RESEARCH/INVESTIGACIÓN

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF DIFFERENT LARVAL STAGES OF THE TOMATO LEAF MINER, *TUTA ABSOLUTA* (MEYRICK) (LEPIDOPTERA: GELECHIIDAE) TO SELECTED ENTOMOPATHOGENIC NEMATODE SPECIES

A. Saleh*

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Al-Quds University, Abu Deis, Palestine; *Corresponding author: <u>Azzam@staff.alquds.edu</u>

ABSTRACT

Saleh, A. 2023. Susceptibility of different larval stages of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: gelechiidae) to selected entomopathogenic nematode species. Nematropica 53:58-66.

Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a key threat to tomato production worldwide. Current management methods remain insufficient to ensure effective control of this insect pest. Hence, more scientific research is needed towards finding more effective and sustainable solutions. In the present study, the efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode (EPN) species *Steinernema feltiae* UTP-5, *S. carpocapsae* E-76, and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* AVB-15 was tested against the 1st/2nd and 3rd/4th larval stages of *T. absoluta* at different concentrations (5 infective juveniles (IJs) cm⁻², 10 IJs cm⁻², 20 IJs cm⁻²) under laboratory conditions (25 \pm 1°C, RH 60%).

Key words: Biological control, Heterorhabditis sp., Steinernema sp., tomato leaf miner

RESUMEN

Saleh, A. 2023. Susceptibilidad de diferentes estadios larvales del minador de la hoja del tomate, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: gelechiidae) a especies seleccionadas de nematodos entomopatógenos. Nematropica 53:58-66.

Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) es una amenaza clave para la producción de tomate en todo el mundo. Los métodos de manejo actuales siguen siendo insuficientes para garantizar un control eficaz de esta plaga de insecto. Por lo tanto, se necesita más investigación científica para encontrar soluciones más efectivas y sostenibles. En el presente estudio, la eficacia de diferentes especies de nematodos entomopatógenos (EPN); como, *Steinernema feltiae* UTP-5, *S. carpocapsae* E-76, y *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* AVB-15 se probaron contra los estadios larvales 1°/2° y 3°/4° de *T. absoluta* a diferentes concentraciones (5 JsI cm⁻², 10 JsI cm⁻², 20 JsI cm⁻²) en condiciones de laboratorio (25 ± 1°C, HR 60%).

Palabras clave: Minador de la hoja de tomate, control biológico, Steinernema sp., Heterorhabditis sp.

INTRODUCTION

The tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is an

invasive pest that affects tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) grown in the greenhouse and field (Desneux *et al.*, 2011). Although the pest is considered to originate from South America, its

present wide geographical distribution has made it the most devastating tomato pest across other continents (Tropea Garzia et al., 2012). Over the past decade, T. absoluta has become a serious threat to the world's leading tomato-producing countries (Biondi et al., 2018; Mansour et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020; EPPO, 2021). The larval stages of T. absoluta can feed on all the aboveground parts of the tomato plant including the fruits, leaves, and stems (Tropea Garzia et al., 2012). The larvae start feeding by mining into the leaves, stems, and immature fruits. Then, the larvae tend to stay inside the galleries they made during feeding until pupation (Urbaneja et al., 2013). At high population densities, the larvae can cause severe yield losses, ranging between 50 and 100% (EPPO, 2021).

The control of *T. absoluta* is quite challenging due to the internal feeding habits of larvae, high reproductive capacity, and acquired resistance against various insecticides (Garzia et al., 2012; Inak et al., 2021). Chemical insecticides used against this pest generally fail to satisfy growers' expectations. Moreover, the increasing use of insecticides leads to various environmental and marketing problems (Arnó and Gabarra, 2011; Shalaby et al., 2012). Therefore, many researchers are in search of sustainable control methods against T. absoluta (Batalla-Carrera et al., 2010; Yüksel et al., 2017; Nderevimana et al., 2019). In this context, the entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) of the families Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae are obligate parasites of insects, which have been successful in controlling a great variety of insects (above and underground) of agricultural importance (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2017; Yuksel and Canhilal, 2018; Öğretmen et al., 2020; Özdemir et al., 2021). Infective juveniles (IJs) can search for a suitable host in the application environment (Lewis et al., 2006). Under favourable conditions, they are capable of killing the target host within a short period with the help of the symbiotic bacteria (Xenorhabdus sp. and Photorhabdus sp.) that they carry in their intestine (Boemare, 2002; Lortkipanidze et al., 2016; Akhurst and Boemare, 2018). Foliar application of EPNs was studied against many insect pests and some of these studies showed that EPNs can be used effectively if applied under optimal conditions (Batalla-Carrera et al., 2010; Beck et al., 2013).

However, earlier studies showed that the virulence of EPNs varies remarkably among EPN

species and their isolates (Yüksel *et al.*, 2018; Husin and Port, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate the geographical species and isolates of EPN on target pests in the laboratory before conducting field studies to achieve successful control. This study was conducted with the most virulent EPN isolates that had demonstrated effectiveness against various agricultural pests in earlier studies. The susceptibility of different larval stages of *T. absoluta* was evaluated to native EPN species and the capability of infective juveniles (Ijs) to find the larvae inside leaf galleries of tomato.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Entomopathogenic nematodes

The three EPN species (Steinernema feltiae UTP-5, S. carpocapsae E-76, and Heterorhabditis bacteriophora AVB-15) obtained from the Cappodoccia region, Turkey were evaluated in the pathogenicity bioassays (Canhilal et al., 2017; Yuksel and Canhilal, 2019). The infective juveniles (IJs) of the EPN species were propagated using the last larval instar of the greater wax moth, Galleria mellonella (L.) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) under laboratory conditions ($25 \pm 1^{\circ}C$ and 60% RH) (Kaya and Stock, 1997). The population of G. mellonella was provided by the Entomology Department of Erciyes University. The larvae of G. mellonella were cultured in glass jars using the artificial diet described by Metwally et al. (2012) and kept at $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and 60% RH. The last larval instars of G. mellonella were handpicked and 10 larvae were added to each Petri dish containing 2 filter papers. The IJs of each EPN species in 1-ml aqueous solution were inoculated to Petri dishes at the concentrations of 200 IJs/dish and kept at 25 \pm 1°C and 60% RH for three days. After three days of exposure to the IJs, the dead larvae were placed in modified White Traps and observed for 10 days for the emergence of IJs. The IJs that emerged from the cadavers of G. mellonella were collected in beakers and rinsed several times. After rinsing, the IJs were stored horizontally in cell culture flasks (250 ml) containing distilled water (50 ml) at 9°C (Kava and Stock, 1997; Öğretmen et al., 2020). Only two-week-old IJs were used in these experiments. The identification of EPN to species level was conducted under the Zeiss Axio A1 light microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Photographs and measurements from the specimens were taken using ZEN light microscopy software.

The culture of Tuta absoluta

The population of T. absoluta larva was collected from infested tomato fields from the provinces of Mersin and Antalya in the Mediterranean region of Turkey and transported to the Laboratory of the Ercives University in plastic containers (45 x 30 x 25 cm). To attain a sufficient number of larvae for pathogenicity bioassays, the larvae were reared on potted tomato plants under controlled conditions ($25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 60% RH, and 16:8 h L: D photoperiod). To increase the reproduction of adult T. absoluta, several pieces of cotton wool submerged in a 10% honey solution were placed in a cage ($60 \times 60 \times 60 \text{ cm}^3$) as a source of food. The larval instars of T. absoluta were differentiated by measuring the head capsules of the larvae (Gullan and Cranston, 2005).

Pathogenicity bioassays

Petri dish bioassay. The contact efficacy of three native EPN species against the 1st/2nd (L1 and L2) and $3^{rd}/4^{th}$ (L3 and L4) larval instar of T. absoluta was evaluated in Petri dishes with 25 g of sterilized air-dried sandy soil with distilled water (10%, w/w) (surface area 8.8 cm²). Different concentrations of the IJs of each EPN species (0, 5, 5)10, 20 IJs cm⁻²) in 1 ml tap water were applied onto the soil surface using a micropipette and one healthy larva (L1/L2 or L3/L4) was added to each Petri dish. Petri dishes were maintained at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}C$ and 60% RH for three days following the application of IJs, and mortality rates were recorded on a daily basis. The dead larvae were dissected and examined under a stereo microscope to confirm the infection of EPN IJs. Each EPN concentration was tested against 10 larvae and replicated four times. Only 1 ml of tap water was applied to the control treatments.

Leaf bioassay. In the leaf bioassay, the IJs of each EPN were tested for their ability to infect the larvae inside the leaf galleries. One-month-old tomato seedlings (SC-2121) infected with larvae of *T. absoluta* were collected from different greenhouses and brought to the laboratory in pots. The leaves were observed for two days and sorted

based on the presence of the pest at different larval stages. To confirm the larval instars, 10 leaves were chosen randomly and removed using a fine needle and brush. Subsequently, the head capsules of the larvae were measured. The IJs of each EPN species were applied to the surface of a leaf containing only one larval stage of *T. absoluta* at the concentrations of 0, 5, 10, and 20 IJs cm⁻², and the treated leaves were put into Petri dishes containing two filter papers. To keep the leaves fresh, the petioles were covered with a paper towel dampened with tap water. The Petri dishes were sealed with parafilm and kept at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 16:8 L: D photoperiod and 60% RH for three days. Each concentration was evaluated using 10 larvae, applying only tap water to the leaves as a control. The mortality of larvae was monitored daily by observing and gently nudging the larvae with a fine needle. Nematode infection was confirmed following the same aforementioned protocol. Each treatment was replicated 4 times, and there were 10 larvae for each replication. Only 1 ml of tap water was applied to the control treatments.

Statistical analysis

The experiments were laid out in a completely randomized design (RCD) with four replicates. Each bioassay was repeated twice on different dates and pooled for analysis. Data were analyzed using SPSS (Version 18.0) statistical software package following normality tests. The repeated measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA) was arcsine transformation performed after (Rangaswamy, 2013). No corrections were made to the mortality rates before analysis since there was no mortality in the control treatments. Tukey's multiple range tests ($P \le 0.05$) were performed to separate the mean of mortality rates.

RESULTS

Petri dish bioassay. The EPN treatments and their associated interactions significantly (P < 0.05) affected the mortality rates of *T. absoluta* in the Petri dish bioassays except for the interactions between nematode concentration and larval stage of *T. absoluta* (C*L) (P = 0.112). The mortality rates of *T. absoluta* increased as the exposure time and the concentration of IJs increased. The maximum mortality (100%) for L1/L2 was obtained by only one EPN (*S. carpocapsae* E-76) at the highest application concentration. In general, *S. carpocapsae* E-76 caused the highest mortality against L1/L2 and L3/L4 of *T. absoluta* at all application concentrations and exposure times except for 72 hr of exposure at the 10 EPN IJs cm⁻² concentration. All EPN species caused mortalities over 90% in both bioassays against L1/L2 and L3/L4 of *T. absoluta* after 72 hr of exposure. *S. carpocapsae* E-76 induced remarkable mortalities at 20 IJs cm² concentration against L1/L2 and L3/L4 of *T. absoluta* 48 hr after treatment. (Table 1).

Leaf bioassay. In the leaf bioassay, the differences in mortality rates of *T. absoluta* larvae were significantly affected by all main treatments [EPN species (N), IJs concentrations (C), and exposure times (T)]. In the leaf bioassay, mortality rates were lower than in the Petri dish bioassay. However, increasing the concentrations of and exposure time to EPN IJs had a positive effect on mortality rates. The highest mortality rates against

larval stages of T. absoluta did not exceed 62.5% after 72 hr of exposure. The highest mortalities (52.5%) against the L1/L2 of T. absoluta were obtained from two EPN species (S. carpocapsae E-76 and H. bacteriophora AVB-15). Steinernema carpocapsae was the most virulent isolate against L3/L4 of T. absoluta at the highest concentration of nematodes and caused 62.5% mortality after 72 hr of exposure (Table 2). Although, in general, there were no significant differences in mortalities among the EPN species tested, notable differences occurred in the mortality rates depending on the exposure time. S. carpocapsae E-76 caused 5% mortality against L1/L2 at the lowest concentration after 24 hr of exposure. However, the mortality rates in L1/L2 reached 62.5% after 72 hr of exposure for the same application concentration.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, the pathogenicity of

Table 1. Effects of the applications of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) infective larvae (IJ) on mortality rates (mean \pm SE) of 1st/2nd and 3rd/4th larval instars of *Tuta absoluta* after one, two and three days after treatment in Petri dish bioassays under laboratory conditions (25 \pm 1°C, R.H. 60%).

			EPN ^x	
Dose	Time (hr)	UTP-5	E-76	AVB-15
5 IJs cm ²	24	$10.0 \pm 8.1 \ x^{y} a^{z}$	$17.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	$5.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$
	48	$42.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$	58.3 ± 14.6 y a	$37.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$
	72	60.4 ± 17.7 z a	$80.0 \pm 11.2 \text{ z b}$	62.5 ± 5.0 z a
10 IJs cm ²	24	$15.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$	$42.5\pm5.0\;x\;b$	$22.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$
	48	$57.5\pm5.0~y~a$	62.5 ± 5.0 y a	$57.5\pm5.0~\mathrm{y}~\mathrm{a}$
	72	82.5 ± 5.0 z a	85.0 ± 5.7 z a	82.5 ± 5.0 z a
20 IJs cm^2	24	$20.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ x a}$	$57.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x b}$	$37.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$
	48	75.0 ± 5.7 v a	$92.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ v b}$	67.5 ± 5.0 v a
	72	90.0 ± 8.1 z a	100.0 ± 0.0 y a	97.5 ± 5.0 z a
5 IJs cm ²	24	$15.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$	$22.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	$15.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$
	48	50.0 ± 0.0 y a	60.0 ± 8.1 y a	54.1 ± 10.8 y a
	72	82.5 ± 5.0 z a	82.5 ± 5.0 z a	76.6 ± 8.8 z a
10 IJs cm ²	24	$20.0 \pm 0.0 \ x \ a$	$47.5 \pm 5.0 \ x \ b$	$27.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$
	48	62.5 ± 5.0 y a	$80.0 \pm 8.1 \text{ y b}$	65.0 ± 5.7 y a
	72	95.0 ± 5.7 z a	87.5 ± 5.0 y a	82.5 ± 5.0 z a
20 IJs cm ²	24	$27.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	$60.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x b}$	$37.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$
	48	$92.5\pm5.0~y~b$	$97.5\pm5.0~y~b$	$80.0\pm0.0~{ m y}$ a
	72	95.0 ± 5.7 y a	100.0 ± 0.0 y a	100.0 ± 0.0 z a
	Dose 5 IJs cm ² 10 IJs cm ² 20 IJs cm ² 5 IJs cm ² 10 IJs cm ² 20 IJs cm ² 20 IJs cm ²	$\begin{array}{c cccc} Dose & Time (hr) \\ \hline 5 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 10 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 20 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 5 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 10 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 10 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 20 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 20 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 20 \ IJs \ cm^2 & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ 21 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & 72 \\ & & 24 \\ & 48 \\ & & 72 \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

^xUTP-5: *Steinernema feltiae*; E-76: *S. carpocapsae*; AVB-15: *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. DAT: Days after treatment.

^yDifferent xyz letters show statistically significant differences among infective juvenile doses for each exposure time level (P < 0.05, Tukey test).

^zDifferent abc letters show statistically significant differences among entomopathogenic nematode species for the same exposure time levels (P < 0.05, Tukey test).

i			EPN ^x		
Larval stages	Dose	Time (hr)	UTP-5	E-76	AVB-15
1 st /2 nd		24	$0.0\pm0.0\;x^y\;a^z$	$5.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$	$0.0 \pm 0.0 \ x \ a$
	5 IJs cm ²	48	$22.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$	20.0 ± 0.0 y a	$17.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$
		72	$42.5\pm5.0\ z\ a$	$62.5\pm5.0\ z\ b$	$32.5\pm5.0\ z\ a$
		24	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \ x \ a$	$7.5 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{~x~a}$	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \ x \ a$
	10 IJs cm ²	48	$32.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$	35.0 ± 5.7 y a	$32.5\pm5.0~y~a$
		72	$52.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ z a}$	52.5 ± 5.0 z a	$42.5\pm5.0\;z\;a$
		24	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \ x \ a$	$10.0 \pm 8.1 \text{ x a}$	$5.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$
	20 IJs cm ²	48	35.0 ± 5.7 y a	45.0 ± 5.7 y a	$42.5\pm5.0\;y\;a$
		72	$52.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ z a}$	57.5 ± 5.0 y a	$57.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$
$3^{rd}/4^{th}$		24	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \ x \ a$	$0.0 \pm 0.0 \ \mathrm{x} \ \mathrm{a}$	$0.0 \pm 0.0 \ x \ a$
	5 IJs cm ²	48	$17.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	25.0 ± 5.7 y a	22.5 ± 5.0 y a
		72	$37.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$	37.5 ± 5.0 y a	35.0 ± 5.7 y a
		24	$5.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ x a}$	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	$2.5\pm5.0~\mathrm{x}~\mathrm{a}$
	10 IJs cm ²	48	$22.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ y a}$	35.0 ± 5.7 y a	$32.5\pm5.0~y~a$
		72	$47.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ z a}$	$50.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ y a}$	$42.5\pm5.0~\mathrm{y}~\mathrm{a}$
		24	$10 \pm 0.0 \text{ x a}$	$2.5 \pm 5.0 \text{ x a}$	$7.5\pm5.0~\mathrm{x}~\mathrm{a}$
	20 IJs cm ²	48	40.0 ± 0.0 y a	50.0 ± 8.1 y a	$42.5\pm5.0\;y\;a$
		72	55.0 ± 5.7 z a	62.5 ± 5.0 y a	52.5 ± 5.0 y a

Table 2. Effects of the applications of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPN) infective juveniles (IJ) on mortality rates (mean \pm SE) of 1st/2nd and 3rd/4th larval instars of *Tuta absoluta* at one, two and three days after treatment in leaf bioassays under laboratory conditions (25 \pm 1°C, R.H. 60%).

^xUTP-5: *Steinernema feltiae*; E-76: *S. carpocapsae*; AVB-15: *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. DAT: Days after treatment.

^yDifferent xyz letters show statistically significant differences among exposure time levels for the same doses (P < 0.05, Tukey test).

^zDifferent abc letters show statistically significant differences among entomopathogenic nematode species for the same exposure time levels (P < 0.05, Tukey test).

different EPN species was evaluated against the L1/L2 and L3/L4 of T. absoluta at varying EPN IJs concentrations under laboratory conditions. The results showed that all tested EPN species were able to infect and kill the different larval instars of T. absoluta. The virulence of EPNs was significantly correlated with EPN species, IJs concentrations, and exposure time in Petri dish and leaf bioassays. In both bioassays, mortality rates were relatively higher for the 3rd /4th larval instars than the 1st/2nd larval instars. These findings echo the results of earlier studies (Gözel and Kasap, 2015; Nderevimana et al., 2019; Husin and Port, 2021). In a laboratory study conducted by Van Damme et al. (2016), the last instar larvae were reported to be more susceptible to the tested EPNs, reaching the maximum mortality rate of 97% for the last instar larvae, compared to the maximum of 60% mortality for the first instar larvae. In the same study, S. feltiae and S. carpocapsae performed better than H. bacteriophora. In a similar study, Gözel et al. (2015), reported higher pathogenicity

of S. feltiae (75%) and H. bacteriophora (70%) against the last larval instars of T. absoluta at 30 IJs/larva.

Other studies have shown that EPNs can locate and kill the larvae inside the leaf galleries (Batalla-Carrera et al., 2010; Van Damme et al., 2016; Dlamini et al., 2020; El Aimani et al., 2021). In these studies, the mortality rates were positively affected by exposure time and EPN species, which is in line with our findings. Similar to the current study, El Aimani et al. (2021) tested the pathogenicity of different *H. bacteriophora* and *S.* feltiae isolates against the L4 of T. absoluta in 24well plates and leaf bioassays. In this study, the mortality rate varied between 40 and 60% for H. bacteriophora isolates, and higher mortality rates were recorded for S. feltiae isolates, ranging between 60 and 80%. Differences in larval mortality among these studies may be a result of differences in the pathogenicity of EPN species, IJs concentrations, and application environment along with other environmental factors. Previous studies

have shown that there is great variation in the pathogenicity of EPN species and their isolates (Yüksel and Canhilal, 2020; Özdemir et al., 2021). To a certain extent, mortality rates tend to increase with increasing concentrations of EPN IJs as higher concentrations increase the likelihood of the host getting infected and exposed to higher concentrations of symbiotic bacteria. Host size plays an important role in the pathogenicity of EPNs since this affects the penetration and host location capability of EPNs. Also, it has been reported that young instars of the potato tuber Phthorimaea operculella Zeller moth, (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) were able to hide from EPNs better compared to older instars (Lewis et al., 1993; Malan and Manrakhan, 2009; Hassani-Kakhki et al., 2013; Bastidas et al., 2014). Relative humidity (RH) is a significant factor influencing both the survival and penetration capability of IJs. Earlier studies reported that the penetration rate of IJs into the galleries formed by the larvae of T. absoluta varies greatly by RH levels. S. feltiae and S. carpcapsae IJs were able to enter the leaves between 6 and 12 hr after application at 75% RH (Husin and Port, 2021). In the current study, EPNs were applied in an aqueous suspension in leaf bioassay and kept at 60% RH during the experiment and the highest mortality did not exceed 63%. Low RH in the current study might have led to a decrease in both the survival and mobility of EPN IJs, thus reducing mortality rates.

In the current study, although S. carpocapsae have a 'sit and wait' foraging strategy, S. carpocapsae E-76 generally induced higher mortality compared to S. feltiae (intermediateforaging) and *H. bacteriophora* (active-foraging cruiser). The reason behind this might be the differences in the pathogenicity and desiccation tolerance of EPN species. S. carpocapsae IJs were reported to have a higher desiccation tolerance compared to S. felitae and H. bacteriophora (Kagimu et al., 2017; Husin and Port, 2021). Moreover, studies have shown that S. carpocapsae might adopt a cruiser strategy depending on the circumstances (Wilson et al., 2012). This hypothesis is in line with our study. S. carpocapsae IJs were able to locate the larvae inside galleries more effectively and caused relatively higher mortality rates. Different application environments and host volatile chemical cues may have led S. carpocapsae E-76 to shift its foraging strategy from a 'sit and wait' foraging to a cruiser strategy

(Wilson et al., 2012).

The tested EPNs were remarkably effective in Petri dish bioassay and achieved mortality rates over 60% at the lowest concentration (5 IJs cm⁻²) 72 hr after application. However, in the leaf bioassay, the mortality rate did not exceed 63%. *S. carpocapsae* generally performed better than *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* in both bioassays. The results showed that tested EPN species have great potential in the control of *T. absoluta*. Nevertheless, additional trials under field conditions are still required to confirm the effectiveness of the tested EPNs.

LITERATURE CITED

- Akhurst, R. J., and N. E. Boemare. 2018. Biology and taxonomy of *Xenorhabdus*. Pp. 75-90 *in* R. Gaugler and H. K. Kaya, ed. Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control. CRC pressdoi 10.1201/9781351071741
- Arnó, J., and R. Gabarra, 2011. Side effects of selected insecticides on the *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) predators *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Journal of Pest Science 84:513-520.
- Bastidas, B., E. Portillo, and E. San-Blas. 2014. Size does matter: the life cycle of *Steinernema* spp. in micro-insect hosts. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 121:46-55.
- Batalla-Carrera, L., A. Morton, and F. García-del-Pino. 2010. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against the tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta* in laboratory and greenhouse conditions. BioControl 55:523-530.
- Beck, B., E. Brusselman, D. Nuyttens, M. Moens, S. Pollet, F. Temmerman, and P. Spanoghe. 2013. Improving foliar applications of entomopathogenic nematodes by selecting adjuvants and spray nozzles. Biocontrol Science and Technology 23:507-520.
- Biondi, A., R. N. C. Guedes, F. H. Wan, and N. Desneux. 2018. Ecology, worldwide spread, and management of the invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*: Past, present, and future. Annual Review of Entomology 63:239-258.
- Boemare, N. 2002. Biology, taxonomy and systematics of *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus*. Entomopathogenic

Nematology 35-56.

- Canhilal, R., and E. Yüksel. 2020. Laboratory evaluation of some native isolates of *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev) against the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Turkish Journal of Biological Control 11:65-69.
- Canhilal, R., L. Waeyenberge, E. Yüksel, A. S. Koca, Y. Deniz, and M. Imren. 2017. Assessment of the natural presence of entomopathogenic nematodes in Kayseri soils, Turkey. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 27:237-244.
- Desneux, N., M. G. Luna, T. Guillemaud, and A. Urbaneja. 2011. The invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, continues to spread in Afro-Eurasia and beyond: The new threat to tomato world production. Journal of Pest Science 84:403-408.
- Dlamini, B. E., N. Dlamini, and M. T. Masarirambi. 2020. Control of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) larvae in laboratory using entomopathogenic nematodes from subtropical environment. Journal of Nematology 52:1-8.
- El Aimani, A., F. Mokrini, A. Houari, S. E. Laasli,
 M. Sbaghi, R. Mentag, and R. Lahlali. 2021.
 Potential of indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes for controlling tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick)(Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under laboratory and field conditions in Morocco. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology 116:101710.
- European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO). 2021. Paris, France. https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/GNORAB/distributi on. Accessed 21 Sept 2021.
- Garzia, G. T., G. Siscaro, A. Biondi, and L. Zappalà. 2012. *Tuta absoluta*, a South American pest of tomato now in the EPPO region: Biology, distribution and damage. EPPO Bulletin 42:205-210.
- Gözel, Ç., and I. Kasap. 2015. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against the Tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick)(Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in tomato field. Turkish Journal of Entomology 39:229-237.
- Gözel, U., Ç, Gözel, and I. Deniz. 2015. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes on the red

palm weevil *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Olivier, 1790) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Larvae. International Journal of Bioassays 4:4436-4439.

- Gullan, P. J., and P. S. Cranston. 2005. Insects: An Outline of Entomology. 3rd Edition, Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Hassani-Kakhki, M., J. Karimi, and M. Hosseini.
 2013. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under laboratory conditions. Biocontrol Science and Technology 23:146-159.
- Husin, T. O. B., and G. R. Port. 2021. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Tuta absoluta*. Biological Control 160:104699. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2021.104699.
- İnak, E, E. Özdemir, A. E. Atış, F. Randa Zelyüt, A. İnak, Ü. Demir, and J. Vontas. 2021. Population structure and insecticide resistance status of *Tuta absoluta* populations from Turkey. Pest Management Science 77:4741-4748.
- Kagimu, N., T. Ferreira, and A. P. Malan. 2017. The attributes of survival in the formulation of entomopathogenic nematodes utilised as insect biocontrol agents. African Entomology 25:275-291.
- Kaya, H. K., and S. P. Stock. 1997. Techniques in insect nematology. Pp. 281-324 in L. Lacey, ed. Manual of techniques in insect pathology. New York: Academic Press.
- Lewis, E. E, J. Campbell, C. Griffin, H. Kaya, and A. Peters. 2006. Behavioral ecology of entomopathogenic nematodes. Biological Control 38:66-79.
- Lewis, E. E., R. Gaugler, and R. Harrison. 1993. Response of cruiser and ambusher entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae) to host volatile cues. Canadian journal of Zoology 71:765-769.
- Lortkipanidze, M., A. Gorgadze, G. S. Kajaia, N. G. Gratiashvili, and M. A. Kuchava. 2016. Foraging behavior and virulence of some entomopathogenic nematodes. Annals of Agrarian Science 14:99-103.
- Malan, A. P., and A. Manrakhan. 2009. Susceptibility of the Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*) and the Natal fruit fly (*Ceratitis rosa*) to entomopathogenic nematodes. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology,

100:47-49.

- Mansour, R., T. Brévault, A. Chailleux, A. Cherif, K. Grissa-Lebdi, K. Haddi, and A. Biondi. 2018. Occurrence, biology, natural enemies and management of *Tuta absoluta* in Africa. Entomologia Generalis 38:83-112.
- Metwally, H. M., G. A. Hafez, M. A. Hussein, H.
 A. Salem, and M. M. E. Saleh. 2012. Low cost artificial diet for rearing the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* L.(Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) as a host for entomopathogenic nematodes. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 22:15.
- Ndereyimana, A, S. Nyalala, P. Murerwa, and S. Gaidashova. 2019. Potential of entomopathogenic nematode isolates from Rwanda to control the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick)(Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 29:1-7.
- Öğretmen, A, E. Yüksel, and R. Canhilal. 2020. Susceptibility of larvae of wireworms (*Agriotes* spp.)(Coleoptera: Elateridae) to some Turkish isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes under laboratory and field conditions. Biological Control 149:104320. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104320.
- Özdemir, E, E. Inak, E. Evlice, E. Yüksel, R. A. Delialioğlu, and I. A. Susurluk. 2021. Effects of insecticides and synergistic chemicals on the efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) against *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Crop Protection 144:105605.
- Rangaswamy, R. 2013. Textbook of agricultural statistics. New Delhi: New Age International.
- Shalaby, S. E., M. M. Soliman, and A. E. Abd Ei-Mageed. 2012. Evaluation of some insecticides against tomato leaf minor (*Tuta absoluta*) and determination of their residues in tomato fruits. Applied Biological Research 14:113-119.
- Shapiro-Ilan, D., S. Hazir, and I. Glazer. 2017. Basic and applied research: entomopathogenic nematodes. Pp. 91-105 *in* Microbial control of insect and mite pests. Academic Press.
- Tropea Garzia, G., G. Siscaro, A. Biondi, and

L. Zappalà. 2012. Tuta absoluta, a South American pest of tomato now in the EPPO region: Biology, distribution and damage. EPPO Bulletin 42:205-210.

- Urbaneja, A., N. Desneux, R. Gabarra, J. Arnó, J. González-Cabrera, A. Mafra Neto, and J. E. Peña. 2013. Biology, ecology and management of the South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. Potential Invasive Pests Agric Crops 3:98.
- Van Damme, V. M., B. K. Beck, E. Berckmoes, R. Moerkens, L. Wittemans, R. De Vis, and P. De Clercq. 2016. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against larvae of *Tuta absoluta* in the laboratory. Pest management science 72:1702-1709.
- Wilson, M. J., R. U. Ehlers, and I. Glazer. 2012. Entomopathogenic nematode foraging strategies—is *Steinernema carpocapsae* really an ambush forager? Nematology 14:389-394.
- Yuksel, E., and R. Canhilal. 2018. Evaluation of local isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes for the management of black cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon* Hufnagel (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 28:1-7.
- Yuksel, E., and R. Canhilal. 2019. Isolation, identification, and pathogenicity of entomopathogenic nematodes occurring in Cappadocia Region, Central Turkey. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 29:1-7.
- Yüksel, E., Ç. Açikgöz, F. Demirci, and M. Muştu. 2017. Effects of the entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana, Isaria farinosa* and *Purpureocillium lilacinum*, on eggs of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Turkish Journal of Biological Control 8:39-48.
- Yuksel, E., R. Canhilal, and M. Imren. 2019. Potential of four Turkish isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes against three major stored products insect pests. Journal of Stored Products Research 83:317-321.
- Zhang, G. F., Y. S. Wang, Y. H. Gao, W. X. Liu, R. Zhang, W. J. Fu, and F. H. Wan. 2020. First report of the South American tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick), in China. Journal of Integrative Agriculture 19:1912-1917.

Received:		Accepted for publication:	
	9/11/2023		17/IV/2023
Recibido:		Aceptado para publicación:	