NEMATODE POPULATIONS AND SHORT-TERM TOMATO GROWTH IN RESPONSE TO NEEM-BASED PRODUCTS AND OTHER SOIL AMENDMENTS

Mohammad Akhtar¹ and Irshad Mahmood²

Plant Protection Division, Institute of Agriculture, Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202 002, India.

RESUMEN

Akhtar, M. y I. Mahmood. 1994. Poblaciones de nematodos y crecimiento de tomate en suelos enmendados con compostas de estiercol vacuno, urea, sulfato de amonio y productos a base de neem. Nematrópica 24:169-173.

La incorporción de compostas a base de estiérol bovino, urea, sulfato de amonio y los productos a base de neem "Achook" y el "Nimin" recubierto de urea a suelos agrícolas, resultaron en mayor aumento de nematodos de vida libre y menor aumento de los fitoparásitos, aunado a un mejor crecimiento del tomate (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Todos los tratamientos significativamente redujeron el número de nematodos fitoparasitos; pero los tratamientos con Achook y Nimin recubierto de urea fueron los que produjeron las mayores reducciones. Los pesos secos y humedos del follaje fueron inducidos en todos los tratamientos.

Palabras clave: estiércol de bovino, biopesticida, fertilizador inorgánico, neem, nematodos fitoparásitos, urea.

Soil amendment with organic waste materials has been recognized as a means to suppress plant-parasitic nematode populations (2,4,12,19). Use of organic wastes can improve the physical, chemical, and microbial characteristics of soil and increase crop yield (13,14,20). Free-living nematodes accelerate the decomposition of organic soil amendments (1) and increase the mineralization of nitrogen and phosphorus (9). Following the addition of organic and inorganic fertilizers to soil, populations of microbivorous nematodes can increase rapidly (6,11), and densities of plant-parasitic nematodes may decline (7,10,21). Rodriguez-Kabana et al. (17) concluded that the efficacy of organic additives depends on their chemical composition and the type of micro-organisms which develop during degradation. Freeliving nematodes directly increase amounts of nitrate-nitrogen in soil and thus have an important role in decomposition of organic matter and recycling of plant nutrients (9). Organic amendments

may thus supply plant nutrients which result in crop-yield improvement. The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of composted cattle manure, urea, ammonium sulfate and two neembased products on tomato growth and populations of free-living and plant-parasitic nematodes.

An experiment was conducted in a field that had been under cultivation for several years. The soil was a sandy loam with a pH of 8.3 and organic matter less than 1.0%. The field was divided into 80 plots $(2 \times 3 \text{ m})$ separated by 0.5 m wide alleys. The field was disced twice before addition of the soil amendments.

Plots were treated separately with composted cattle manure (110 kg N/ha), urea (110 kg N/ha), ammonium sulfate (110 kg N/ha), Achook® (5 kg/110 kg N urea/ha) or Nimin® (1 kg/110 kg N urea/ha) (Achook® and Nimin® are neem-based products of Godrej Agrovet Ltd., Bombay, India). Achook is a neem-based biopesticide containing 2800 ppm neem com-

pounds including azadirachtin, azadiradione, nimbicinol, and epinimbocinol. Nimin is an urea-coating agent containing triterpenes", which helps improve the efficiency of N fertilizer utilization by the crop (3). Additional treatments included doubling and tripling the above rate of the soil amendments. Untreated plots were included and did not receive soil amendments or fertilizer. All treatments were applied immediately before transplanting except the composted cattle manure treatment which was added 2 weeks prior to transplanting 15day-old seedlings of 'Pusa Ruby' tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Forty seedlings were transplanted into each plot. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with 5 replications of each treatment including untreated plots.

Proper care, weeding, and watering were performed as needed. Ninety days after transplanting, tomato plants were harvested and fresh and dry foliar weights and heights were recorded. Dry weights were determined by placing the plants in an oven for three hours at 60°C.

Soil samples consisting of 16-20 cores were collected 3 days before treatment and the next day after harvest to 20-25 cm deep from the center of each plot using a standard 2.5-cm-diam cylindrical probe. Cores were composited and a 100-cm³ aliquant was used for nematode extraction. Populations of free-living and plant-parasitic nematodes were extracted from the soil by Cobb's sieving and decanting method followed by the Baermann funnel technique (8).

Free-living and plant-parasitic nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita (Kofoid & White) Chitwood, Hoplolaimus indicus Sher, Helicotylenchus indicus Siddiqi, Rotylenchulus reniformis Lindford and Oliveira, Tylenchus filiformis (Bastion) were counted separately. Root-galling by Meloidogyne

incognita was rated on the 0-5 scale of Sasser *et al.* (18). Fisher's least significant differences (FLSD) were calculated ($P \le 0.05$) on all data collected.

The addition of composted manure, urea, or ammonium sulfate significantly reduced the total number of plant-parasitic nematodes, reduced root-galling on tomato and increased numbers of free-living nematodes (Table 1). However, the greatest reduction in plant-parasitic nematode population and lowest development of root galling was observed with the Achook treatment followed by Nimin treatment, while composted manure was found to be the least effective. Populations of free-living nematodes were most stimulated by the incorporation of ammonium sulfate, followed by Nimin®, composted manure, and urea alone. Increased doses of the treatments were found effective in further reducing the populations of plantparasitic nematodes. All treatments resulted in increased dry and fresh weights (excluding fruit weights) and height of tomato plants over the nontreated control. Foliar weight of plants treated with Achook was increased three-fold over the control. Plant height was generally similar to plant weight, but treatment effects were less evident.

Anderson et al. (5) showed a positive correlation between nitrogen mineralization and activity of free-living nematodes. Opperman et al. (15) also noted that ammonia nitrogen concentrations were enhanced immediately after the addition of cattle manure and resulted in a synchronous increase in bacterivorous nematode populations. Inorganic fertilizers containing ammonia nitrogen or formulations releasing this form of nitrogen in the soil can suppress nematode populations (16). In this study, urea was effective in suppressing the plant-parasitic nematodes when applied at 110 kg N/ha. The neem-based

Table 1. Effect of soil amendments on nematode numbers, root-galling, and shoot weight and height of tomato plants treated with soil amendments.*

Composted cattle manure 1X* 1260 870 2.2 13.5 65.5 Urea 2X 1980 682 1.8 15.3 70.2 Urea 1X 2120 470 1.0 16.7 77.7 Urea 1X 950 782 2.8 12.4 60.3 Ammonium sulfate 1X 1450 87 14.5 77.7 Ammonium sulfate 1X 176 8.7 70.0 Ammonium sulfate 1X 176 8.7 70.0 Ammonium sulfate 1X 176 8.7 70.0 Ammonium sulfate 1X 178 70.0 70.0 Ammonium sulfate 1X 178 70.0 70.0 Ammonium sulfate 1X 18.3 70.0 70.0 Achook** 1X 680 1.2 70.0 70.0 Achook** 1X 680 1.2 70.0 70.0 70.0 Mimin**	Soil amendment	Rate	No. of free-living nematodes ^y	No. of plant- parasitic nematodes ^y	Root galling ^y	Dry shoot weight (g)	Dry shoot weight Fresh shoot weight (g)	Plant height (cm)
2X 1980 682 1.8 15.3 3X 2120 470 1.0 16.7 1X 950 782 2.8 12.4 3X 1135 580 2.0 13.3 ste 1736 816 2.5 14.5 ate 1X 1796 816 14.5 3X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 3X 1020 200 0.3 18.4 1x 1780 512 1.3 15.6 1x 1780 512 1.0 15.7 1x 2210 280 0.8 17.8 1x 2210 280 0.8 17.8 1x 2210 280 0.8 17.8 1x 2210 280 0.8 0.8 1x 2210 </td <td>Composted cattle manure</td> <td>$1X^{z}$</td> <td>1260</td> <td>870</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>13.5</td> <td>65.5</td> <td>80.8</td>	Composted cattle manure	$1X^{z}$	1260	870	2.2	13.5	65.5	80.8
ate 1X 2120 470 1.0 16.7 1X 950 782 2.8 12.4 3X 1450 892 1.6 1.45 2X 1450 816 2.5 14.3 2X 1450 816 2.5 14.3 3X 2175 409 1.3 1.0 2X 900 318 0.8 16.7 3X 1020 200 0.3 18.4 1X 210 200 250 1.3 18.4 1X 210 200 250 2.3 18.4 1X 32 210 200 250 250 2.3 18.4 1X 32 210 200 250 2.3 18.5 1X 33 2510 250 250 250 2.3 18.5 1X 34 2510 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 25		2X	1980	682	1.8	15.3	70.2	84.6
ate 1X 950 782 2.8 12.4 2X 1135 580 2.0 18.5 3X 1450 392 1.6 14.5 2X 1970 677 1.8 15.2 3X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 5X 900 318 0.8 17.2 1rea 1780 201 1.3 18.4 1x 1780 201 1.3 18.4 1x 1780 201 1.3 18.4 1x 1780 201 201 1.3 18.4 1x 1780 201 201 1.3 18.4 1x 2x 2012 400 1.3 18.4 1x 1780 201 201 1.3 18.4 1x 120 201 201 201 201 201 201 201		3X	2120	470	1.0	16.7	7.77	89.5
ate 135 580 2.0 13.3 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5	Urea	1X	950	782	2.8	12.4	60.3	77.6
ate 3X 1450 392 1.6 14.5 2X 1796 816 2.5 14.3 3X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 3X 1020 200 0.3 18.4 brea 1X 1780 1.3 15.6 roll 2X 2012 400 1.3 15.6 roll 2X 2210 280 0.8 17.8 roll - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 roll - 178 9.8 0.1 3.8		2X	1135	580	2.0	13.3	65.7	82.0
aite 1X 1796 816 2.5 14.3 2X 1970 677 1.8 15.2 1X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 1X 900 318 0.8 17.2 1xea 1780 200 0.3 18.4 1xea 1780 400 1.3 15.6 1xea 2X 2210 280 1.6 16.7 1xea 760 2570 3.0 9.8 9.8 1xea 178 98 0.1 3.8 9.8		3X	1450	392	1.6	14.5	71.4	9.98
2X 1970 677 1.8 15.2 3X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 Jrea 1X 1780 0.3 18.4 Jrea 1X 1780 0.3 18.4 roll 2012 400 1.3 15.6 roll 2210 280 0.8 17.8 roll - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 roll - 178 98 0.1 3.8	Ammonium sulfate	1X	1 796	816	2.5	14.3	70.0	64.0
3X 2175 409 1.3 16.1 1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 3X 1020 200 0.3 18.4 brea 1X 1780 1.3 15.6 roll 2X 2012 400 1.0 16.7 roll - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 roll - 178 98 0.1 3.8		2X	1970	229	1.8	15.2	76.0	7.07
1X 680 412 1.0 16.7 2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 Jrea 1020 200 0.3 18.4 Jrea 1X 1780 1.3 15.6 x 2012 400 1.0 16.7 xoll 2210 280 0.8 17.8 xoll - 760 2570 9.8 9.8 xoll - 178 98 0.1 3.8		3X	2175	409	1.3	16.1	80.1	74.1
2X 900 318 0.8 17.2 Jrea 1020 200 0.3 18.4 Jrea 1X 1780 512 1.3 15.6 SX 2012 400 1.0 16.7 rol) - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 rol) - 178 98 0.1 3.8	Achook®	1X	089	412	1.0	16.7	80.0	9.06
Jrea 3X 1020 200 0.3 18.4 Jrea 1X 1780 512 1.3 15.6 2X 2012 400 1.0 16.7 rol) 3X 2210 280 .08 17.8 rol) - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 rol 178 98 0.1 3.8		2X	006	318	8.0	17.2	87.7	96.4
Jrea 1X 1780 512 1.3 15.6 2X 2012 400 1.0 16.7 3X 2210 280 .08 17.8 rol) - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 - 178 98 0.1 3.8		3X	1020	200	0.3	18.4	90.4	100.7
2X 2012 400 1.0 16.7 3X 2210 280 .08 17.8 rol) – 760 2570 3.0 9.8 – 178 98 0.1 3.8	Nimin® coated Urea	1X	1 780	512	1.3	15.6	75.0	86.2
3X 2210 280 .08 17.8 rol) - 760 2570 3.0 9.8 - 178 98 0.1 3.8		2X	2012	400	1.0	16.7	80.4	89.4
rol) – 760 2570 3.0 9.8 – 178 98 0.1 3.8		3X	2 2 1 0	280	80.	17.8	84.3	94.6
- 178 98 0.1 3.8	Untreated (control)	1	260	2570	3.0	8.6	28.4	55.6
	FLSD $(P \le 0.05)$	1	178	86	0.1	3.8	2.8	4.2

*Data are a mean of five replicates. 'Nematodes were extracted from 100 cm $^{\rm s}$ soil; root-galling was rated on a scale of 0-5.

²1X = single strength composted cattle manure, urea, or ammonium sulfate at 110 kg N/ha and Achook at 5 kg/110 kg urea N/ha and Nimin at 1 kg/100 kg urea N/ha; 2X = double strength, 3X = triple strength.

products Achook and Nimin were effective under the conditions of this test. Akhtar and Alam (2,3) also observed that neem oil cakes, leaves and oil were effective in reducing plant-parasitic nematode populations. Further work on efficacy and economics, however, will be needed on these products.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The senior author wishes to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India for financial support in the position as Research Associate.

LITERATURE CITED

- ABRAMS, B. I., and M. J., MITCHELL. 1980. Role of nematode-bacterial interactions in heterotrophic systems with emphasis on sewage-sludge decomposition. Oikos 35:404-410.
- AKHTAR, M., and M. M. ALAM. 1991. Integrated control of plant parasitic nematodes on potato with organic amendments, nematicide and mixed cropping with mustard. Nematologia Mediterranea 19:169-171.
- AKHTAR, M., and M. M. ALAM. 1993. Control of plant-parasitic nematodes by Nimin - an ureacoating agent and some plant oils. Plant Disease and Protection 100:337-342.
- AKHTAR, M., and M. M., ALAM. 1993. Utilization of waste materials in nematode control: A review. Bioresource Technology 45:1-7.
- ANDERSON, R. V., D. C. COLEMAN, C. V. COLE, and E. T. ELLIOT. 1981. Effect of nematodes Acrobeloides sp. and Mesodiplogasto theriteri on substrate utilization and nitrogen and phosphorus mineralization in soil. Ecology 62:549-555.
- De GUIRAN, G., L. BONNEL, and M. ABI-RACHAD. 1980. Landspreading of pig manures. IV. Effect on soil nematodes. Pp. 109-119 in J.E. Gasser, ed. Effluents from Livestock. Applied Science Publications, London, United Kingdom.

- HEALD, C. M., and G. W. BURTON. 1968. Effect of organic and inorganic nitrogen on nematodes on turf. Plant Disease Reporter 52:46-48.
- HOOPER D. J. 1986. Extraction of free-living stages from soil. Pp. 5-22 in J. F. Southey, ed. Laboratory Methods for Work with Plant and Soil Nematodes. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food, HMSO: London, United Kingdom.
- 9. INGHAM, R. E., J. A. TROFYMOW, E. R. ING-HAM, and D. C. COLEMAN. 1985. Interactions of bacteria, fungi and their nematode grazers. Effect of nutrients cycling and plant growth. Ecological Monographs 55:119-140.
- MANKAU, R., and R. J. MINTEER. 1962. Reduction of soil populations of the citrus nematode by the addition of organic materials. Plant Disease Reporter 46:375-378.
- MARSHALL, V. G. 1977. Effect of manures and fertilizations on soil fauna: A review. Special Publication No. 3. Commonwealth Bureaux of Soils. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- 12. MULLER, R., and P. S. GOOCH. 1982. Organic amendments in nematode control. An examination of the literature. Nematropica 12:319-329.
- NISHIO, M., and S. KUSANO. 1980. Fluctuation patterns of microbial numbers in soil applied with compost. Soil Science Plant Nutrition 26:581-593.
- PARA, A., G. VALLINI, I. SIRENO, M. L. BIANCHIN, and D. M. BERTOLDI. 1983. Effect of organic matter on rhizosphere microorganisms and root-development of sorghum plants in two different soils. Plant and Soil 73:3-18.
- OPPERMAN, M. H., M. WOOD, P. J. HARRIS, and C. P. CHERRETT. 1983. Nematode and nitrate dynamics in soils treated with cattle slurry. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 25:19-24.
- RODRIGUEZ-KABANA, R. 1986. Organic and inorganic nitrogen amendments to soil as nematode suppressants. Journal of Nematology 18:119-135.
- RODRIGUEZ-KABANA, R., G. MORGAN-JONES, and I. CHET. 1987. Biological control of nematodes: Soil amendments and microbial antagonists. Plant and Soil 100:237-247.
- SASSER, J. N., C. C. CARTER, and K. M. HART-MAN. 1984. Standardization of host suitability

- studies and reporting of root-knot nematodes. North Carolina State University Graphic, Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S.A.
- SINGH, R. S., and K. SITARAMAIAH. 1970.
 Control of plant-parasitic nematodes with organic soil amendments. PANS 16:287-296.
- 20. STEFFEN, R. 1979. The value of composted organic matter in building soil fertility. Compost Science and Land Utilization 20:34-37.
- 21. TOMERLIN, H. A., and G. C. SMART. 1969. The influence of organic soil amendments on nematodes and other soil organisms. Journal of Nematology 1:29-30.

Received:

3.I.1994

Accepted for publication:

5.XII.1994

Recibido: Aceptado para publicación: