, e.g. (32) $=\mathbb{N c} c_{3}$ or (36) $=\mathrm{Nc}_{2}$, is a single noun having "syntactic features" of nouns like $[+N$, -Count, +Abstract $\ldots$, but it is not inserted like simple nouns through the lexical transformation rule (2). If we had not proposed an alternative to lexical insertion for Yoruba complex nouns, we would have been forced to recognize at least four lexical insertion stages for Yoruba in order to describe sentence (28), viz. the one at deep structure giving us the terminals in tree diagram (29), the second one at both $\mathrm{Nc}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Nc}_{2}$, the third at $\mathrm{Nc}_{3}$, and finally at $\mathrm{Nc}_{1}$. We, however, leave a consideration of the advantages of lexical amalgamation over lexical insertion for complex nouns to the next section.

## 6. Conclusions

In order to falsify the Chomskyan assertion that "for $j \geqslant i \quad[i n \Sigma=$ $\left.\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)\right]$, the transformation used to form $P_{j+1}$ from $P_{j}$ is nonlexical," generative semanticists have developed various styles of arguments. We have arguments on the synonymous relationship and identical distributional characteristics of differently categorized syntactic constructions like Lakoff's arguments on instrumental adverbs (cf. Lakoff [1968]). There are arguments on the relevance of presuppositions to semantic interpretation and the need to reflect such (linguistic and nonlinguistic) antecedents in encoding and subsequents in decoding in abstract deep structures. But the most relevant types of arguments for us have been those on lexical decomposition.

Lexical decomposition is a very fruitful area of linguistic research. Its main preoccupation is with the discovery of phrasal paraphrases which are "totally synonymous" with some lexical items while postulating these
phrasal paraphrases as underlying forms of their "surface" single item synonyms. "Total synonymy", in the sense of John Lyons, is proved through their "interchangeability in all contexts" [Lyons 1968:448]. McCawley [1968] tried to establish such relationships between 'kill' and 'cause to become not alive' while Postal [1970] provided a similar analysis for 'remind' and 'perceive to be similar'. If 'kill' is derived from 'cause to become not alive' or 'die' from 'become not alive', etc., then there must be more than one lexical insertion stage in grammar. At least, we should have one for the atomic elements used in the phrasal paraphrases and another one for the insertion of derived "surface" lexical items. The concept of deep structure, established as the only place where the lexical insertion operation of the standard theory takes place, must therefore be abandoned.

Lexical decomposition has three problems. First, if one derives (surface) 'remind' from (underlying) 'perceive to be similar', or 'die' from 'become not alive', nothing stops one from labelling all lexical words as "surface lexical items" and deriving 'spinster' from 'woman who is not married', 'widow' from 'already married woman whose husband is no longer alive', 'giants' from 'people who are too tall', 'dwarf' from 'an adult human being who is incredibly short', 'look' from 'direct the eyes', 'elude' from 'avoid compliance with', 'mock' from 'tantalize with illusion', etc. for all lexical items. The lexical items used in the decomposition of the above (e.g. 'marry') will be similarly decomposed, and all these operations must take place in the process of a single syntactic description. While this practice will give many industrious linguists the opyortunity to conduct intensive research and write many thought-provoking papers on myriads of putative surface lexical items, such linguists will only be justifying, syntactically, the practice of traditional lexicographers who use the "underlying" phrasal garaphrases as the meanings of "surface" lexical entries. But this would not have proved that the concept of underlying and surface lexical items has any linguistic or psychological reality.

Secondly, interchangeability in all contexts for underlying and "surface" lexical items is very difficult to establish although this is the crux of all the syntactic arguments used. Otherwise, fodor would not have found
three reasons for not deriving 'kill' from 'cause to die'. Like Fodor [1970], Chomsky too noticed a similar inadequacy of arguments on instrumental adverbs [Chomsky 1971:194-5]. He also commented on Postal's discussion of 'remind' and observed that the regularity of some 'remind' sentences he discussed "is a regularity statable precisely at the level of deep structure" [Chomsky 1972:154]. If only one context exists where interchangeability is not proved, total synonymy has failed and the use of one form as the underlying version of the other is no longer justifiable.

Thirdly, some arbitrariness in the determination of which semantic "atoms" must be used in the underlying representation of surface forms is inevitable. Given the two derivations: 'dead' from 'be not alive' and 'alive' from 'be not dead', one has to argue one derivation out of acceptance although both forms are correct. And this type of decision must be taken for all known lexical items. While it will give linguists plenty of materials to work on, it does not directly or positively broaden linguistic knowledge.

All considered, it seems that the use of lexical decomposition plus. derivational constraints and their attendant multiple lexical insertion solution in infinitely deep abstract underlying structures just to falsify condition (3) on syntactic deep structure is like using a fully loaded machine gun to kill a troublesome housefly. In a grammar where lexical insertion is mandatory for all lexical items, all we need to falsify condition (3) is the existence in any human language of complex single item words containing formatives not derivable anywhere in gramar without a prior application of the true syntactic transformations of the standard theory. Once such words, e.g. imotaraeninikan 'selfishness', are obtained, we do not need innumerable syntactic arguments to prove the interchangeability of some forms in all contexts before concluding that a lexical insertion problem exists. If lexical insertion were to remain mandatory for all lexical items, then we would have clearly falsified condition (3) on deep structure through any of the examples of complex nouns in section 4. Our proposal in section 5 is for an alternative to lexical insertion for such complex nouns through lexical amalgamation, and only such alternatives can save or validate condition (3) which is the condition that lexical items are inserted only once in the syntactic deep structure.

One may be expected to examine the discussion here in relation to Chomsky's proposal on nominalizations. Since the complex nouns for which rule (ll) is established are either positive or negative gerundive (although the negative is also abstract), they fit into the class of nominalizations for which Chomsky suggested a transformational derivation in his lexicalist paper [Chomsky 1970]. However, Chomsky's gerundive nominals are derived from verbs whereas ours are derived from verb phrases. That is the main cause of difference. Since verb phrases can be complex internally, single word nouns derived from such transformationally derived complex VP's must be complex. Our argument rests on the internal complexity of VP's used as stems for noun derivation, so any similarity between our observations and Chomsky's on gerundive nominals is merely accidental.

Then, with the proposal of an alternative to lexical insertion, we have been able to suggest some answers to certain problematic questions. One deals with the problem of new derived lexical items not recorded by lexicographers in dictionaries. Yoruba authors, translators and news writers coin or create new words everyday, ${ }^{18}$ and these are understood by other Yoruba speakers just as speakers of languages create new sentences which are understood by others. How can such novel items be inserted in deep structure when

[^14]the lexical subcomponent of the base makes use of only items found in dictionaries? This is not a problem for the standard theory alone since all the surface and underlying lexical items of the basic theory, e.g. 'remind' and 'perceive to be similar', are recorded in dictionaries. However, an infinite number of nouns can be constructed from Yoruba VP's and it is obvious that no dictionary can record this infinite set. Hence, a process like lexical amalgamation is needed in transformational gramar (whether standard or basic) to account for this infinite set of lexical items which dictionaries cannot handle.

Another problem with which transformational grammarians are beset deals with delimiting the boundaries of any grammatical description. Chomsky's metatheoretic arguments for condition (3) rest simply on this. If lexical amalgamation as suggested here were incorporated into grammar, it would then be possible to validate Chomsky's statement that "no linguistically significant generalizations are lost if all the transformations of $L$ (i.e. lexical transformations) are applied before any transformations of non-L (i.e. nonlexical transformations) in forming derivations. This proposal constitutes a potential step forward in that it restricts the class of grammars" [Chomsky 1972:128]. We do not know how "the class of grammars" is restricted. However, since lexical amalgamation is not lexical insertion, Chomsky's statement here as well as condition (3) now stand. But their validity depends solely on the incorporation of lexical amalgamation into transformational subcomponent of grammar.

It then appears that generative semanticists, who seem to constitute the majority in the transformational school, find the lexical insertion requirement of a standard theory inconvenient, and consequently, find the standard theory itself intolerable, mainly because they prefer the abrogation of the syntactic deep structure to the provision of alternatives to lexical insertion for problematic lexical items.

Finally, although this paper has not actually demonstrated one way or the other whether deep structure exists (thereby not falling within what Bedell [1974] described as "the arguments about deep structure"), it has taken one step towards the Chomskyan requirement on restricting the class of grammars by not throwing out the concept of deep structure after the
establishment of our Yoruba lexical insertion problem. What is not known is whether all transformational grammarians will think it worthwhile to take Chomsky's "potential step forward" which "restricts the class of grammars." And so, it appears that our most crucial question now is: "How abstract can syntax be in a limited or restricted class of grammars?"
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TONE IN KIKAMBA AND THE CENTRAL KENYA BANTU LANGUAGES

K. C. Ford<br>Department of Linguistics and African Languages University of Nairobi


#### Abstract

The forms and functions of pitch variation in Kĩkamba are examined, together with the similarities and differences noted in ten closely-related Bantu dialects. Two phonetic systems of tone are described involving two basic tone levels, which are expandable either by a process of downstep or by the formation of an extra-high (and optionally an extra-low) tone. In all the dialects, tone is both lexically distinctive and serves to reinforce particular aspects of syntax, details of which are presented. Some comparative evidence is cited, and the relationship between the two phonetic systems is considered.


## 1. Introduction

Kǐkamba is a Bantu language of Kenya, spoken by over 1 million people over a wide area east of Nairobi as far as, in places, the Coast. The following description is based primarily on the speech of Mr. Michael Mũlwa of Mbiũnĩ Sub-location, Mbũmbũnĩ Location, Machakos District. Material was also checked with Mr. Boniface Kithitũ of Kitui. While particular attention will be paid to what is ultimately one idiolect of Kỉkamba, reference will be made to general tonal features of the grouk of languages/dialects including Kĩkũyũ, Kĩkamba, Embu, Mbeere, Cuka, Mũthambĩ, Mîîtĩne, Mwĩmbĩ, Imenti, Tharaka and Tigania. All are mutually-intelligible to a high degree, except for Kĩkamba, where the loss of two consonants proves to be a more noticeable barrier to close mutual-intelligibility with the other dialects. ${ }^{1}$

[^15]Among the group of languages, lexical, syntactic and segmental phonological differences are few, though distinctive. The surface facts of tone are perhajs among the sharpest distinguishing characteristics, but even here, as will be shown, the similarities of basic form and function are great; we can thus see more clearly the peripheral differences. It may be that what we will suggest as general tonal features of this group of languages may prove to be significant characteristics of other groups of Bantu languages.

Kĩkamba has seven distinctive vowel qualities, which may be long or short, again distinctively: [i e $\varepsilon$ a $\rho 0 u]$ and $[i: e: \varepsilon: a: \rho: 0: u:]$. Two like vowels occurring together represent distinct syllables bearing distinct tones, which contract to a single quality though of double length. A maximum of three consecutive like vowels has been found to date; these contract to form a triple length vowel. As pointed out by Whiteley and Mũli [1962], therefore, four degrees of vowel length may be distinguished phonetically:
(1) túva [túva] 'pay wages' nééna [né::na] 'speak'
tú:va [tú:va] 'get blunt' syóóóka [syó:::ka] 'slip, slide'
Combinations of unlike tones on any sequence of consecutive vowels automatically form gliding tones:
(Mĩtine), Erastus Rweria (Mwĩmbĩ), Annah Kĩambati and Mũthuri Kyugũ (Imenti), Geoffrey Mũthenja (Tigania), and Felix Mang'ũrũ (Tharaka). Note on the transcription: all symbols have their IPA values, except for the following, individual conventions: $y=[j]$ 'voiced palatal approximant'; a combination of nasal plus consonant is to be interpreted as a prenasalized consonant, e.g. [keká:mbá]. Phonetic tones are marked [ $x^{\prime}$ ] 'extra-high level'; [‘] 'high level'; [`] or unmarked 'low level'; ["] 'extra-low level, sometimes falling'. Combinations of these tone-marks are used on single short or long vowels to indicate gliding tones, e.g. [~] 'high-low glide'; [x-]'extra-high to low glide'. In the case of Kikũyũ and Maasai, the extra-high level is not employed, while downstep is marked [!]. The dialect of Kĩkũyũ described here is that of Gĩakanja, about 8 kms . from Nyeri town, though it is fairly representative of what are called the northern dialects (see Clements and Ford: in preparation).
 Such sequences of up to eight consecutive vowels (see Whiteley and Mũ1i [1962]) often arise from historical consonant-loss; e.g. eio 'banana' (cf'. Kĩkũyũ [iriyo]) but kalilo 'small banana'. Consonant-loss
is important in accounting for the contemprary situation
where all vowels belong non-predictably to one of two series: mutable and immutable. Immutable vowels can be shown on comparative evidence to have derived historically from CV syllables by initial-consonant loss. The immutable vowels block particular processes of vowel contraction. Compare, for example, the following:
(3) kw $:$ ndà 'to love, like' /ko+e:nda/ (mutable [ $\varepsilon]$ )
ko $e$ :nda 'to go' /kote:nda/ (immutable $[\varepsilon]$ )

## 2. The Tones of Nouns

Although it will be shown eventually that all phonological words of any category are structured tonally on the same patterns and alter their tone patterns according to the same general principles, we shall begin with a description of nouns since they exhibit the most complete set of distinct basic forms and conditioned varieties. The language makes use of four distinct phonetic tone levels, though the analysis will indicate how they represent what might be viewed as an underlying and probably historical two-tone distinction. The expanded phonetic tonal register of extra-high, high, low, and extra-low tones is employed before particular syntactic boundaries, the details of which will be exemplified in subsequent sections.
2.1. Phonetic tonal forms. The following examples presuppose a classification based on two factors: the quality of final tone(s), and the patterning of tone-changes that itself implies a non-predictable sub-typing (marked A and B). The columns l-4 represent the different forms of nouns that are found in different syntactic environments, some notes on which follow the examples.
（4）

1
a．－LL\＃（A）

| ngo | ngò |
| :--- | :--- |
| nzaa | nzaà |
| kelomo | kelom̀̀ |
| nzolasolanyo | nzolasolanỳ̀ |

b．－L：L\＃（A）
mo：ndo
moko：vi
movolo：nze
c．－LL\＃（B）
ngaa
eio
moinaa
d．$-L: L \#(B)$

| $m b \varepsilon: v \varepsilon$ | $m b \varepsilon: v \varepsilon$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ketu：lya | ketu：lya |
| mosika：le | mosikaile |

e．－HL\＃（A）
nzáa
etáu
mokô：刀góla
f．$\quad$－ $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{L} \#(\mathrm{~A})$

| noŝ：to |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| keî：ngi | keitiogi |
| 亿 | mosart |

g．$-\mathrm{HL} \#(\mathrm{~B})$
kekóno
moł̉inéa
h．$-\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{LH}(\mathrm{B})$
kat $\hat{\varepsilon}: n a$
mo：nzáô：ne

2

クgวう
kelomj
nzolasolanyò
mô：ndò
mok $\overline{\text { a }}:$ vì
movolō：nzè
ngaa
eio
moinaa
mosika；le

> nzaxa
et $\begin{gathered}\text { xư } \\ \text { un }\end{gathered}$
mokô：ngớla
nd $\begin{aligned} & \text { xै } \\ & \text { kefín } \\ & \text { mosavi int vo }\end{aligned}$
kekóno
moðinéa
kat $\hat{\varepsilon}$ ：na
mo：nzáó：ne

3
ngò
nzaà
kelomò
nzolasolanyò
mô：ndò
mok $\widehat{\text { an }}$
movolô：nzè
ngaà
eiò
moinaà
$m b \bar{\varepsilon}:$ vè
ket $\vec{u}: \mid y$ à
mosik $\vec{a}: l e ̀ ~$

| nz $\begin{gathered}\text { xa } \\ \text { an }\end{gathered}$ <br> etax <br> mokô：ngôla |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


keli：ogi
mosavíi：vò

## kekôn <br> moðinexa

| kat ${ }^{\text {公：}}$ nà |
| :---: |
| mo：nzao |


| ngò | ＇leopard＇ |
| :--- | :--- |
| nzaà | ＇hunger＇ |
| keloṁ | ＇lip＇ |
| nzolasolanyò | ＇zigzag path＇ |

4

| mô：ndò | ＇person＇ |
| :--- | :--- |
| mok $\overline{5}: v i ̀$ | ＇borrower＇ |
| movolō：nzè | ＇confuser＇ |

Dgà＇burnt sugar－cane＇
eiò＇banana＇
moinaà＇younger sibling＇
$m b \bar{E}: v \hat{\varepsilon} \quad$＇accordion＇
ketu：lyà＇forehead＇
mosikä：${ }^{\text {è }}$＇soldier＇

```
nzà
etâ＇cheek＇
mokô：ngờl宏［type of tree］
```



mosavîivo［type of vine］
kekônố＇mushroom＇
moðinexa［type of bush］
kat肴：nà＇kid＇
mo：nzáónè＇member of the Anzaũnĩ clan
(4) cont.
i. $-\mathrm{H} \#(\mathrm{~A})$

| nzá | $n z^{\text {a }}$ | $n \mathrm{za}$ | $n z^{\text {x }}$ | 'outside' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mot wé | mot w ${ }^{\chi}$ | motw ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\operatorname{motw}{ }^{\text {x }}$ | 'head' |
| katú: ndú | katú: ndư | katú: $n$ d ${ }_{\text {u }}$ | katú: ndu | [female name] |
| j. $-\mathrm{HH}(\mathrm{B})$ |  |  |  |  |
| ngá | ngá | nga ${ }^{\frac{x}{1}}$ | ngâ | [type of tendon] |
| moká | moká | moka | mokâ | 'wife, woman' |
| katú: ndú | katú:ndú | katú:ndư | katú:ndû | 'small gathering' |

A summary of the syntactic environments represented by columns $1-4$ is as follows (it must be noted that the choice of tonal forms $1-4$ is not conditioned by any phonetic characteristics of the surrounding context):
Column 1: tones of nouns when qualified within the noun-phrase (i.e. by an adjective, numeral or associative marker in a possessive construction);

Column 2: tones used in all places in declarative statements (including subject), except in the place immediately following a positive verb; these forms are used in all places in imperative sentences; section 5 will generalise their function in coordinate and subordinate sentences;

Column 3: tones used immediately following a positive declarative verb when another item follows in the same sentence;

Column 4: tones used immediately following a positive declarative verb sentence-finally.
2.2. Underlying tonal forms. It is not jossible to predict the membership of sub-classes of $A$ and $B$ which must be recognized as underlyingly different. We may take as basic either the form 2 (thus recognising four underlying levels of tone), or the forms 1 (ignoring gliding tones which are largely predictable--see section 2.3), so that we recognise just two underlying levels and a diacritic classification feature corresponding to A/B. The majority of items belong to sub-class A. We will thus require the following rules of tonal register expansion, given the feature specifications:

(where + for Class A includes the boundaries 2, 3, and 4 above; and for Class B the boundaries 3 and 4)

A special rule will account for the final tone of $-H \# B$ items in environment 4:
(R2) $[+$ high $] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { +high } & -h i g h \\ +e x t r\end{array}\right] / \mathrm{V} \longrightarrow \mathrm{B}^{4}$
The final derived forms of -HL\# itmes will be predicted in part by the processes of gliding-tone formation expressed below, and in part by the following assimilation rule:
(R3)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text {-high } \\
\text { +extr }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { +high } \\
+ \text { extr } & \text {-high } \\
\text { +extr }
\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { +high } \\
\text { +extr }
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\qquad$
2.3. Gliding tones. Only falling glides are possible on single vowels (rising tones being a combination of a low + high on successive syllables where the two syllabic nuclei are adjacent); and these falling tones are invariably associated with long vowels, with the exception of the [ $\quad \mathrm{F}]$ and [ ${ }^{x}$ ] tones which are accounted for by R2 and R3 above. The gliding tones that are related to long vowels are predictable as follows: two kinds of long vowels must be distinguished, the inherent long vowel, and that lengthened by position (before a prenasalised consonant) or by a process of glide-formation or vowel-contraction:
i) Long low-toned vowels always glide penultimately (whether inherently long or lengthened by position) if a low tone ['] follows:
kwê:ndà 'to love' lenda/ 'love'
ko $\bar{\varepsilon}: n d a ̀$ 'to go'
kwö:nzà 'to grow thin, ill' lonza/ 'grow thin, ill'
(the forms in slants are intended to suggest more abstract.
representations; in fact, all utterance-initial and utterance-
final vowels in Kíkamba must be short.)
ii) Long high-toned glide penultimately only if inherently long and fcllowed by a low tone:
(6)

| konê̌: ngà | 'to give' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kwê: kà | 'to do' |
| kwầ: kẩ | 'to build' |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /né:nga/ 'give' } \\
& \text { lé:ka/ 'do' } \\
& \text { /áka/ 'build' }
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) Long low-toned vowels cannot occur pre-penultimately and be followed by a lower tone; glide-tone-formation is thus blocked.
iv) Long high-toned vowels glide pre-penultimately only if inherently long and followed by a low tone:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { koâ: ngola (ndeã) 'to succeed in is:ngóla/ }  \tag{7}\\
& \text { throwing sticks through } \\
& \text { a rolling hoop' } \\
& \text { kwó:ngəè̀ 'to fill with water' lóngóéa/ }
\end{align*}
$$

While these generalisations emerge, it must be noted that there exists a small number of counter-examples, whose gliding tones are not predictable on this basis. Some of these have falling glides on long vowels but before a following high tone: e.g. mbaã: ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ 'flying ants'. Among the forms that cannot be generalised are many of the verb forms, where gliding-tone formation is suppressed in specific grammatical environments:

| kwá:ta | 'touch!' | [imperative singular] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nénâ:kwâ:tâ | 'I have touched' | [recent perfect] |
| né:ngwá:tî̂ | 'I touched' | [recent simple past] |

2.4. General features of noun tones. Certain details of Kĩkamba noun tones are generalisable for the whole group of dialects.
i) The distinction of three tonal classes of words (-H\# ; -HL\#; -LL\#), based on the quality of final tones, is maintained by all the dialects. Neutralisations of the sub-classification $A / B$ have taken place as follows:
(9) a. Tigania:

5 classes: -H\#; -HLHA,B; -LL\#A,B
b. Mĩitĩne and one dialect of Imenti (Mũthuri Kyugũ):

4 classes: -H\#; -HL\#A,B; -LL\#
c. Tharaka and one dialect of Imenti (Annah Kĩambati): 3 classes: -H\#; -HL\#; -LLIH
ii) Four syntactic environments have been enumerated above to account for the maximal differences of tonal form in Kikamba nouns. We note that there are never four different tonal forms for any one noun, but that overall the distinctions are worth making. The other dialects make the following, related distinctions, using tone to reinforce syntactic structure:
(10) Kinũyũ and Embu
a. tones before item within the same noun-phrase (adjective, cardinal numeral, associative marker)
b. tones of item not immediately following a positive declarative verb and not sentence-finally
c. as (10b), but sentence-finally
d. tones of item immediately following a positive declarative verb, but not sentence-finally
e. as (lod), but sentence-finally
(11) Mbeere
a. tones before item within the same noun-phrase
D. tones of subject
c. tones of item in any other non-sentence-final position, not immediately following a positive declarative verb
d. tones used finally except after a positive declarative verb
e. tones used for item immediately following a positive declarative verb, but not sentence-finally
f. as (lle), but sentence-finally
(12) Cuka
a. tones before item within the same noun-phrase, except a cardinal numeral qualifier
b. tones before a cardinal numeral
c. tones of item not immediately following a positive declarative verb and not sentence-finally
d. as (12c), but sentence-finally
e. tones of item immediately following a positive declarative verb, but not sentence-finally
f. as (12e), but sentence-finally

## (13) Mwĩmbĩ

a. tones before item within the same noun-phrase
b. tones used non-finally in any sentence
c. tones used finally in a positive sentence
d. tones used finally in a negative sentence
(14) MũthambĨ
a. tones used before item within the same noun-phrase
o. tones used non-finally in a negative sentence
c. tones used finally in a negative sentence
d. tones used non-finally in a positive sentence
e. tones used finally in a positive sentence
(15) Imenti, Tharaka, Tigania and MiItine
a. tones used before item within the same noun-phrase
b. tones used non-finally in any sentence
c. tones used finally in any sentence
(We note that in Tigania forms (15c) are used additionally before a cardinal numeral qualifier within a noun-phrase.)
iii) Only Kikũyũ, Embu and Mũthambĩ display the flattening process, whereby the final $-H \# B$ tones are lowered sentence-finally when not immediately following a positive declarative verb (examples in Ford [1975b]). Kĩkũyũ differs from the other dialects in one significant respect: whereas the others mark tonal distinctions before boundaries either by expanding the tonal register to four levels or not, Kikũyũ marks the same distinctions either by introducing a downstep feature (of both high and low tones) to alternate with certain processes of tone-change (to be described) across the boundary, or not. The following examples illustrate some aspects of this; summaries of the relevant syntactic boundaries are given in section 3.5 ii below.


As indicated earlier, the system of tone in Kĩkũyũ differs from that of the other dialects in its manifestations. Whereas the other dialects alternate two and four levels of tone, Kikũyũ distinguishes two levels together with a process of downstep, which effectively increases the number of actual levels indefinitely. Since downstep is syntactically conditioned, the number of phonetic levels in any sentence depends on the number and type of constituents.
iv) Kîkũyũ possesses processes of high-tone spreading. Only one other dialect, Cuka, has a comparable process. We note that Kikũyũ, with almost two million speakers, is by far the largest of the group of dialects. The Kĩkũyũ-speaking region includes the capital, Nairobi; and this language is used both for educational purposes and more widely as the language of religion in surrounding dialect areas (though not in Ũkambanĩ). Kĩkũyũ consequently has more prestige, and it is not unlikely that other dialects might have assimilated in the past (and will probably assimilate in the

[^16]future) aspects of Kinkũyũ speech. Generally in Kĩkũyũ, where a downstep does not intervene across a constituent boundary, a high tone preceding the boundary will spread to a following low tone (called simple tone-spreading):

```
(17) maða:ko 'games'
    né máða:ko '(they're) games' /né/ [topicaliser]
    but na maða:ks 'and games'' /na/ [connective]
```

Where the same tonal sequences occur across a boundary marked by a downstep, a related process of high-tone spreading takes place. Features of this process are that the high tone spreads to any number of consecutive low tones, and that the downstep moves across the same spread of tones (a fuller analysis of the system of tone in Kĩkũyũ, on which this summary is based, is provided in Clements and Ford [in preparation]):

> /ndinárórá mwayáhiná/ 'I didn't watch weakling' ndinárórá ! mwayáhiná DS to mark the constituent boundary [ndinárórá mwáy̧ahiná] Tone-spreading with DS-movement

Compare the following examples of the processes, given the underlying form /moyeranyá/. This item belongs to the class of words whose final high tone(s) is subject to flattening in certain environments, including the citation form:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { moyeranya } & \text { 'examiner' }  \tag{19}\\
\text { né móyधranyá } & \text { '(he's) examiner' } \\
\text { ndinárórá móyéráný́ } & \text { 'I didn't watch examiner' }
\end{array}
$$

Investigation of these processes reveals that in positive statements the item immediately following the verb (or the last constituent of a noun-jhrase in this position) will be marked so that words of the flattening class will incorforate a downstep at the boundary. In negative statements and non-statements, or if any other position is involved (such as preceding the verb or not following immediately
after the verb), then no downstep is present, though simple tone-spreading may be triggered. In the following examples, the connective /na/ can be seen to have clitic status:
(20) /ndo:niré moyeranyá na njoyóná/ 'I saw examiner and Njũgũna' ndo:niré moyeranyá 'na njoүóná DS to mark the boundary ndد:niré móyeranyá ! na rjoyóná Simple tone-spreading [ndo:niré móyeranyá ná njóyóná] Spreading with DS-movement

Kīkũyũ also employs a process of tonal dissimilation, whereby final unstable high tones (a non-predictable sub-class - see Ford:1975b) become low before a high tone following across a constituent boundary. The following high tone may have been so formed by one of the processes of high-tone spreading. The dissimilation process is not operative where a downstep is present across the boundary, though it regularly occurs if the downstep has been moved. Certain restrictions on the simple spreading process, which relate to the initial sequence of tones and the coalescence either of a CV noun prefix with vowel-initial roots, or of an $N$ - prefix with both consonant- and vowel-initial roots, and which are documented in Ford:l975b, are presupposed. The following examples illustrate the dissimilation process:
(21) /né aheiré Jıjoyóná onené/ 'he gave Njũgũna greatness' né aheiré njoyóná onené DS to mark the boundary né áheiré njoyóná 'onené Simple tone-spreading né áheiré クjoyóná ónéné Spreading with DS-movement [né áheiré njoүóna ónénéc Unstable tone dissimilation
(2) /né aheiré rjoyóná rjátá/ 'he gave Njũgũna star' né aheiré rjoyóná ! rjátá DS to mark the boundary
[né áheiré rjoyóná ! njátá] Simple tone-spreading
v) Kĩkũyũ is unique among the dialects under consideration in another respect, in that a form of 'tone-shift' has taken place historically. Some correspondences will indicate the ir Bow itrevorsing certain high

+ low sequences to low + high. It is pertinent to recall that the tone system of Kikũyũ now holds the low + high sequence to be marked when it occurs word-initially, as the constraints on single-raising, referred to above, indicate:

|  | KĨkũyũ | Kǐkamba | Tharaka | Cuka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'oil, fat' | mayutá | maut ${ }_{\text {x }}$ 合 | mayúta | mayútà |
| 'well' | yeđimá | ke ${ }^{\text {x }}$ Ima | keðíma | keðímà |
| 'one' | emwé | emwe ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | emwé | emwê |
| 'nine' | ke:ndá | $k \varepsilon: n d \frac{\mathrm{x}}{}$ | $k \varepsilon: n d a ́$ | $k \varepsilon: n d a \hat{a}$ |
| 'ten' | ikomí | ekômi | ekómi | ekómi |
| 'large (adj.)' | -n¢né | -n¢์ก ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | -nénย | -nénغ̇ |
| 'buy! [imper.]' | yorá | oâ | yorá | yorâ |
| 'to draw (water)' | yotahá | kot ${ }^{\text {x }}$ vax | yotáa | yotáßà |
| 'to milk' | yokamá | kokaxa ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | yokáma | yokámà |

The points of difference noted above are clearly significant at the phonetic lever. A close examination of the fundamental functions of tone in the language, together with a knowledge of the particular conditioning factors, reveals that the tone system of Kĩkũyũ is at a deeper level very similar to that more obviously shared by the other dialects. Subsequent sections will point to additional uses of tone that support this conclusion.
vi) An important segmental distinguishing characteristic of Kikamba has already been hinted at - the loss of the consonants [r] and [ $\gamma$ ]. The following comparative data will reinforce this suggestion:

| Kinkũyũ | Kikamba |
| :---: | :---: |
| rúya | úa |
| yayáta | kaáta |
| yoróma | koóma |
| iría | fía |
| neyéna | néćna |
| kerema | keemà |

These facts are discussed in detail in Ford [1975a]; reference may also be made to Whiteley and Mũli [1962] and Farnsworth [1957].

## 3. The Tones of Verbs

3.1. Basic forms. Kĩkamba distinguishes verbs with basic low or high tones; additionally, roots with initial long vowels of both types regularly bear distinctive tone patterns. They are exemplified in their positive imperative singular forms and their infinitive nominalisations (prefix ko- ).
(25)
a. High-toned root

Short initial vowel:

[Note that there is a vowel-shortening process, by which any initial or final vowel must be short; length is therefore covert in the above imperative forms.J
b. Low-toned root

Short initial vowel:

| tilâ | kotilà | 'cut off' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| niní:nga | konini:ngà | 'carry (something heavy)' |
| tinééa | kotineeà | 'begin (to do something)' |
| Long initial vowel: |  |  |
| kó:mba | kokō:mbà | 'grab' |
| té:ndéma | kote:ndemà | 'kill many animals at once; <br> tó:mbátó:mba |
|  | koto:mbatō:mbà | 'sway up and down' |

3.2. Variations in verb forms. Declarative verb forms differ tonally in certain cases when sentence-final and when non-final. Both positive and negative forms show the same alternations, except when the utterance-final, intonationally marked [ $\left.{ }^{〔}\right]$ reflex of a $-H \# B$ word is involved. When it is realised that this tone has two non-final forms, $\left[{ }^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{X}\right]$, which represent, respectively, a positive declarative and either negative declarative
or non－declarative environment，we can see clearly the relationship be－ tween the tones of verbal words and the tones of nouns as described in section 1 ．The variations in verb tones may be tabulated as follows：

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －LL\＃A，B | ［－－］ | ［－－］ | ［－－］ |
| －HL\＃A，B | ［ ${ }^{-}$－］ | ［ ${ }^{-}$－］ | $\left[^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}\right.$ ］ |
| $-\mathrm{H} \# \mathrm{~A}$ | ［＇］ | ［＇］ | ［ ${ }^{\prime}$ ］ |
| $-\mathrm{H} \# \mathrm{~B}$ | $\left[{ }^{\prime}\right]$ | $\left[{ }^{\text {x }}\right.$ ］ | ［＾］ |
| －L：L\＃A，B | ［－－］ | ［－${ }^{-}$］ | ［＂］ |
| －H：L\＃A，B | $\left[{ }^{\sim}-\right.$ ］ | $\left[{ }^{\wedge}-\right.$ ］ | ${ }^{\text {x－}}$ ］ |

where the environments are：
1．positive non－final
2．negative non－final，and any non－declarative（imperative and subordinate）form

3．any final
Some examples of the alternations are given below：
（27）Present habitual

| né ngámaâ | ＇I milk＇ |  | ＇I milk cows＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ndí kámáâ | ＇I don＇t milk＇ | ndíkámáa $\dagger$ गЈ：mbè | ＇I don＇t milk cows |

Past habitual
ndyâ！kámáá＇I didn＇t used to milk＇
ndyấ：kámáá n̄̄：mbè＇I didn＇t used to milk cows＇
Today past

| ndinakama | ＇I didn＇t milk＇ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ndînakáma クЈ̄：mbè | ＇I didn＇t milk the cows＇ |
| Past perfect |  |
| nénénâ：kámè：t¢ | ＇I had milked＇ |
| nénénã：káme：te ワフ̃：mbè | ＇I had milked the cows＇ |

If we extend the data to include complex and compound sentences，we find examples of verb forms which are strictly analogous to the four forms established for nouns．Thus，before certain internal clause／sentence boundaries，the verb tone may be characterised as follows：
(28)
a. Forms 1 verb + kaná (complementizer)
verb + nox:ndô 'because'
verb + snavála 'although'
verb + verb with ke- "simultaneous" prefix
verb + subordinate tense expressing 'before'
b. Forms $2 / 3$ verb in relative sentence + rest of main clause
verb + na 'and', endê 'but'
verb + consecutive verb tense
verb + purpose clause
conditional verb plus main clause
The choice of forms 2 and 3 is conditioned by the form of the verb - forms 3 for negative declarative and non-declarative, and forms 2 for positive declarative only. Some examples are as follows:
(29)
3. Complement sentence:
motoxầ akâ:syá kaná áoíe eiò (cf. akâ:syâ 'he will say')
Mũtũa will say that he bought a banana
b. Causal sentence

Igáúá nồndồ áoí leû (cf. Igááâ 'I will cook')
I will cook because he bought the food
c. Conditional sentence:

દ́ðéwâ mgâ: kámâ mgâ:yâ (cf. ggâ:kámâ 'I will milk')
If I milk I will eat
d. Simultaneous sentence:

Kakũli will see me I milk the kid
'Kakũlĩ will see me milking the kid.'

'Kakũlî did not see me milking the kid.'
e. Purpose sentence:
nénó:ká nékana néué mokáté (néns':kâ 'I came')
I came in order that I might bake bread ndíns̆:kà nékana néue mokát $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ (ndinš:kà 'I didn't come')
'I didn't come in order to bake bread.'
3.3. Exceptional tonal forms. With the exception of very few items (such as X :mó:nðé 'today'), all words in Kĩkamba have the same basic phonetic tonal structure: the high/non-high opposition is used in all syllables except the penultimate and final, when the range of possibilities widens to four levels in specific cases. The range of alternatives (excluding gliding tones, which are predictable in penultimate and final syllables except in verbal words) may be summarised as follows:

| (30) | Preceding | Penultimate | Final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] | $\left[{ }^{\text {x }}\right.$ ] | ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ ] |
|  | [-] | [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] | [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] |
|  |  | [ ${ }^{-}$] | [ ${ }^{-}$] |
|  |  |  | ['] |

A common form which bears an unchanging extra-high tone is the negative formative $\quad \mathrm{X}$. This item is used in forming the negative declarative tenses, and we can thus explain why these tense forms regularly break the conditions on tonal word-structure outlined above, e.g.
 When placed in context with a following item, the word-final pattern will alter according to general rules, but the extra-high tone of the negative formative remains exceptionally constant:
ndînakáma $\quad$ ŋכ̄:mbè 'I didn't milk the cows.'
3.4. Tonal paradigms. It is necessary to view the tone patterns of the various verb tenses as basic formulae which are open to specific modification depending on the type and tone of the subject-prefix, the basic toneclass and type of the verb root, the presence or absence of object prefixes, and the interplay of vowel and tone contraction, but which cannot be viewed simply as the derived forms of strings of morphemes, unless we allow an abnormally large amount of variation in their tonal realisations. Related data are similarly treated in Maddieson et al. [1973]. Compare the following Kîkamba forms:
(33)
a. Present perfect (lst person singular forms):
Positive $\quad$ Negative
né: ngámé: t $\hat{\varepsilon}$
néngwá: tê: t $\hat{\varepsilon}$
né:mbíoókê: $t \hat{\varepsilon}$
né: nðitê:t $\hat{\varepsilon}$
nénélá: tế: ty $\hat{\varepsilon}$
b. Past perfect nénénâ: kámê: t
nénénâ: kwa:tè:t̀̀
nénénã: ví ðokē: t
nénénâ: ðite:tè
nénénâ:la:tê:tyè
ndákámê: $t \hat{\varepsilon}$ /káma, 'milk' [class 1]
ndákwá:tê:t $\hat{\varepsilon}$ /kwá:ta/ 'touch' [elass l]
ndáviðókê:t $\hat{\varepsilon}$ /víoóka/ 'attack' [class 1]
ndáðitê:t $\hat{\varepsilon}$ /むitâ/ 'drown' [class 2]
ndálá:tê:tŷ̂ /lá:tyal 'fetch' [class 2]

We note that in the present perfect, class 2 forms with long initial vowels (e.g. lá:tya 'fetch') pattern with class 1 roots, in opposition to class 2 forms with short initial vowels (e.g. ðita 'drown'). In the past perfect, however, class 2 forms pattern similarly in oposition to the class 1 forms. Comparing the two class 1 forms, víóka 'attack' and káma 'milk', we note how the patterning takes account of the extra syllable in the former by extending the pattern accordingly; the pattern is extended differently in both tenses.

We also find that long-initial-vowel roots of both tone classes are regularly distinguished tonally; high-toned forms are distinguished by the presence or absence of a gliding tone in the past perfect forms above, while the low-toned forms are kept distinct in the remote past:
(34) Remote past (lst person singular forms):

Positive

| nénâ: kámí̂ | /káma/ | [class l] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nénã:kwá:tî̂̂ | /kwá:ta/ | [class l] |
| nénâ: ditî̂̂ | /ditâ/ | [class 2] |
| nénâ:lá:tísy $\hat{\varepsilon}$ | /lá:tya/ | [class 2] |

3.5. General features of verb tones. i) All the dialects reinforce tonally the distinctions between both sub-classes of high- and low-toned verb roots,
i.e. those with initial long vowels and those with initial short vowels. These distinctions are clearly seen in the positive imperative and infinitive nominalisation forms, as well as in particular tenses. Some examples are as follows:
a. High-toned roots with initial short vowel

| Kikũyũ | $\frac{\text { Cuka }}{x}$ | $\frac{\text { Mwĩmbĩ }}{X}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rúya | rưya | rưya | 'cook!' |
| koruyá | korúyà | korúyà | 'to cook' |
| kunékéra | kúnékềra | kúnékềra | 'cover!' |
| yokunékera | yokúnékerà | yokúnekerà | 'to cover' |

b. High-toned roots with initial long vowel

| ró:ta | rox:ta | róxta | 'dream!' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| koro:tá | rorכ̂:tà | korô:tà | 'to dream' |

c. Low-toned roots with initial short vowel

| yorá | yorâ | yorá | 'buy!' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| koyora | koyorà | koyorà | 'to buy' |
| yorána | yorâna | yorâna | 'marry!' |
| koyorana | koyoranà | koyoranà | 'to marry' |

d. Low-toned roots with initial long vowel

yǫ0:kya yotco:kyà yoఢد:kyà 'to answer'
(ii) Verbs regularly bear the same tonal alternative forms as nouns, and they alternate in similar fashion according to final tone pattern and syntactic content, though these syntactic environments are in certain cases different. There seems to be a high degree of uniformity in this conditioning; for supporting evidence of this see Appendix 1.

## 4. Tones in Other Word-classes in K $\mathrm{f} k$ amba

It can be shown that words in other word-classes bear basic tone patterns that alternate regularly according to the same principles discussed so far. Thus, an adjective or numeral, when functioning as a nominal qualifier (in which case it follows the noun in question) or as a complement after
a verb, may bear any of the tonal forms 2, 3 or 4 . Some cardinal numeral qualifiers in Kĩkamba, with their tone classes, are as follows; numerals l-5 carry concord prefixes, high-toned except for classes 1,4 and 9, which (as in all the dialects considered here, and in other Bantu languages) are low-toned.

| (36) | -mw ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $-\mathrm{HHA}$ | 'one' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -élé | $-\mathrm{H}^{\text {\# B }}$ | 'two' |
|  | -tâtox | -HL\#A | 'three' |
|  | -nà ${ }^{3}$ |  | 'four' |
|  | -tã: nj | -HL\#A | 'five' |

Examples in context:
(37)
a. Tonal forms 4:
né mo:ndo omw $\frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ 'it's one person'
né ando élê 'it's two people'(where the prefix a- contracts with -élé)
né ando átâtóo 'it's three people'
né ando an $\frac{x}{a}$ n 'it's four people'
né ando át $\mathfrak{a}: n \grave{\prime}$ 'it's five people'
b. Tonal forms 3:
né ando f́lex váả 'there are two people here'
Examples can be given to indicate that the same is true not only for adverbs and conjunctions in Kĩkamba, but also for items of all word-classes in other dialects. Some Kĩkamba examples are as follows (tonal forms are indicated in parentheses beneath the word in question):
a. Adjective: né mokúvê $\quad$ 'he's short' né mokúve mons $\frac{x}{}$ 'he's very short' (3) (2)
${ }^{3}$ This form may be -LL\#A with a low-toned prefix, or -HLAA with a hightoned prefix.
b. Adverb: Éध́ka metókê 'he did it promptly'
(4)
ćźka metóke váa
c. Adjective: $t^{\frac{X}{1}}$ mokúvé (2)
ti mokúvé mon ${ }^{x}$ (2) 'he's not very short'
(2) (2)
d. Adverb ndẵnê:ka metóké
(2)
ndănế:ka metóké váâ 'he didn't do it promptiy here' (2) (2)
5. Tones in Complex Sentences

The tones of verbs before various sentence boundaries have been exemplified in section 3. In coordinate and subordinate sentences in Kĩkamba, the same variations in tonal forms are found as in main clauses or simple sentences, subject to one major constraint: that the forms 3 and 4 are restricted to follow positive declarative verbs only. If the verb form is inherently subordinate (i.e. restricted to occur only in subordiante sentences), then the forms 2 must be used in all places in the subordinate sentence. Kĩkamba, like many other Bantu languages, possesses verb forms that can be used without conjunctions to indicate forms of coordination and subordination. Some examples are seen in sentences employing positive declarative verb forms and hence maintaining the forms 3 and 4 immediately following the verb.
(39) a. Complement sentences:
motơa áásye kaná áoís eiò 'Mũtũa said that he bought the (4) banana.'

Compare the negative:
motợ̂̀ áísye kaná ndầnaoa eio 'Mũtũa said that he hadn't (2) bought the banana.'
b. Simultaneous ke- tense:


Note that the positive-declarative forms are used where the subjects of the main and subordinate sentences are identical (this is a general feature of all the dialects that maintain these tonal distinctions):

## (40) néźeva:nde:t $\varepsilon$ ndátẫ:nè akééðyá tot $\varepsilon$ :na <br> (3)

he was leaning on a stick while herding kids
Again, where the object of the main clause and the subject of the subordinate is overtly expressed (other than as a prefix to the main verb), then the forms 2 must follow the subordinate verb. To generalise, only one item may bear the forms 3 or 4 in a construction that is not viewed as two separate units (like a conditional sentence); if there is an item following the main verb before the subordinate, then, given that the verb is positive declarative, the forms 3 will be used; any item then following the subordinate verb will bear the tonal forms 2 . If no item intervenes after the main verb, then the forms 3 (or 4 if utterance-final) will follow the subordinate verb. Compare the following:

'He saw Mũlwa milking the kids.'
Note also the following conditional form which maintains the modal tonereinforcement because the subordinate sentence employs a positive declarative verb (its form is indicated in parentheses):

'If I milk the kids, I will eat.'
Some examples follow of subordinate forms which neutralise the tonal distinctions after the verb, employing inherently subordinate tenses:
(43) a. Emphatic sentence:

book it give (2)
'It was a BOOK he gave to Mũlwa.'
b. Consecutive sentence:
nenóókye nenáúá mokáté na nénâ:yá mbo:so come bake (2) and eat (2)
'I came and baked bread and ate some beans.'
c. Purpose sentence:
nénóókă nékanả néuع mokát $\varepsilon$ ย
(2)
'I came in order to bake bread.'
d. Conditional sentence:

(2)
'If I milk the kid tomorrow, Kakũlĩ will be surprised.'
Again, these facts are generalisable for the whole group of dialects, subject to the restrictions imposed on the variety of tonal forms by each particular dialect. Thus, Tharaka and Imenti have simplified the oppositions to only three. In the rest, however, similar tonal reinforcement of positive declarative forms is employed.

## 6. Imperatives

The forms of imperative expression are non-positive-declarative and involve only the tonal forms 2 following them. They additionally alternate the sentence-final [^] tone, where used, to $\left[{ }^{x}\right]$ in context, like negative declarative and other non-declarative verb forms.
(44) a. Positive: káma
káma kat $\hat{\varepsilon}$ :na 'milk the kid!'
(2)
b. Negative: ndoka:kámê 'don't milk!'
ndoka:kám $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{}$ kat $\tilde{\varepsilon}: n a \quad$ 'don't milk the kid!'
(2)

Compare also the following:

| (45) | ndoéa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $m b a: k e$ $(2)$ |  | 'buy me some snuff!' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ndoéà | mba:ké <br> (1) | mbéú (2) | 'buy me some nice snuff!' |
|  | ndoéã | mba:ké <br> (I) | ya sumú:ne <br> (2) | 'buy me 50 cents' worth of snuff:' |
|  | né: 刀ga | moká <br> (2) | no:mbá (2) | 'give the wife a house!' |

These are general features of all the dialects. Another factor, exemplified in the forms in section 3.5 , is that the tones of positive imperative verb forms (compared with the infinitive nominalisations, which bear declarative tones) regularly have unique patterns that may involve extrahigh non-final tones and level (non-falling) final low tones. These
patterns，where used，are inherently peculiar to imperative forms，though again variations according to verb class and sub－type are predictable， given the basic paradigm．

## 7．Interrogative Expressions

Interrogatives can usefully be divided into those which involve an overt question word（WH－questions for convenience），and those that do not， but involve tonal modification only．
7．1．WH－questions．When the question word is sentence－initial，the emphatic forms of the verb are used（which are inherently subordinate），so that the forms 2 only are used after the verb．When the question word follows the verb（usually sentence－finally），the declarative verb forms are used，so that the tonal forms $3 / 4$ are employed in a positive environment．
（46）nóo óyí katé：na
＇Who stole the kid？＇
（2）
Śyí kat $\frac{\text { Ex－na mao＇He stole the kid with whom？＇}}{}$
motox ox óyí kat é：nà áta＇How did Mũtũa steal the kid？＇
（3）

7．2．Questions without a question word．In Kĩkamba，such questions employ an expanded tonal register，so that high tones are higher than in statements and commands（given that there is no special raising of pitch for paralinguistic purposes）．Only two tone levels are utilised in any position：$\left[^{x}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{-}\right]$．The rule for deriving these tones from underlying forms is simple：
（47）Question rule：$/ H / \rightarrow\left[^{X_{]}}\right.$
Gliding－tone formation takes place as in declarative sentences．Compare the following base forms and their realisations in statements and direct questions：
（48）a．$\frac{\text {－HL\＃A }}{\substack{\text {／nds：tol } \\ \text {＇dream＇}}}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { [ndぶ:tう] } & \text { (Statement) } \\
\text { [ndš:to] }: & \text { (Question) }
\end{array}
$$

(48) con't.

|  |  | [kwâ:kax | (Statement) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /kwá:ka/ 'to build' | $\rightarrow$ | [kwâ:kâ] | (Question) |
| b. -HL\#B |  | [kat $\hat{\varepsilon}$ : na] | (S) |
| /katé:na/ 'kid' | $\rightarrow$ | [kat ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ : na] | (Q) |
| c. -LL\#A |  | [mö:ndò] | (S) |
| /mo:ndoj <br> 'person' | $\rightarrow$ | [mo:ndo] | (Q) |
| d. -LL\#B |  | [eio] | (S) |
| jeio/ <br> 'banana' | $\rightarrow$ | [eio] | (Q) |
| e. $-\mathrm{H}^{\text {A }}$ |  | [motw ${ }^{\frac{x}{E}}$ ] | (S) |
| /motwé/ <br> 'head' | $\rightarrow$ | [motw ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ] | (Q) |
| f. $-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{\# B}$ |  | [moká] | (S) |
| /moká/ <br> 'wife' | $\rightarrow$ | [moka] | (Q) |

Where the tonal forms above are identical in their tone-marking for both statement and question forms, it must be remembered that the interrogative tones are invariably higher than their non-interrogative counterparts. The interrogative forms of verbs and all other words are derived in the same manner:
(49)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. -LL\#A } \quad \text { nénê: kámê:tè] }  \tag{S}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { /néné: káme } t \varepsilon / \rightarrow \text { [nễnễ:kầme:te] } \\
\text { 'I have milked' }
\end{array}  \tag{Q}\\
& \text { b. } \frac{-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{\# B}}{\text { Bé: }} \rightarrow \text { [né: 刀gámiê] } \tag{S}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { c. } \frac{-H L \# A}{/ n d i n a: k a ́ m a / ~} \rightarrow \quad \text { [ndina:kamã] }  \tag{S}\\
& \text { 'I didn't milk' [ndîna:kamâ] }
\end{align*}
$$

Examples of simple sentences:
(50) moká né moa:sâ 'the wife is tall.'
moka ne moa:sa ${ }^{x}$ X 'is the wife tall?'

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n \widehat{0}: m b \varepsilon \text { t n } \begin{array}{l}
\text { ða:ta }
\end{array} & \text { 'the cow isn't barren' } \\
n 0: m b \varepsilon ~ t \hat{X} & \text { nða:ta }
\end{array} \quad \text { 'isn't the cow barren?' }
$$

7.3. Generalisations for the dialect group. The functions of tone in WH-questions in Kǐkamba are the same for all dialects, though again Imenti and Tharaka have neutralised the basic tonal oppositions, both between forms found sentence-finally or not, and between items immediately following a positive declarative verb or not.

With regard to non-WH-questions, tone is utilised more noticeably in regularly being the sole marker of the question. The use of a raised tonal register, as noted in Kikamba, is common for all speakers, though in some other respects the facts outlined above represent some unusual features compared to the other dialects. The following points should be noted.
i) Of the group, only Kikamba involves tone-marking on more than the final element in the interrogative sentence.
ii) Kinkũũ differs from the rest of the dialects, as already seen, in certain details, and one of these affects non-WH-questions. The downstepping which can mark the syntactic boundary between certain main and subordinate clauses is restricted to negative environments in such questions. A sentence is "negative" in this sense when the first verb of a consecutive series or the main verb in a sentence with subordination is negative.
iii) Kĩkũyũ differs again in marking non-WH-questions by processes which involve tone-lowering, while all other dialects mark questions by tone-raising. Compare the following Kĩkũyũ examples with those for Kĩkamba given above.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\text { Statement }}{\text { né kánerí }}  \tag{5I}\\
& \text { né: njátá } \\
& \text { né 乃írißiri } \\
& \text { né móyeranyá }
\end{align*}
$$

```
Question
né kánèrì 'is it Kang'eri?'
né:njátà 'is it a star?'
né \betaíri\betairì 'are they chillies?'
né mó\gammaधranyà 'is it the examiner?'
```

iv) All dialects except Kikamba employ different question forms (for at least one tone-class of word) depending on whether the sentence polarity is positive or not (again, citation forms are treated as nonpositive; it will be recalled that citation statement forms have tonal
forms 2, as all subject items do). Compare the Kĩkũyũ non-positive forms of the items in (51):
(52)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Statement } \\
& \text { ndiró:ṅá kánerí } \\
& \text { " njátá } \\
& " \quad \text { ßírißiri } \\
& " \quad \text { móyeranya }
\end{aligned}
$$

Question ndiró:ńna kánerì ' didn't I see Kang'eri?'
" njátià 'didn't I see a star?'
" Bírißir' 'didn't I see chillies?'
" móyeranyà 'didn't I see the examiner?'

## 8. Conclusion

Kîkũyũ can be assumed to have undergone certain sound changes, most of which are shared by neighbouring dialects. Thus, whereas prenasalised voiceless stops are found in the northern group of dialects, Kĩkũyũ has lost these sounds by voice-assimilation in nasal-compounds, as have Embus, Mbeere, and Kĩkamba; egg.


Unique to Kĩkũyũ, however, is the tone-shift, exemplified in (23) of section 2.5. above, whereby LHL words have shifted to LLH. A possible source of this shift may be historical close-contact with the Masai who occupied land adjacent to that settled by the Kikũyũ. The most conservative Kĩkũyũ dialects, both tonally and segmentally, are in the northern area. Benson [1.964] marks specially a large number of
forms attested only in Northern Kĩkũyũ, together with a number of loanwords from Masai. Some of the words peculiar to Northern Kĩkũyũ are also loanwords from Masai; e.g.
(54) nayaitino "good or bad but plentiful beyond description - originally a Masai battle-cry meaning 'I have come, hold on, they shall not pass""
（54）cont．

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ngera } & \text { "skin-cap" } \\
\text { mairutie } & \text { "warrior's feather-cape" } \\
\text { moiti } & \text { "expression of astonishment at any uritoward event". }
\end{array}
$$

Maasai is a Nilotic language（see Tucker and Mpaayei［1955］），and the phonetic forms of tone involve basically two distinct levels and a process of downstep between adjacent high tones．In non－questions，a sentence－final low tone becomes extra－low［＇］．The major function of tone is to distin－ guish the subject from the non－subject（indirect and direct object and the citation form）．Various alternations take place：

|  | Absolute | Subject |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a． | عmbártá | embartá | ＇horse＇ |
| b． | ぃワápétà | ı Dapetá | ＇posts＇ |
| c． | ว 1 á¢ê | ১lacé | ＇path＇ |
| d． | entító | entitó | ＇girl＇ |
| e． | ejkíté刀 | enkítèn | ＇cow＇ |
| f． | ejkitók | enkitòk | ＇woman＇ |
| g ． | isirkon | isírkon | ＇donkeys＇ |
| h． | عlóno | $\varepsilon$ ¢óns | ＇shield＇ |
| i． | l larripók | lárrípók | ＇guards＇ |
| j． | olturáni | oltúnání | ＇person＇ |
| k． | slmeut | olmévt | ＇giraffe＇ |
| 1. | ólkíróbî | slkurobi | ＇common cold＇ |

The subject forms neutralise the distinctions of the absolutes，and several forms of tone－change are involved．Several classes involve what is called tone－reversal；thus，LLH in（55f．）becomes LHL in the subject form；HHHHL of（551．）becomes LLLL．Furthermore，the inventory of possibilities for alternating tone patterns to mark the cases is increased by reversing the absolute and subject patterns to mark a different class．Thus，（55d．）and （55k．）are so reversed；and so are（55a．）and（55i．），and（55e．）and（55j．）． Often（55h．）displays the alternative realisations of the hightlow sequence．

Maasai is thus a possible source，through contact，of some of the facets of the Kỉkũyũ tone system（the tone－shift and the phonetic system of two
levels with downstep) which distinguish it from the rest of the Central Kenya Bantu languages.

We note that Clements [1976] describes an analogous situation in resiect of certain Ewe dialects (Niger-Congo, Kwa). Ajglo Ewe marks certain constituent-structure boundaries (in fact very similar ones to those exemplified above in respect of the grouk of Bantu languages) by a preceding extra-high tone (EH). Other dialects instead incorporate a downstep feature across the same boundaries. Clements notes that these two processes achieve the same phonetic result, viz.
(56) $\mathrm{EH}+\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{H}+{ }_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{H}}=\left[{ }^{-}-\right]$
and suggests that the historical development might be from the downstep to the fourth-level stage by a fossilization of the distinction between the two like tones across the boundary.
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## APPENDIX 1

## Mwĩmbī

Verb forms $1 \quad$ Verb plus item in its own sentence, including all simple sentences, and before the following subordinate sentences:
simultaneous ke- prefix tenses
áte complement sentences
néonto causal sentences
mbere ya 'before' sentences
$k \varepsilon: n d \frac{X}{a}$ purpose sentences
Verb forms 2 (Pos.)
Verb forms 4 (Neg.) Sentence-final verb form before:
kiлá 'until' sentences consecutive and conjoined (na) sentences verb in concessive (na tense) sentence before the main clause verb in conditional clause before main clause verb in relative clause before main clause

Verb forms 3 (Pos.)
Verb forms 5 (Neg.) Verb used utterance-finally.

Embu
Verb forms 1 Verb plus item in its own sentence, including all simple sentences, and before the following subordinate sentences:
simultaneous sentences
ate complement sentences
néondo causal sentences
mbєŕ́ ya 'before' sentences
néyws ${ }^{x}$ purpose sentences
ngin $\frac{x}{a}$ 'until' sentences
wanayotwéka concessive sentences

```
Verb forms 3 Vorb before the following environments:
    negative verb plus consecutive and conjoined sentences
    negative relative verb plus main clause
    negative conditional verb plus main clause
Verb forms 5 Verb in the following environments:
    positive verb plus consecutive and conjoined sentences
    positive relative verb plus main clause
    positive conditional verb plus main clause.
Imenti
Verb forms l Verb plus item in its own sentence, including all simple
    sentences, and before the following subordinate sentences:
    simultaneous sentences
    áte complement sentences
    tonto causal sentences
    kina \ k\varepsilonð́́ră concessive sentences
Verb forms 2 Verb before the following subordinate sentences:
    mwanka
    na, ende conjoined sentences
    mbere ya 'before' sentences
    k\varepsilonnda purpose sentences
    A subordinate relative or conditional tense before a main
    clause
Verb forms 3 Any verb form used sentence-finally.
```


## Tigania

Verb forms 1 Verb plus item in its own sentence, including all simple sentences, and before the following subordinate sentences:
áte complement sentences
néonto causal sentences
kinẩ ké澄ra concessive sentences
mbere á 'before' sentences
k $\varepsilon$ :nda purpose sentences

```
Verb forms 2 Verb before the following:
    mwanka
    na , ê`nde conjoined sentences
    consecutive tenses
A subordinate relative or conditional tense before a
following main clause
Verb forms 3 Any verb form used sentence-finally.
```

Mîîtine
Verb forms 1 Verb plus item in its own sentence, including all simple
sentences, and before the following subordinate sentences:
simultaneous sentences
mwanka 'until' sentences

mbere 'before' sentences
$k \varepsilon: n d a x$ purpose sentences
Verbs in certain tenses only (not generalisable) before:
na , XNde conjoined sentences
áte complement sentences
néonto causal sentences
Verb forms 2 Verbs in the remaining tenses before:
na, ende conjoined sentences
áte complement sentences
néonto causal sentences
A subordinate relative or conditional tense before a follow-
ing main clause
Verb forms 3 Any verb form used sentence-finally.

Mbeere
Here verb forms 1 are used in all non-sentence-final envirorments, including:
verb before item in its own sentence, and before the following suborainate sentences:
simultaneous sentences
consecutive sentences
kina ya 'until' sentences
ate complement sentences
néondo wá causal sentences
wanarérya concessive sentences
mbere yá 'before' sentences
né $k \varepsilon:$ nda purpose sentences
any relative or conditional tense before a following main clause.

# COMPARATIVE RECONSTRUCTION OF MANDEKAN 

Kent D. Bimson<br>University of California, Los Angeles


#### Abstract

In this article nine languages in the Mandekan subgroup are used to reconstruct the phonological system of Proto-Mandekan, including consonants and vowels in all positions. The standard comparative method is used. Correspondences supporting the reconstruction are presented along with ari indication of hov many such corresi,ondences are found in the data. Also included are charts of the reconstructed phonological system and word lists at each stage of the reconstruction. Irregular phonological matchings are also discussed in detail in separate sections. This is to be the first in a series of forthcoming papers aimed at reconstructing the phonological system of Proto-Northern Mande, of which the Mandekan languages form a subrroup.


## 1. Introduction

The objective of this paper is to reconstruct the proto-consonant and vowel system of a group of West African languages known by the cover term Mandekan. It is to be the first in a series of papers aiming at reconstructing the larger subgroup of languages to which Mandekan belongs: Northern Mande. A survey of the linguistic relationship of this subgroup to other African languages, as well as an overview of the geographical setting of these languages, will provide the reader with a better feel for the data to follow.

In 1963 Joseph Greenberg completed a classification of African languages which resulted in the assignment of all African languages into one of four major families: Afro-Asiatic, Nilo-Saharan, Khoisan or Niger-Kordofanian. It has been well argued by Welmers [1958] that Mande may well have been the first major language group to branch from the Niger-Kordofanian family. Further historical developments saw the Mande group branch into two divisions: Northern-Western and Southern-Eastern. Southern-Eastern later divided into the Southern and Eastern subgroups, while Northern-Western divided into the Northern and Southwestern subgroups.

The tree below shows the further develo ment of Northern Mande:


Susa Yalunka (Group A)

Hwela Numu Ligbi
(Group B)

Vai, Kınد
(Group C)

Mandekan


Core Group

The Northern subgroup of the Mande language group is the prime focus of this study. The languages belonging to Northern Mande are spoken in the heart of West Africa, primarily in and around the countries of Mali, Sierra Leone, Guinea, Gambia, Ivory Coast, and Ghana.
1.1. Materials and method. The material used in this reconstruction was gathered by Long [1971] from a variety of different sources and includes 17 Northern Mande languages. Although a Swadesh list of only 100 words was used, the insufficiency of the word list should be partially overcome by the breadth in number of languages used. Furthermore, a larger word list would have required much greater length of presentation than was possible.

The procedure used in this paper is standard comparative reconstruction methodology. The languages were subdivided into small workable groups of two to five languages each, suggested by the lexico-statistic evidence provided in Long's paper. When Long's calculations seemed questionable for one reason or another they were supplemented with calculations made by Wm . E. Welmers and myself. ${ }^{1}$ It should be noted that slight to moderate errors

[^17]in percentage are not nearly as crucial in the determination of grouping for the reconstruction process as they are for the relative classification of languages, since the reconstruction process will ultimately bring all related languages under the scope of comparison with each other.

The 17 languages were first broken down into four groups: (1) Mandekan:
Xassonke, Maninka, Bambara, Dyula, Konyanka, Wassulunka, Diakhanka, Mauka and Bo; (2) Grouj C: Kuranko, Kono and Vai; (3) Group B: Hwela, Numu and Ligbi; (4) Group A: Susu and Yelunka. Mandekan was then divided into two groups because of its size: (IA) Ml: Xassonke, Maninka, Bambara and Dyula; (1B) M2: Konyanka, Wassuilunka, Diakhanka, Mauka and Bo. ${ }^{2}$

Languages were considered as belonging to the Mandekan group on the basis of cognate percentage maxima between them ranging from the low 80 's to the mid $90^{\prime}$ s. While a few comparisons showed percentages below the low 80's (i.e. Bo:Xassonke $=75-79 \%$ ), a comparison of either of these languages to most of the core group shows much higher cognate percentages (i.e. Bo: Bambara $=88-90 \%$ or Xassonke:Bambara $=82-88 \%$ ).

Kuranks, Kono and Vai were originally grouped together because Long's calculations show a closer relationship between them than with the other languages. Recent calculations done by Welmers and myself, however, indicate a closer relationship of Kuranko to Mandekan than to either Kono or Vai, though Kono and Vai are closer to Kuranko than they are to any other

Long shows a relationship of $49-69 \%$ for Mandinka:Mauka, $81-85 \%$ for Mandinka: Bo, and $84-89 \%$ for Mauka:Bo. This would mean that of two languages which differ from each other from 11-16\% (Mauka:Bo), one differs from Mandinka at about $41 \%$ and the other differs from Mandinka at about $17 \%$ (averages of minima and maxima). This indicates a percentage spread between the two languages of $24 \%$ in their respective relationships to Mandinka, yet the maximum differentiation indicated by Long is $16 \%$ between the two languages. Thirdly, figures did not seem to match with those anticipated by Welmers, which naturally led to making some of our own cognate counts.
${ }^{2}$ The groups to be reconstructed were labeled $A, B, C$, and Mandekan for two good reasons: (1) Of the 4 grours $^{s}$, only Mandekan has a generally accepted cover term in the literature and (2) The labeling of A, B, C will allow the reader to keep in mind what level in the reconstruction he is dealing with, since A represents the first branch-off from Northern Mande, $B$ the second, $C$ the third and Mandekan the fourth. I am indebted to Wm. E. Welmers for the suggestion.
language. As noted above, this ultimately makes no difference in the reconstruction process.

Hwela, Numu and Ligbi show relationships with each other centering in the low 80's, whereas their relationships to the other languages are no better than the mid $50^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$.

Susu and Yalunka relate at $83-91 \%$, while comparing them to any other language yields no closer relationship than the mid 40's to low 50's.

After having reconstructed the groups above, the proto-forms will be brought together in the following manner. The two proto subgroups, ${ }^{*}{ }_{M 1}$ and *M2, showing the closest relationship, will be used to reconstruct Proto-Mandekan. In this article we will deal only with the reconstruction of Proto-Mandekan. In a future paper Proto C, B and A will be stirred in respectively, rendering Proto-Northern Mande.

A word about correspondences. In any reconstruction there are matchings which do not parallel correspondences and are therefore aberrant for known or unknown reasons. When this occurs, a tentative guess at the proto segment will be made, with the segment reconstructed in this fashion underscored. For example, if a correspondence [0:0:0:0] is reconstructed $\% / 0 /$, what should be done with [u:0:0:0] if cognation is sure and the matching is unique? A reasonable guess will be made for this segment and it will be underscored in the reconstruction (i.e. *bolo). It should be remembered that these word lists come from many sources and were collected as early as l92l, so some of the transcriptions may well be skewed. Tentative reconstructions of this nature may serve to level out the possible inconsistencies, while underscoring will serve to remind the reader that the reconstructed segment is tentative. Matchings of this nature will be discussed following presentation of the correspondences.

## 2. Reconstructing Mandekan - M1

As mentioned above, nine languages have been used here to represent the Mandekan group. These nine languages were subdivided into two subgroups to facilitate the comparative method. The first subgroup is made up of Xassonke (X), Maninka (M), Bambara (B) and Dyula (D).
2.1. Ml consonants. In the following reconstruction three examples at most
will be given to illustrate the correspondence in question due to the magnitude of this paper. To the right of the examples will be a number indicating the number of such correspondences found in the 100 word list.

Table 1 - Initial Consonants
Labials

|  | 22. 'hand' |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $* / b /$ | $\mathrm{X}:$ | b | ulo |
|  | $\mathrm{M}:$ | b | olo |
| $\mathrm{B}:$ | b | olo |  |
| $\mathrm{D}:$ | b | oro |  |

28. 'navel'

29. 'woman'

| $[m$ | uso |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | oso |
| $m$ | oso |
| $m$ | uso |

73. 'stand'

| */w/ | X: | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}w & \text { ulo } \\ \text { M: } \\ \text { B: } & \\ \text { w } & \text { ulu } \\ \text { D: } & \text { ulu } \\ \text { w } & \text { uru }\end{array}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

11. 'father'
*/f/ X

| X: | $f$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M: | $f$ | $a$ |
| B: | $f$ | $a$ |
| D: | $f$ | $a$ |

Dentals

56. 'big'

| $b$ |
| :--- |
| $b$ |
| $b$ | on

No. of Corr.

7

3
$\dot{2}$

5

5

6

Dentals

No. of Corr.
49. 'milk'
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}n \\ n & \text { ono } \\ n & \text { ono } \\ n \\ n\end{array}\right]$ ono
6

6

2

5

3

99. 'in'

| $k$ | ono |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | ono |
| $k$ | ons |
| $k$ | sno |

Velars
No. of Corr.
36. 'salt' 40. 'moon' 62. 'old'
*/k'/
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & o x o \\ k & \partial g o \\ k & \partial g o \\ k & \partial y\end{array}\right.$
$\left[\begin{array}{l|l}x & \text { aro } \\ k & \text { aro } \\ k & \text { alo } \\ k & \text { ari }\end{array}\right.$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}x & \text { oto } \\ k & \text { aro } \\ k & \text { aro } \\ k & \text { oro }\end{array}\right.$

Dentals

|  | 28. | 'na | vel |  | 67. | 'ne |  |  | 94. | 'sh | ort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ; / $/$ / | X: | ba | t | $\bigcirc$ |  | ku | $t$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | su | t | $u$ |  |
|  | M : | ba | d | a |  | ku | d | a |  | su | d | un |  |
|  | B: | ba | r | a |  | ku | $r$ | a |  | su | $r$ | un- |  |
|  | D: | ba | $r$ | a |  | ku | $r$ | a |  | su | $r$ | $u$ |  |
|  | 27. | 'belly' |  |  | 5. | 'four' |  |  | 49. | 'milk' |  |  |  |
| */n/ | X: | ko | n | $\bigcirc$ |  | naa | n | i |  | no | n? | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | M : | ko | n | - |  | naa | n | in |  | no | n | 0 |  |
|  | B: | ko | n | $\bigcirc$ |  | naa | n | i |  | no | n | 0 |  |
|  | D: | ko | $n$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | naa | n | i |  | no | n | $\bigcirc$ |  |

Dentals

82. 'cut'

81. 'kill'
$\begin{array}{l:l}f a \\ f a \\ f a & a \\ g & a \\ f a & a \\ h & a\end{array}$
57. 'smal1'
*/g/

7. 'person'
70. 'sleep'

Resonants

*/1/ | 17. | 'ear' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X: | tu |  |
| M: | to | 0 |
| B: | tu | 0 |
| D: | to | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |  |
| r | 0 |  |

39. 'sun'

| $t i$ | 1 | $o$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t e$ | 1 | $e$ |
| $t i$ | $i$ | $e$ |
| $t \varepsilon$ | $r$ | $e$ |

48. 'root'

| $1 i$ | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $i$ |  |
| $d i$ | 1 | $i n$ |
| 1 | $i$ |  |
| $r$ | $i$ |  |
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56. 'big'
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { bo } \\ \text { bo } \\ \text { bo } \\ \text { bo } \\ n \\ n \\ n \\ n\end{array}\right]$
2.1.1. Discussion of M1 consonant irregularities. It is a well known axiom of linguistic theory that although phonetic change is regular, words tend to have their own histories, resulting in a paradoxical mismatch

[^18]between two real processes of linguistic change. Northern Mande is no exception, which explains the need for a section on irregular matchings. The following discussion will present certain irregularities in sure cognates, as well as provide argumnets for tentative reconstruction of those segments involved.
2.1.1.1. Labials. There are no exceptions to the labial correspondences in initial position, and no labial consonants are found in Proto-Ml in final position. In medial position there is one irregularity out of nine occurences. The matching [b:b:g:y] for 'meat' (35): (X) subs, (M) sobo, (B) sogo , (D) soyo . (Henceforth the words will be arranged in the order, from tof to bottom, in which they are presented in the Swadesh list, with no language labels given.) The first question to be answered is whether these are indeed cognates. If not the matter is a simple one, since then both a $* / b /$ and $* / g /$ are easily reconstructed. However, we are not certain one way or the other. Assuming they are cognate, we might be tempted to guess a labio-velar, except for the fact that there is no support in the data for such a reconstruction, either in terms of the medial consonant system of Proto-M1, or in terms of evidence from the other 13 languages. Proto-M2, it will be shown, has the same difficulty with this correspondence. There is some motivation for reconstructing a */b/ tentatively, given the evidence from Group A (Susu-Yalunka), which reconstructs with */b/. If the proto form were $* / g /$, Susu and Yalunka would have had to innovate [b] quite independently from those Mandekan languages which also innovated [b]. Positing a proto */b/ is therefore a more probable reconstruction than */g/.

A second seeming irregularity is found in [m:m:n\#:m] for 'eat' (79): dumu, damun, dun, dumu. In Bambara, however, final nasals are in reality phonetically nasalised vowels. Further evidence for an historical [m] in this word is the present participle 'eating', which is phonetically [dumuni]. Clearly, this should be reconstructed as $k / m /$.

Thirdly, the word for 'knee' (24) reveals a unique medial [mb]. Both Groups A and C show exactly the same phonetic realisation of this cluster in the cognate form, yet this is the only example in the Swadesh list attesting to such a cluster. Certain types of attrition (e.g. [k]. $\rightarrow[x]$ )
between 'knee' in Ml and Group A point up its having been around in N.M. a good while, and make borrowing unlikely. It is at best a highly tentative $* / m /$ and $: / b /$, and should ve entered with a question mark.
2.1.1.2. Dentals. Dentals in initial positions are extremely consistent. There is only one aberrance in twenty-four examples: [t:t:t:n] in 'name' (1) (togs, togs, togo, nogo). The data in Ml and throughout Mandekan speak for */t/. The explanation for [n] in Dyula is unknown at this point.

The dental series has another similar example in medial position, where only two irregular matchings occur in fourteen examples. The word 'nail' (14) yields [n:r:n:n] (sonin-no, sorin, sonin, sani). Again the overwhelming evidence from Mandekan and other languages, such as Ligbi, is for */n/.

The second irregularity in medial position is [t:d:r:1] in 'leaf' (14) (fits, fida, fura, fla). This matching only differs from the */t/ correspondence in Dyula's [1], and is probably due to the contact of [f] with [r]. Such [CI] clusters occur commonly in words syncopating medial vowels. Bambara, for instance, may have [tile] or [tle] for 'sun', but [Cr] clusters do not occur. This may have triggered an $[r]$ to $[l]$ change, a very frequent occurrence in languages of this family.
$: / n /$ is the only final consonant in Proto-M1, and in 16 occurrences there are no exceptions.
2.1.1.3. Resonants. */r/ is very difficult to reconstruct for *Ml which shows only one matching of [r:r:r:r] in 'tree': yiro, yiri, yiri, yiri. This is reconstructed as */r/ but only very hesitantly and entered with a question mark in the Proto-MI consonant chart (section 2.3.).

Medial resonants, as the reader will discover, are a very sticky problem in N.M. Although there exist ll examples of an [1:1:1:r] correspondence rendering $* / 1 /$, there are three examples of $[f: I: r: r]$, two examples of $[r: r: 1: r]$, one example of $[1: 1: 1: 1]$ and one of $[1: r: r:-]$. A chart is provided to illustrate these correspondences and matchings:
(1) $\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{M} \quad \underline{B} \quad \mathrm{D}$
$1 \quad 1 \quad r$ 6. 'five': lolu, lootu, duuru, looru;
45. 'rope': julu, julu, juru, juru;
98. 'path': sila, -----, sira, sira
$r \quad r \quad 1 \quad r$ 40. 'moon': xaro, karo, kalo, kari;
84. 'sew': xara, kara, kala, kara
(1) cont.
$\underline{X} \quad \underline{B} \quad \underline{D}$
11112. 'one': kelen, kelen, kelen, kelen
$1 \quad r \quad r-24 . \quad$ 'knee': kumbalin, kumberen, kumbere,
The [1:I:I:I] matching may have an explanation in Dyula's close association with Bambara. Welmers [personal communication] suggests that the borrowing of this particular word in this language area is widespread and that it is not at all unlikely that the form in Dyula was thus influenced. The proto segment was undoubtediy */1/.
[r:r:l:r] is trickier. The prevalence of $[r]$ suggests a proto */r/. The evidence from Southwestern Mande places this interpretation in doubt. The word for 'moon' shows up in S.W.M. as [galon], indicating a probable */I/ reconstruction. It also appears as an [l:I:r:r:l] correspondence in M2 and as [l] in Vai. Furthermore, both examples of this correspondence occur in words with initial */k'/. None of the ll regular : $/ \mathrm{l} /$ correspondences occur after $* / k^{\prime} /$, suggesting that this may well be a conditioned variant of */l/ in this environment. The evidence together points strongly toward a conditioned variant of */1/.

Of all the correspondences, [l:I:r:r] is the most confusing. */I/ is suggested by Susu and Yalunka ([I:I]) and by Group C ([I:I:I]) for 'five'. */r/ is suggested by Susu and Yalunka ([r:r]) and by M2 ([r:r:r:l:-]) for 'path', while Group C suggests */I/ ([1: $\emptyset: 1])$. The evidence is strong for reconstructing */I/ for 'five' and a bit weaker for reconstructing */r/ for 'path', yet they show a correspondence with each other in MI. However, it would be difficult to reconstruct */r/ for 'path' for other reasons, since this is the only example in the Swadesh list warranting such a reconstruction for M1, and a poor one at that. Positing an */1/ for 'path', it apjears what may have happened is that Group C retained the original [I]. After the separation of Group $C$ and Mandekan, an [I] to [r] change began to take place, but only after Kuranks had separated from the core of Mandekan. Susu and Yalunka (Group A), then, converged accidently. As noted above, changes of $[1]$ to $[r]$ and the reverse are frequent in N.M. languages. The word for 'rope' shows the same support as 'five'. Since there is no apparent conditioning factor for this correspondence to differ
from the regular */1/ correspondence, we reconstruct a tentative */1\%
The matching in (24) 'knee' is [1:r:r: $\not \mathrm{j}]$ 。 Although cognate forms exist in Group A, the segnent has been lost. Group C supports an */1/. M2 j.s mixed. Faute de mieux, we reconstruct a highly tentative */1'/. 2.1.1.4. Palatals. Only one of twelve initial palatal consonant correspondences is irregular: (53) 'fish': nyego, jege, jege, yigen yielding an [ny:j:j:y] matching. Group C supports an */ny/ reconstruction, while Group A supports $* / y /$. Only because it is more natural for [ny] to become [y] (loss of nasalisation) than the reverse (gain of nasalisation) is */ny/ selected as a highly tentative reconstruction, leaving accidental convergence to once again explain away the Group A [y] reflex.
2.1.1.5. Velars. Velar anomalies consist of [-:ky:c:c] for 'man' (---, kye, $c \varepsilon, k y \varepsilon$ ) and 'sand' (kenye, kinye, cencen, cenjen) in medial position. Not much can be said about these, since correspondences such as (51) occur (e.g. 'egg': kilo, kili, kili, kiri) in which a high front vowel fails to palatalize [k]. Groups A, B and C suggest $\% / k /$ for 'man' and Group $C$ the same for 'sand'. M2, however, shows some reflexes of [t] and [ty] for 'sand'. This is not as irregular as would seem at first blush. Bambara, for example, has free variation between [tle] and [kle] for 'day', and the proto form is undoubtedly [tile]. M2 is probably undergoing the same alternation. A */c/ is not proposed because nowhere else is it reconstructable, and furthermore, a change from [c] to [k] is less probable than the reverse. The tentative reconstruction for these forms is $\% / k /$.
2.1.1.6. Labio-velars. There are no examples of labio-velars other than what has been presented above.

A consonant chart will be presented after presentation of the vowels, allowing a presentation of the entire phonological system of Proto-Ml at one time to avoid reduplication.
2.2. Mi vowels. There are no initial vowels presented because none occur in the Swadesh list. The pronouns, monosyllabic vowels, will be treated as final consonants due to this language internal pattern.

Table 4 - Medial Vowels

| Front |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. of Corr. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */i/ | 19. | 'tooth' 4 |  |  | 43. | 'smoke' |  |  |  | 48. | 'root' |  |  |  |
|  | X : | ny | i | n-no |  | $s \bar{i}^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | si-o |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |
|  | M: | ny | i |  |  | $s$ i si |  |  | si-0 |  | 1 | 1 in |  |  |
|  | B: |  | $i$ | $n$ |  | $s$ i s |  |  | si-o |  | d | $1 i$ |  |  |
|  | D: |  | 1 | $n$ |  | $s$ [i] si |  |  | $i$ |  | 1 | ri |  |  |
| ?*/e/ | 10. | 'child' 66 |  |  | 66. | 'straight' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X $\mathrm{M}:$ : |  | e | nden-no |  | til $e$ |  | $e$ $e$ | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B: |  | e | n |  | til e |  | e | n |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | D: |  | e | $n$ |  | ter e |  | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ?*/E/ | 53. | 'fish' |  |  | 50. | 'grease' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X : | ny | $\varepsilon$ | go |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M : |  | $\varepsilon$ | g |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B: |  | $\varepsilon$ | ${ }^{\text {g }}$ ¢ |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | D: | $y$ | i | $\mathrm{g} \varepsilon \mathrm{n}$ |  |  | ie | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mid

91. 'what'
$m$
$m$
$m$
$m$ $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & u \\ & u\end{aligned} \begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n\end{aligned}$
35. 'meat'

| $s$ | $l$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s$ | 0 | $b o$ |
| $s$ | 0 | $g o$ |
| $s$ | 0 | yo |

49. 'milk'

| $n$ | 0 | $n o$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | 0 | no |
| $n$ | 0 | no |
| $n$ | 0 | $n o$ |
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Table 5 - Final Vowels
Front
No of Corr.
78. 'give'
$d$
$d$
$d$
$d$$\left[\begin{array}{l}i \\ i \\ i \\ i\end{array}\right]$

7

1
92. 'not'

| t | $\varepsilon$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| t | $\varepsilon$ |
| t | $\varepsilon$ |
| t | $\varepsilon$ |
|  |  |

3
2.1.1. Ml vowel irregularities. Much speculation will be advanced in this section in an attempt to offer some possible and plausible explanation for irregularities. It is, of course, impossible to be certain of these interpretations without many more confirming examples.
2.2.1.l. Front. High front vowels in medial position are irregular in seven of seventeen cases. Four of these examples involve a change of [i] to [u] and the other three involve a change from [i] to a lower front vowel [e] or [ $\varepsilon$ ].

The [i] to [u] change twice involves a $[u: i: i: i]$ correspondence and twice an [i:i:u:u] correspondence:
(2)

| $\underline{X}$ | $M$ | $B$ | $D$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u$ | $i$ | $i$ | $i$ | 26. | 'breast': sun-ji-o, sin, sin, sin |
| $u$ | $i$ | $i$ | $i$ | 3. | 'two': fula, fila, fila, --- |
| $i$ | $i$ | $u$ | $u$ | 70. | 'sleep': sinogo, sinogo, sunogo, sunoyo |
| $i$ | $i$ | $u$ | $\emptyset$ | 47. | 'leaf': fito, fida, fura, fla |

Note that Maninka alone does not undergo this change. Little can be said about these correspondences that is not extremely ad hoc. For example, 'two' and 'leaf' provide almost identical environments, yet [i] becomes [u] in Xassonke [fula] but not in [fito]. Likewise, [i] becomes [u] between [s] and [n] in Xassonke [sun-jl-o] but not in [sinogo], whereas Bambara does the reverse, remaining [i] in [sin] but becoming [u] in [sunogo]. Environments which are nearly exactly the same treat the same vowel in opposite fashion in Xassonke, Bambara and Dyula. However, we can be quite certain despite this deviant behavior that the proto-segment in these cases was indeed */i/, since Group A supports */i/ for 'breast', 'two' and 'sleep' and Group C further supports it for 'breast' and 'two'. Although no cognate is attested for 'sleep' in the other groups, it can be implied from the general pattern that the proto form was */i/.

The [i] to lower front vowel change in medial position occurred in three words:
(3) $\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \underline{M} \quad \underline{D}$

| $i$ | $e$ | $i$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i$ | $e$ | $i$ | $e$ |
| $i$ | $\varepsilon$ | $i$ | $i$ |

39. 'sun': tilo, tele, tile, tere
40. 'straight': tilen, telen, tilen, teren
41. 'cut': tige, tege, tige, tige

The interesting point here is the consistency of the assimilation in Ml languages. Anticipatory assimilation takes place in Maninka and Dyula across resonants. In 'cut', Maninka shows an extension of this process across [g]. That this process is extending in Maninka is further illustrated. by the word 'woman'. The Proto-Ml form for this word is */muso/, which renders [moso] in Maninka and remains [muso] in the other three languages. These correspondences, then, are not as irregular as they might seem, but rather appear to be conditioned variants of *[i].

Of the twelve high front vowels in final position, seven are regular correspondences and five appear to be irregular. Three of these irregularities involve the replacement of [i] with [o] or [o] in Xassonke. The [o] reflex may well be a definite suffix, which is sometimes assimilated to the preceding vowel and sometimes not. In many languages of this area, nouns must be elicited in lists in their definite form. Consider [san-ji-o] 'rain' or [su-o] 'night' as compared with [jelo] 'blood' (< $\% / \mathrm{j} \varepsilon / \mathrm{i} /$ ) or [yiro] 'tree' ( $<* / y i r i /$ ). Why this vowel takes the form [o] in some instances and [o] in others is not understood. Nevertheless, the words 'tree': yirs, yiri, yiri, yiri; 'root': lilo, lilin, dili, liri(n); and 'ege': sise-kilo, sisع-kili, kili, kiri may all be reconstructed with */i/ in final position, a definite suffix disrupting the otherwise regular correspondences. As will be demonstrated, the same form of definite suffix is used in Diakhanka (cf. M2) with the same results, a strong support for this analysis.

The other two irregularities are 'rain': san-ji-o, san-ji, san-ji, san-ye and 'stand': wule, wuli, wuli, wuri yielding matchings of $[i: i: i: e]$ and of [e:i:i:i]. The reasons for these lowerings are not known, but speculation will be made further along in the discussion in connection with another vowel changing to [e]. The evidence from M2 points towards a tentative */i/ reconstruction.

Medial high-mid front vowels are not found in as frequent use in the Swadesh list as high front vowels. Only two examiles of [e:e:e:e] are available with two irregularities in 'one': kelen, kelen, kelen, kelen and 'foot': sin-n5, sen, sen, sen. The evidence from Group A and $C$ supports $* / \mathrm{e} /$ for 'one' and $* / \varepsilon /$ for 'foot'. There is no possibility of
reconstructing */ $\varepsilon$ / for 'foot', however, since there is no phonetic justification for it in M1 and $* / \varepsilon /$ is reconstructable from a totally different correspondence. The most reasonable conclusion is that 'foot' be reconstructed */e/ tentatively, allowing nasalisation in a monosyllable to explain vowel lowering in Groups $A$ and $C$.

There is only one example of [e:e:e:e] in final position, but there is another case of [o:e:e:e] in 'sun': tilo, tele, tile, tere. This, too, reconstructs as */e/ disturbed in its regular correspondence by the vowel suffix once again. This gives a little more credence to the existence of a final */e/, these being the only two examples.

Mid-low front vowels are also sparse medially. Even the suggested correspondences are weak. The two irregularities for this set are 'tongue':
 providing matchings of $[\varepsilon: \varepsilon: \varepsilon: a]$ and $[\varepsilon: i: \varepsilon: \varepsilon]$. Support is adequate from Group C to reconstruct $* / \varepsilon /$ for 'sand'. 'Tongue' is more difficult, and will be reconstructed $* / \varepsilon /$ on the strength of number of occurrences alone. Both are very tentative, as is the whole of the $* / \varepsilon /$ reconstruction in medial position.

The evidence is much stronger for $* / \varepsilon /$ in final josition. Three fine examples are provided and another definite suffix interference in 'fish': ny $g \circ$, $j \varepsilon g \varepsilon, j \varepsilon g \varepsilon, y i g \varepsilon n$ yielding $[0: \varepsilon: \varepsilon: \varepsilon]$. Evidence from M2 and Group $C$ also supports */ $\varepsilon /$ for 'white': xwe, $g b \varepsilon, j \varepsilon, ~ g b \varepsilon$ which gives [e: $\varepsilon: \varepsilon: \varepsilon]$. These may both be reconstructed $* / \varepsilon /$, 'white' tentatively, and 'fish' more assuredly.
2.2.1.2. Mid. The mid vowel [a] is extremely common in medial and final positions, with no exceptions out of eleven examples in medial position and only three out of sixteen finally. Of the three, two are further examples of phonetic interference with the definite suffix. 'Leaf': fito, fida, fura, fla and 'new': kuts, kuda, kuda, kura reconstruct with */a/.

The third irregularity is 'eye': nya, nya, nya, nye. It is interesting that [a] is raised to [ $\varepsilon$ ] after [ $n y$ ] here and [i] is lowered to [ $\varepsilon$ ] after [y] in the word 'rain' in the same language. In none of the other eleven examples of [i] in final position is it precedec by another palatal glide type consonant, nor is [a]. Could it be that Dyula likes mid front vowels
after glides? Perhaps [a] is assimilated to the palatal glide, while [i] is dissimilated so that it will not be absorbed, resulting in a phonetic merger produced by two opposing tendencies. Needless to say, this is speculation to the highest degree. Nevertheless, this may be reconstructed $* / a /$ tentatively but strongly.
2.2.1.3. Back. High back vowels are prevalent medially. There are three irregularities to nine regular correspondences. As mentioned earlier, 'ear': tulo, tolo, tulo, toro and 'woman': muso, moso, muso, muso are examples of [u] assimilating to [o] in Maninka (cf. 2.2.1.2.). The word 'say': xuma, kuma, kuma, kooma alone stands as an unexplained irregularity. M2 and Group C indicate a tentative */u/ reconstruction.

Final high back vowels are abnormal in one of six cases, again an example of the definite suffix in 'dog': wulo, wulu, wulu, wuru . This reconstructs as $\% / \mathrm{L} /$ with no problem.

High-mid back vowels occur six times in medial position, three of which are regular [u:O:O:O] correspondences. The final vowel in each of these cases is also reconstructed */o/. This indicates a possible dissimilation rule oferating in Xassonke which changes the first of two successive occurrences of [o] to [u], such that */bolo/ 'hand' $\rightarrow$ [bulo], etc. A would be exception to this dissimilation rule is found in 'belly': kono, kons, kons, kons . Welmers [personal communication] has suggested that this is a problem of transcription in the case of Xassonke. If this suggestion is not correct, then recourse must be made to another explanation for this deviance. This explanation will be presented below in connection with a problem which may be related.

In view of the $[0]$ to $[u]$ dissimilation rule, we have an explanation for shy 'big': bon, bon, bon, bon does not follow the general [u:0:0:0] pattern: there is no need for dissimilation. This then may be reconstructed */o/.
'Five' (lolu, loolu, duuru, looru) is interesting from two points of view. First, this is the only case of assimilation in Bambara thus far and secondly, this is a case of upward assimilation (e.g. [o] > [u]) whereas the cases of Maninka and Dyula have all been downward (e.g. [u] > [o] and $[i]>[e],[\varepsilon])$. Another way to view this same phenomenon is that all
three languages assimilate progressively, but Bambara assimilates to high vowels and Maninka and Dyula assimilate to mid. Which interpretation, if either, may be correct is difficult to say without further information. It should also be noted that all of the cases of assimilation in Ml presented in the Swadesh list are examples of assimilation within a series, e.g. a front vowel may raise or lower to assimilate, as may a back vowel, but they may not move cross-laterally from front to back or back to front. These points are far from proven, but highly suggestive, to say the least. */o/ may be tentatively reconstructed for 'five'.
'Nail' is indeed an oddity: sonin-クد, bolo-sorin, sonin, boro-sani . Why the [0:0:0:a] matching is unknown. The support is skimpy and could be either $* / 0 /$ or $* / \rho /$ but is reconstructed as an extremely tentative $* / \rho /$
'Bone' is also inexplicable: ----, kolo, kolo, koro. The evidence from M2 and Ligbi point towards a tentative */o/ in medial and final position.

Final [o] is less stable, four of seven cases being irregular. One example, 'bone', was discussed above.
'Meat' is also inexplicable: suto, sobo, sogo, soyo . This [0:0:0:0] matching is reconstructed a tentative */o/.
'Moon', likewise, cannot be adequately explained at this point: xaro, karo, kalo, kari . Why the innovated [i] is not known. This segment should probably be reconstructed */o/.

Only 'wash' appears to have light shed on it from another group. M2 suggests that it was probably a form with the shape [kuo] or [ku-ro], which became [o] in some languages and [u] in others while some, such as Dyula [kwo], still show vestiges of the original form: ku, ko, ko, kwo .

Low-mid back vowels are consistent in medial position, only two of eleven being irregular. 'Belly' was discussed above: kono, kono, kono, kons . One speculative explanation for the aberrant form [kono] in Xassonke is found in rule ordering. If we assume that the dissimilation rule ([o] $\rightarrow[u] / \ldots C o$ ) operates prior to an assimilation rule (e.g. l. kono-o $\rightarrow$ kono and 2 . kono $\rightarrow$ kono ), the result will be correct, and we will have an answer for why in the first place Xassonke has an [o-o] reflex of an [0-コ] word, and secondly why it does not undergo the
dissimilation rule. Whether this is the case, or the transcription is in error, the tentative reconstruction should be */o/.
'Who' is also irregular: ----, jon, jon, jon. This irregularity is not of crucial importance, since the form cannot be reconstructed past Proto-Mandekan. It may have been */o/ or $* / \rho /$ and $* / \rho /$ is selected extremely tentatively.

Final low-mid back vowels are also consistent, one irregularity appearing in nine occurrences. 'Old': xoto, koro, koro, koro shows the same [o] influence as is present in nouns. It may be that this is a citation form even in some words other than nouns, or perhaps this is the noun 'age'? Nevertheless, this is most probably $\% / \supset /$.
2.3. Proto-Ml $C$ and $V$ systems and word list. This section serves to present an overview of the entire Proto-Ml phonological system in initial, medial and final positions. The reconstructed forms of the words from the Swadesh list will also be given.

Table 6 - Proto-Mil System

## Initial



$*_{n} \quad$| $*_{i}$ | $*_{u}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*_{e} ?$ | $*_{0}$ |  |
|  | $*_{\varepsilon}$ | $*_{\nu}$ |

Table 7 - Reconstructed Word List - Proto-Ml

| 1. 'name' : *togo | 26. 'breast' : *sin | 51. 'egg' | : *sise-kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. 'one' : *kelen | 27. 'belly' : *kono | 52. 'bird' | *kono |
| 3. 'two' : *fila? | 28. 'navel' : *bata | 53. 'fish' | *nyege ( $n$ ) |
| 4. 'three' : *saba | 29. 'skin' : *gbolo? | 54. 'snake' | * sa |
| 5. 'rour' : *naanin | 30. 'bone' : *kolo? | 55. 'dog' | *wulu |
| 6. 'five' : *lool'u | 31. 'blood' : *joli | 56. 'big' | *bon |
| 7. 'person': *mog's | 32. 'sky' : *san-kolo | 57. 'small' | *dogo |
| 8. 'man' : *ke? | 33. 'fire' : *ta | 58. 'black' | *fin |
| 9. 'woman' : *muso | 34. 'water' : *ji | 59. 'white' | *gbe |
| 10. 'child' : *den(den) | 35. 'meat' : *sobo | 60. 'good' | *nyin |
| 11. 'father': * $\ddagger \mathrm{a}$ | 36. 'salt' : *k'og'o | 61. 'new' | * kuta |
| 12. 'mother': *na? | 37. 'many' : * | 62. 'old' | *k'sto |
| 13. 'head' : *kun | 38. 'stone' : *kaba? | 63. 'hot' | *gban? |
| 14. 'hair' : *kun-sigi | 39. 'sun' : *tile | 64. 'cold' | *suman? |
| 15. 'nose' : *nun | 40. 'moon' : *k'alo | 65. 'dry' | *ja-len |
| 16. 'eye' : *nya | 41. 'night' : *su | 66. 'straight' | *tilen |
| 17. 'ear' : *tulo | 42. 'rain' : *san-ji | 67. 'come' | *na |
| 18. 'mouth' : *da | 43. 'smoke' : *sisi (-o) | 68. 'sit' | *sigi |
| 19. 'tooth' : *nyin | 44. 'sand' : *kenyen?? | 69. 'lie' | *ia |
| 20. 'tongue': *n¢n (e) | 45. 'rope' : *jul'u | 70. 'sleep' | *sinog'o |
| 21. 'neck' : *kan | 46. 'tree' : *yiri | 71. 'die' | * sa |
| 22. 'hand' : *bolo | 47. 'leaf' : *fita | 72. 'fall' | * ? |
| 23. 'foot' : *sen | 48. 'root' : *lili(n) | 73. 'stand' | *wuli |
| 24. 'knee' : *kumbel'en | 49. 'milk' : *nono | 74. 'say' | *k'uma |
| 25. 'nail' : *bolo-sonin | 50. 'grease': *k\&n | 75. 'hear' | : *men? |

```
76. 'wash' : *kuo 89. 'they' : * ?
77. 'see' : "ye
78. 'give' : *di
79. 'eat' : *dumun
80. 'drink': *min
81. 'kill' : *fag'a
82. 'cut' : *tige
83. 'hit' : * ?
84. 'sew' : *k'al'a
85. 'I' : *n兒
86. 'you' : *i
87. 'he' : *a
88. we' : *an?
```

Key : $\qquad$ : underscoring reflects tentative reconstruction.
? : indicates that the reconstruction was from less than all four languages.

## 3. Reconstructing Mandekan - M2

M2, the second Mandekan subgroup, is composed of Bo (B), Konyanka (K), Wassulunka (W), Diakhanka (D) and Mauka (M).
3.1. M2 consonants.

Table 8 - Initial Consonants

## Labials

No. of Corr.
22. 'hand'

7. 'person'

28. 'navel'

| $b$ | a -ku |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | ara-kun |  |  |
| $b$ | ara-kun |  |  |
| $b$ | ato- |  |  |
| $b$ | $a \quad-g u n$ | - | - |
| $b$ | $\varepsilon$ |  |  |
| $b$ | $\varepsilon$ |  |  |
| $b$ | $\varepsilon$ |  |  |
| $b$ |  |  |  |

9. 'woman'
10. 'drink'

| $m$ | uso |
| :--- | :--- |
| $m$ | uso |
| $m$ | uso |
| $m$ | uso |
| $m$ | oso |

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline m & i \\
m & \text { in } \\
m & \text { in } \\
m & i- \\
m & \text { in }
\end{array}
$$

5

| */w/ | 55. | 'dog' |  | 73. | 'stand' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B: | - | ulu |  | uil |
|  | K : | w | ulu |  | uli |
|  | W: | w | ulu |  | uli |
|  | D: | w | ulo |  | uli |
|  | M : | w | 4 u |  | i i |

No. of Corr.
2

Note that $[w]$ is absorbed by the following [u] in Bo.


5

2

4
18.

## 'mouth'

| B: | d | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K: | $d$ | $a$ |
| W: | $d$ | $a$ |
| D: | $d$ | $a$ |
| M: | l |  |

57. 'small'
58. 'eat'


| $d$ | $u$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $d$ | un |
| $d$ | on |
| $d$ | omo-ro |
| l | 0 |

The [1]:[d] variation in Mauka appears to be conditioned by [i] vs. elsewhere respectively. More evidence is needed to ascertain whether indeed the [I] and [d] in Mauka are allophones in this environment.

39. 'sun'

33. 'fire

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|l}
- & - \\
t & a \\
t & a-\text { suma } \\
t & a \\
t & a
\end{array}\right.
$$



Resonants


2

Whether these two examples are actually correspondences cannot be known for certain without further exemplification.

Palatals


Velars

| */k/ | 21. |  | $\mathrm{ck}^{\prime}$ | 36 |  | $1 t^{\prime}$ | 40. | 'moon' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B: | k | a |  | k | oko |  | k | alo | 16 |
|  | K: | k | an |  | $k$ | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | $k$ | alo |  |
|  | W: | k | an |  | $k$ | ogo |  | k | aro |  |
|  | D: | $k$ | an-mo |  | $k$ | 0x |  | k | aru |  |
|  | M : | k | an |  | k | $\bigcirc 0$ |  | k | alo |  |

It should be noted here that the correspondences reconstructed as */k'/ in *Ml reconstruct perfectly as */k/ in *M2.

Lab. Vel.

|  | 63. |  |  | 59. |  | te ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 83. | 'hit' |  | No. of Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\times / \mathrm{gb} /$ | B | - | -- |  | py | غे |  | by | غ̀ | 3 |
|  | K: | gb | an |  | gb | $\varepsilon$ |  | gb | asi |  |
|  | W: | - | -- |  | gb | $\varepsilon$ |  | gb | $\varepsilon s \varepsilon$ |  |
|  | D: | 9 | ando |  | g | $\varepsilon$ |  | 9 | oso-ro |  |
|  | M : | gb | an |  | gb | $a-n i$ |  | gb | asi |  |

Several things speak for a */gb/ reconstruction here. First, nowhere else is [g] found initially. Secondly, the correspondence is good for K-W-D-M and only B presents a problem with voiced [by] vs. voiceless [py]. Thirdly, it is unlikely that a labio-velar would develop from a velar proto-form or from a labial proto-form, yet not so unlikely that velars and labials both would develop independently from a labio-velar ancestor. Finally, the cognates to these words in *M1 reconstruct as */gb/, as they do also in *Group C. A further note is that these two words are two of the only words in the data for which tone was supplied. It should be mentioned that the voiced:voiceless distinction is the only one differentiating these two words and may therefore carry some degree of functional load.

Table 9 - Medial Consonants
Labials
No. of Corr.
4. 'three'

*/b/ | B: | -- | - | - |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | K: | sa | $b$ | $a$ |
|  | W: | sa | $b$ | $a$ |
|  | D: | sa | $b$ | $a$ |
|  | M: | sa | $w$ | $a$ |

74. 'say'

| ?*/m/ | B: | $-\bar{r}$ | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | K: | $k u$ | $m$ | $a$ |
|  | W: | $k u$ | $m$ | $a$ |
|  | D: | $k u$ | $m$ | 0 |
|  | M: | $k u$ |  |  |
|  |  | $a$ |  |  |

*/m/ is reconstructed here very tentatively due to the nondeviance of the [m] throughout the forms, and because it reconstructs nicely as */m/ in *M1. Two other apparent irregular */m/ matchings will be dealt with in the discussion of irregularities.

## Dentals



## Resonants
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The [1] syncopates medially in Mauka very frequently, which is the case for all resonants. The conditioning factor for deletion does not appear to be environmental, but rather may be a freely varying $\emptyset$ allophone.

|  | 46 |  |  |  | 47. | 'leaft |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $?^{*} / \mathrm{r} /$ | B: | yi | $r$ | i |  | --- -- | - |
|  | K: | ji | r | i |  | fla -bu | r |
|  | W: | $y$ | r | i |  | fula-bu | r |
|  | D: | yi | r | - |  | --- -- | - |
|  | M : | yi | - | i |  | fia -bo | - |

Here, too, the $[r]$ syncopates medially in $M$.

## Velars



Mauka (as well as Konyanka) syncopates [g] medially, much as it treats resonants. In section 2.2.1.1. (p. 210) there is a discussion of how [g] and resonants are treated similarly in Maninka, in which vowel harmony occurs across both [g] and resonants.

Table 10 - Final Consonants
Dentals
No. of Corr.


In none of the 15 examples does $B o$ retain final [ $n$ ]. The MSC of Bo has changed from allowing a final [ n ] consonant to admitting none at all.
3.1.1. Discussion of M2 consonant irregularities. This section, as in the *MI reconstruction, presents irregular matchings in sure cognates with arguments for tentative reconstruction of those segments involved.
3.1.1.l. Labials. Only one irregular matching is attested in initial position which is 'father': fa, fa, fa, fa, baba. This is more than likely non-cognate and $* / f /$ is reconstructed for four of the languages, in accordance with *Ml.

Two irregularities present themselves in medial position: 'cold': ---, suman, suma, suma-re, suwa and 'eat': du, dun, don, domo-ro, 10 .

The final [ $n$ ] indicated in the monosyllabic forms of 'eat' may be either a case of the transcriber signalling nasalization of final vowels with [ $n$ ], or it may simply be a case of final phonetic [m] being changed to [ $n]$, Mandekan languages allowing no other consonant in final position. In any case, the segment was $* / \mathrm{m} /$ originally, as attested by * $_{\mathrm{Ml}}$ and Hwela, from Group B. It is significant that in every case in which the present
cognate remains bisyllabic, the [m] reflex obtains and where the cognate has been reduced to a monosyllable, final $[\mathrm{n}$ ] is attested,
'Cold' is also clearly reconstructable with */m/ as witnessed by $*_{M}$ and Groups $A, B$ and $C$. It is interesting that the weakening here of [m] > [w] is paralleled in the same language with a regular [b] > [w] weakening in medial position.
3.1.1.2. Dentals. There are several irregularities in the dental series in initial position.

Of the three matchings to be reconstructed $* / t /$, only one has a plausible explanation: 'straight': ---, tele, telini, tilin, laten-ni. This is most probably a case of metathesis if the forms are cognate.

The two other aberrances are 'name': togo, do, togo, toxo, doo and 'not': --, t $\varepsilon, t \varepsilon$, $t e, d \varepsilon$. No attempted explanation is offered here. *M1 suggests */t/ for both words, while Ligbi and Group C likewise support this reconstruction for 'name'.
'Not' is difficult in that two negative morphemes exist in these languages (if not more), /ma/ and $/ \mathrm{t} \varepsilon /$, which are used differently. Unfortunately, some informants gave one form while others gave the second, making reconstruction of one or the other less complete than might otherwise be expected.

The */s/ series also has three aberrances in seventeen occurrences in initial position.
'Night': syu, su, su, su, su-o is the only example of [s] before [u] in a monosyllable, which may provide an environment for a glide. In spite of this uncertainty, *ML clearly suggests an */s/ reconstruction, as does Group C.
'Many': ---, sia-ma, ca-ma, siya-ma, sia-ma should probably be reconstructed as */s/ in *M2. *M1 is very questionable and no cognate forms show up in any of the other groups. This, therefore, is of little importance to the reconstruction. Wassulunka is undergoing a palatalization of [s] and [ $t$ ] in initial position (cf. 'sand' above).
'Nail': ---, bolo-konin-fara, bolo-sวni, sonin, soin-va shows a strange [s] > [k] in Konyanka. No environmental or other conditioning factor is known. Ml provides a sure */s/, with no other cognates in other groups.

Initial $\% / n /$ has only two irregularities in seven occurrences, both palatalizations.
'Nose': nyu, nun, nun, nun- 0, nun is interesting because it adds partial confirmation that Bo does tend to palatalize some consonants in monosyllables before [u], as suggested in the initial */s/ reconstruction for 'night' above. This is reconstructed $* / n /$, again strongly supported by *ML.
'Tongue': ne, nen, nen, nen-クo, nye( $n$ ) shows the same tendency in Mauka before high front vowels. In fact, 'if' appears to have free variation between [ni]:[nyi] in this language, pointing up the same palatalization process. $* / n /$ should be reconstructed.

In medial position, */t/ presents one irregularity in four examples. 'Short': sunu, suya, suru, situ, sonta provides this interesting matching with [n:y:r:t:nt]. The [y] reflex in this matching is not understood. The *Ml reconstruction of the cognate form is a strong */sutun/, suggesting a metathesis to explain the [nt] reflex. However, this "explanation" would necessarily have to be invoked for the [ $n$ ] reflex as well. On the other hand, the proto-form of *M1 may have been the result of metathesis itself: */suntu/ > */sutun/. Mauka and Bo speak for an [nt] reflex in medial position, as does Kuranko. Kuranko was probably the first language to break off from the Mandekan Group. Of the languages remaining in Mandekan, Mauka and Bo were probably the next to break off. If this is the case, the diverse cognate forms for 'short' can be reasonably accounted for: after Group C, Mauka and Bo had broken off from the rest of the core group, the proto-form underwent a metathesis */suntu/ $>$ */sutun/. The former therefore show vestiges of [nt] medially, whereas the other languages show no such reflex. The reason for the metathesis may be found in the relative unacceptability of consonant clusters in these languages.

The reconstructed form for 'hair' is */kun-sigi/ in *M1. This is a compound of "kun-" 'head' and "sigi" 'hair'. Although the */s/ is morpheme initial, it must be treated as a medial consonant. In M2 the cognates are: kun-sye, kun-zi, kun-si, ---, kun-ze. Here, as in *MI, [s] > [z] after [ $n$ ] in Konyanka and Mauka. In Bo the [s] has been palatalized by the high front vowel. It is interesting to speculate that this type of phenomenon
occasioned the [nt] contact in 'short' discussed above.
Two irregularities occur in seven examples of medial [n], both involving [nd] reflexes.
'Belly': ko, kona, kondo, kono, koo reconstructs as */n/ in *M1. There are no cognate forms in Groups $A$ and $C$. The cognate forms in Group $B$ have been shortened to monosyllables, placing the segment in final position. No segment other than [n] being allowed finally, this does not help the reconstruction a great deal. This must remain a tentative */n/, keeping the phonetic [nd] in mind.

The second example is 'few': ---, dooni, doni, dondi, --- . Interestingly, although *Ml shows an [n] reflex, Group A, the most distantly related group, shows an [nd] reflex. At this point this should remain an */n/ reconstruction, leaving $/$ /nd/ as a possibility.

Only one of sixteen occurrences of final [n] is irregular, if it is cognate: 'hear': me, men, men, mero, ---. The [r] in mero is unexplainable. The reconstruction for the cognate forms in $M 1$ is */n/。 Ligbi also has [ $n$ ]. There is no other evidence of [r], and it will thus be reconstructed in *M2 as */n/。

It should be noted that although there are several words which might tentatively be reconstructed with a medial [-nd-] cluster there is no evidence whatsoever for prenasalized stops in Northern Mande languages. The complete absence of such clusters in initial and final positions is, of course, additional testimony to this fact.
3.1.1.3. Resonants. There are ten regular */I/ correspondences and only one regular */r/ matching. The other examples are a seemingly odd mixture of the two. The resonants in these languages are very unstable in medial positions. The apparent randomness of the change comes to light nicely in the example of the two words 'hand' and 'nail'. In Konyanka the former is [boro] and the latter is [bolo-konin], a compound of 'hand' and 'claw'. The words are from the same cognate ancestor, yet 'hand' shows [r] while [l] is manifested in 'nail'.

Many attempts have been made to uncover a possible pattern in the resonant series, and all have proven to be ad hoc solutions to a sticky problem. The only reasonable presentation at this time is to provide a
chart of the matchings with tentative suggestions made for reconstructions. This is done below.


The $[\varnothing: r: I: I: \emptyset]$ correspondence is the most striking relationship. The $* / 1 />[r]$ change in Konyanka occurs between two [o]s in three of the four words. It is difficult to understand, however, why 'sun' ([tere]) has a phonetic [r] while 'straight' ([t\&|ع]) has a phonetic [l] in almost identical environments. In both cases the evidence is strong that the segment was etymologically */I/. Furthermore, words such as [golo] ('skin') and [bolo] ('hand') rule out the possibility that [I] > [r]/ o_o diachronically.

It is probably significant that Konyanka undergoes the [1] > [r] change in eight of the nine words above. This may indicate that a phonologization process is taking place in this language. In eighteen examples of resonants in medial position, ten have remained [l] while eight have changed to $[r]$. The environments in which this change has occurred are so similar to the ones in which [I] has been retained that one is drawn to the conclusion that proto $* / 1 /$ is presently separated into an $/ 1 /: / r /$ contrast. These two units appear to be of equally frequent occurrence in the language. It is interesting that these words are reconstructed strongly as */l/in *MI, and that the only language which is irregular in this correspondence from the regular $\% / 1 /$ correspondence in Konyanka, in which there seems to be a much more widespread [I] > [r] change than in any other language. Although this correspondence differs slightly from the regular */I/ correspondence in the Konyanka forms, the evidence nevertheless points to an */1/ reconstruction.

The chart also indicates that the words strongly reconstructed with */I/ in *Mi appear as the $[\varnothing: r: 1: 1: \phi]$ correspondence in M2, only Konyanka disturbing the otherwise regular $* / 1 /$ correspondence. The other words presented in the chart are inconsistent matchings in M2 and it is indicated that this same instability is shared by the cognate forms for these words in MI, as illustrated by the subscript. That is, the forms which were irregular in their [l] ~ [r] variations between languages in Ml continue their inconsistent patterning in M2 languages. The forms which were stable in M1 remain relatively stable in M2, Konyanka notwithstanding.

The [r] in Diakhanka [kara-lo] ('sew') may reflect a dissimilation with the morpheme-initial [I].

The occurrence of [r] in Bo [yuru] ('rope') and [sira] ('path') may not be as irregular as appears at first blush. Resonant deletion occurs in all other examples in Bo with the exception of 'moon'. There are five examples of deletion and three of retention, the environments for retention being quite different from the environments for deletion. Loosely, the rule is to delete resonants between non-high like vowels. That the vowels were originally identical rather than becoming identical due to assimilation after $C$ deletion is suggested by the fact that most of the other languages retaining the medial $C$ attest to identical vowels in words retaining the $C$ in Bo. The same rule holds true in Bo for all examples of resonant deletion and retention attested in the data. Due to the consonant deletion it is impossible to tell which resonant was present in each case. If [r] is assumed to be the phonetic shape in Bo of 'ear', 'hand', 'bone', and 'sun' then the patterning is identical to 'rope': [r:r:1:1:ф].

This is the extent of the generalizations which can be made about resonants in M2 at present. The corroborative evidence for tentative reconstruction of these forms is found in the *M1 reconstruction. The items reconstructed */1/ in *M1 have no consistent relationship in M2, lending more weight to the possibility that those forms falling together in the */I'/ correspondence were in fact cases of accidental convergence. These, then, will tentatively be reconstructed as */I/ in *M2.
3.1.1.4. Palatals. Like the resonants, the palatal changes are complex in these languages. A chart is provided for these matchings and correspondences.

The ${ }^{\text {M }} \mathrm{Ml}$ reconstruction is provided for comparison，as in the resonant chart．

| （5）B | $\underline{K}$ | W | D |  | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ml}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （ j ） | j | j | j | j | ＊${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| $y$ | j | j | j | j | $*^{\text {j }}$ |
| $y$ | j | j | $y$ | $y$ | ＊${ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| $y$ | j | $y$ | $y$ | $y$ | ＊$y$ |
| j | $y$ | $y$ | j | $y$ | ${ }^{*} y$ |
| $y$ | $y$ | $y$ | j | j | ＊ y |

34．＇water＇：je，ji，ji，ji－o，ji 65．＇dry＇：－－，ja，ja－le，ja－re，ja 93．＇long＇：－－，j $\varepsilon$ ，jan，jan，jan
45．＇rope＇：yuru，juru，julu，julo，juu
31．＇blood＇：yu，jeli，jeli，yelo，ye 46．＇tree＇：yiri，jiri，yiri，yiro，yii
77．＇see＇：je，ye，ye，je－ro，ye
95．＇here＇：ya，yan，yan，jan，jan

All reconstructions for ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ml}$ are solid reconstructions with the exception of＇here＇，which shows three occurrences of $[y]$ to one of $[j]$ ．

Bo appears to allow［j］only in monosyllables with high front vowels such as in＇water＇，and changes an etymologic／y／to $[j]$ in a similar environment in＇see＇．Elsewhere $* / j /$ and $* / y /$ merge to $[y]$ 。

Wassulunka makes no changes in the reconstructed forms predicted by $*_{M}$ and Konyanka changes only $[y]$ to $[j]$ in the word for＇tree＇。 In Bambara， the same word allows free variation between［yiri］and［jiri］in some dialects．

If the＊Ml reconstructions are correct for＊Mandekan，then Diakhanka and Mauka aberrances are difficult to explain with any generality．However， this is the case for some languages no matter what the reconstruction． With the reconstruction as it is only two of the nine languages reveal un－ explainable irregularities and these in only one and two words respectively． Both Diakhanka and Mauka change＊／j／to［y］in＇blood＇for no apparent reason，while Diakhanka changes $* / y /$ to $[j]$ in＇see＇．
 same reasons offered in the＊Ml reconstruction．The Wassulunka form lends further confirmation to this reconstruction。
＇Good＇：dyi，nyi，nyi，－－－，nyi has only one exception in［dyi］．The evidence from $M 1$ and the rest of $M 2$ is strong for $* / n y /$ ，

The problem in reconstructing palatals in＊M2 is the apparent random－ ness of change within the series，along with the general lack of insight
offered by the other groups of languages under study. Often complex general changes give the impression of randomness when the data is insufficient. It should be constantly remembered in a reconstruction from a one hundred word list that this problem will present itself in most instances where complex changes have obtained. This does not mean that the reconstruction, being tentative for various segments, is therefore useless. Rather, it tends to illuminate problem areas for further indepth research.

Medial position shows what appears to be an example of a palatal [-ny-] in the word 'sand': tye, tiny\&, cen, kenyo, cen 。 The same word shows [-ny-] in Ml languages. This should be considered a cluster, however, and not a palatal phoneme. As in the cases of [-mb-] and [-nd-] clusters discussed previously, [-ny-] is most likely the result of final [n] and initial [j] or [y] of two separate words which were in compound relation at a former point in time (or perhaps still at present). The fact that there are no other palatal consonants which may occur in medial position strongly suggests such an analysis.
3.1.1.5. Velars Only three of nineteen examples of velars in initial position are irregular。
'Man': $c e, c \varepsilon, c \varepsilon, k \varepsilon, c \varepsilon$. Although the segment is widely manifest as a palatal affricate, evidence is strong that it derives from [k] with a palatalization change as suggested in the ${ }^{*} M 1$ reconstruction. The segment is tentatively reconstructed */k/.
'Sand': ce, tiny , cen, kenyo, cen differs from 'man' only in [tinye] and will also be tentatively reconstructed as */k/ on evidence from Group c.
'Grease': ke, $c \varepsilon,--,--, c \varepsilon$ is another example of the same palatalization process.

Of five medial examples, only one is slightly irregular. 'Salt':
 This may be a case of assimilation, assisted by both the phonetic similarity of the velars and of the syllables themselves. The reconstruction is a tentative but firm */g/.
3.1.1.6. Labio-velars. Only one irregularity occurs in four examples of labio-velars in initial position, that being 'skin': wo, golo, golo, wulo, gboo. The one noticeably different environment is the high back vowel. Whatever the reason for the change, the original form was undoubtedly labio-velar and is attested in Ml and Groups B and C。
3.2. M2 vowels. As in M1, there are no initial vowels per se in M2 languages.

Table 11 - Medial Vowels

Front
No. of Corr.

| 19. | 'tooth' |  |  | 43. | 'smoke' |  |  | 46. | 'tree' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B: | ny | i |  |  | 5 | i | si |  | y |  |  |
| K: | ny | i | $n$ |  | 5 | $i$ | si |  | j |  |  |
| W: | ny | i | n |  | 5 | $i$ | si |  | $y$ |  |  |
| D: | ny | - | n-no |  | 5 | i | si-o |  | y |  |  |
| M : | ny | i | n |  | 5 | i | si |  | y |  |  |

*/e/ The mid vowels in M2, as in ML, are a messy problem. There is a */ / seemingly random variation in this word list with no recursive pattern, resulting with unique matchings for each word and no correspondences. A further problem lies in the fact that many of these vowels occur in words which historically had a final $C$ which has since been lost, placing the $V$ in final position presently: ---, kele, kele, k $\| \varepsilon$, $k \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon</ * k e l e n /$. It should be kept in mind that although $* / n /$ has been lost, it may have had an effect on the vowels which have been retained in some languages while being erased in others. These matchings will be discussed in the section on irregularities.

Back

> 13. 'head'

| */u/ | B: | $k$ | $u$ | ngo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | K: | $k$ | $u$ | $n$ |
|  | W: | $k$ | $u$ | $n$ |
|  | D: | $k$ | $u$ | $n-100$ |
|  | M: | $k$ | $u$ | $n$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 45. 'rope' } \\
& \begin{array}{l|l|l|l}
\text { y } \\
j & u & \text { ru } \\
u & \text { ru } \\
j & u & 1 u \\
j & u & 10 \\
j & u & u
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

55. 'dog'

| -1 | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w$ | $u$ | $1 u$ |
| $w$ | $u$ | $1 u$ |
| $w$ | $u$ | 10 |
| $w$ | $u$ | $u$ |


|  | 6. |  | ve |  | 22. |  | hand |  | 30. |  | one |  | No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */0/ | B: | - | - | -- |  | $b$ | 0 |  |  | $k$ | $0$ |  | 5 |
|  | K: | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ru |  | b | 0 | ro |  | k | O | ro |  |
|  | W: | 1 | - | 14 |  | $b$ | - | 10 |  | k | - | 10 |  |
|  | D: | 1 | 4 | 14 |  |  | 4 | 10 |  | k | u | 10 |  |
|  | M : | 1 | 0 | ru |  | $b$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | k | $\bigcirc$ | - |  |

The [u] reflex of $\dot{*} / 0 /$ in Diakhanka may be explained by the [1] environment. Only one example of the six does not have medial [1]: 'meat': sogo, so, sogo, subo, soo. Here it may be the case that [subol is non-cognate with the other forms, but this is only speculation.

1. 'name' 7. 'person' 49. 'milk'
$\% / 0 /$

| B: | t | 0 | 90 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~K}:$ | d | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{~W}:$ | t | 0 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{D}:$ | t | 0 | $\times 0$ |
| $\mathrm{M}:$ | d | 0 | 0 |


| $m$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $m$ |  |
| $m$ |  |
| $m$ |  |
| $m$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |


| $n$ | 0 | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | 0 | no |
| $n$ | 0 | $n o$ |
| $n$ | $n$ | $n o$ |
| 0 | no |  |

8

Bo, it appears, does not permit [ $\square$ ] in medial position.
Table 12 - Final Vowels
Front

No. of Corr.
43. 'smoke' 73. 'stand'

39. 'sun'
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l}t & e \\ t \varepsilon r & e \\ t \varepsilon l & e \\ t i l \\ t & e \\ e\end{array}\right] 0$
77. 'see'

| $j$ | $e$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | $e$ |
| $y$ | $e$ |
| $j$ | $e$ |
| $y$ | $e$ |



The */e/ examples are correspondences by virtue of the fact that the [o] in Diakhanka [tilo] is the same as in Diakhanka [sisi-o] above, i.e. some type of suffix which sometimes absorbs the preceding vowel. The reason for ascertaining [e] of [te] in final position in Bo and Diakhanka is that most of these languages appear to assimilate across resonants first, and then drop the resonant, leaving a homophonous succession of vowels.


Mid


Back

| $\% / 4 /$ | 6. | 'five' |  | 41. | 'night' |  | 'dog' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B: |  | - |  | $u$ |  | -ul | $u$ |  |
|  | K : | lor | U |  | $u$ |  | wul | u |  |
|  | W: | 101 | u |  | $u$ |  | wul | u |  |
|  | D: | lul | u |  | 4 |  | wul | - | - |
|  | M : | lor | u | s | 4 | -0 | wul | u |  |

5

There are five examples of this correspondence, two of which have this /-o/ suffix in Diakhanka.

17. 'ear'

30. 'bone'


6

Bo's final vowel in 17 and 30 actually corresponds to both the medial and final vowels of the other languages (as exemplified in Mauka), and was either shortened after resonant syncopation or the transcriber may have failed to hear length.

1. 'name'
$\dot{*} / 0 /$

| B: | $\operatorname{tog}$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~K}:$ | d | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~W}:$ | tog | 0 |
| $\mathrm{D}:$ | tox |  |
| $\mathrm{M}:$ | do | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |

7. 'person'

8. 'milk'

| $\operatorname{non}$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| non | 0 |
| non | 0 |
| non | 0 |
| non | 0 |
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As is clear from these examples and those for $\% / 0 /$ in medial position, Bo has merged proto */o/ and */s/ into /o/.
3.2.1. M2 vowel irregularities. Many of the vowel irregularities in this section are unsolved problems, as is the case with Ml languages. The following presentation is meant to be as brief as ossible.
3.2.1.1. Front. There are four exceptions in fourteen examples of high front vowels in medial position:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 'two' : ---, fila, fila, fula, fila }  \tag{6}\\
& \text { 'leaf': ---, fla-buru, fula-buru, ---, fia } \\
& \text { 'sleep': sinogo, sunu, sunogo, sinoxs, sinos-ke } \\
& \text { 'you' : -, e, i, i, e }
\end{align*}
$$

In 'leaf', the [i] > [u] change is probably due to the [l] environment. In Diakhanka there are six examples of $v>[u] / \ldots[1]$. Arguments tor an */i/ reconstruction for 'leaf', 'two', and 'slee $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ' are put forth in the *M1 reconstruction and they apply here as well.

The lowering of [i] to [e] in 'you' cannot be adequately dealt with since only one example exists. *Ml strongly suggests */i/ as do Groups A and C.

As aforementioned, the mid front vowels present problems. The only three words which appear to correspond are:
(7) 'tongue': ne, nen, nen, nen-クo, ny $(n)$
'hear' : me, men, men, mero, ---
'cut' : ---, tege, t $\varepsilon g \varepsilon,---, t \varepsilon \varepsilon$
These should be reconstructed $* / \varepsilon /$. A few examples differ slightly from the above:
(8) 'fish': yige, j $\varepsilon \varepsilon$, nyєge, y $\ell g \circ, y \varepsilon \varepsilon$
'foot': sen-, sعn, sen, sin-no, se(n)
'sand': tye, tinye, cen, kenyo, cen
All of the above examples involve a $[\varepsilon]>[i]$ change, two of the three in palatal environments, shedding some light on the matter. All should be reconstructed tentatively as $* / \varepsilon /$. The reconstructions for 'fish' and 'sand' are supported by *Ml. Though 'foot' is tentatively reconstructed */e/ in $*_{M 1}$, the evidence from Groups $A$ and $C$ support the $* / \varepsilon /$ suggestion. There are reflexes of [i], [e] and [ [ ] throughout Mandekan languages for 'foot'. */ $\varepsilon /$ is chosen only because it is best supported by all groups.

The problem for 'one': ---, kele, kele, $k \varepsilon l \varepsilon, k \varepsilon l \varepsilon$ is one of an [e] reflex in Konyanka. Although the majority of the M2 languages signal an */E/
reconstruction，＊M1 as well as Groups A and C support an＊／e／reconstruc－ tion，Kuranks being the one exception。 The proto－form was probably＊／／ with subsequent lowering in M2．
＇Child＇：di，den－ma，den，dindin－クロ，dien poses problems with varia－ tions between high and mid front vowels．The body of the data points equally to both possibilities．＊M1 shows an＊／e／reconstruction．The other groups，however，are mixed equally．Group C attests to＊／e／。 Group $B$ shows two languages with［e］and one with［i］．Group A reconstructs with＊／i／．Without further evidence，the most reasonable approach appears to be to infer vowel lowering in prenasal position and reconstruct a proto＊／i／．The word＇child＇is one word which is cognate through all the languages under study，indicating that it is a form of long ancestry in N．Mande．The attrition attested in vowel lowering，therefore，may have occurred in this form over time，whereas younger forms may not have under－ gone the same change．

The following words all have unique matchings with no apparent explana－ tions：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 'blood': yu, jeli, jeli, yعlo, ye }  \tag{9}\\
& \text { 'sun' : te, tere, tele, tilo, te } \\
& \text { 'fall': --, pe, bi, --, bi }
\end{align*}
$$

＊／E／is a reasonable reconstruction for＇blood＇with＊ML es support． Although the patterning of M1 languages appears to support an＊／i／ reconstruction for＇sun＇，the same does not hold true for＊M2（cf．p．339）． The tentative＂explanation＂offered in Ml of vowel assimilation is a very general process throughout $N$ ．Mande．However，Groups B and C firmly sug－ gest an＊／E／or＊／e／reconstruction，unless the assimilation process occurred in most of these languages after their separation．Neither of these possibilities should be ruled out．The＊M2 form should be recon－ structed as $* / \varepsilon /$ very tentatively，having taken all the evidence into consideration．

The forms for＇fall＇are probably cognate．A choice of＊／e／or＊／i．／ as the proto－vowel again is extremely difficult．In M1 the proto－form was not reconstructed due to the diversity of its phonetic representation in
the different languages: boye, be, bin, be. From the M2 evidence it is fairly clear that the be forms are cognate. The other forms, however, are extremely suspicious. The sure cognates from both corpuses are: (M1) be, be (M2) pe, bi, bi . The cognate forms in Group C are: ..., bia, bela, and from Group A: bira, -.- . In view of this evidence, the vowel chosen will be */i/ since it appears to be the most widespread throughout the languages. Again, the reconstruction is tentative.
'Straight': ---, tele, telini, tilin, laten-ni is probably a case of metathesis in the final form. The other three forms make the consonant structure clear, but the vowel structure again is guesswork. The two vowels in the proto-form were probably different high front vowels, with assimilation leveling the difference in languages such as Konyanka and Diakhanka. *ML speaks for a */tilen/ reconstruction, whereas W above and Kuranko from Group C speak for */telinin/. Which is the correct reconstruction is impossible to say, and both will be entered as possible reconstructions, one in *M1 and one in *M2.

Seven words have straight [i] correspondences in M2 in final position. Three words have an [o] reflex in Diakhanka, found in many cognate forms just as was seen in ML. These may be reconstructed */i/.

The other four irregularities concerning high front vowels in final position are: 'water': je, ji, ji, ji-o, ji ; 'hair': kun-sye, kun-zi, kun-si, ---, kun-ze; 'rain': ---, san-ji, ---, san-ji-o, san-ge, and 'hit': ---, gbasi, gbese, goso-ro, gbasi .
'Water' and 'rain' are from the same word $\% / j i /$ and are clearly cases of vowel lowering. The same should be said for the other two words. *Ml clearly supports this reconstruction for both words, and Group C adds confirmation for 'hit'. The /-o/ suffix is seen again in 'water' and 'hit', assimilating in the one and not the other.

The high-mid front vowels are consistent in four cases in M2, the only irregularity being 'one': ---, kele, k $1 e$, $k \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon$, $k \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon$. The consensus of the languages with this cognate form is for an */e/ reconstruction for final position.

There are two exceptions in five occurrences of low-mid front vowels in final position: 'fish': yige, $j \varepsilon \varepsilon, n y \varepsilon g \varepsilon, y \varepsilon g o, y \varepsilon \varepsilon$ and 'not': --, $t \varepsilon, t \varepsilon$,
te, d $\varepsilon$. The support for an */ $\varepsilon /$ reconstruction for 'fish' is given strongly in ML and Group A. It is evenly divided between */ $/$ / and $* / e /$ in Groups B and C. The choice is a tentative */ $/$ /.

The cognate forms in Ml also suggest an $* / \varepsilon /$ reconstruction for 'not'. 3.2.1.2. Mid. In medial position there is only one aberrance in twelve occurrences of [a]: 'hit': ---, gbasi, gbese, goso-ro, gbasi . This vowel is reconstructed as $\% / a /$ on very skimpy evidence. There is only one example of it in Ml , and two of three forms confirm it in Group C , the other supporting $* / \varepsilon /$. The fact that the latter language in Group $C$ is Kuranks, much more closely related to the Mandekan Group than the other two languages, suggests a shared change in Kuranks and the core of Mandekan not undergone in Group C. The [o] reflex in Diakhanka may again be the suffix absorbing the final vowel followed by assimilation across [s].

The mid vowel is extremely stable in final position in M2 as it is in M1. Only four of twenty examples are irregular, and those deviate only slightly. All of these irregularities involve the $/-0 /$ replacement of final [a] in Diakhanka. These reconstructions are strongly */a/. The curious circumstance here is that this suffix appears in the verbs 'kill' and 'say'. It may be that the $/-0 /$ suffix is a citation form for many kinds of words, or it may be that the informant supplied the nominal forms of these verbals to the investigator. The question cannot be answered here. 3.2.1.3. Back. The high back vowels in medial position are relatively consistent. There are only four exceptions in thirteen occurrences.
'Stand': uli, wuli, wuli, wuli, wii shows a [u] to [i] assimilation.
'What': ---, mun, mun, mun, min shows the same apparent change as 'stand' in a completely different environment. This may be a confusion of 'what' with 'which', a relative pronoun in languages such as Bambara which phonetically are identical to the Mauka elicitation in these data. If this is not the case, then there is no explanation offered. All of the groups suggest a */u/ reconstruction.
'Eat': du, dun, don, domo-ro, --- is problematic. When compared with Ml, there is a strong prejudice to opt for a */u/ reconstruction with vowel lowering to explain the [J] and [0] reflexes above. However,

Kuranks also shows an [o] reflex, as do the rest of Groups $A$ and $C$. This suggests an $\% / \% /$ to $[u]$ change after the Mandekan Group split from Group C and possibly after Kuranks separated from the core of Mandekan. Nevertheless, a couple of the languages in Mandekan have retained the $\% / \% /$ Interesting to note also is that it is Diakhanka, one of the [o] retaining languages which shows vestiges of bisyllabicity not shown in the other languages of M2. Ml also retains bisyllabic forms in three of the four languages, all pointing up an $* / m /$ nasal.
'Ear': to, toro, tolo, tulo, too appears to fit well into the pattern of the $\% / 0 /$ correspondence with [o:0:0:u:0]. There is evidence, however, that it in fact should be reconstructed as */u/. Ml languages are divided, two suggesting $\% / 0 /$ and two suggesting $\% / u /$. Group $C$ also shows an [o] reflex. On the other hand, Groups A and B show a [u] reflex. It is much more probable in these languages for [u] to assimilate across [I] to the final [o] than to assume some type of [o] raising to [u], Diakhanka notwithstanding. Furthermore, it is more likely to assume that Group C changed in accordance with some of the Mandekan languages to which it is closely related, than to assume Groups $A$ and $B$ changed in accordance with the other Mandekan languages to which they are more distantly related. The support lends itself more probably to a $* / u /$ reconstruction with a widespread assimilation process in Mandekan to explain the [o] reflex. If this is the case, then the Diakhanka [u] either did not change because of its environment, or it changed to [o] and then later changed back to [u] because of the [o] to [u] vowel change current in Diakhanka in this environment.

The last high back vowel exception concerns what must be a recent suffix in 'leaf': ---, fla-buru, fula-buru, ---, fia-bo. This suffix form is only shared by Dyula in M1 and is therefore not of great importance to the overall reconstruction. The vowel was most likely a final \%/u/.

There are no aberrances in five examples of final high back vowels.
Only three occurrences of high mid back vowels are deviant.
'Moon': kalo, kalo, karo, karu, kalo shows an [o] to [u] change in final position. Little insight can be offered here, except that this is
the only example in the data in which the vowel $[u]$ follows [a]. */o/ is indicated as the proto-segment in *Ml and Group C.
'Big': bu, buyə, $\dot{\text { O }}$, bon, bo is a case of questionable cognation. If the first two words are cognate with the last three, the [o] to [u] change is not understood. The last three words correspond nicely with M1 and Groups $A$ and $B$. In the absence of further evidence, 'big' will be reconstructed on the basis of the last three languages alone with */o/.
'Nail': ---, bolo-konin-fara, bolo-soni, sonin-ŋว, soin-va is an extremely tentative */o/ reconstruction, as in *M1.

There are three exceptions to the $* / \rho /$ correspondence in medial position in twelve occurrences.
'Belly': ko, kono, kondon, kono, koo was tentatively reconstructed */o/ in *M1, with one reflex of [o] and three of [0]. Studying the other groups is not much help, since Group B, the only group with cognate forms, shows both reflexes also. S.W. Mande shows a [ko] cognate form, however, suggesting the vowel may have been [o] with lowering in nasal environments throughout these languages. The reconstruction will be a tentative $\% / \% /$ for that reason.
'Bird': ko, kono, ---, konد, koon reconstructs strongly as */s/ in *MD $^{\text {M }}$. The support from the other languages under study is scattered. A tentative */o/ is reconstructed in *M2.
'Sleep': sinogo, sunu, sunogo, sinoxo, sinoد-ke reconstructs as */o/ in *M1. Here, too, it is tentatively reconstructed */o/.

One exception exists in eight examples of low mid back vowels in final position: 'belly': ko, kono, kondon, kono, koo. If in fact this final vowel was [o] and the medial vowel was the same, then it is difficult to know which vowel changed and which was assimilated subsequently. The reconstruction is guesswork at best, with the possibility of both vowels having been either [o] or [〕]. Choosing the former would necessarily imply more widespread change. The latter will tentatively be assumed with a subsequent change of the vowel in monosyllables.


## Consonants



## Final

Consonants
Vowels
$*_{n}$


Table 14 - Reconstructed Word List - Proto-M2

| 1 | 'name' | *togo | 8. | 'man' : | *k | 15. | 'nose' | *nun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 'one' | $*_{k}$ - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9. | 'woman' | *muso | 16. | 'eye' | *nya |
| 3. | 'two' | ${ }^{*}$ ila | 10. | 'child' | *din | 17. | 'ear' | *tulo |
| 4 | 'three' | *saba | 11. | 'father': | $*_{\text {fa? }}$ | 18. | 'mouth' | *da |
| 5. | 'four' | *nani | 12. | 'mother': | *ma? | 19. | 'tooth' | *nyin |
| 6. | 'five' | *olu | 13. | 'head' | *kun | 20. | 'tongue': | *nen |
| 7. | 'ferson': | *mogo | 14. | 'hair' : | *kun-si ( ) | 21. | 'neck' | *kan |


| 22. | 'hand' : *bolo | 48. | 'root' : | *lili? | 74. | 'say' | *kuma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23. | 'foot' : *sEn | 49. | 'milk' : | *nono | 75. | 'hear' | *mEn |
| 24. | 'knee' : ? | 50. | 'grease' | *kع *tulu? | 76. | 'wash' | * $k(\underline{w}$ ) 0 |
| 25. | 'nail' : *bolo-sonin | 51. | 'egg' | *kili | 77. | 'see' | * ye |
| 26. | 'breast': *sin | 52. | 'bird' | *kono | 78. | 'give' | * di |
| 27. | 'belly' : *kon(d)응 | 53. | 'fish' | *nyعge | 79. | 'eat' | * domo |
| 28. | 'navel' : *bata-kun | 54. | 'snake' | *sa | 80. | 'drink': | $*_{\text {min }}$ |
| 29. | 'skin' : *gbolo | 55. | 'dog' | $*_{\text {wulu }}$ | 81. | 'kill' | * faga |
| 30. | 'bone' : *kolo | 56. | 'big' | *bon? | 82. | 'cut' | * tege |
| 31. | 'blood' : *j£!i | 57. | 'small' | $*_{\text {dogo }}(\mathrm{n})$ ? | 83. | 'hit' | *gbasi |
| 32. | 'sky' : *san | 58. | 'black' | $*_{\text {fin }}$ | 84. | 'sew' | *kala |
| 33. | 'fire' : *ta | 59. | 'white' | : *gb ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 85. | 'I' | *ne |
| 34. | 'water' : *ji | 60. | 'good' | : *ny ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 86. | 'you' | : *i |
| 35. | 'meat' : *sogo | 61. | 'new' | : *kuta | 87. | 'he' | *a |
| 36. | 'salt' : *kogo | 62. | 'old' | : *koto | 88. | 'we' | *an? |
| 37. | 'many' : *sia-ma | 63. | ' not ' | : *gban(do) | 89. | 'they | $*_{a}(\mathrm{n})$ ? |
| 38. | 'stone' : *kaba | 64. | 'cold' | : *suma (n) | 90. | 'who' | : * jon |
| 39. | 'sun' : *trye | 65. | 'dry' | : *ja-le? | 91. | 'what' | *mun |
| 40. | 'moon' : *kalo | 66. | 'straight': | : *trlini | 92. | 'not' | : *t¢ |
| 41. | 'night' : *su | 67. | ' come' | : *na | 93. | 'long' | : *jan |
| 42. | 'rain' : *san-ji | 68. | 'sit' | : *sigi | 94. | 'short' | *suntu? |
| 43. | 'smoke' : *sisi | 69. | 'lie' | : *la | 95. | 'here' | ${ }^{*}$ yan |
| 44. | 'sand' : *kenyé | 70. | 'sleep' | : *singgo | 96. | 'few' | *don(d)i |
| 45. | 'rope' : *julu | 71. | 'die' | : *sa? | 97. | 'all' | * $\mathrm{b} \underline{\varepsilon}$ |
| 46. | 'tree' : *yiri | 72. | 'fall' | : *bi | 98. | 'path' | : *sila |
| 47. | 'leaf' : *fila | 73. | 'stand' | : *wuli | 99. | 'in' | *kono |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100. | 'if' | : *ni |

Key : $\qquad$ : underscoring reflects tentative reconstruction of a segment.
( ): indicates uncertainty of a reconstructed segment having existed. ? : indicates that the reconstruction was from less than all four languages.
4. Reconstructing Proto-Mandekan

Proto-Mandekan will be reconstructed using *M1 and *M2 cognates.
4.1. Mandekan consonants.

Table 15 - Initial Consonants
Labials

No. of Corr.
56. 'big'
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}b \\ b\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \text { on? }\end{aligned}$
4

7

2

5

5

7

7

16
s in
48. 'root'
[1] ilii
4

Palatals
No. of Corr.

|  | 34. | 'water' | 45. | 'rope' | 65. | 'dry' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */j/ | *Ml : <br> *M2 : | $\left[\begin{array}{ll}j \\ j\end{array}\right.$ |  | [jum |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l}j \\ j \\ j a-1 e n \\ a-1\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | 16. | 'eye' | 19. | 'tooth' | 60. | 'good' |
| */ny/ | *M1 : <br> *M2: | ny $\begin{aligned} & \text { ny } \\ & n\end{aligned}$ |  | [ny $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ny }\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { in }\end{aligned}$ |  | ny in |
|  | 46. | 'tree' | 77. | 'see' | 95. | 'here' |
| */y/ | *MI: <br> *M2: | $\underline{y} \begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & y\end{aligned} \frac{i r i}{i r i}$ |  | $y$ $e$ <br> $y$ $e$ |  | [ $\begin{aligned} & y \\ & y\end{aligned}$ an |

4

$$
4
$$

3

Velars
13. 'head'
*/k/ $\begin{array}{ll}*_{M 1}: & k \\ \text { *M2 } & \text { un } \\ k\end{array}$
The [k:k] correspondences and the [k':k] correspondences do not differ in their environments and appear to both be etymologically reconstructed */k/ in Proto-Mandekan. The Xassonke [ $x$ ] reflex in M1 appears to be some type of random variant.

Labio-velars

| 63. | 'ho |  | 59. | 'wh | te' | 83. | 'h |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */gb/ $\begin{aligned} & *_{\text {Ml }} \\ & \\ &{ }^{*} \mathrm{M} 2\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & g b \\ & g b \end{aligned}$ | an? <br> an (d)o |  | $\begin{aligned} & g b \\ & g b \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ |  | $\underline{g b}$ | asi ${ }^{\text {? }}$ |

Table 16 - Medial Consonants
Labials
30. 'bone'
$\left[\begin{array}{l}k \\ k\end{array}\right.$ 이응
36. 'salt'

| $k^{\prime}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | og ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ |

17


| */n/ | 5. | 'four' |  |  | 49. | 'milk' |  |  | 70. | 'sieep' |  | No. of Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *M1 : <br> *M2: | naa na | $\left[\begin{array}{l} n \\ n \end{array}\right]$ |  |  | מט no | $\left[\begin{array}{l} n \\ n \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\left.\begin{array}{l} s i \\ s i \\ \hline \end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l} n \\ n \end{array}\right]$ | og'o ogo | - 7 |
|  | 9. | 'wom | ' |  | 43. |  | ke |  |  |  |  |  |
| */s/ | * ${ }_{\text {M1 }}$ : * $_{\text {M2 }}$ : | mu | s | $\bigcirc$ |  | si | 5 <br> 5 | i |  |  |  | 2 |

Resonants
2. 'one'

3. 'two'

$$
\begin{array}{l}f+\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right] a\end{array}
$$

22. 'hand'
bo $\left.\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0\end{aligned}$
14
23. 'tree'
24. 'leaf'
?*/r/ *M1: yi $\begin{aligned} \text { *M }^{\text {M2 }}: & \underline{y} i\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ r\end{array}\right] \frac{i}{i}$
fila-bu $\left[\begin{array}{l}- \\ r\end{array}\right] u$
2

Velars

|  | 1. | 'name' |  |  | 53. | 'fish' |  |  | 57. | 'small' |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */g | *M1: <br> ${ }^{*}$ M2 : | to | g | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\frac{n y \varepsilon}{n y \varepsilon}$ | g | $\varepsilon$ |  | do | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{g} \\ & \mathrm{~g} \end{aligned}$ |  | $5(n)$ |

No. of Corr.
23. 'foot'
$n u$
$n u$
$n$

| $s \varepsilon$ | $n$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $s \varepsilon$ | $n$ |

22
4.1.1. Discussion of Mandekan consonant irregularities. Many of the irregularities which would have normally obtained have been leveled by the reconstruction methods used in this paper. Since irregular matchings are submitted to comparison with other language groups, the tentatively reconstructed forms tend to be much more homogeneous at the level where *Ml and * M2 are stirred together to reconstruct Proto-Mandekan.
4.1.1.1. Labials. Only one inconsistency exists in the labial series in any position. 'Mother': *na, *ma shows an [n:m] matching in initial position. It is most probable that these forms are not cognate. In fact, three forms seem to vary throughout the Mandekan languages: [ma], [na] and [ba]. These may be but are probably not cognate.
4.1.1.2. Dentals. 'Short': *sutun, *suntu is the only inconsistent dental matching. As argued in section 3.l.1.2., the best tentative guess is that the form was originally */suntu/ in Proto-Mandekan and underwent a metathesis.
4.1.1.3. Resonants. The resonants, irregular in the languages of Mandekan, are regular at this level of the reconstruction. The assumption made, and the tentative reconstructions proposed, point to the conclusion that $* / 1 /$ was the primary resonant in Proto-Mandekan, the exception being */yiri/. The $[r]$ has developed in medial position since that time to various degrees in the different languages.
4.1.1.4. Palatals. Palatals likewise are very irregular throughout the languages. */j/ is the most reconstructable palatal, with a few tentative reconstructions of both $* / y /$ and $* / n y /$.
4.1.1.5. Velars. The velar irregularities have also been ironed out, especially as concerns what appears to be a somewhat random palatalization of */k/ to [c] in some present forms. There also appears to be no reasonable explanation for what was reconstructed as $* / k^{\prime} /$ and $* / g^{\prime} /$ in Ml. These forms appear to reconstruct nicely with $* / k /$ and $* / g /$ in Proto-Mandekan. All that can be said at this point is that Xassonke (M1) developed an [x] in some words which etymologically had */k/ in initial position, while other words retained the [k]. Similarly, Dyula (Ml) develojed $[\gamma]$ in some words which etymologically had */g/, while retaining [g] in others. 4.l.l.6. Labio-velars. These also show no irregularities. 4.1.1.7. Proto-Mandekan consonant system. It is important after having discussed individual roto-segments in depth to spend some time discussing the proto-system as a whole. The first major observation to be made is the absence of palatal consonants in medial position. Medial position is also devoid of $* / f /, * / w /, * / d /$ and $* / g b /$. Although initial sosition has a system of labials, dentals, palatals, velar and labio-velar, medial position has only labials, dentals and a velar. The only proto-segment occurring in medial ; osition to the exclusion of initial position is $* / r /$ which, as previously mentioned, is a highly tentative reconstruction. It is clear that many of the occurrences of $[r]$ in the modern languages come from original */t/ or */1/.

The patterning of these series (i.e. dentals, velars, etc.) is also an interesting phenomenon in Proto-Mandekan. By far the most frequently used phonemes in both initial and medial positions were dental and velar. Within the dental series it is most interesting to note that */I/ was very common in medial position but not in initial position. On the other hand */s/ was very common and */t/ relatively common in initial position but not in medial position. $* / n /$ was relatively common in both positions, as well as being the only consonant permitted in final position (where it was very frequent). $* / k /$ and $* / g /$ were in complimentary distribution, the former occurring initially and the latter medially. One might prefer to analyze [k] and [g] as allophones of one phoneme $* / k /$ but this does not seem necessary for the purposes of this laper. */k/ and */g/ best preserve the phonetic quality of these segments in their respective positions. Whatever the analysis, velars were very common especially in initial position.

The most problematic aspect of the reconstruction of Proto-Mandekan consonants concerns laterals in medial position and palatals in initial position. Further data must be gathered before these problems can be solved with any assurance of success.
4.2. Mandekan vowels.

Table 18 - Medial Vowels
Front

No. of Corr.
51. 'egg'
$k$
$k$ $\begin{aligned} & i i \\ & i \\ & i\end{aligned}$
53. 'fish'
$\begin{array}{lll}\frac{n y}{n y} & \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} & g \varepsilon \\ g \varepsilon\end{array}$
5

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{~s} & \underline{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \\
\underline{n} \\
\mathrm{n}
\end{array}
$$

21. 'neck'

| $k$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | $n$ |
| $a$ |  |

28. 'navel'
$\left.\begin{array}{l}b \\ b\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned} & \text { ta } \\ & a \\ & \text { ta-kun }\end{aligned}$
14

Back


Table 19 - Final Vowels
Front

|  | 31. | 'blood' | 34. | 'water' | 43. | 'smoke' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| */i/ | *M1 : * $_{\text {M2 }}$ : | $\underset{j}{j} \frac{1}{\underline{\varepsilon} 1}$ |  | $j$  <br> $j$ $i$ <br> $i$  |  | sis $\begin{aligned} & \text { sis } \\ & i \\ & i\end{aligned}$ |
| $? * / e /$ | 39. | 'sun' | 77. | 'see' |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ml}$ : <br> *M2: | til $\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{e}}$ |  | $y$ $y$ |  |  |
|  | 8. | 'man' | 53. | 'fish' | 59. | 'white' |
| */ $\varepsilon /$ | *M1 : * M 2 : | $\frac{k}{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\frac{n y \varepsilon g}{n y \varepsilon g}\left[\begin{array}{l}\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon\end{array}\right.$ |  | gb  <br> gb $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ |

No. of Corr.

No. of Corr.
16. 'eye'

| ny |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ny | $\frac{a}{a}$ |

20

Back


4

7

9
4.2.1. Discussion of Mandekan vowel irregularities. Only a few problems remain to be solved in the vowel series.
4.2.1.1. Front. There are three irregularities in medial position which accidentally converge into an $[i: \varepsilon]$ correspondence. 'Sun':*t $\boldsymbol{i} l e$,
 same correspondence.
'Cut' was probably an [i- $[$ ] vowel sequence in which [i] assimilated to [ $\varepsilon$ ] in some of the languages of Ml. The high front vowel is also attested in Group C in Vai [tic]. Kuranko also shows the high front vowel in the monosyllable [ti], while $K o n o$ has assimilated it to [ $\varepsilon]$ in [t $\varepsilon]$ after consonant deletion. This will tentatively be reconstructed as */tige/.
'Straight' is best reconstructed as as [ $\varepsilon-i]$ sequence. Kuranks [tहlinin] supports this reconstruction. Ligbi [telene] at least supports a reconstruction of [ $\varepsilon$ ] with the second vowel having been lowered. This will tentatively be reconstructed as */t́lin/.
'Sun' is more difficult even to guess at. Since these languages do not as a rule raise mid front vowels to high front vowels, the assumption will be made that the *Ml form */tile/ is correct, with lowering in *M2 being an assimilatory process across [l].
'Child': *den(den), *din poses a similar problem to 'sun' requiring much the same solution. The other $\mathbb{N}$. Mande groups support the */din/reconstruction.
'I': *n $\underline{\varepsilon}$, "ne is easily resolved. All languages of Ml except Dyula have deleted the final vowel leaving [ $n$ ] as the phonetic shape of this word. The vowel needed to be reconstructed in *Ml since it is attested. However, this is the only instance of $[\varepsilon]$ in all of the languages under consideration, the rest having deleted the vowel or attesting [e]. This should then be reconstructed $\% /$ ne/.
4.2.l.2. Mid. These vowels are entirely regular.
4.2.1.3. Back. There are four problems to be resolved concerning back vowels in Proto-Mandekan.
'Belly': kkono, *kon(d)o has been previously dealt with (cf. section 3.2.1.3.). The evidence from S.W. Mande suggests */o/.
'Eat': *dumun, *domon has also been discussed (section 3.2.1.3.). The tentative reconstruction will be */o/.
'Who': *jํn, *jon is difficult to sort out. The best solution appears to be a tentative */o/ reconstruction with vowel lowering explaining the [o] reflex.

Reconstruction of vowels in the words above is difficult because of the inconsistent patterning within the vowel system change. Although there appear to be general tendencies, such as vowel lowering in prenasal positions, the exceptions are so many that any attempt at explanation is ad hoc. This is especially true concerning slight vowel changes such as $[u]$ to [o] or [o] to [J]. However, a few words showing great divergence in vowel forms are difficult problems also. For example, 'blood': *joli, * $j \underline{\varepsilon}$ li shows an [o: $\varepsilon$ ] matching for which a solution has not yet been found. The most distantly related cognates show high back vowels, so */o/ will be opted for tentatively.
4.2.1.4. Proto-Mandekan vowel system. It is important especially for the Mande languages to investigate the vowel system as a whole because of the harmony systems involved. The chart below illustrates the point. Words of two or more syllables were compared to each other for vowel sequences. The $i-i$ or e-e listings represent the vowel sequences in the words. The numbers to the right of these listings indicate the number of occurrences of the listing found in the data.

Table 20 - Vowel Sequence



TOTAL: 36

| Other Combinations | --- | u-i (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ?u-e (1), u-a (3), u-o (2) | --- | --i (2) |
| o-u (1), a-o (2) | $\varepsilon-\mathrm{i}$ (1) | --i (1) |
| ? $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{e}$ (1) | a-i (2) |  |
| TOTAL: 10 | TOTAL: 14 |  |

The "like vowel" combinations were not counted in the "non-like vowel" combinations. The breakdown for "like vowel" combinations and "non-like vowel" combinations is as follows:
(11) Like vowel combinations $=36$
i combinations $=14$
a combinations $=11$
u combinations $=8$

- combinations $=7$
e combinations $=3$
$\varepsilon$ combinations $=3$
o combinations $=2$
It should be mentioned that the totals do not add up for a reason. "Non-like vowel" combinations such as a-i were entered twice in the breakdown above, once as an a combination and once under i .

These statistics are significant evidence of the preference of ProtoMandekan for like vowel sequences, explaining in part the rampant vowel assimilation occurring in these languages.

A few other interesting facts can be drawn from the data. First of all, the most likely vowels to combine with other vowels are [i] and [a], the least likely being the mid vowels [ $e$ ], [ $\varepsilon$ ] and [ $\rho$ ] which are very rare in "non-like vowel" combinations. This is not particularly remarkable for [e] and $[\varepsilon]$ which are difficuit to reconstruct even in "like vowel" sequences. They are simply vowels which are infrequently used (in these data). [o], on the other hand, is extremely common in "like vowel" sequences yet very rare in "non-like vowel" sequences, occurring only twice, both times in combination with [i].

In Proto-Mandekan, then, the overwhelming tendency seems to have been vowel harmony. When this did not obtain in polysyllabic words [i] and [a] played a neutral role, combining with the other vowels fairly frequently. The mid vowels ([o] excepted) had a much more restricted frequency of occurrence.

Table 21 - Proto-Mandekan System


Final

## Consonants

Vowels


Table 22 - Reconstructed Word List - Proto-Mandekan

| 1. 'name' | *togo | 9. 'woman' | *rnuso | 17. 'ear' : | *tulo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. 'one' | *kelen | 10. 'child' : | *din | 18. 'mouth' | *da |
| 3. 'two' | *fila | 11. 'father': | *fa? | 19. 'tooth' | $*_{n y}$ in |
| 4. 'three' | *saba | 12. 'mother': | $*_{\text {ma? }} \sim *_{n a}$ ? | 20. 'tongue': | $*_{n \varepsilon n}(\mathrm{e})$ |
| 5. 'four' | *naani (n) | 13. 'head' | *kun | 21. 'neck' | *kan |
| 6. 'five' | * loolu | 14. 'hair' | *kun-si(gi)? | 22. 'hand' | *bolo |
| 7. 'person': | *məgo | 15. 'nose' | *nun | 23. 'foot' | *sen |
| 8. 'man' | *K | 16. 'eye' | *nya | 24. 'knee' : | *kumbelen? |

Table 22 (continued)


Key: $\qquad$ : underscoring reflects tentative reconstruction of a segment.
( ) : indicates uncertainty of a reconstructed segment having existed.
? : indicates that the reconstruction was from less than all languages.
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W. E. A. van Beek (University of Utrecht), "Color terms in Kapsiki"
K. Ebert (PhiIlips University, Marburg), "Marking of definiteness in Kera"
N. Skinner (University of Wisconsin), "Domestic animals in Chadic"
P. Newman (University of Leiden), "Hlaterals (lateral fricatives) in Chadic"
J. Carnochan (SOAS), "Aspects of Bachama phonology"

The conference procedings are to be published. For details write to
Dr. Roxana Newman, Afrikaanse Taalkunde, University of Leiden, Stationsplein 10, Leiden, The Netherlands.
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## Announcement:

Beginning with the 1976 volume, James E: Redden has been appointed Section Head for the African Linguistics and Afro-Asiatic Linguistics Sections of the Modern Language Association of America International Bibliographies. Authors and publishers are requested to send him complete bibliographic information including page numbers on books and articles on African languages and linguistics and also on the languages and linguistics of the Semitic Middle East. However it will not be necessary to send items in the standard journals such as Studies in African Linguistics since these are covered automatically. Redden's address is Department of Linguistics, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901.

## Corrigendum:

The names of Kay Williamson, Ben Elugbe, and Sister Ann Angela Uwalaka, all of the University of Ibadan, were inadvertently omitted from the Table of Contents as co-authors with Peter Ladefoged of the article "The stops of Owerri Igbo" appearing in Papers in African Linguistics in Honor of Wm. E. Welmers (Supplement 6 to Studies in African Linguistics). The editors of the supplement regret this oversight and apologize to those concerned.
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[^0]:    *I am indebted to Drs. Olasope O. Oyelaran and David O. Oke for their comments on an earlier version of this paper. The paper is a modified version of a chapter of my forthcoming book on The Yoruba Lexicon. An earlier version was presented at the llth West African Languages Congress at Yaoundé, Cameroun. The faults of the paper are entirely mine.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ From Chomsky [1965:84], we find that the application of categorical rules ends with the occurrence of preterminal strings which consist mainly of complex symbols. The terminals are obtained through the use of lexical transformations which replace the specified syntactic features or complex symbols that form the preterminal strings with the appropriate lexical formatives.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Afolayan's statement is not actually accurate because from (5) we find that ali adjectives are nominalizable with à . But Afolayan made a distinction between a class he calls attributive adjectives and another one, predicative adjectives, and only the latter are nominalizable. Hence from his attributive adjectives like títóbi 'big', ńlá 'big' and dáradára 'good' we cannot derive *aitítóbi, *àílá and *àidáradára respectively. Also, he does not recognize exceptions to nominalizable VP's. But VP's

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Yoruba progressive aspect formative has two alternate forms of pronunciation: either a syllabic nasal which is homorganic with the following consonant or the nasalized vowel [ $\tilde{u}]$. The latter explains the exceptions to Yoruba nominalizable VP's more easily than the syllabic nasal. So, we choose the nasalized vowel in this work.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ In Oke [forthcoming], it was suggested that/máań/, i.e. [máa] + [ứ], is a single Yoruba auxiliary contrary to the practice of other grammarians, including Ọe at an earlier stage, who regard them as two auxiliaries.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In addition to its transformational derivation in reflexive structures, ara 'self' can also occur in the intensive use of the personal pronoun, e.g. in èmi fúnra mi (I for-self my) 'I myself'. Apart from this intensive use, ara 'self' is only a transformationally derived formative.
    ${ }^{9}$ Bamgbose [1966] made a distinction between "pronouns", i.e. conjunctive pronouns, like mo 'I' and "pronominals", i.e. disjunctive rronouns, like èmi 'I'. The pronominals have the syntactic characteristics of nouns, so we can say that they are replaceable with pronouns.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ The positive abstract noun version of (17), which is a very common Yoruba word is imotaraeninikan 'selfishness'. This common word contains a transformationally inserted formative (ara) which creates a lexical insertion problem for a Yoruba generative syntax.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ The relative is discussed in Ekundayo [1972]. The conditions under which the transformationally derived obligatory REL element may be optionally deleted are stated there.

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ We shall not repeat the gloss for (23a) in each of (24a-e) in order to save space. Instead, we shall represent it as (23a); and the full gloss of each of the expressions in (24) can be obtained by substituting the gloss for (23a) for the representation (23a) in (24a-e), e.g. (24a) is 'using a blind child for preparing soap is not good'.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ When an earlier version of this paper was presented at the llth West African Languages Congress in Yaoundé, Cameroun (April 1974), some native

[^10]:    speakers of Yoruba objected to the production of the subject noun of (26) on the ground that such complex items are not taught in schools, but everybody agreed that although it can be regarded as an entirely new lexical item, it is Yoruba, and it can be interpreted by any native speaker of the language. In the spoken language, one may never come across a noun involving as many as seven repeated applications of the /à/ + VP rule like (26). But I often hear simple cases of repeated applications as in àifaimáṣeé 'not failing to do it'. So, (26) is just an extension of such expressions. The important point, however, is that repeated applications exist.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Instead of recognizing $N C$ as a different syntactic category from $N$, we may just have the rule $N P \rightarrow N$ DET $S$ and another rule $N \rightarrow N C$ where $N$ is rewritten as a category symbol; but we hesitate to take that alternative because, in the standard theory, only complex symbols occur on the right of the arrow whenever $\mathbb{N}$ is on the left.

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ Actually, we need not state any condition on rule (ll) since nobody states such. For instance, the $S$ in Yoruba PS rule NP $\rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ DET $S$ can dominate a relative but never an imperative or interrogative sentence; yet this $S$ is not specially marked, nor is there any condition in the categorial subcomponent indicating that it is not imperative or interrogative. Similarly, VP is always written as VP in rules although the VP in (27c) excludes those prohibited by the condition on (1l).
    ${ }^{16}$ Instead of using the formative boundary symbol, + , to represent word boundary in (29), we use the empty space between words for this purpose. So, since we do not use boundary symbols, the first part of the lexical amalgamation operation, which deletes boundary symbols, applies vacuously.

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ We cannot discuss the putative subjects of nominalized VP's as that is beyond the scope of this paper. But we assume that such VP's generally have indefinite subject NP's which are deleted by an indefinite subject $N P$ deletion rule. If we do not make this assumption, our tree diagram will be simpler and less deep since many derivational steps would be omitted through the merging of several $V^{\prime}$ 's, e.g. VP and VP into one. Whichever step is taken has no effect on the lexical amalgamation proposal.

[^14]:    ${ }^{18}$ Some Yoruba examples in 1975 are given here: agbomiró (a + VP = [gba omi ró]) for 'water pipe' constructed by the present writer while translating a Christian Science lecture on the power of prayers by Albert B. Crichlow. (See A.B. Crichlow, 1973 1975, "Agbára Àaurà," Christian Science Board of Directors, Boston, Mass., p. 1.) On June 4, 1975, we had àjo káfọớwowókòléèwò ọm Nigeria àti Britain as a Yoruba translation of 'the Nigeria-Britain Friendship Association' from W.N.T.V./W.N.B.S. news broadcast at 7:30 p.m. The neologism káfowówowókol éèwò can be translated literally with the sentence 'it is not wrong for people to mix together'. Then, on Monday, 3rd November, 1975, from the same television network during a similar broadcast at 7:30 p.m., we had bí orin se jé apàbànújérun with
     inú jẹ́] run], where the new word apàbànújérun is translatable as 'something which destroys sorrow'. If lexical insertion in deep structure is not supplemented with lexical amalgamation elsewhere, then lexicographers must anticipate all new syntactically derived nouns and record them in dictionaries for lexical insertion purposes in the standard and basic theories. No such dictionary can be constructed.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ This research was funded by the University of Nairobi. I am grateful to G. N. Clements and J. M. Stewart for comments on an earlier version of the paper. Thanks are due to the following (dialects in parentheses), who have been patient and willing informants: John Mathenge and Anne Mahĩhũ (Kîkũyũ), Bilhah Mũthoni anả Charles Rũrigi (Embu), D.S.N. Mũkaindo (Mbeere), Mũtegi Mbũngũ (Cuka), Kĩraithe (Mũthambĩ), Boniface Njirũ

[^16]:    ${ }^{2}$ The downstep before kina between the main and subordinate clauses contrasts with the utilisation by the other dialects of an extra-high tone. The citation forms of the relevant verbal words are:

    Cuka: ndétéré:rè
    Mũthambĩ: ndarétéré: rê
    Tharaka: indaré:téré:re

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ We found a number of reasons for doubting some of the statistics presented by Long. First of all, we found some words to be listed wrong, such as the word for 'five' appearing under the column for 'four'. Secondiy, certain figures did not appear to add up right. For example,

[^18]:    ${ }^{3}$ The suffix - 00 is a Xassonke innovation and not reconstructible in Proto-Mandekan.

