Istituto di Nematologia Agraria, C.N.R. — 70126 Bari, Italy¹
and
Istituto di Agronomia e Coltivazioni Erbacee dell'Università degli Studi di Napoli — 80055 Portici, Italy²

RESPONSE OF LINES OF CAPSICUM SPP. TO ITALIAN POPULATIONS OF FOUR SPECIES OF MELOIDOGYNE

by M. di Vito¹, F. Saccardo² and G. Zacchec

Summary. The reactions of lines of Capsicum baccatum, C. baccatum var. pendulum, C. chacoense, C. chinense and C. frutescens to Italian populations of Meloidogyne incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria and M. hapla were evaluated in glasshouse. Groups of eight plants of each line were transplanted in trays with 12dm³ of sterilized sandy soil artificially infested with 5,000 eggs and juveniles of each nematode species per plant. Two lines of C. chinense and one of C. frutescens were resistant to all Meloidogyne spp. tested. Seven lines of C. chinense, and one of C. chacoense were resistant to M. incognita and M. javanica and M. javanica and M. javanica. One line of C. chinense were resistant to M. incognita and M. javanica. One line of C. chacoense, four of C. chinense and five of C. frutescens were resistant only to M. incognita, while three lines of C. baccatum, eight of C. baccatum var. pendulum, nineteen of C. chacoense, and ten of C. frutescens were susceptible to all four species of the root-knot nematodes.

Among the available cultivars and hybrids of pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) only a few are resistant to Meloidogyne javanica (Treub) Chitw. A source of resistance to M. incognita (Kofoid et White) Chitw., M. javanica and M. arenaria (Neal) Chitw., but not to M. hapla Chitw., was found in some lines of C. frutescens L. (Di Vito and Saccardo, 1982). Germplasm of pepper from the collection of Dr. Csilléry (Research Institute for Vegetable Crops, Station Budateteny, Budapest, Hungary) was tested in glasshouses to evaluate its reaction to four Italian populations of these root-knot nematode species.

Materials and methods

Lines of Capsicum baccatum Wild (3), C. baccatum var. pendulum (8), C. chacoense Hunz. (23), C. chinense Jacq. (27), and C. frutescens (16), were sown in plastic trays of steam sterilized sandy soil. At the two-leaf stage eight seedlings of each line were transplanted into further trays with the same substrate. Seven days later they were inoculated with 5,000 eggs and juveniles per plant of one of the nematode populations. The nematode populations used as inoculum were M. incognita host race 1 (Taylor and Sasser, 1978; Di Vito and Greco, 1982) from Castellaneta (Apulia), M. javanica from Ragusa (Sicily), M. arenaria host race 2 from Verona (Veneto) and M. bapla from Foggia (Apulia). They had been reared on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) cv. Rutgers in a glasshouse and the inoc-

ulum was extracted from infested roots by using the sodium hypochlorite method (Hussey and Barker, 1973). Pepper cv. Marconi Giallo was used as a susceptible control. All the trays were randomly arranged on benches in a glasshouse maintened at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Forty five days after inoculation the plants were uprooted, the roots were gently washed and the egg masses stained by dipping the roots in a Phloxine B solution (0.15g/l of tap water) for 15 min (Dickson and Ben Struble, 1965). The egg mass index (EI) was then assessed according to a 0-5 scale, where $0 = 10^{\circ}$ more gg masses, 1 = 1-2 egg masses, 1 = 1-30, 1 = 31-100, and 1 = 31-100, and 1 = 31-100 more than 1 = 31-100 egg masses (Taylor and Sasser, 1978).

A plant was considered resistant when the EI was ≤ 2. The data were than statistically analyzed and LSD's calculated.

Results and discussion

The line 201-21 of *C. chinense* was completely free of *M. incognita* and *M. arenaria* (EI = 0) but a few egg masses were found on the roots of the plants inoculated with *M. javanica* and *M. hapla* (Tab. I). No egg masses were found on the roots of the line 546-6 of the same species of pepper inoculated with *M. incognita* or *M. javanica*, while some egg masses were found on the roots inoculated with *M. arenaria*. Also, there were no egg masses on the roots of the lines 210-26, 548 and 550-10 inoculated with *M. incognita* or *M. javanica* with *M. incognita* with *M. incog*

nita, but a few were present on those inoculated with M. javanica and M. arenaria (Tab. I). The lines 201-16 of C. chinense and 589-20 of C. frutescens were resistant to all species of root-knot nematodes tested. The line 530-8 of C. chacoense, and the lines 201-6, 201-24, 201-26 and 201-27 of C. chinense were resistant to M. incognita, M. javanica and M. arenaria, but susceptible to M. hapla. One line of C. chinense (563-22a) was resistant to M. incognita and M. javanica, but susceptible to M. arenaria and M. hapla. Two lines of C. chacoense (213 and 528-8) and three of C. chinense were resistant to M. incognita and M. arenaria, but susceptible to M. javanica and M. hapla. One line of C. chacoense (215 and M. hapla).

coense (529-8), four of C. chinense (544, 511-11, 555-15, and 577-17a) and five of C. frutescens (579-4, 580/2-5, 585-10, 586-12 and 591-30) were resitant only to M. incognita (Fig. 1) and susceptible of the remainder three species of root-knot nematodes (Tab. I). The remaining 19 lines of C. chacoense, C. chinense, 10 of C. frutescens, and all lines of C. baccatum and C. baccatum var. pendulum were susceptible to the four Italian populations of Meloidogyne spp. tested (Fig. 1). The roots of the control cv. Marconi Giallo were heavily infested by the nematodes with an EI of about 5 (Tab. I).



Fig. 1 - Pepper roots of a resistant line of Capsicum frutescens (left) and of susceptible lines of C. baccatum (center) and C. baccatum var. pendulum (right) inoculated with Meloidogyne incognita.

Table I - Reaction of lines of Capsicum spp. to Italian populations of Meloidogyne incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria and M. hapla.

	M. incognita M. javanica M. arenaria M. hapla									M. incognita M. javanica M. arenaria M. hapla							
Line									Line								
Line	EI* HR**		EI	EI HR EI HR			EI HR		Bille	EI*	HR**	EI	HR	ΕI	HR.	EI	HR
Capsicum baccatum									C. baccatum var. pendulum								
109-1	3.4	S	4,0	S	4.2	S.	4.8	S	599-2	3.1	,S	3.4	S.	3.1	S ₁ 4	4.4	S
208-4	3.0	S	5.0	S	4.6	S	4.2	S.	601-4	3.2	S	4.0	S	3.7	S 4	4.1	S
501-1	3.7	S	4.4	S	5.0	S	5.0	<u>s</u>	602-5	3.2	S	4.0	·S	4.0	S 4	4.9	S

14ble 1 continued	M. incognita M. javanica M. arenaria M. hapla								1 250 2 1 2 4 2	M. incognita M. javanica M. arenaria M. hapl					apla		
Line		HR**	EI	HR	EI	HR		HR	Line	EI*	HR**	EI	HR	EI	HR	ΕI	H
603-6	3.2	S	4.0	S	3.4	S	3.7	s	543-3	2.7	S	3.6	S	3.4	S	4.4	S
604-7	2.6	S	3.4	S	3.2	S	4.0	S	544	1.9	R	3.5	S	2.8	.8	2.8	S
611-14	3.2	S	3.4	S	2.9	S	4.3	S	544-4	3.5	S	3.2	S	4.7	S	4.2	S
612-15	3.1	S	4.7	S	3.6	S	3.4	S	546-6	0.0	R	0.0	R	0.3	R	3.4	S
613-7	3.6	S	4.4	S	3.6	S	4.1	S	547-7	1.2	R	2.1	S	1.8	R	3.2	S
C. chacoense									548	0.0	R	0.3	R	0.2		2.4	S
102	4.3	S	3.7	S	4.0	S	4.3	S	548-8	3.1	S	4.7	S	3.6		3.4	S
102-1	3.8	S	3.4	S	3.6	S	3.4	S	550-10	0.0	R	1.4	R	1.2		3.6	S
205-4	3.2	S	3.0	S	4.0	S	3.4	S	551-11	0.8	R	2.3	S	3.4		3.5	S
211-2	3.1	S	4.6	S	5.0	S	4.0	S	554-14	3.5	S	2.9	S	4.7		4.5	S
213-8	1.7	R	2.6	S	1.0	R	4.0	S	555-15	1.9	R	4.5	S	2.5		3.1	S
516-1	4.0	S	4.4	S	5.0	S	4.9	S	577-17a	2.0	R	2.3	S	2.6	S	3.6	S
519-3	2.6	S	5.0	S	5.0	,S	5.0	S	558-17e	1.2	R	2.7	S	1.6		3.4	S
520-3	3.2	S	5.0	S	4.8	S	5.0	S	559-18	1.6	R	2.2	S	1.9		3.2	S
521-3	2.6	S	5.0	S	5.0	S	5.0	S	562-21	3.0	S	2.9	S	2.9		3.3	S
522-3	3.6	S	5.0	S	5.0	S	5.0	S	563-22a	1.6	R	1.9	R			3.4	5
524-4	2.8	S	4.2	S	5.0	S	4.9	S	564-22	2.5	S	2.5	S	2.9		3.0	5
525-6	3.6	S	4.0	S	3.0	S	3.4	S	565-23	3.4	S	4.0	S	4.6	S	3.7	S
526-6	3.3	S	4.6	S	4.9	S	5.0	S	C. frutescens		_		_		_		
527-6	3.3	S	3.6	S	3.9	S	5.0	S	577/2-2	2.1	S	3.4	S	3.6	S	3.6	5
528-8	2.0	R	2.7	S	1.9	R	2.9	S	577/3-2	2.6	S	3.5	S	4.7	S	4.3	5
529-8	1.9	R	3.7	S	3.7	S	3.1	S	577/4-2	3.1	S	4.0	S	4.1	S	4.0	
530-8	0.6	R	0.5	R	0.6	R	3.7	S	577/5-2	2.9	S	3.4	S	3.5	S	3.5	5
533-9	2.6	S	4.2	S	2.8	S	3.4	S	577/6-2x	2.5	S	4.1	S	3.0	S	3.2	
534-10	3.2	S	5.0	S	4.9	S	5.0	S	579-4	2.0	R	2.4	S	3.0	S	2.9	
536-12	2.9	S	3.8	S	4.0	S	4.6	S	580/1-5	3.6	S	4.7	S	2.7	S	3.3	
538-13	3.9	S	4.7	S	5.0	S	4.0	S	580/2-5	0.5	R	2.3	S	3.7	S	3.8	
539	3.5	S	3.7	S	5.0	S	4.7	S	580/3-5	2.5	S	4.1	S	4.2	S	3.9	
540	3.0	S	3.2	S	3.0	S	4.4	S	585-10	1.6	R	4.1	S	2.9	S	3.8	
C. chinense									586-12	0.9	R	2.4	S	2.5	S	4.0	,
201-8	1.0	R	1.6	R	1.2	R	3.0	S	587-14	3.1	S	4.6		3.9		3.4	
201-15	3.0	S	3.2	S	4.1	S	4.7	S	589-20	0,7		1.7		0.9		1.8	
201-16	1.0	R	2.0	R	0.0	R	2.0	R	589-20-81	2.9		2.7		3.5		3.7	
201-21	0.0	R	0.2	R	0.0	R	2.0	R	590-21	3.0		4.5				3.0	
201-24	1.4	R	1.0		0.0		2.2	S	591-30	1.0	R	3.5	3	2.7	3	4.2	
201-25	2.7	S	4.0	S	4.3	S	4.3	S	C. annuum Marconi Giallo								
201-26	0.0	R	0.7		0.1	R	2.8	S	(check)	5.0	S	4.8	S	5.0	S	5.0	. :
201-27	1.6	R	0.3	R	2.0	R	2.8	S	L.S.D. P≤0.05	0.6	5	0.6	6	0.7	3	0.4	8
542-2	3.5	S	4.1		3.7	S	4.7	S	P≤0.01	0.8	6	0.8	7	0.90	5	0.6	3

^{* 0 =} no egg masses, 1 = 1-2 egg masses, 2 = 3-10, 3 = 11-30, 4 = 31-100, 5 = more than 100 egg masses. ** Host reaction; S = susceptible, egg masses index (EI) > 2; R = resistant, egg masses index (EI) ≤ 2.

Thus, in conclusion three lines of pepper were resistant to M. incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria and M. hapla, eight lines to M. incognita, M. javanica and M. arenaria, one to M. incognita and M. javanica, five to M. incognita and M. arenaria and 13 only to M. incognita (Tab. II).

These results would indicate that the resistance of pepper to root-knot nematodes is controlled by several different genes, probably at least five (Tab. II). Hare (1957) stated that resistance of pepper to root-knot nematodes was controlled by a single dominant gene (N). However, he suspected that several other genes might be involved in the resistance process. Later, Di Vito and Saccardo (1978) found a new source of resistance in C. frutescens and C. chinense. These findings were confirmed more recently by

Hendy et al. (1985) who reported several genes are involved in the lines of C. annum that are resistant to M. incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria and M. hispanica Hirschmann (the Siville root-knot nematode). However, more investigations are required to identify these different types of resistance in pepper to Meloidogyne spp., in terms of the number of genes and their inheritance.

The discovery of the resistance to M. hapla in addition to M. incognita, M. javanica and M. arenaria, in two lines of C. chinense and in one of C. frutescens could be profitably used in plant breeding programmes to obtain cultivars and hybrids F1 of pepper with resistance to these important species of root-knot nematodes.

TABLE II - Reaction type of lines of Capsicum spp. to Meloidogyne incognita, M. javanica, M. arenaria and M. hapla and genotype categories proposed.

Species of Capsicum and		Reactio	n type 1	N° of lines	Genotypes		
N° of lines tested	Mi	Mj	Ma	Mh	resistant	resistant to	
C. annuum (check)	1	S ²	S.	S	S	0	
C. baccatum	3	S	S	S	S	0	
C. baccatum var. pendulum	8	S	S	S	S	0	
C. chacoense	23	R	R	R	S	1	Mi, Mj, Ma
		R	S	R	S	2	Mi, Ma
		R	S	S	S	1	Mi
_		S	S	S	S	0	
C. chinense	27	R	R	R	R	2	Mi, Mj, Ma, Mh
		R	R	R	S	7	Mi, Mj, Ma
		R	R	S	S	1	Mi, Mj
		R	S	R	S	3	Mi, Ma
		R	S	S	S	4	Mi
		S	S	S	S	0	
C. frutescens	16	R	R	R	R	1	Mj, Mi, Ma, Mh
		R	S	S	S	5	Mi
		S	S	S	S	0	

 $^{^1}$ Mi = Meloidogyne incognita, Mj = M. javanica, Ma = M. arenaria, and Mh = M. hapla. 2 Susceptible, egg masses index (EI) > 2; R = Resistant, (EI) \leq 2.

Literature cited

DICKSON D.W. and BEN STRUBLE F., 1965 A sieving staining technique for the extraction of egg masses of Meloidogyne in-

cognita from soil. Phytophatology, 55: 497.

Di Vito M. and Greco N., 1982 Research on root-knot nematodes in Italy. Proc. of the Third Research and Planning Conference on Koot-knot nematodes Meloidogyne spp. Sept. 13-

17, 1982 Coimbra Portugal, pp. 34-38.
Di Vito M. and Saccardo F., 1978 Risposta di linee e varietà di Capsicum spp. agli attacchi di Meloidogyne incognita in serra. Nematol. medit., 6: 83-88.

DI VITO M. and SACCARDO F., 1982 Resistance of Capsicum spp. to root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.). Capsicum Newsletter, 1: 70-71.

HARE W.W., 1957 Inheritance of resistance to root-knot nematodes in pepper. Phytopathology, 47: 455-459.

HENDY H., POCHARD A. and DALMASSO A., 1985 Transmission héréditaire de la résistance aux nématodes Meloidogyne Chitowood (Tylenchida) portée par 2 lignées de Capsicum annuum L: étude de descendances homozygotes issues d'androgènese. Agronomie, 5: 93-100.

Hussery R.S. and Barker K.R., 1973 A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of Meloidogyne spp. including a new tech-

nique. Plant Dis. Reptr., 57: 1025-1028.

Taylor A.L. and Sasser J.N., 1978 Biology, Identification, and Control of Root-knot Nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.). North Carolina State University Graphics, Raleigh, NC (USA), pp. 111.