# Pasteuria penetrans for Control of Meloidogyne incognita on Tomato and Cucumber, and M. arenaria on Snapdragon

### NANCY KOKALIS-BURELLE

Abstract: Meloidogyne incognita and Meloidogyne arenaria are important parasitic nematodes of vegetable and ornamental crops. Microplot and greenhouse experiments were conducted to test commercial formulations of the biocontrol agent Pasteuria penetrans for control of *M. incognita* on tomato and cucumber and *M. arenaria* on snapdragon. Three methods of application for *P. penetrans* were assessed including seed, transplant, and post-plant treatments. Efficacy in controlling galling and reproduction of the two root-knot nematode species was evaluated. Seed treatment application was assessed only for *M. incognita* on cucumber. Pasteuria treatment rates of a granular transplant formulation ranged from  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> to  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of transplant mix applied at seeding. Additional applications of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil were applied as a liquid formulation to soil post-transplant for both greenhouse and microplot trials. In greenhouse cucumber trials, all *Pasteuria* treatments were equivalent to steamed soil for reducing *M. incognita* populations in roots and soil, and reducing nematode reproduction and galling. In cucumber microplot trials there were no differences among treatments for *M. incognita* populations in roots or soil, eggs/g root, or root condition ratings. Nematode reproduction on cucumber was low with Telone II and with the seed treatment plus post-plant application of *Pasteuria*, which had the lowest nematode reproduction. However, galling for all *Pasteuria* treatments was higher than galling with Telone II. Root-knot nematode control with *Pasteuria* in greenhouse and microplot trials varied on tomato and snap dragon. Positive results were achieved for control of *M. incognita* with the seed treatment application on cucumber.

Key words: Antirrhinum majus, biological control, Cucumis sativus, cucumber, Meloidogyne, Pasteuria penetrans, Solanum lycopersicum, root-knot nematodes, snapdragon, tomato.

In the southeastern United States, root-knot nematodes (RKN; Meloidogyne spp.) are extremely destructive pests, causing significant yield loss in vegetable and ornamental crops. The southern rootknot nematode, M. incognita, and the peanut root-knot nematode, M. arenaria, are found in all vegetable and ornamental producing regions in Florida. Soil fumigation has been commonly used for control of nematodes in Florida vegetable and ornamental production (Rosskopf et al., 2005). However, the phase-out of methyl bromide and increased restrictions on all fumigants limit nematode control options. Crop rotation is ineffective due to the wide host range of Meloidogyne spp. and weed hosts in fields during and between crops (Koenning et al., 1999; Noling and Gilreath, 2003; Kokalis-Burelle and Rosskopf, 2012, 2013). Consequently, the need for new chemical and biological treatments for RKN control is great.

The biological control agent *Pasteuria penetrans* has shown potential for controlling some species of nematodes (Dickson et al., 1994). Research studying natural populations of *Pasteuria* on peanuts showed root and pod galls from *M. arenaria* were reduced by 60% and 95%, respectively, in soil inoculated with *P. penetrans* at 100,000 endospores/g of soil compared to nontreated control plots. Root and pod galls were reduced by 61% and 82% and 81% and 90%, respectively in 10,000 and 100,000 endospores/g treatments compared to control plots the following year when peanut was replanted into the same plots without additional endospore inoculum (Chen et al., 1996).

Methods for culturing *Pasteuria* in vitro have been developed, allowing the organism to be mass produced and commercialized as a biopesticide (Gerber and White, 2005). The first isolate of *Pasteuria* mass produced in vitro was parasitic on sting nematode, *Belonolaimus longicaudatus*, an important pathogen of turf. Previous research with an in vivo produced isolate of '*Candidatus* Pasteuria usgae' in field plots demonstrated a reduction of sting nematode 13 mon after inoculation (Giblin-Davis et al., 2003). Recently, in vitro cultured strains of '*Candidatus* Pasteuria usgae' have successfully controlled sting nematode in the field (Luc et al., 2010a, 2010b).

The objectives of this research were to determine if in vitro-produced P. penetrans could provide control of M. incognita on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and cucumber (Cucumis sativus), and M. arenaria on snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus) in greenhouse and field microplot trials in Florida. Because many vegetable crops are propagated as transplants, the opportunity exists to introduce biocontrol agents into the transplant mix, increasing the potential to provide early season protection against invasion by nematodes (Kokalis-Burelle et al., 2006). Transplant mix applications were tested on tomato and snapdragon, and a seed treatment formulation was tested on cucumber due to its large seed size. Previous research has shown that post-plant application of Pasteuria improves nematode control (Hewlett et al., 2007). This project evaluated three methods of treatment application; seed, transplant, and post-plant. Treatments were evaluated for their efficacy in controlling galling and

Received for publication April 6, 2015.

USDA-ARS, U.S. Horticultural Research Laboratory, Fort Pierce, FL 34945. The author acknowledges the IR-4 Biopesticide Grants Program for providing funding, as well as Jackie Markle and Greg Hess for their technical support. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

E-mail: nancy.burelle@ars.usda.gov.

This paper was edited by Andrea M. Skantar.

reproduction of *M. incognita* on tomato and cucumber and *M. arenaria* on snapdragon.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### General methods

Field soil used for greenhouse and microplot trials was either steamed (greenhouse) or fumigated with Telone II (microplots) prior to use. M. incognita and M. arenaria were isolated from pure cultures maintained on tomato (S. lycopersicum 'Rutgers') in the greenhouse at the U.S. Horticultural Research Laboratory, Fort Pierce, FL, and were added to soil as described below. Treatments (excluding the untreated control and chemical control) consisted of varying rates of in vitro produced P. penetrans endospores, supplied by Pasteuria Bioscience, Inc. (Alachua, FL) (now Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC). Treatment rates ranged from  $5 \times 10^4$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of transplant mix to  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> applied at seeding. Additional post-plant applications of  $1.5 \times 10^5$ endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil were applied as a liquid formulation to soil post-transplant for greenhouse and microplot trials. Greenhouse trials were conducted in new 7.6-liter plastic pots filled with steam-sterilized field soil (classified in the Oldsmar series; sandy, siliceous, hyperthermic Alfic Arenic Alaquod). Field microplots were newly constructed of plastic drums which were 58-cm diameter and 90-cm depth buried in soil. Bottoms of drums were removed before burial to allow for drainage and drums were filled with Oldsmar sand from the farm site. Both greenhouse and microplot experiments were fertilized with a slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote® Smart-Release®14-14-14) and were scouted for insects and foliar diseases. Insects were controlled with sticky traps and Safer® Insecticidal Soap. Greenhouse benches were equipped with plexiglass dividers to separate pots and prevent cross contamination of treatments during watering.

#### Nematode inoculum

Nematode eggs were extracted from 'Rutgers' tomato roots using the NaOCl method (Hussey and Barker, 1973). Eggs were collected and the final concentration was adjusted to approximately 1000 eggs/ml water. Soil for greenhouse trials was infested with nematode eggs by pipetting 1 ml of egg suspension into soil and mixing the soil before placing in pots. For microplot experiments, 5 ml of nematode inoculum containing 1000 eggs/ml was decanted into plots and watered into soil. Nematode inoculum was applied to soil in all experiments prior to treatment application. Untreated soil was infested with nematodes and left untreated. Steam treated soil for greenhouse trials was infested with nematodes and then steamed for 1 hr. For microplot trials, nematode inoculum was applied to plots prior to fumigation with Telone II.

#### Greenhouse and field microplot experiments

Tomato and snapdragon transplants were grown in potting mix inoculated with *P. penetrans* at seeding and

were transplanted into nematode infested soil at the first true leaf stage, 6 to 7 wk after seeding. For greenhouse trials, plants were maintained in the greenhouse for 8 to 10 wk. For microplot trials, plants were transplanted into microplots at the stage described above. Post-plant treatment applications were made approximately 2 wk after transplanting.

For all tomato trials treatments were: untreated control, steamed control (greenhouse) or Telone II (microplot),  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix,  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix,  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix +  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant,  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix +  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix +  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant, and  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant alone.

For all snapdragon trials treatments were: untreated control, steamed control (greenhouse) or Telone II (microplot),  $5 \times 10^4$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix,  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix,  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix +  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant,  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> transplant mix +  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant,  $3 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant, and  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant, and  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil post-plant alone.

For all cucumber trials, treatments were: untreated control, steamed control (greenhouse) or Telone II (microplot), seed treatment at  $10^6$  spores/seed, seed treatment at  $10^6$  spores/seed + post-plant treatment of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil, post-plant treatment of  $1.5 \times 10^5$  endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil alone.

#### Disease evaluation

All plants were evaluated for plant growth and galling by root-knot nematodes after 12 wk. Root galling was assessed using a root gall index based on a scale of 1 to 10 where 1 = no galls and 10 = severe galling (Bridge and Page, 1980). At the end of experiments plant growth measurements including stem diameter at the crown, shoot height, shoot fresh weight, and root fresh weight were recorded. Root condition ratings were also performed and were based on a 0 to 5 scale with 0 =white, healthy roots, 5 = completely discolored, necrotic roots. Nematode juveniles (J2) were extracted from both roots and soil using the Baermann funnel technique. Nematode reproduction (Rf) was calculated as Rf = Pf/Pi, where Pi = initial inoculum level and Pf =eggs extracted at the end of the experiment using the NaOCl method (Hussey and Janssen, 2002).

#### Statistical analysis

A randomized complete block design with five replications was used for all experiments. All experiments were conducted twice and data from both experiments were subjected to a *t* test and combined when no significant differences were found between tests. Data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance using general linear model analysis. Means were separated based on least significant difference (Fisher's protected LSD) procedures.

# RESULTS

## Tomato

In greenhouse tomato trials, M. incognita J2 populations in roots were reduced by steam treatment compared to two Pasteuria treatments (Table 1). However, the untreated control and steam treatment did not differ, and the number of J2 isolated from tomato roots at the end of the greenhouse trial was low overall. M. incognita J2 populations in soil were higher, but the same statistical trends held true as those for J2 populations in roots. Tomato root weight was lowest in the steam treatment, as were the number of nematode eggs isolated per gram of root, which both differed between the untreated control (UTC) and at least one Pasteuria treatment. Nematode reproduction, root disease, and nematode galling were all reduced by steam, however, the Pasteuria treatments did not differ from the untreated control for those variables (Table 1).

There were no differences in *M. incognita* J2 in roots or soil, eggs/g root, or nematode reproduction (Rf) in tomato microplot experiments (Table 2). Root weight in the Telone treatment was higher than the UTC and the majority of *Pasteuria* treatments (Table 2). Telone had healthier root condition ratings compared with several *Pasteuria* treatments and had lower gall index values than the untreated control and all *Pasteuria* treatments except the 300k spore/cc transplant treatment (Table 2).

## Cucumber

In contrast with results on tomato, all *Pasteuria* treatments in the greenhouse trials were comparable to the steam treatment control for reducing *M. incognita* 

populations in cucumber roots and soil, reducing nematode reproduction and gall index values, and improving root condition (Table 3). Root weights were similar among all treatments although numerically higher in the untreated control, most probably due to heavier galling than with the other treatments.

In cucumber microplot trials, there were no differences among treatments for *M. incognita* populations in roots or soil, eggs per gram root tissue or root condition ratings (Table 4). Nematode reproductive index values were low across all treatments; however, only the Telone II treatment was significantly lower than the UTC (Table 4). However, galling for all *Pasteuria* treatments was higher than galling in the Telone II control (Table 4). There were no positive effects of the additional post-plant liquid treatment for any trials with the exception of cucumber microplots where addition of the post-plant treatment reduced nematode Rf compared with seed treatment alone (Table 4).

# Snapdragon

In greenhouse snapdragon trials, there were no differences among treatments for *M. arenaria* J2 isolated from roots (Table 5). *M. arenaria* J2 isolated from soil were only reduced with the steam control treatment, and all *Pasteuria* treatments were similar to the UTC. Galling was high on all treatments and the untreated control did not differ from the steam treatment for gall index ratings (Table 5). The lowest rate of *Pasteuria* significantly increased the number of eggs/g root compared to several other treatments including the untreated control, and had the second-highest rate of galling (Table 5).

In microplot snapdragon trials, few differences were seen among treatments for nematode populations in roots and soil (Table 6). It is unusual that no nematode J2 were isolated from soil for the untreated controls. A

TABLE 1. Meloidogyne incognita juveniles (J2) in roots and soil, plant root weight, plant root condition, and nematode gall index values for both experiments on tomato in the greenhouse.

Treatment	M. incognita J2/g root	<i>M. incognita</i> J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	$Rf^{a}$	Root condition <sup>b</sup>	Gall index <sup>c</sup>
UTC <sup>d</sup>	4.57 ab <sup>e</sup>	193.9 ab	38.39 ab	1333 a	30.60 ab	2.44 a	5.54 a
Steam	0.25 b	2.3 b	25.37 с	418 b	6.70 c	1.47 b	1.76 b
$1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}  {\rm spores/cm^3}$	12.89 a	111.1 b	33.12 abc	971 ab	21.41 bc	2.43 a	4.82 a
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}  {\rm spores/cm^3}$	5.39 ab	117.2 b	36.54 abc	1055 ab	28.33 ab	2.15 a	4.54 a
$1.5 \times 10^{e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> + LA <sup>f</sup> $1.5 \times 10^{e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	6.45 ab	144.0 ab	30.19 bc	1112 ab	21.55 bc	2.20 a	4.37 a
$3 \times 10^{\text{e}} \text{ spores/cm}^3 +$ LA $1.5 \times 10^{\text{e}} \text{ spores/cm}^3$	12.56 a	472.5 a	43.10 a	1221 a	40.90 a	2.52 a	5.47 a
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	4.42 ab	138.3 ab	35.88 abc	1204 a	26.12 ab	2.63 a	5.28 a
LSD (0.05)	12.16	348.4	11.71	743	18.75	0.58	1.48

<sup>a</sup> Reproductive factor.

<sup>b</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>c</sup> Gall index: 0 = no galling, 10 = complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>d</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>e</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures (P < 0.05).

<sup>f</sup> Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

TABLE 2. *Meloidogyne incognita* juveniles (J2) in roots and soil, plant root weight, plant root condition, and nematode gall index values for both experiments on tomato in microplots.

Treatment	M. incognita J2/g root	M. incognita J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	Rf <sup>a</sup>	Root Condition <sup>b</sup>	Gall Index <sup>c</sup>
UTC <sup>d</sup>	$0.34 a^5$	6.80 a	15.5 b	70.52 a	3.50 a	1.88 ab	1.97 ab
Telone II	1.38 a	28.35 a	22.7 a	49.92 a	3.10 a	1.55 b	1.03 с
$1.5  imes 10^{ m d}  m  spores/cm^3$	2.69 a	29.48 a	19.3 ab	86.72 a	4.40 a	1.84 ab	2.69 a
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	0.79 a	6.80 a	15.9 b	33.03 a	1.90 a	1.90 ab	1.69 bc
$1.5 \times 10^{e^{2}}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> + LA <sup>f</sup> $1.5 \times 10^{e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	0.00 a	20.41 a	18.0 b	64.90 a	3.90 a	2.31 a	2.56 a
$3 \times 10^{\circ}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> + LA $1.5 \times 10^{\circ}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.13 a	3.78 a	16.6 b	44.01 a	2.30 a	2.10 a	2.41 ab
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.29 a	7.94 a	16.8 b	152.00 a	6.40 a	2.19 a	2.59 a
LSD (0.05)	2.91	33.65	4.05	138.96	6.51	0.54	0.84

<sup>a</sup> Reproductive factor.

<sup>b</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>c</sup> Gall index: 0 = no galling, 10 = complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>d</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>e</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures (P < 0.05).

<sup>f</sup> Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

significant increase was seen in the number of nematode eggs isolated from roots in the treatment receiving the high rate of *Pasteuria* + the liquid post-plant application (Table 6). However, overall galling was low for these experiments with no differences seen in galling between the untreated control and Telone II treatment.

### DISCUSSION

*P. penetrans* is being marketed as a highly specific and safe biological nematicide. The developed strain of *P. penetrans* tested here is a parasite of the rootknot nematodes *M. arenaria*, and *M. incognita*, is nontoxic to humans and other mammals, and does not contribute to pollution of soil or water. There are currently no alternative biopesticide treatments comparable to *P. penetrans*. In contrast, the alternative conventional chemical pesticides currently registered in the United States including Telone (1,3-dichloropropene) and Paladin (dimethyl disulfide) face obstacles to their use which include large buffer zones, restrictions on use in some soils, and offensive odor. In addition, most chemical fumigants are facing stringent restrictions on their use due to environmental concerns, classification as class B carcinogens, and high potential for ground water contamination.

Initial studies on in vitro produced *Pasteuria* by Hewlett et al. (2003) found that although an 87% reduction in total nematode eggs was observed on tomato seedlings treated with in vitro produced spores compared to the untreated controls, there were no significant differences in galling, the number of egg masses, or eggs of *M. arenaria* between treatments. As in the studies presented here, Hewlett et al. (2003) saw a high level of variability among replicates for most treatments. This variability was attributed to the small volume of soil used in the experiments, which resulted in a short distance for nematodes traveling to the root tips, decreasing the exposure time for nematodes to *Pasteuria* spores in the soil. Hewlett et al. (2003) did not find significant difference in the

TABLE 3. Meloidogyne incognita juveniles (J2) in roots and soil, plant root weight, plant root condition, and nematode gall index values for both experiments on cucumber in the greenhouse.

Treatment	M. incognita J2/g root	M. incognita J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	Rf <sup>a</sup>	Root condition <sup>b</sup>	Gall index <sup>c</sup>
UTC <sup>d</sup>	4.58 a <sup>e</sup>	112.3 a	33.2 a	158.9 a	2.38 a	2.16 a	7.16 a
Steam	0.07 b	9.1 b	30.2 a	15.8 b	0.27  bc	0.25 b	0.43 b
$1 \times 10^{\rm f}$ spores/seed	0.00 b	6.8 b	31.5 a	9.3 b	0.14 c	0.26 b	0.23 b
$1 \times 10^{\rm f}$ spores/seed + LA <sup>f</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>e</sup> spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	0.19 b	0.0 b	28.1 a	91.7 a	1.04 b	0.42 b	0.30 b
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	0.13 b	1.1 b	30.4 a	16.9 b	0.24 bc	0.39 b	0.38 b
LSD (0.05)	1.79	68.4	7.4	72.8	0.81	0.47	0.39

<sup>a</sup> Reproductive factor.

<sup>b</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>c</sup> Gall index: 0 = no galling, 10 = complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>d</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>e</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

<sup>f</sup> Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

Treatment	M. incognita J2/g root	<i>M. incognita</i> J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	Rf <sup>a</sup>	Root condition <sup>b</sup>	Gall index <sup>c</sup>
$\rm UTC^d$	21.23 a <sup>e</sup>	7.9 a	8.1 b	187.5 a	0.04 ab	0.77 a	2.33 b
Telone II	1.37 a	10.2 a	12.9 ab	15.9 a	0.01 b	0.48 a	0.24 c
$1 \times 10^{\rm f}$ spores/seed	12.80 a	4.5 a	11.9 ab	483.1 a	0.14 a	0.84 a	3.50 ab
$1 \times 10^{f}$ spores/seed + LA <sup>f</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>e</sup> spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.35 a	6.8 a	8.9 ab	115.6 a	0.03 b	0.56 a	2.57 ab
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	11.28 a	5.7 a	13.1 a	258.4 a	0.04 ab	0.81 a	4.38 a
LSD (0.05)	25.52	14.1	4.9	493.3	0.11	0.55	2.03

TABLE 4. *Meloidogyne incognita* juveniles (J2) in roots and soil, plant root weight, plant root condition, and nematode gall index values for both experiments on cucumber in microplots.

<sup>a</sup> Reproductive factor.

<sup>b</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>c</sup> Gall index: 0 =no galling, 10 =complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>d</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>c</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures (P < 0.05).

 $^{\rm f}$  Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

percentage of females infected with *Pasteuria* between in vitro and in vivo produced spores leading them to conclude that spores produced in vitro were similar to those produced in vivo with regard to attachment and infection of the nematodes. Later work by Hewlett et al. (2006) found a significant reduction in galling by *M. incognita* on *Hostas* spp. in plots treated with  $10^5$ in vitro produced *Pasteuria* endospores/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil compared to the untreated control. The nematode suppression at this endospore density was similar to other reports (Chen and Dickson, 1998) for suppression achieved with *P. penetrans* endospores produced in vivo.

In the studies presented here, rates were mostly comparable, or higher, than those used in previous research by Hewlett et al. (2003, 2006) with the exception of one treatment on snapdragon. Therefore, lack of suppression with some treatments cannot be attributed to application rate, as rates used in this research were comparable to the previous studies. Results of the current research on efficacy of P. penetrans for control of M. incognita on tomato and cucumber and *M. arenaria* on snapdragon were mixed. Although the number of *M. incognita* [2 isolated from tomato roots in greenhouse trials were low, gall index values were moderate for the UTC and Pasteuria treatments, and low in the steamed soil control, indicating adequate inoculum density for symptom development and efficacy of the steam treatment in reducing symptom development. Low root weights in the steam treatment were consistent with both lower gall index values (galled roots are often heavier than healthy roots) and a general reduction in plant growth typically observed in a sterilized medium. Compared with the greenhouse studies, tomato microplot studies had higher root weights in the Telone II control, which were associated with larger, healthier, and less galled roots, compared to most other treatments.

TABLE 5. *Meloidogyne arenaria* juveniles (J2) in roots and soil, plant root weight, plant root condition, and nematode gall index values for both experiments on snapdragon in the greenhouse.

Treatment	M. arenaria J2/g root	<i>M. arenaria</i> J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	$\mathrm{Rf}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Root condition <sup>b</sup>	Gall index <sup>c</sup>
UTC <sup>d</sup>	112 a <sup>e</sup>	300 ab	5.7 ab	326 b	0.93 abc	2.15 ab	5.13 abc
Steam	75 a	3 c	4.1 b	22 b	0.04 c	2.17 ab	3.47 с
$5  imes 10^{ m d}  m  spores/cm^3$	170 a	389 a	4.8 ab	1242 a	2.12 a	2.52 a	6.56 a
$1.5 \times 10^{e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	128 a	348 ab	5.7 ab	268 b	0.97 abc	1.86 ab	6.18 a
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	150 a	435 a	5.2 ab	479 ab	1.22 abc	2.02 ab	6.77 a
$5 \times 10^{\rm d}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> +	131 a	438 a	5.6 ab	245 b	0.93 abc	2.46 ab	5.10 abc
$LA^{f} 1.5 \times 10^{f} spores/cm^{3}$							
$1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}  {\rm spores/cm^3} +$	36 a	274 ab	5.5 ab	290 b	0.71 bc	1.45 b	4.17 bc
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>							
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> +	78 a	261 ab	6.6 a	539 ab	1.57 ab	1.96 ab	5.98 ab
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>							
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	92 a	134 bc	3.9 b	331 b	0.62 bc	1.82 ab	4.17 bc
LSD (0.05)	162	245	2.3	891	1.32	1.07	2.01

<sup>a</sup> Reproductive factor.

<sup>b</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>c</sup> Gall index: 0 = no galling, 10 = complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>d</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>e</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures (P < 0.05).

<sup>f</sup> Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

TABLE 6.	Meloidogyne arenaria juveniles	(J2) in roots and soil,	plant root weight	, plant root condition,	and nematode gall index values for
both experin	ments on snapdragon in micro	plots.			

Treatment	M. arenaria J2/g root	<i>M. arenaria</i> J2/100 cm <sup>3</sup> soil	Root weight (g)	Eggs/g root	Root condition <sup>a</sup>	Gall index <sup>b</sup>
UTC <sup>c</sup>	$5.5 \mathrm{~ab}^{\mathrm{d}}$	0.0 b	2.11 b	29.1 b	0.97 abc	0.09 с
Telone II	4.3 ab	3.4 ab	3.42 a	31.0 b	1.01 ab	0.13 bc
$5 \times 10^{\rm d}  {\rm spores/cm^3}$	5.2 ab	15.9 ab	2.23 b	21.5 b	0.16 abc	0.23 abc
$1.5 \times 10^{e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	9.1 ab	2.3 ab	2.30 b	19.3 b	0.71 bc	0.19 abc
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	9.6 ab	37.4 a	2.31 b	67.0 ab	1.06 a	0.50 a
$5 \times 10^{\rm d}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup> +	8.6 ab	2.3 ab	2.06 b	63.7 ab	0.73 abc	0.14 bc
$LA^{e} 1.5 \times 10^{e} \text{ spores/cm}^{3}$						
$1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}  {\rm spores/cm^3} +$	6.0 ab	3.4 ab	2.27 b	64.1 ab	0.66 c	0.35 abc
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>						
$3 \times 10^{\rm e}  {\rm spores/cm^3} +$	24.7 a	30.6 ab	2.39 b	150.9 a	0.71 bc	0.45 ab
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>						
LA $1.5 \times 10^{\rm e}$ spores/cm <sup>3</sup>	0.9 b	5.7 ab	2.36 b	17.4 b	0.89 abc	0.08 c
LSD (0.05)	22.2	37.0	0.42	108.2	0.34	0.34

<sup>a</sup> Root condition: 0 = clean, white roots, 5 = completely rotted and discolored roots.

<sup>b</sup> Gall index: 0 = no galling, 10 = complete galling (Bridge and Page, 1980).

<sup>c</sup> UTC = untreated control.

<sup>d</sup> Means with the same letter in a column are not significantly different according to least significant difference (LSD) procedures (P < 0.05).

<sup>e</sup> Liquid application (LA) applied 2 wk after planting.

These results illustrate the importance of considering gall index values in conjunction with root weight values in order to determine if increased root weight is due to an increase in root galling or an increase in healthy root growth. Other factors that may play an important role in variability of efficacy are possible differences between in vivo and in vitro produced spores. Further research directly comparing these two spore types is necessary.

Results of cucumber microplot trials were not as positive as results of the greenhouse trials on cucumber. The one positive effect of the post-plant liquid treatment, a reduction in Rf compared with seed treatment alone, did occur in cucumber microplots. The remainder of the data did not indicate enhanced control with addition of the post-plant application. The reduction in efficacy in the microplot trials may be due to increased antagonism from native microbial populations compared with the greenhouse studies. It may be necessary to reduce native soil microbial populations with a chemical treatment such as chloropicrin in order to optimize nematode control in the field with *Pasteuria*, but further studies would need to be done to confirm this.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Bridge, J., and Page, S. L. J. 1980. Estimation of root-knot infestation levels in roots using a rating chart. Tropical Pest Management 26:296–298.

Chen, Z. X., and Dickson, D. W. 1998. Review of *Pasteuria penetrans*: Biology, ecology, and Biological Control Potential. Journal of Nematology 30:313–340.

Chen, Z. X., Dickson, D. W., McSorley, R., Mitchell, D. J., and Hewlett, T. E. 1996. Suppression of *Meloidogyne arenaria* race 1 by soil application of endospores of *Pasteuria penetrans*. Journal of Nematology 28:159–168. Dickson, D. W., Oostendorp, M., Giblin-Davis, R. M., and Mitchell, D. J. 1994. Control of plant-parasitic nematodes by biological antagonists. Pp. 575–601 *in* D. Rosen, F. D. Bennett, and J. L. Capinera, eds. Pest management in the subtropics, biological control—A Florida perspective. Andover, Hampshire, UK: Intercept.

Gerber, J. F., and White, J. H. 2005. Materials and methods for the efficient production of *Pasteuria*. U.S. Patent 6,919,197 B2.

Giblin-Davis, R. M., Williams, D. S., Bekal, S., Dickson, D. W., Becker, J. O., and Preston, J. F. 2003. '*Candidatus* Pasteuria usgae' sp. nov., an obligate endoparasite of the phytoparasitic nematode, *Belonolaimus longicaudatus*. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 53:197–200.

Hewlett, T. E., Griswold, S. T., and Smith, K. S. 2006. Biological control of *Meloidogyne incognita* using *in-vitro* produced *Pasteuria penetrans* in a microplot study. Journal of Nematology 38(2):274.

Hewlett, T. E., Griswold, S. T., and Smith, K. S. 2007. Efficacy of invitro *Pasteuria* spp. parasitizing two nematode species. Proceedings of the Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions. Pp. 38–1.

Hewlett, T. E., Smith, K. S., Griswold, S. T., and Crow, W. T. 2003. Comparison of the efficacy of *Pasteuria penetrans* endospores produced in vivo and in vitro for the control of *Meloidogyne arenaria*. Proceedings of the Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions. Pp. 121.1– 121.3.

Hussey, R. S., and Barker, K. R. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula for Meloidogyne spp., including a new technique. Plant Disease Reporter 57:1025–1028.

Hussey, R. S., and Janssen, G. J. 2002. Root-knot nematodes: *Meloidogyne* species. Pp. 43–70 *in* J. L. Starr, R. Cook, and J. Bridge, eds. Plant resistance to plant parasitic nematodes. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.

Koenning, S. R., Overstreet, C., Noling, J. W., Donald, P. A., Becker, J. O., and Fortnum, B. A. 1999. Survey of crop losses in response to phytoparasitic nematodes in the United States for 1994. Journal of Nematology 31:587–618.

Kokalis-Burelle, N., Kloepper, J. W., and Reddy, M. S. 2006. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as transplant amendments and their effects on indigenous rhizosphere microorganisms. Applied Soil Ecology 31:91–100.

Kokalis-Burelle, N., and Rosskopf, E. N. 2012. Susceptibility of several common subtropical weeds to *Meloidogyne arenaria*, *M. incognita*, and *M. javanica*. Journal of Nematology 44:142–147.

Kokalis-Burelle, N., and Rosskopf, E. N. 2013. Susceptibility of several floriculture crops to three common species of *Meloidogyne* in Florida. Nematropica 43:164–170.

Luc, J. E., Crow, W. T., McSorley, R., and Giblin-Davis, R. M. 2010a. Suppression of *Belonolaimus longicaudatus* with in vitro-produced *Pasteuria* sp. endospores. Nematropica 40(2):217–225.

Luc, J. E., Wenjing, P., Crow, W. T., and Giblin-Davis, R. M. 2010b. Effects of formulation and host nematode density on the ability of in

vitro-produced *Pasteuria* endospores to control its host *Belonolaimus* longicaudatus. Journal of Nematology 42(2):87–90.

Noling, J. W., and Gilreath, J. P. 2003. Role of weed hosts in population enhancement of root-knot nematode. Journal of Nematology 35:356.

Rosskopf, E. N., Chellemi, D. O., Kokalis-Burelle, N., and Church, G. T. 2005. Alternatives to methyl bromide: A Florida perspective. Online. Plant Health Progress doi: 10.1094/PHP-2005-1027-01-RV.