

Istituto di Nematologia Agraria, C.N.R. - 70126 Bari, Italy

TABLES OF NEMATODE-PATHOGENICITY

by
N. SASANELLI

Summary. "Tables of nematode-pathogenicity" were calculated on the basis of the relation between nematode density and damage to plants as characterized by the Seinhorst's equation $y = m + (1 - m) z^{(P-T)}$. This equation was transformed by a logarithmic manipulation, as $y = m + (1 - m) 1.05^{[(P-T) + 1]}$ and solved considering values of T and m from the literature. These Tables allow an easy and quick evaluation of yield losses for each known crop-nematode relation.

Yield losses caused by phytoparasitic nematodes to annual plants are correlated with the nematode population densities in the soil at sowing or transplanting. The prediction of yield losses is a prerequisite for a proper management of these plant pathogens.

Seinhorst (1965; 1979) found that the relation between nematode population density at sowing or planting and damage to plants is characterized by the equation $y = m + (1 - m) z^{P-T}$ (1), in which y (relative yield) is the ratio between the yield at P_i and that at $P < T$, m = the minimum relative yield (y at very large P_i), z a constant < 1 with $z^{-T} = 1.05$ (z variable for each nematode species and for each crop), T = the tolerance limit (P_i above which yield loss is expected), and P = initial population density.

Based on this model many studies have been undertaken to ascertain this relation for several nematode species on many crops (Lamberti and Greco, 1989) and to estimate values of T and m . However, data on yield losses caused by nematodes to different crops are not available in a synthetic and ready to consult form.

The purpose of this paper is to provide synthetic tables (Tables of nematode-pathogenicity) on the base of data derived from the literature, by which an easy and quick indication of yield losses for each known crop-nematode relation is provided.

Methods

The fundamental parameters of the Seinhorst's equation (1) T and m , determined for a number of annual crops and for different phytoparasitic nematodes, are reported in Table I.

The derivation of Tables of nematode-pathogenicity is based on the consideration that in the equation (1)

$$z^{-T} = 1.05 \quad (2) \text{ (Seinhorst, 1965).}$$

Applying $\text{Log}_{1.05}$ to the first and second term of (2) result in:

$$-T \text{Log}_{1.05} z = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Log}_{1.05} z = 1/T \quad (4)$$

$$z = 1.05^{(1/T)} \quad (5).$$

The expression $z^{(P-T)}$ can also be reported in eq. (1)

$[1.05^{(1/T)(P-T)}]$ from which $1.05^{(P-T)/T}$ and consequently

$$y = m + (1 - m) 1.05^{(P-T)/T} \quad (6).$$

In eq. (6) the estimation of y does not require knowledge of z that would impose a specific table of pathogenicity for each crop-nematode relation or knowledge of the relative pathogenicity curve.

The parameters needed to solve eq. (6) are, therefore, limited to m and T , as determined in the previous pathogenicity tests (Table I), and to P_i as it can be estimated from the analysis of soil samples.

Results and discussion

Applying eq. (6), resulted in the calculation of data reported in the "Tables of nematode-pathogenicity". In the first column the value of the ratio P_i/T is reported. The fol-

TABLE I - Fundamental parameters m and T of Seinhorst's equation for different known crop-nematode relations.

Nematode	Crop	T (eggs and J/cm ³ or g soil)	m	Authors
<i>Globodera pallida</i>	Potato	1.70	0.03	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1982b
<i>Globodera rostochiensis</i>	Potato	1.90	0.13	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1982b
<i>Heterodera avenae</i>	Wheat	1	0.60	Greco and Brandonisio, 1987
<i>Heterodera carotae</i>	Carrot	0.80	0	Greco and Brandonisio, 1980
<i>Heterodera ciceri</i>	Chickpea	1.15	0	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1988
	Lentil	2.51	0.50	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1988
<i>Heterodera goettingiana</i>	Broad Bean	0.80	0.1	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1991
	Pea	0.50	0	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1991
	Vetch	2	0.4	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1991
<i>Heterodera schachtii</i>	Sugarbeet	1.80	0.05	Greco <i>et al.</i> , 1982a
<i>Meloidogyne artiellia</i>	Chickpea (spring)	0.02	0.18	Di Vito and Greco, 1988a
	Chickpea (winter)	0.14	0.1	Di Vito and Greco, 1988a
	Wheat	0.43	0.1	Di Vito and Greco, 1988b
<i>Meloidogyne hapla</i>	Alfa-alfa	1.60	0.1	Inserra <i>et al.</i> , 1983b
<i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>	Artichoke	1.10	0	Di Vito and Zaccheo, 1991
	Cabbage	0.50	0.05	Sasanelli <i>et al.</i> , 1992a
	Cantaloupe	0.19	0	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1983
	Corn	10	0.1	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1980
	Eggplant	0.054	0.05	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1986
	Pepper	0.165	0.2	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1985
	Sugarbeet	1.19	0.1	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1981
	Sunflower	1.85	0.25	Sasanelli and Di Vito, 1992
	Tobacco	2	0	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1983
	Tomato	3.3	0	Di Vito and Ekanayake, 1983
<i>Meloidogyne javanica</i>	Sunflower	3.03	0.3	Sasanelli <i>et al.</i> , 1992b
<i>Paratrichodorus</i> sp.	Wheat	1.40	0.25	Inserra <i>et al.</i> , 1983a
<i>Pratylenchus thornei</i>	Chickpea	0.03	0.42	Di Vito <i>et al.</i> , 1992

lowing columns, indicated as $(1 - m)$, identify the expected relative yield y . At the top of these last columns $(1 - m)$ are reported the values of 1, 0.95 ... 0.05 corresponding to a range of m from 0, 0.05 ... to 0.95. The intersection between row and column directly gives the relative yield y corresponding to P/T and m values. The per cent yield loss ($YI\%$) is obtained by the difference to 1,

$$YI\% = (1 - y) 100.$$

In these tables a maximum P/T ratio of 80 was considered as generally the minimum yield m occurring at $P/T \geq 64$ (Seinhorst, 1986).

If P/T is not an entire number or $(1 - m)$ is not an entire multiple of 0.05 (as reported in the Tables of nematode-pathogenicity) the required estimation of the yield can be obtained by interpolation between preceeding and following values of y corresponding to entire values of P/T or $(1 - m)$.

50	0.092	0.137	0.182	0.228	0.273	0.319	0.364	0.410	0.455	0.500	0.546	0.591	0.637	0.682	0.727	0.773	0.818	0.864	0.909	0.955
51	0.087	0.133	0.178	0.224	0.270	0.315	0.361	0.407	0.452	0.498	0.544	0.589	0.635	0.681	0.726	0.772	0.817	0.863	0.909	0.954
52	0.083	0.129	0.175	0.221	0.266	0.312	0.358	0.404	0.450	0.496	0.542	0.587	0.633	0.679	0.725	0.771	0.817	0.862	0.908	0.954
53	0.079	0.125	0.171	0.217	0.263	0.309	0.355	0.401	0.447	0.494	0.540	0.586	0.632	0.678	0.724	0.770	0.816	0.862	0.908	0.954
54	0.075	0.122	0.168	0.214	0.260	0.306	0.353	0.399	0.445	0.491	0.538	0.584	0.630	0.676	0.723	0.769	0.815	0.861	0.908	0.954
55	0.072	0.118	0.165	0.211	0.257	0.304	0.350	0.397	0.443	0.489	0.536	0.582	0.629	0.675	0.722	0.768	0.814	0.861	0.907	0.954
56	0.068	0.115	0.161	0.208	0.255	0.301	0.348	0.394	0.441	0.488	0.534	0.581	0.627	0.674	0.720	0.767	0.814	0.860	0.907	0.953
57	0.065	0.112	0.159	0.205	0.252	0.299	0.346	0.392	0.439	0.486	0.533	0.579	0.626	0.673	0.720	0.766	0.813	0.860	0.907	0.953
58	0.062	0.109	0.156	0.203	0.250	0.296	0.343	0.390	0.437	0.484	0.531	0.578	0.625	0.672	0.719	0.765	0.812	0.859	0.906	0.953
59	0.059	0.106	0.153	0.200	0.247	0.294	0.341	0.388	0.435	0.482	0.530	0.577	0.624	0.671	0.718	0.765	0.812	0.859	0.906	0.953
60	0.056	0.103	0.151	0.198	0.245	0.292	0.339	0.387	0.434	0.481	0.528	0.575	0.622	0.670	0.717	0.764	0.811	0.858	0.906	0.953
61	0.054	0.101	0.148	0.196	0.243	0.290	0.337	0.385	0.432	0.479	0.527	0.574	0.621	0.669	0.716	0.763	0.811	0.858	0.905	0.953
62	0.051	0.098	0.146	0.193	0.241	0.288	0.336	0.383	0.431	0.478	0.525	0.573	0.620	0.668	0.715	0.763	0.810	0.858	0.905	0.953
63	0.049	0.096	0.144	0.191	0.239	0.286	0.334	0.382	0.429	0.477	0.524	0.572	0.619	0.667	0.715	0.762	0.810	0.857	0.905	0.952
64	0.046	0.094	0.142	0.189	0.237	0.285	0.332	0.380	0.428	0.475	0.523	0.571	0.618	0.666	0.714	0.762	0.809	0.857	0.905	0.952
65	0.044	0.092	0.140	0.187	0.235	0.283	0.331	0.379	0.426	0.474	0.522	0.570	0.618	0.665	0.713	0.761	0.809	0.857	0.904	0.952
66	0.042	0.090	0.138	0.186	0.234	0.281	0.329	0.377	0.425	0.473	0.521	0.569	0.617	0.665	0.713	0.760	0.808	0.856	0.904	0.952
67	0.040	0.088	0.136	0.184	0.232	0.280	0.328	0.376	0.424	0.472	0.520	0.568	0.616	0.664	0.712	0.760	0.808	0.856	0.904	0.952
68	0.038	0.086	0.134	0.182	0.230	0.279	0.327	0.375	0.423	0.471	0.519	0.567	0.615	0.663	0.711	0.760	0.808	0.856	0.904	0.952
69	0.036	0.084	0.133	0.181	0.229	0.277	0.325	0.374	0.422	0.470	0.518	0.566	0.614	0.663	0.711	0.759	0.807	0.855	0.904	0.952
70	0.035	0.083	0.131	0.179	0.228	0.276	0.324	0.372	0.421	0.469	0.517	0.566	0.614	0.662	0.710	0.759	0.807	0.855	0.903	0.952
71	0.033	0.081	0.130	0.178	0.226	0.275	0.323	0.371	0.420	0.468	0.516	0.565	0.613	0.662	0.710	0.758	0.807	0.855	0.903	0.952
72	0.031	0.080	0.128	0.177	0.225	0.273	0.322	0.370	0.419	0.467	0.516	0.564	0.613	0.661	0.709	0.758	0.806	0.855	0.903	0.952
73	0.030	0.078	0.127	0.175	0.224	0.272	0.321	0.369	0.418	0.466	0.515	0.563	0.612	0.660	0.709	0.757	0.806	0.854	0.903	0.951
74	0.028	0.077	0.126	0.174	0.223	0.271	0.320	0.368	0.417	0.466	0.514	0.563	0.611	0.660	0.709	0.757	0.806	0.854	0.903	0.951
75	0.027	0.076	0.124	0.173	0.222	0.270	0.319	0.368	0.416	0.465	0.514	0.562	0.611	0.659	0.708	0.757	0.805	0.854	0.903	0.951
76	0.026	0.074	0.123	0.172	0.221	0.269	0.318	0.367	0.415	0.464	0.513	0.562	0.610	0.659	0.708	0.756	0.805	0.854	0.903	0.951
77	0.025	0.073	0.122	0.171	0.220	0.268	0.317	0.366	0.415	0.463	0.512	0.561	0.610	0.659	0.707	0.756	0.805	0.854	0.902	0.951
78	0.023	0.072	0.121	0.170	0.219	0.268	0.316	0.365	0.414	0.463	0.512	0.561	0.609	0.658	0.707	0.756	0.805	0.854	0.902	0.951
79	0.022	0.071	0.120	0.169	0.218	0.267	0.316	0.364	0.413	0.462	0.511	0.560	0.609	0.658	0.707	0.756	0.804	0.853	0.902	0.951
80	0.021	0.070	0.119	0.168	0.217	0.266	0.315	0.364	0.413	0.462	0.511	0.560	0.608	0.657	0.706	0.755	0.804	0.853	0.902	0.951

Literature cited

- DI VITO M. and EKANAYAKE H. R. M. K., 1983. Relationship between population densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* and growth of resistant and susceptible tomato. *Nematol. medit.*, 11: 151-155.
- DI VITO M. and GRECO N., 1988a. The relationship between initial population density of *Meloidogyne artiellia* and yield of winter and spring chickpea. *Nematol. medit.*, 16: 163-166.
- DI VITO M. and GRECO N., 1988b. Effect of population densities of *Meloidogyne artiellia* on yield of wheat. *Nematol. medit.*, 16: 167-169.
- DI VITO M., GRECO N. and CARELLA A., 1981. Relationship between population densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* and yield of sugarbeet and tomato. *Nematol. medit.*, 9: 99-103.
- DI VITO M., GRECO N. and CARELLA A., 1983. The effect of population densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* on the yield of cantaloupe and tobacco. *Nematol. medit.*, 11: 169-174.
- DI VITO M., GRECO N. and CARELLA A., 1985. Population densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* and yield of *Capsicum annum*. *J. Nematol.*, 17: 45-49.
- DI VITO M., GRECO N. and CARELLA A., 1986. Effect of *Meloidogyne incognita* and the importance of the inoculum on the yield of eggplant. *J. Nematol.*, 18: 487-490.
- DI VITO M., GRECO N. and SAXENA M. C., 1992. Pathogenicity of *Pratylenchus thornei* on chickpea in Syria. *Nematol. medit.*, 20: 71-73.
- DI VITO M., VOVLAS N. and INSERRA R. N., 1980. Influence of *Meloidogyne incognita* on growth of corn in pots. *Plant Disease*, 64: 1025-1026.
- DI VITO M. and ZACCHEO G., 1991. Population density of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and growth of artichoke (*Cynara scolymus*). *Adv. Hort. Sci.*, 5: 81-82.
- GRECO N. and BRANDONISIO A., 1980. Relationship between *Heterodera carotae* and carrot yield. *Nematologica*, 26: 497-500.
- GRECO N. and BRANDONISIO A., 1987. Investigations on *Heterodera avenae* in Italy. *Nematol. medit.*, 15: 225-234.
- GRECO N., BRANDONISIO A. and DE MARINIS G., 1982a. Tolerance limit of the sugarbeet to *Heterodera schachtii*. *J. Nematol.*, 14: 199-202.
- GRECO N., DI VITO M., BRANDONISIO A., GIORDANO I. and DE MARINIS G., 1982b. The effect of *Globodera pallida* and *G. rostochiensis* on potato yield. *Nematologica*, 28: 379-386.
- GRECO N., DI VITO M., SAXENA M. C. and REDDY M. V., 1988. Effect of *Heterodera ciceri* on yield of chickpea and lentil and development of this nematode on chickpea in Syria. *Nematologica*, 34: 98-114.
- GRECO N., FERRIS H. and BRANDONISIO A., 1991. Effect of *Heterodera goettingiana* population densities on the yield of pea, broad bean and vetch. *Revue Nematol.*, 14: 619-624.

- INSERRA R. N., DI VITO M., VOVLAS N. and SEINHORST J. W., 1983a. Relationship between *Paratrichodorus* sp. density, and growth of wheat in pots. *J. Nematol.*, 15: 79-87.
- INSERRA R. N., O'BANNON J. H., DI VITO M. and FERRIS H., 1983b. Response of two alfalfa cultivars to *Meloidogyne hapla*. *J. Nematol.*, 15: 644-646.
- LAMBERTI F. and GRECO N., 1989. Perdite di produzione causate da nematodi fitoparassiti. *Inf. Fitopatol.*, 39 (9): 35-39.
- SASANELLI N. and DI VITO M., 1992. The effect of *Meloidogyne incognita* on growth of sunflower in pots. *Nematol. mediterr.*, 20: 9-12.
- SASANELLI N., DI VITO M. and ZACCHEO G., 1992a. Population densities of *Meloidogyne incognita* and growth of cabbage in pots. *Nematol. mediterr.*, 20: 21-23.
- SASANELLI N., VOVLAS N. and D'ADDABBO T., 1992b. Influence of *Meloidogyne javanica* on growth of sunflower. *Afro-Asian J. Nematol.*, 2: 84-88.
- SEINHORST J. W., 1965. The relationship between nematode density and damage to plants. *Nematologica*, 11: 137-154.
- SEINHORST J. W., 1979. Nematodes and growth of plants: formulation of the nematode-plant system. In: *Root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne species) Systematics, Biology and Control*. (F. Lamberti and C. E. Taylor Eds), Academic Press, London, pp. 231-256.
- SEINHORST J. W., 1986. Effect of nematode attack on the growth and yield of crop plants. In: *"Cyst Nematodes"*. (F. Lamberti and C. E. Taylor Eds), Plenum Press, New York, London, pp. 191-209.