PATHOLOGICAL RELATIONSHIP OF MELOIDOGYNE HAPLA AND PHYTOPHTHORA MEGASPERMA F. SP. MEDICAGINIS IN TWELVE MEDICAGO SATIVA L. CULTIVARS[†]

G. D. Griffin and F. A. Gray²

Nematologist, USDA ARS, Forage and Range Research Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322-6300, U.S.A.,¹ and Professor, Department of Plant, Soil and Insect Sciences, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, U.S.A.²

ABSTRACT

Griffin, G. D., and F. A. Gray. 1994. Pathological relationship of *Meloidogyne hapla* and *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. medicaginis in twelve Medicago sativa L. cultivars. Nematropica 24:35–43.

Effect of prior inoculation with *Meloidogyne hapla* on the performance of 12 cultivars of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) with varying resistance to *M. hapla* was studied under greenhouse conditions. Inoculation with the fungus alone caused plant mortality in the fungus-susceptible cultivar Deseret but not in susceptible Vernal. None of the *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis*-resistant cultivars died from Phytophthora root rot (PRR). Inoculation with *M. hapla* alone resulted in mortality in nine of 12 cultivars. Combined inoculation of the two pathogens increased mortality in 10 of the 12 cultivars; only Nevada Synthetic XX (Nev Syn XX) germplasm and Chief with dual resistance were unaffected by the addition of the nematode. Inoculation with the fungus alone suppressed the dry shoot weight in four cultivars, including Vernal and Deseret, both susceptible to *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis*. *Meloidogyne hapla* reduced dry shoot weights and reproduced on roots of all entries except Nev Syn XX. *Meloidogyne hapla* reduced root weights of four of six alfalfa cultivars resistant to the nematode and five of six cultivars susceptible to the nematode. The fungus suppressed root weights in four cultivars including Vernal and Deseret. Severity of PRR was lowest in the resistant cultivar Kingstar and highest in the susceptible cultivar Deseret. Overall, *M. hapla* increased the susceptibility of alfalfa to *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis*, but the fungus did not affect the resistance or susceptibility to *M. hapla*.

Key words: alfalfa, cultivars, Medicago sativa, nematode reproduction, Phytophthora root rot, shoot weight, resistance, root-knot nematode, susceptibility.

RESUMEN

Griffin, G. D. y F. A. Gray. 1994. Relación patológica de *Meloidogyne hapla y Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* en 12 cultivares de *Medicago sativa*. Nematrópica 24:35-43.

Se estudió el efecto de pre-inoculaciones con *Meloidogyne hapla* sobre el desarrollo 12 cultivares de alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) con variada resistencia a *M. hapla*, en condiciones de invernadero. La inoculación solo con el hongo produjo mortalidad en el cultivar susceptible al hongo Deseret pero no en el Vernal también susceptible. Ninguno de los cultivares resistentes a *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* murió de pudrición radical por *Phytophthora* (PRF). Nueve de los 12 cultivares inoculados solamente con *M. hapla* murieron. La inoculación con hongo y nematodo causó muerte en 10 de los 12 culti-

[†]Cooperative investigation by USDA ARS, the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, and the University of Wyoming Experiment Station, and the University of Wyoming Experiment Station. Journal Paper No. 4483. Mention of a trade, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or Utah State University.

vares; los que sobrevivieron fueron Nevada Synthetic XX (New Syn XX) y el Chief. La inoculación solamente con el hongo disminuyó el peso seco de los rebrotes de cuatro cultivares incluyendo el Vernal y el Deseret, ambos susceptibles a *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis. Meloidogyne hapla* redujo el peso seco de rebrotes y se reprodujo en 11 cultivares excepto en el New Syn XX. También *M. hapla* redujo el peso radical de cuatro de los seis cultivares de alfalfa resistentes al nematodo y cinco de los seis susceptibles al nematodo. El hongo redujo el peso radical de cuatro cultivares incluyendo el Vernal y el Deseret. En síntesis, *M. hapla* incrementó la susceptibilidad de la alfalfa a *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis*, pero el hongo no afectó la resistencia o susceptibilidad a *M. hapla*.

Palabras clave: alfalfa, cultivares, Medicago sativa, reproducción del nematodo, pudrición radical de Phytophthora, peso de rebrotes, resistencia, nematodo agallador, susceptibilidad.

INTRODUCTION

Phytophthora root rot (PRR) caused by Phytophthora megasperma sp. medicaginis Kuan & Erwin (4) is an important disease of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) in the United States (17). It is particularly severe in flood-irrigated fields in the western United States (3,5,13). Stand reductions of up to 75% in the midwest (16) and the Intermountain Region (5) were found where the fungus attacks seeds, seedlings, older plants, and Rhizobium nodules (7).

The incidence of fungal diseases, including PRR, increases in the presence of root-knot nematodes, Meloidogyne spp., including the northern root-knot nematode, M. hapla Chitwood (6,9,12,15,18). There are differences in the incidence of PRR on 'Apollo II' alfalfa, which is resistant to P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis and is susceptible to M. hapla, and on 'Deseret' alfalfa, which is susceptible to both pathogens (6). New alfalfa cultivars with PRR resistance are being developed released at a rapid rate and have been classified for resistance or susceptibility to nematodes and the fungus by the Certified Alfalfa Seed Council (1).

Differences in the resistance and susceptibility of alfalfa cultivars and germplasm to *M. hapla* have been reported (8,11). Hence, this study was conducted to determine the host-parasite relationship

between *M. hapla* and *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* on commercial alfalfa cultivars for possible differences in resistance and susceptibility of alfalfa to single and combined inoculations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials: Alfalfa entries used in the study were chosen for resistance and susceptibility to M. hapla and P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis and classified as highly resistant, resistant, marginally resistant, or susceptible (1). The germplasm Nevada Syn XX (Nev Syn XX) and cultivars Chief, Kingstar, Lobo, and WL 316 are classified as resistant to both M. hapla and P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis (Table 1). Trident, Apollo II, Commandor, Chief, Cimarron, and Vernal are resistant to P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis but not to M. hapla, and Deseret is susceptible to both pathogens.

Nematode inoculum: Eggs of M. hapla used as inoculum were originally obtained from lettuce plants (Lactuca sativa L.) collected in northern Utah and cultured in the greenhouse on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. cv. Rutgers). Inoculum was collected with the sodium hypochlorite method (14) and refrigerated at 5 C for less than 24 hr. in deionized water until applied to plant roots.

Fungal inoculum: Stock cultures of a Wyoming isolate of *P. megasperma* f. sp. medicaginis obtained from diseased alfalfa were maintained on cornmeal agar. The inoculum was prepared by placing two 5-mmdiam plugs of mycelium from stock cultures into 1,000-ml roux culture bottles containing 160 ml of sterilized, liquid V-8 juice medium (6). After 5 days, cultures were shaken vigorously to fragment mycelia and placed in a horizontal position for an additional 9 days. Inoculum was prepared by blending the mycelial mat from one culture bottle in 238 ml of sterilized deionized water the day of plant inoculations.

Experimental procedure: Alfalfa transplants were grown from scarified seeds treated with Captan®, and pregerminated on filter paper. Bacterial inoculum (Rhizobium meliloti Dang.) was placed with the seed at planting to insure nodulation and infection (6,7,12). Fifteen-day-old alfalfa seedlings were transplanted singly into individual plastic containers (6-cm-diam × 21-cm-deep) filled with 540 cm³ of a 1:1 mixture of steam-sterilized Kidman fine sandy loam (coarse-loamy mixed mesic Calcic Haploxeroll; 91% sand, 5% silt, 4% clay; pH 7.2; 1.0% organic matter) and Logan clay loam (mixed mesic Typic Calciaquolld; 27% sand, 31% silt, 42% clay; pH 7.8; 2.5% organic matter) (6).

Twenty days after transplanting, plants were treated as follows: plants in treatments 1 and 3 were inoculated with 1 000 M. hapla eggs in 10 ml deionized water; those in treatment 2 were inoculated with a 12.5-ml suspension of fragmented fungal mycelia of P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis per plant (6). Plants in treatment 4 served as the uninoculated controls. Twenty-eight days after inoculated with a 12.5 ml suspension of fragmented with a 12.5 ml suspension of fragmented mycelia per plant. Plants were inoculated by pouring nematode eggs and (or) fragmented mycelia

into four holes 10 cm deep in the soil around the hypocotyl base. Plants were grown at a controlled greenhouse bench temperature of $26 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C. Supplemental light for a 19-hr daylength was provided by high-output fluorescent lamps. The experiment design was a two-way factorial (12 cultivars × four inoculations) arranged in a randomized complete-block design. The four treatments per alfalfa entry contained 12 replicates each.

Shoots of each plant were clipped and weighed after 45 days, when 10% of the plants had flowered. The experiment was terminated 96 days after the final inoculation and the percentage of plants surviving was determined. Plants were then removed from pots, shoots were reclipped, and roots were washed free of soil. Dry weights of both shoots and roots were determined. Roots were rated for PRR severity and M. hapla galling (6). Phytophthora root rot severity was rated on a scale of 1 to 6: 1 = none; 2 = slight (fine roots destroyed or minor lesions); 3 = moderate (1–2 distinct lesions per root); 4 = severe (many elongated lesions or 25–50% taproot rotted); 5 = very severe (more than 50% tap root rotted, plant nearly dead); 6 = plant dead. Each plant was evaluated for the percentage of the root system galled on a scale of l to 6: 1 = no galls, 2 = 1 to 10%, 3 = 11 to 30%, 4 = 31 to 50%, 5 = 51 to 80%, and 6 =81 to 100% galled roots. Nematode eggs were extracted from root tissue (12), and the nematode reproductive indices (Pf/Pi = final nematode population divided by initial nematode inoculum) were determined. Data were analyzed using an analysis of variance test. When the F value was significant, means were separated at $P \le$ 0.05 using Duncan's new multiple range test. Percentage plant survival data were transformed using arcsine transformation. The study was repeated with similar results, and data presented are from the first experiment.

RESULTS

All plants of the alfalfa entries except Deseret (susceptible check) survived a single inoculation of the fungus (Table 1). Plant survival in cultivars inoculated with *M. hapla* alone was greater in nematoderesistant cultivars (except in Lobo, WL 316, and Vernal) than in susceptible cultivars. In 10 of 12 cultivars, fewer plants inoculated with a combination of both pathogens survived than did plants inoculated with either fungus or nematode alone.

As with plant survival, inoculation with *M. hapla* alone suppressed dry shoot weight of surviving plants of all cultivars

except Nev Syn XX (Table 2). Inoculation with *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* alone suppressed the dry shoot weight of Trident, Cimarron, Vernal, and Deseret. Dry shoot weight of plants inoculated with a combination of the two pathogens was affected more by resistance to *M. hapla* than by resistance to *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis*. Vernal (marginally resistant to *M. hapla*) and Deseret (susceptible to *M. hapla*) had the greatest suppression in shoot weight following the combined inoculation with both pathogens.

Meloidogyne hapla alone suppressed dry root weights of all alfalfa cultivars except Nev Syn XX, Kingstar, and Trident (Table 3). Phytophthora megasperma f. sp. medicagi-

Table 1. Effect of the interaction between *Meloidogyne hapla* (Mh) and *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* root rot (PRR) on the survival of plants in resistant and susceptible alfalfa entries. w.x

Alfalfa	Fall ^y -	React	ion to ^z	Pla	nt survival (9	%) after 4 mont	ths
entry	dormancy	Mh	PRR	Mh	PRR	Mh+PRR	Control
Nev Syn XX	4	HR	R	100 aA	100 aA	100 aA	100 aA
Chief	4	MR	HR	100 aA	100 aA	100 aA	100aA
Kingstar	3	MR	R	100 aA	100 aA	83 bB	100 aA
Lobo	6	R	R	92 bB	100 aA	83 bC	100 aA
WL 316	4	MR	MR	92 bB	100 aA	83 bC	100 aA
Trident	4	S	HR	83 cB	100 aA	75 cC	100 aA
Apollo II	4	S	HR	83 cB	100 aA	75 cC	100 aA
Commandor	4	S	R	92 bB	100 aA	75 cC	, 100 aA
Shield	3	S	R	83 cB	100 aA	75 cC	100 aA
Cimarron	4	S	MR	92 bB	100 aA	83 bC	100 aA
Vernal	2	MR	S	83 cB	100 aA	75 cC	100 aA
Deseret	5	S	S	83 cC	92 bB	$67~\mathrm{dD}$	100 aA

[&]quot;Values are the means of 12 replications (one plant per replicate), and means not followed by the same letter differ $(P \le 0.05)$ according to Duncan's new multiple range test (lower case letters for columns, capital letters for rows). Data were transformed using arcsine transformation.

^{*}Initial inoculation of plants with single inoculations of *M. hapla* and *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* at 35 days. *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* followed *M. hapla* by 28 days for combined inoculations.

Fall dormancy is rated on a 1-9 scale, 1 = most dormant (winterhardy) and 9 = least dormant.

²S = susceptible; MR = moderately resistant; R = resistant; HR = highly resistant according to Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, 1992.

Table 2. Effect of the interaction between Meloidogyne hapla (Mh) and Phytophthora megasperma f. sp. medica	aginis
root rot (PRR) on shoot weight of resistant and susceptible alfalfa entries. x, y	

	React	ion to ^z		Dry shoot	weight (g)	
Alfalfa entry	Mh	PRR	Mh	PRR	Mh+PRR	Control
Nev Syn XX	HR	R	2.7 aA	2.5 abA	2.4 aA	2.8 aA
Chief	MR	HR	2.2 abB	2.8 abA	2.3 aB	3.0 aA
Kingstar	MR	R	2.1 abcB	2.7 abA	2.2 aB	3.0 aA
Lobo	R	R	$2.0~{ m bcC}$	2.5 abB	2.1 aC	2.9 aA
WL 316	MR	MR	1.8 bcB	2.8 abA	1.6 bB	3.0 aA
Trident	S	HR	1.9 bcB	2.3 abcB	1.3 bC	2.9 aA
Apollo II	S	HR	2.0 bcB	2.9 aA	2.1 aB	3.0 aA
Commandor	S	R	1.8 bcB	2.5 abA	2.1 aB	2.8 aA
Shield	S	R	1.6 bcB	2.5 abA	1.6 bB	2.9 aA
Cimarron	S	MR	1.7 bcC	2.2 bcB	1.3 bC	2.8 aA
Vernal	MR	S	1.6 bcB	1.8 cB	$0.8~\mathrm{cC}$	2.9 aA
Deseret	S	S	1.5 cB	1.8 cB	$0.8~\mathrm{cC}$	2.8 aA

*Values are the means of 12 replications (one plant per replicate), and means not followed by the same letter differ $P \le 0.05$) according to Duncan's new multiple range test (lower case letters for columns, capital letters for rows).

^yInitial inoculation of plants with single inoculations of *M. hapla* and *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* at 35 days. *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* followed *M. hapla* by 28 days for combined inoculations.

nis alone suppressed root weights of Cimarron, WL 316, Vernal, and Deseret, which have PRR ratings of MR, MR, S and S, respectively. Combined inoculation with both pathogens suppressed root weight in 11 cultivars (compared to control) and in Kingstar and Trident (compared to inoculation with M. hapla alone). Only when both pathogens were present was there a suppression of root weight in Kingstar. Root weights of the resistant germplasm Nev Syn XX were not suppressed by either single or combined inoculations of the nematode and fungus.

All alfalfa entries exhibited root galling to some extent by *M. hapla* (Table 4). Between 27 and 28% of the root tissue of those cultivars classified as moderately resistant (I) were galled (average gall rating of 3.8). Adding the fungus to the inoc-

ulum did not reduce the root gall indices of any entry despite resistance classification. The Pf/Pi ratio in cultivars inoculated with M. hapla alone (excluding Nev Syn XX) ranged from 79 in Lobo and Chief (resistant to M. hapla), and WL 316 (marginally resistant) to 111 in Shield and Deseret (susceptible to M. hapla). Combined inoculations suppressed nematode reproduction. The Pf/Pi ratio in the combined inoculation of the two pathogens ranged from 49 in WL 316 to 95 in Shield, whereas the greatest suppression in nematode reproduction was on Deseret. The Pf/Pi ratio for M. hapla in Nev Syn XX alone and combined inoculations was < 1.

Although the severity of PRR was low in this study (Table 5), many plants had typical root-rot lesions. Following inoculation with *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* alone,

²S = susceptible; MR = moderately resistant; R = resistant; HR = highly resistant according to Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, 1992.

Table 3. Effect of the interaction between Meloidogyne hapla (Mh) and Phytophthora megasperma f. sp. medicaginis	
root rot (PRR) on root weight of plants in resistant and susceptible alfalfa entries.**,y	

_	React	ion to ^z	Root weight			
Alfalfa entry	Mh	PRR	Mh	PRR	Mh+PRR	Control
Nev Syn XX	HR	R	3.3 aA	2.9 bcA	2.9 aA	3.4 abA
Chief	MR	HR	2.4 bcB	3.4 aA	2.2 cdeB	3.3 abcA
Kingstar	MR	R	3.5 aA	3.3 abA	2.6 abcB	3.5 aA
Lobo	R	R	2.3 bcdB	3.4 aA	2.7 abB	3.4 abA
WL 316	MR	MR	2.4 bcB	$2.4~\mathrm{deB}$	2.1 deB	3.0 abcA
Trident	S	HR	2.8 bA	2.9 bcA	$2.0~\mathrm{deB}$	3.0 abcA
Apollo II	S	HR	2.1 cdB	3.0 abA	2.3 bcdB	3.2 abcA
Commandor	S	R	2.1 cdB	2.9 bcA	2.2 cdeB	3.1 abcA
Shield	S	R	2.0 cdB	2.7 cdA	$1.9~\mathrm{deB}$	2.9 abcA
Cimarron	S	MR	1.9 cdB	2.2 eB	1.8 efB	2.8 abcA
Vernal	MR	S	$1.8~{ m cdB}$	2.0 eB	1.4 fC	2.6 cA
Deseret	S	S	$1.7~\mathrm{dB}$	2.0 eB	$1.6~\mathrm{fC}$	2.7 bcA

^{*}Values are the means of 12 replications (one plant per replicate), and means not followed by the same letter differ $(P \le 0.05)$ according to Duncan's new multiple range test (lower case letters for columns, capital letters for rows).

PRR ratings ranged from 1.17 in the dual resistant cultivar Kingstar to 2.08 in the dual susceptible cultivar Deseret, and were greater in Deseret, Nev Syn XX, and WL 316 than in the other entries. Kingstar had the lowest PRR rating following inoculation with the fungus or with both pathogens. The addition of *M. hapla* increased PRR only in Deseret and reduced PRR in Nev Syn XX.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study were consistent with the results of other studies concerning the relationship between plant-parasitic nematodes and fungal pathogens.

Meloidogyne hapla affected the resistance of alfalfa to *P. megasperma* f. sp. medicaginis, but the fungus did not affect the resistance or susceptibility to *M. hapla* (6,12). Plant growth and survival from single and combined inoculations of *M. hapla* and *P. megasperma* f. sp. medicaginis were similar to the reported resistance and (or) susceptibility of the alfalfa entries to these two pathogens.

The decrease in the PRR of Nev Syn XX in the presence of *M. hapla* could be a result of an antagonism between the nematode and fungal zoospores (6). This is consistent with previous findings where seedling damping-off decreased when inoculated simultaneously with both

¹Initial inoculation of plants with single inoculations of *M. hapla* and *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* at 35 days. *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* followed *M. hapla* by 28 days for combined inoculations.

^{*}S = susceptible; MR = moderately resistant; R = resistant; HR = highly resistant according to Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, 1992.

	Reaction to ^x		Root gallii	ng indices ^y	Reproduction ^z		
Alfalfa entry	Mh	PRR	Mh	Mh + PRR	Mh	Mh + PRR	
Nev Syn XX	HR	R	1.8 dA	1.4 cA	< 1 cA	< 1 eA	
Chief	MR	HR	4.3 abcA	3.8 aA	79 bA	55 cdB	
Kingstar	MR	R	3.9 acbA	3.1 bA	85 bA	62 bcdB	
Lobo	R	R	3.7 bcA	3.0 bA	79 bA	60 bcdB	
WL 316	MR	MR	3.3 cA	2.9 bA	79 bA	49 dB	
Trident	S	HR	4.8 aA	4.2 aA	108 aA	77 abcB	
Apollo II	S	HR	4.2 abcA	4.0 aA	99 aA	76 abcB	
Commandor	S	R	4.8 aA	4.2 aA	96 abA	80 abcB	
Shield	S	R	4.4 abA	4.0 aA	111 aA	95 aB	
Cimarron	S	MR	4.3 abcA	3.8 aA	98 aA	82 abB	
Vernal	MR	S	4.8 aA	4.1 aA	97 aA	68 bcdB	
Deseret	S	S	4.9 aA	4.2 aA	111 aA	63 bcdB	

Table 4. The effect of *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* root rot (PRR) on root galling and reproduction (Pf/Pi) of *Meloidogyne hapla* (Mh) on plants in resistant and susceptible alfalfa entries.^{u,w}

pathogens (6). The low severity of PRR is probably a result of the short length of this study. PRR severity may have increased had the study continued for more than 4 months, which is characteristic of field conditions (7).

The major objective of this study was to determine if data generated with local nematode and fungal inoculum are consistent with the resistance classification given for commercial alfalfa cultivars (1). Commercial cultivars are selected for resistance based upon root gall indices and not on nematode reproduction. However, the Pf/Pi ratio for entries in this test with highly resistant, resistant, marginally resistant and susceptible levels of resistance were < 1, 79, 57, 77, respectively. Thus, only Nevada Syn

XX with a Pf/Pi ratio < 1 was resistant. Since root galling and reproduction are not always closely related, nematode reproduction is a more accurate means of determining resistance, and only plants with a Pf/Pi ratio < 1 should be classified as resistant (2,10). Since a high M. hapla Pf/Pi ratio was found on all entries except Nev Syn XX in this study, a reclassification of those cultivars selected for this, study should be considered. Those plants showing normal plant growth with a high Pf/Pi ratio should be classified as tolerant and not resistant (2,10). Accurate determinations of plant resistance are important since the nematode can predispose resistant plants to fungal and bacterial pathogens (9,12,17).

[&]quot;Values are the means of 12 replications (one plant per replicate), and means not followed by the same letter differ $(P \le 0.05)$ according to Duncan's new multiple range test (lower case letters for columns, capital letters for rows).

[&]quot;Initial inoculation of plants with single inoculations of M. hapla and P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis at 35 days. P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis followed M. hapla by 28 days for combined inoculations.

^{*}S = susceptible; MR = moderately resistant; R = resistant; HR = highly resistant according to Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, 1992.

 $^{^{9}1 = \}text{no galls}, 2 = 1 \text{ to } 10\%, 3 = 11 \text{ to } 30\%, 4 = 31 \text{ to } 50\%, 5 = 51 \text{ to } 80\%, 6 = 81 \text{ to } 100\% \text{ root tissue galled.}$

²Final nematode population per plant/initial nematode population (inoculum) per plant.

Table 5. Effect of the interaction between *Meloidogyne hapla* (Mh) and *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* root rot (PRR) on the development of Phytophthora root rot (PRR) of plants in resistant and susceptible alfalfa entries. **u,x**

	React	ion to ^y	PRR rating (1-5) ^z		
Alfalfa entry	Mh	PRR	PRR	Mh + PRR	
Nev Syn XX	HR	R	1.91 aA	1.19 сВ	
Chief	MR	HR	1.32 bA	1.36 cA	
Kingstar	MR	R	1.17 bA	1.00 cA	
Lobo	R	R	1.68 bA	1.79 bA	
WL 316	MR	MR	2.02 aA	2.41 bA	
Trident	S	HR	1.45 bA	1.94 bA	
Apollo II	S	HR	1.57 bA	1.50 cA	
Commandor	S	R	1.36 bA	1.38 cA	
Shield	S	R	1.45 bA	1.94 cA	
Cimarron	S	MR	1.55 bA	1.38 cA	
Vernal	MR	S	1.68 bA	1.94 bA	
Deseret	S	S	2.08 aB	2.93 aA	

^wValues are the means of 12 replications (one plant per replicate), and means not followed by the same letter differ (P≤0.05) according to Duncan's new multiple range test (lower case letters for columns, capital letters for rows).

Since Nev Syn XX germplasm is susceptible to a race of *M. hapla* from California (8), and all other entries were susceptible to the population of *M. hapla* used in this study, these populations may differ genetically from those used to develop *M. hapla* resistant alfalfa cultivars. It is important to determine whether cultivars of alfalfa may vary in resistance to *Meloidogyne* spp., including *M. hapla*, to avoid loss in field resistance. If possible, cultivars should be screened with nematodes from the region(s) where the alfalfa cultivars are to be grown.

Recurrent phenotypic selection based on resistance to a single pathogen should increase levels of resistance in alfalfa to several pathogens including *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* and *M. hapla*. Higher levels of resistance and more stable field resistance to *P. megasperma* f. sp. *medicaginis* may be possible if plants are selected after they have been sequentially inoculated with both the nematode and the fungus (6).

LITERATURE CITED

- ANONYMOUS. 1992. Alfalfa varieties, 1992/93 edition. Certified Alfalfa Seed Council, Davis, California, 1pp.
- COOK, R., and K. EVANS. 1987. Resistance and tolerance. Pp. 179–231 in R. H. Brown and B. R.

^{*}Initial inoculation of plants with single inoculations of M. hapla and P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis at 35 days. P. megasperma f. sp. medicaginis followed M. hapla by 28 days for combined inoculations.

^yS = susceptible; MR - moderately resistant; R = resistant; HR = highly resistant.

^z1 = no disease; 2 = slight; 3 = moderate; 4 = severe; 5 = very severe.

- Kerry, eds. Principles and Practice of Nematode Control in Crops. Academic Press: New York.
- 3. ELGIN, J. H. Jr., D. W. EVANS, and J. M. KRAFT. 1972. Occurrence of Phytophthora root rot of alfalfa in Washington. Plant Disease Reporter 56:830–831.
- ERWIN, D. C. 1965. Reclassification of the causal agent of root rot of alfalfa from *Phytoph-thora cryptogea* to *P. megasperma*. Phytopathology 55:1139–1143.
- GRAY, F. A., W. H. BOHL, and R. H. ABER-NATHY. 1983. Phytophthora root rot of alfalfa in Wyoming. Plant Disease 67:291–294.
- GRAY, F. A., G. D. GRIFFIN, D. A. JOHNSON, J. W. ECKERT, and J. E. KAZIMIR. 1990. Interrelationships between *Meloidogyne hapla* and *Phy*tophthora megasperma f. sp. medicaginis in seedling damping-off and root infection of alfalfa. Phytopathology 80:228-232.
- GRAY, F. A., and R. B. HINE. 1976. Development of Phytophthora root rot of alfalfa in the field and the association of *Rhizobium* nodules with early root infections. Phytopathology 66:1413–1417.
- GRIFFIN, G. D., and M. V. McKENRY. 1989. Susceptibility of Nevada Synthetic XX germplasm to a California race of *Meloidogyne hapla*. Journal of Nematology 21:292–293.
- GRIFFIN, G. D., and B. D. THYR. 1988. Interaction of Meloidogyne hapla and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. medicaginis on alfalfa. Phytopathology 77:421–425.
- GRIFFIN, G. D., R. N. PEADEN, and W. J. KNIPE. 1991. Root-knot nematode resistance. Meloidogyne hapla Chitwood, M. incognita Chitwood, M. javanica (Treub) Chitwood, and M.

- chitwoodi (race 2). Pp. 1–2 in C. Fox et al., eds. Standard tests to characterize alfalfa cultures, Third Edition Section N2, North American Alfalfa Improvement Conference.
- GRIFFIN, G. D., M. D. RUMBAUGH, and D. L. CREBS. 1990. Northern root-knot nematode populations and soil temperature effect on alfalfa. Crop Science 30:541–544.
- GRIFFIN, G. D., F. A. GRAY, D. A. JOHNSON, and D. L. CREBS. 1993. Factors affecting the pathological relationship of *Meloidogyne hapla* and *Phytophthora megasperma* f. sp. medicaginis in Medicago sativa L. Nematropica 23:183–193.
- HINE, R. B., F. A. GRAY, and M. H. SCHON-HORST. 1972. Phytophthora root rot of alfalfa in Arizona. Plant Disease Reporter 56:472–473.
- HUSSEY, R. S., and K. R. BARKER. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. Plant Disease Reporter 57:1025–1028.
- POWELL, N. T. 1971. Interactions between nematodes and fungi in disease complexes. Annual Review of Phytopathology 9:253–274.
- SCHMMITTHENNER, A. F. 1964. Prevalence and virulence of *Phytophthora, Aphanomyces, Pyth*ium, *Rhizoctonia*, and *Fusarium* isolated from diseased alfalfa seedling. Phytopathology 54:1012– 1018.
- STUTEVILLE, D. L., and E. C. ERWIN (eds.).
 1990. A compendium of alfalfa diseases. American Phytopathological Society: St. Paul, Minnesota. 84 pp.
- WELTY, R. E. 1980. Effects of Meloidogyne hapla and M. incognita on Phytophthora root rot of alfalfa. Plant Disease 64:1097–1099.

Received: Recibido:

20.VII.1993

Accepted for publication:

24.III.1994

Aceptado para publicación: