## Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Alexandria University - Alexandria, Egypt

# REACTIONS OF SOME GRAMINEOUS AND LEGUMINOUS PLANT CULTIVARS TO MELOIDOGYNE INCOGNITA AND M. JAVANICA

by I.K.A. Ibrahim, M.A. Rezk, and A.A.M. Ibrahim

Summary. The reactions of 6 corn, 8 whead and 24 leguminous plant cultivars to *Meloidogyne incognita* race 1 and *M. javanica* were studied in pot experiments. All corn cultivars tested were susceptible to both nematodes except that cv. Cairo 1 was moderately resistant to *M. incognita* and cv. TH 310 was moderately resistant to *M. javanica*. Wheat cvs Giza 160, Sakha 8, Sakha 61, Sakha 69 and Stork were resistant, whereas cvs Giza 157 and Land Race were susceptible or moderately susceptible to both nematode species. Most of the leguminous cultivars tested were susceptible whereas alfalfa cv. Cut 101 was resistant to the root-knot nematodes. Lupin cvs Giza 1 and Giza 2 were resistant to *M. javanica* and peanut cv. Giza 4 was resistant to *M. incognita*.

Root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) are very common in Egypt and are important pests on many crop plants. Both M. incognita race 1 and M. javanica are of widespread occurrence and adversely affect crop production (Îbrahim and Rezk, 1988; Ibrahim, 1990). Resistance of plant cultivars to root-knot nematodes has been the subject of several studies (Kheir et al., 1979; Oteifa and El-Gindi, 1982; Ibrahim et al., 1983 and 1988). These studies showed that the gramineous and leguminous plant cultivars tested had different degrees of susceptiblity to certain biotypes of M. incognita and M. javanica. Resistant plant cultivars are needed to effectively manage root-knot nematode pupulations in Egypt. The present study describes the reactrions of 14 graminaceous and 24 leguminous plant cultivars to M. incognita (Kofoid et White) Chitw. race 1 and M. javanica (Treub) Chitw.

### Materials and methods

The investigation was undertaken in a glasshouse. Seeds of each cultivars were sown in 15-cm diameter clay pots containing antoclaved sandy loam soil. A week after emergence, seedlings were thinned to two/pot and the soil was inoculated with 5,000 nematode eggs per pot. Nematode treatments and untreated control were replicated 5 times. Roots of plants harvested 9 weeks after inoculation were freed of soil and stained for 15 min. in an aqueous solution of Phloxine B (0.15 g/l water). Egg mass rating was made on a 0-5 scale (0 = 0, 1 = 1-2, 2 = 3-10, 3 = 11-30, 4 = 31-100, and 5 = greater than 100 egg masses). The roots were then cut into small pieces and nematode

eggs were extracted from roots using the NaOC1 method and counted (Hussey and Barker, 1973). Oostenbrink's (1966) reproduction factor (RF) (final egg number/initial egg number) was determined for each cultivar-nematode treatment. Treatments with RF = 0 were considered resistant, RF = 0.1-1 moderately resistant, RF = 1.1-2 moderately susceptible, RF = 2.1-5 susceptible and RF = greater than 5 as highly susceptible.

#### Results and discussion

The reactions of the corn and wheat cultivars to *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* are given in Table I. Wheat cvs Giza, 160, Sakha 8, Sakha 61, Sakha 69 and Stork were resistant to both nematode species. Wheat cv. Giza 155 was resistant to *M. incognita* but susceptible to *M. javanica*, whereas cv. Giza 157 was moderately susceptible to both nematode species. Cv. Land Race was susceptible to *M. incognita* and moderately susceptible to *M. javnica* (Table I).

The corn cultivars tested were either susceptible or highly susceptible to both nematode species except cv. Cairo 1 moderately resistant to *M. incognita* and cv. TH 310 moderately resistant to *M. javanica*. It is evident that *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* were pathogenic to most corn cultivars. Moreover, *M. incognita* showed greater values of the reproduction factor than *M. javanica* on the tested corn cultivars, except cvs Cairo 1 and DH 202. Most of the wheat cultivars tested were resistant and did not support any development of root-knot nematodes. There were no females or egg masses of these nematodes on the roots of the tested wheat cultivars except cvs Giza 155, Giza 157

and Land Race. Similar studies have shown that corn cultivars are susceptible to *Meloidogyne* spp. (Ibrahim et al. 1983). Also, Kheir et al. (1979) found that *M. javanica* suppressed the growth of wheat cv. Giza 155 and Ibrahim et al. (1988) showed that *M. javanica* significantly reduced root and shoot dry weight of wheat cvs Giza 155 and Giza 157.

Data presented in Table II show that most of the leguminous plant cultivars tested were susceptible or highly susceptible to *M. incognita* and *M. javanica*. Chick-pea cv. Giza 1, Egyptian clover cv. Fahl and lentil cvs Giza 9 and

Giza 370 were moderately susceptible to *M. incognita*. Also, pea cvs Little Marvel and Perfection were moderately susceptible to *M. javanica*. On the other hand, alfalfa cv. Cuf 101 was resistant to both nematode species. Lupin cvs Giza 1 and Giza 2 were moderately resistant to *M. incognita* and resistant to *M. javanica*. Peanut cv. Giza 4 was resistant to *M. incognita*. In a similar study, Ibrahim et al. (1983) showed that tested leguminous plant cultivars had different degrees of susceptibility to some populations of *Meloidogyne* spp. and indicated that lupin cv. Baladi and fenugreek cv. Baladi were resistant to *M. javanica*.

TABLE I - Reaction of some corn and wheat cultivars to Meloidogyne incognita race 1 and M. javanica.

Plant Cultivars		M. incognita		M. javanica			
	Egg mass index	RF	Reaction	Egg mass index	RF	Reaction	
Corn (Zea mays L.)					***		
Cairo 1	2	0.1	MR	3	3.7	.S	
DH 202	3	2.3	S	5	10.0	HS	
DH 204	5	6.5	HS	3	2.8	S	
DH 215	5	8.3	HS	3	2.4	S	
Giza 21	5	10.0	HS	4	6.0	HS	
TH 310	4	3.7	S	1	0.3	MR	
Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)							
Giza 155	1	0	R	4	2.6	S	
Giza 157	3	1.3	MS	3	2.0	MS	
Giza 160	0	0	R	0	0	R	
Land Race	5	4.0	S	· 3	2.0	MS	
Sakha 8	0	0	R	0	0	R	
Sakha 61	0	0	R	0	0	R	
Sakha 69	0	0	R	0	0	R	
Stork	0 -	0	R	0	0	R	

RF: reproduction factor; S: susceptible; HS: highly susceptible; R: resistant; MR: moderately resistant; MS: moderately susceptible.

#### Literature cited

Hussey R.S. and Barker K.R., 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp. including a new technique. *Plant Dis. Reptr.*, 57: 1025-1028.

IBRAHIM I.K.A., 1990. The status of phytoparasitic nematodes

IBRAHIM I.K.A., 1990. The status of phytoparasitic nematodes and the associated host plants in Egypt. Int. Nematol. Network Newsl., 7: 33-38.

IBRAHIM I.K.A., REZK M.A. and KHALIL H.A.A., 1983. Resistance of some plant cultivars to root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. *Nematol. medit.*, 11: 189-192.

IBRAHIM I.K.A., REZK M.A. and IBRAHIM A.A.M., 1988. Resistance of barley and wheat cultivars to roor-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. *Park. J. Nematol.*, 6: 39-43.

IBRAHIM I.K.A. and REZK M.A., 1988. The root-knot nematode — a major problem in crop production in Egypt. In: Advances in Plant Nematology (M.A. Maqbool, A.M. Golden, A. Ghaffar and L.R. Krusberg Eds.). Nat. Res. Cent. Univ. Karachi, Pakistan. 294 pp.

KHEIR A.M., SHAFFEE M.F. and YASSIN M.Y., 1979. The pathogenicity of Meloidogyne javanica to wheat (Triticum aestivum). Phytopathol. medit., 18: 143-146.

Oostenbrink M., 1966. Major characteristics of the relation between nematodes and plants. *Meded Landbouwhogesch. Wa*geningen, 66: 3-46.

OTEIFA B.A. and EL-GINDI D.M., 1982. Relative susceptibility of certain commercially important cultivars to existing biotypes of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica* in Nile-Delta, Egypt. Proc. 3rd Res. Plan. Conf. on Root-Knot Nematodes. inter. *Meloidogyne* Project, Region VII. Coimbra, Portugal, pp. 157-169.

TABLE II - Reaction of some leguminous plant cultivars to Meloidogyne incognita race 1 and M. javanica.

	M. incognita				M. javanica		
Plant Cultivar	Egg mass index	RF	Reaction	Egg mass index	RF	Reaction	
Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.)							
Cuf 101	0	0	R	0	0	R	
Broad bean (Vicia faba L.)							
Baladi	5	2.7	S	4	6.0	HS	
Giza 2	5	3.9	S	5	8.4	HS	
Giza 3	5	2.3	S	5	4.3	S	
Giza 402	5	2.5	S	3	3.1	S	
Chick-Pea (Cicer arietinum L.)							
Giza 1	5	1.8	MS	5	9.6	HS	
Egyptian Clover (Trifolium alexandrinum L.)							
Fahl	3	1.8	MS	5	35.3	HS	
Meskawy	4	4.0	S	5	16.3	HS	
Cowpea (Vigna sinensis Savi)				-			
Baladi	6	18.2	HS	5	7.3	HS	
Gream 7	4	4.1	S	3	5.6	HS	
Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.)					,,,	***	
Giza 3	5	8.7	HS	5	4.9	S	
Kidney bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Giza 3	5	45.2	HS	5	11.5	HS	
Giza 4	5	36.6	HS	5	9.5	HS	
Mareglobe	4	11.4	HS	3	2.3	S	
Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik)			110		2.5	J	
Giza 9	5	1.4	MS	3	2.5	S	
Giza 370	3	1.5	MS	4	5.9	HS	
Lupin (Lupinus termis L.)		2.0	1,10	•	7.7	110	
Giza 1	1	0.6	MR	0	0	R	
Giza 2	2	0.2	MR	0	0	R	
Pea (Pisum sativum L.)	2	0.2	1411	Ü	v	**	
Little Marvel	5	2.7	S	3	1.6	MS	
Lincoln	5	2.6	S	3	2.2	S	
Perfection	5	3.1	S	3	1.8	MS	
Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.)	,	7.1	J	,	1.0	1410	
Giza 4	0	0	R	3	2.1	S	
Soybbean (Glycine max Merr.)	U	J	11	,	۷.1	J	
Clark	4	6.6	HS	4	3.2	S	
Crawford	5	6.8	HS	4	3.1	S	

RF: reproduction factor; S: susceptible; HS: highly susceptible; R: resistant; MR: moderately resistant; MS: moderately susceptible.

Accepted for publication on 16 August 1991.