## Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh 202001 - India

# EFFECT OF SPINACH MOSAIC VIRUS, ROOT-KNOT AND STUNT NEMATODES ON GROWTH OF SUGAR BEET

by

Q. A. NAQVI, M. M. ALAM, S. K. SAXENA and K. MAHMOOD

In experimental plots of sugar-beet (*Beta vulgaris saccharifera* L.) many of the plants were infected with spinach mosaic virus. Some of the infected plants were severely damaged and others were not. The roots of the most severely damaged plants contained egg masses of the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid *et* White) Chitwood, and the surrounding soil was infested with the stunt nematode, *Tylenchorhynchus brassicae* Siddiqi. There have been several reports of interactions between nematodes and viruses (Schlosser, 1962; Bird, 1969; Weischer 1969, 1975; Swarup and Goswami, 1969; Fritzsche, 1970; Khurana *et al.*, 1970; Goswami *et al.*, 1971, 1974; Goswami and Raychaudhuri, 1973; Goswami and Chenulu, 1974; Mayee *et al.*, 1974; Mahmood *et al.*, 1974; Naqvi and Alam, 1975) and it was therefore thought useful to investigate possible interactions between the nematodes and virus affecting sugar-beet in the experiment described here.

### Materials and methods

Seeds of sugar-beet cv. Katari-6 were sown singly in 15 cm clay pots, each containing 1 kg of an autoclaved mixture of soil, sand and peat (7:2:1). When the plants were 6 wk old 5,000 hand picked (Alam, 1975) *T. brassicae* or 2,500 newly hatched *M. incognita* larvae were added to some of the pots. Some of the plants were manually

Table I - Effect of spinach mosaic virus and Meloidogyne incognita, alone or in combination, on the growth of sugar-beet cv. Katari-6.

| Treatment             | Inoculations<br>(No. of wk) |              |     | Fresh wt (g) |      | Root-knot |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----|--------------|------|-----------|
|                       | 1                           | 2            | 3   | Shoot        | Root | index 1   |
| No pathogen (control) |                             |              |     | 28.00        | 3.23 |           |
| Nematode (N) only     |                             |              | N   | 20.66        | 2.16 | 4.0       |
| Virus (V) only        | V                           |              |     | 12.26        | 1.16 |           |
| Virus only            |                             | V            |     | 15.46        | 1.50 |           |
| Virus only            |                             |              | V   | 19.00        | 1.66 |           |
| V + N                 | V                           |              | N   | 8.90         | 1.06 | 3.0       |
| V + N                 |                             | $\mathbf{v}$ | N   | 11.36        | 1.43 | 3.3       |
| V + N                 |                             |              | VN  | 15.83        | 0.83 | 3.8       |
| LSD $(P = 0.05)$      |                             | ,            | 3.5 | 2.36         | 0.07 | 0.2       |
| LSD (P = 0.01)        |                             |              |     | 3.28         | 0.10 | 0.2       |

 $<sup>1 = 0 = \</sup>text{no galling}$ ; 1 = light; 2 = moderate; 3 = heavy; 4 = severe.

Table II - Effect of spinach mosaic virus and Tylenchorhynchus brassicae, alone or in combination, on the growth of sugar-beet cv Katari-6 and virus infection on nematode multiplication.

| Treatment             | Inoculations<br>(No. of wk) |              |    | Fresh wt g) |      | Final                |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----|-------------|------|----------------------|
|                       | 1                           | 2            | 3  | Shoot       | Root | per pot <sup>1</sup> |
| No pathogen (control) |                             |              |    | 28,00       | 3.23 |                      |
| Nematode (N) only     |                             |              | N  | 22.93       | 2.40 | 6040                 |
| Virus (V) only        | V                           |              |    | 12.26       | 1.16 |                      |
| Virus only            |                             | V            |    | 15.46       | 1.50 |                      |
| Virus only            |                             |              | V  | 19.00       | 1.66 |                      |
| V + N                 | $\mathbf{V}$                |              | N  | 10.73       | 1.00 | 2960                 |
| V + N                 |                             | $\mathbf{v}$ | N  | 12.83       | 1.16 | 3800                 |
| V + N                 |                             |              | VN | 16.00       | 1.33 | 4260                 |
| LSD $(P = 0.05)$      |                             |              |    | 0.65        | 0.08 | 322                  |
| LSD $(P = 0.01)$      |                             |              |    | 0.89        | 0.11 | 447                  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Initial population (inoculated) of *T.brassicae* = 5000/pot.

inoculated with spinach mosaic virus either at the time of adding nematodes or one or two weeks before to provide the combination of treatments and controls shown in Tables I and II, with three replicates of each. The experiment was undertaken in an insect-proof glasshouse at  $25^{\circ}$  C  $\pm$   $5^{\circ}$  C. Two months after adding the nematodes to the plants the fresh weights of shoots and roots were determined. The extent of root-knot infestation of the roots was assessed by visual observation of galling. Soil populations of nematodes were extracted from each pot by Cobb's sieving and decanting method with a modified Baermann funnel technique (Southey, 1970) and counts were made using a stereoscopic microscope.

### Results

Spinach mosaic virus and *M. incognita* separately caused a reduction in the fresh weight of the plants (Table I). Virus infection caused a greater reduction in plant growth than the nematode inoculum, and had the greatest effect when the plants were infected earlier (week 1) than later (week 3). Virus in combination with nematodes resulted in a greater loss in fresh weight of shoots and roots than expected by adding together the independent effect of the two organisms. Galling per plant as assessed by root-knot index was less on virus infected plants than on non-infected ones (Table I) but there were more galls per gram of root on virus infected plants (i.e. root-knot index related to root weight).

Similarly, mosaic virus in combination with *T. brassicae* resulted in a greater loss of fresh weight than expected from the independent effects of the organisms (Table II). At the end of the experiment there were more *T. brassicae* in pots with non-virus infected plants but population densities per gram of root were similar in all pots (Table I).

### Discussion

The 'synegistic' effect of spinach mosaic virus in combination with *M. incognita* or *T. brassicae* has similarly been observed with root-knot nematode and leaf curl virus in tomato (Swarup and

Goswami, 1969), with maize mosaic virus in maize (Khurana et al., 1970) with tobacco mosaic virus in tobacco (Goswami and Raychaudhuri, 1973) and in tomato (Goswami and Chenulu, 1974). In our experiments there was some indication of interaction between virus infection and the two nematode species with the lowest populations in pots where the plants were inoculated 3 weeks before the nematodes were added. However, it is likely that the relatively poor multiplication of the nematodes was due to the poor growth of the root system of infected plants rather than any direct effect of virus on nematode physiology. A direct effect cannot entirely be discounted as Meloidogyne spp. have been reported to show relative increases on virus infected plants (Swarup and Goswami, 1969; Goswami and Raychaudhuri, 1973, Mahmood et al., 1974).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ALAM M. M., 1975 A simple device for rapid selection of nematodes from a mixed population in aqueous suspension. *Nematologica*, 21: 264-266.
- BIRD A. F., 1969 The influence of tobacco ring-spot virus and tobacco mosaic virus on the growth of *Meloidogyne javanica*. *Nematologica*, 15: 201-209.
- Fritzsche R., 1970 Wechselbeziehungen zwischen Virus-und Nematodenbefall in ihrem Einfluβ auf den Schadigungsgrad der Pflanzen. *Biol. Zentralbl.*, 89: 225-232.
- Goswami B. K. and Chenulu V. V., 1974 Interaction of root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita and tobacco mosaic virus in tomato. Indian J. Nematol., 4: 69-80.
- Goswami B. K. and Raychaudhuri S. P., 1973 Host-parasite relationship of tobacco and root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* (Treub.) Chitwood influenced by tobacco mosaic virus infection. *Ann. Phytopath. Soc. Japan.*, 39: 99-102.
- Goswami B. K., Raychaudhuri S. P. and Khurana S. M. P., 1971 Changes in vitamin C content of fruit in nematode resistant and susceptible tomato varieties with infection of nematode and/or tobacco mosaic virus. Z. Pflkrankh. PflSchutz., 78: 355-356.
- GOSWAMI B. K., SINGH S. and VERMA V. S., 1974 Interaction of a mosaic virus with root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* in *Vigna sinensis*. *Nematologica*, 20: 366-367.
- KHURANA S. M. P., Goswami B. K. and Raychaudhuri S. P., 1970 Interaction of maize mosaic with root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Phytopath. Z., 69*: 267-272.
- Mahmood K., Naovi S. Q. A. and Alam M. M., 1974 The influence of bottle-gourd mosaic virus and brinjal mosaic virus on the development of root-knot caused by *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White, 1919) Chitwood, 1949. *Indian Phytopath.*, 27: 610-611.

- MAYEE C. D., MAHAJAN R. and KANWAR J. S., 1974 Relationship of leaf curl virus and root-knot nematode incidence in some tomato hybrids. *Nematol. medit.*, 2: 181-182.
- NAOVI S. Q. A. and ALAM M. M., 1975 Influence of brinjal mosaic virus on the population of *Tylenchorhynchus brassicae* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis* around eggplant roots. *Geobios*, 2: 120-121.
- Schlosser E., 1962 Untersuchungen zum Wirt-Parasitverhaltnis der *Beta-*Arten und des Ruben nematoden (*Heterodera schachtii*). Z. *Pflkrankh*. *Pflschutz.*, 69: 18-20.
- Southey J.F., 1970 Laboratory methods for work with plant and soil nematodes. Tech. Bull. No. 2, Min. Agr. Fish. Food, HMSO, London.
- SWARUP G. and Goswami B.K., 1969 Interrelationship of root-knot nematode and leaf curl virus in tomato. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.*, 7: 64-65.
- Weischer B., 1969 Vermehrung und schadwirkung von Aphelenchoides ritzemabosi und Ditylenchus dipsaci in virusfreiem und in TMV-infizierten tabak. Nematologica, 15: 334-336.
- WEISCHER B., 1975 Further studies on the population development of *Ditylen-chus dipsaci* and *Aphelenchoides ritzemabosi* in virus-infected and virus-free tobacco. *Nematologica*, 21: 213-218.

Acepted for publication on 5 March 1977.