# Taxonomic and Biological Characterization of *Steinernema rarum* Found in the Southeastern United States

Khuong B. Nguyen,<sup>1</sup> David I. Shapiro-Ilan,<sup>2</sup> James R. Fuxa,<sup>3</sup> Bruce W. Wood,<sup>2</sup> Maria A. Bertolotti,<sup>4</sup> Byron J. Adams<sup>5</sup>

Abstract: Two Steinernema isolates found in Louisiana and Mississippi were later identified as isolates of *S. rarum*. DNA sequences of ITS regions of the United States isolates are identical with sequences of Argentinean *S. rarum* strains Samiento and Noetinger and differ by two bases from the Arroyo Cabral isolate from Córdoba, Argentina. SEM observations revealed several new structures in the isolates from the US: female face views have a hexagonal-star perioral disc and eye-shaped lips; some females do not have cephalic papillae; lateral fields of infective juveniles are variable; there are two openings observed close to the posterior edge of the cloaca. Virulence of the US isolates to *Anthonomus grandis, Diaprepes abbreviatus, Solenopsis invicta, Coptotermes formosanus, Agrotis ipsilon, Spodoptera frugiperda*, and *Trichoplusia ni* and reproductive potential were evaluated in comparison with other heterorhabditid and steinernematid nematodes. Results such as particularly high virulence to *S. frugiperda* indicate that the biocontrol potential of the new *S. rarum* strains merits further study.

Key words: DNA, entomopathogenic nematodes, host range, ITS, morphology, reproduction, Steinernema rarum, taxonomy.

In a survey of nematodes in 21 pecan orchards in Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, and Mississippi, we found several isolates of Steinernema spp. (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2003). Morphological, morphometric, and molecular studies of these nematode isolates showed that two isolates of the nematodes, one from Mississippi (isolate [1]) and one from Louisiana (isolate 17C), were S. rarum. This is the first report of this species in the United States. Although taxonomic and morphological aspects of the nematode species have been published (Doucet, 1986; Poinar et al., 1988), several important morphological and molecular characteristics have not been reported. In this paper, we use SEM photographs and molecular data to describe new isolates of the species and compare them with isolates collected from type locations in Argentina. Additionally, we provide biological data for the new strains pertaining to pathogenicity, virulence, and reproductive capacity in comparison to several other entomopathogenic nematode species.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode collection: The US S. rarum isolates were collected from two locations during a survey for entomopathogens in southeastern pecan orchards (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2003). One location was an orchard near Benton, LA, and the other in Rena Lara, MS. In each pecan orchard, five sample sites were chosen approximately 50 m from each other. About 4 kg of soil was collected from each site. In the laboratory, each sample was split into two plastic pots. Ten healthy greater wax moth larvae, Galleria mellonella, were added to one pot, and five pecan weevil larvae, Curculio caryae, to the other. Dead insects were removed every 5 d for 15 d and, the presence of nematodes was determined. We received several adults of the Argentinean isolates Arroyo Cabral and Noetinger fixed in 4% formalin in order to observe the precloacal ventral papilla by SEM. These isolates were collected from the same geographic region as the S. rarum type isolate (Espinal, elevation 250 m, in the province of Córdoba) (Doucet et al., 2003). The other isolate, Sarmiento (Charco forest, elevation 450 m), is also from Córdoba. All nematodes were identified to species using morphological, morphometric, and molecular techniques.

Nematode extraction: Nematodes collected from the field were maintained in the laboratory on *G. mellonella*. To obtain nematodes of different stages, 10 *G. mellonella* were exposed to 2,000 infective juveniles (IJ) in a petri dish (100 x 15 mm) lined with two moistened filter papers. First- and second-generation adult nematodes were obtained by dissecting infected insects 2 to 4 d and 5 to 7 d, respectively, after the insects died. Third-stage IJ were obtained when they emerged from the cadavers after 7 to 10 d. For observation and measurements, nematodes killed in warm water (40°C) were used. Twenty specimens of different stages were observed in this study. For the two US strains, means, standard deviation, ranges, and statistical analysis were obtained (SAS, Version 8.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

*Scanning electron microscopy:* Fifty females, 50 males, and 100 IJ were fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde buffered with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate at pH 7.2 for 24 h at 8°C (Nguyen and Smart, 1995). They were post-fixed with 2% osmium tetroxide solution for 12 hr at 25°C, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, critical-point dried

Received for publication: 19 November 2004. <sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-0620.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> USDA-ARS, SE Fruit and Tree Nut Research Unit, Byron, GA, 31008.
<sup>3</sup> Department of Entomology, Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station,

Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803. <sup>4</sup> Laboratorio de Nematología, Centro de Zoología Aplicada, Facultad de

Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina. <sup>5</sup> Microbiology & Molecular Biology Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602-5253.

Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal series No R-10477.

The authors thank G. C. Smart, Jr. and A. C. Tarjan for reviewing the manuscript.

É-mail: kbn@ufl.edu

with liquid  $CO_2$ , mounted on SEM stubs, and coated with gold. Spicules and gubernacula were prepared as suggested by Nguyen and Smart (1995).

*Extraction of DNA and PCR amplification:* DNA of *S. rarum* US strains was extracted from a single female using the method reported by Hominick et al. (1997). The ITS region of the ribosomal DNA was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as described by Nguyen et al. (2001), except the process was carried out in 25-µl reactions.

Sequencing: PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN Inc., Santa Clarita, CA). Purified DNA was sequenced at the DNA Sequencing Core Laboratory of the University of Florida. Sequences were edited and assembled using Sequencher 4.1 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI).

*Phylogenetic analysis*: Sequences of the 28S ribosomal DNA (D2/D3 regions) and the internal transcribed spacer regions (ITS) of *Steinernema* species have been used by different authors (Nguyen et al. 2001; Stock et al. 2001; Nguyen and Duncan, 2002; Nguyen and Adams, 2003; Stock and Koppenhofer, 2003) in taxonomic and phylogenetic studies. In the present study, sequences of the ITS regions were used. The studies of D2/D3 regions of *S. rarum* US and Argentinean strains were reported by Nguyen and Adams (2003) and are not presented in this study.

DNA sequences used in this study: The sequences of the ITS regions used for the multiple sequence alignment were from: S. affine (GenBank accession number AF331912), S. bicornutum (AF121048); S. carpocapsae (AF121049); S. ceratophorum (AF440765); S. diaprepesi (AF440764); S. feltiae (AF121050); S. glaseri (AF1220115); S. intermedium (AF122016); S. monticolum (AF122017); S. neocurtillae (AF122018); S. oregonense (AF122019); S. rarum USA strain 17C (DQ221115); S. rarum USA strain J1 (DQ221116); Argentinean strains Sarmiento (AY275273), Noetinger (DQ221117), and Arroyo Cabral (AY275272); S. scapterisci (AF122020); and S. siamkayai (AF331917). The sequence of S. siamkayai was reported by Stock et al. (2001); all others were reported by Nguyen et al. (2001).

Sequences of studied species were aligned using the default parameters of Clustal X (Thompson et al., 1997), then optimized manually in MacClade 4.05 (Maddison and Maddison, 2002). Phylogenetic trees were obtained by maximum parsimony (MP) using PAUP, 4.0b8 (Swofford, 2002). All data were assumed to be unordered, all characters were treated as equally weighted, and gaps were treated as missing data. Maximum parsimony was performed with a heuristic search (simple addition sequence, stepwise addition, TBR branch swapping). *Caenorhabditis elegans* (for ITS regions, Adams et al., 1998; GenBank Accession number X03680) was treated as the outgroup taxon to resolve the relationships among other species. Branch support

was estimated by bootstrap analysis (100 replicates) using the same parameters as the original search.

Biological analysis: Nematode reproductive capacity was assessed in last instar G. mellonella. Insects were individually exposed to approximately 50 IJ of H. bacteriophora, H. indica, and S. rarum ([1) on 50-mm petri dishes that were lined with filter paper and moistened with a total of 370 µl water. After 3 d, infected insects were placed on White traps (Kaya and Stock, 1997). Infective juveniles were harvested until emergence ceased or was considered negligible (approximately 25 d post treatment), at which time the total number of IJ was estimated based on dilution counts (Shapiro et al., 1999). Treatment effects were evaluated through ANOVA (using square-root transformed means) and LSD (SAS,  $P \leq 0.05$ ). Because insect size can affect I yield (Flanders et al., 1996), reproductive capacity was recorded and analyzed based on both yield per insect and yield per gram of insect. There were 10 replicates (insects)/treatment.

Pathogenicity (ability to cause disease) and virulence (degree of pathogenicity) of the US S. rarum isolates were evaluated in a number of insect pests: the boll weevil, Anthonomus grandis; Diaprepes root weevil, Diaprepes abbreviatus; Agrotis ipsilon; cabbage looper, Trichoplusia ni; fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda; red imported fire ant Solenopsis invicta; and Formosan subterranean termite, Coptotermes formosanus. All nematodes were cultured in parallel in last instar G. mellonella based on procedures described by Kaya and Stock (1997). Virulence assays were conducted in soil cups using procedures described by Shapiro et al. (1999) and Shapiro-Ilan (2001). Cups (3- to 4-cm-diam., 3.5-cm deep) were filled with 40 g of a sandy soil except for *D. abbreviatus* and A. ipsilon assays, when cups were filled with 27 g of a sandy loam. Nematodes were pipeted onto the soil surface of each cup so that the final moisture was -0.05bar, except for *D. abbreviatus* and *A. ipsilon* assays, which were standardized at field capacity (14% moisture). Due to low virulence and an inability to distinguish among treatments in soil assays (unpub. data), C. formosanus assays were conducted in petri dishes based on methods described by Kaya and Stock (1997); dishes (100 mm) were lined with filter paper moistened with 3 ml water. In all virulence assays, US S. rarum isolates were compared with Heterorhabditis bacteriophora (Hb strain) and S. carpocapsae (All strain), except A. ipsilon assays, which included S. feltiae (SN strain) rather than H. bacteriophora, and D. abbreviatus assays, which included S. riobrave (355 strain) rather than S. carpocapsae. Insect mortality was assessed after 14 d in all assays except A. ipsilon (3 d). The Mississippi strain of S. rarum (17C) was used in all assays except for A. *ipsilon* and D. abbreviatus, in which the Louisiana strain ([1) was used. Replication and IJ concentration for A. grandis, C. formosanus, S. frugiperda, and T. ni are listed in the footnote section of Table 1. Six replicates of 10 insects were

# 30 Journal of Nematology, Volume 38, No. 1, March 2006

Insect	Stage/age	Nematode treatment	IJ per insect <sup>b</sup>	Percentage mortality ± Se
Anthonomus grandis	7-d-old larvae	Control	0	$4.1 \pm 2.5 \ \mathrm{C}$
		S. rarum (17C)	50	$100.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{A}$
		S. rarum (17C)	100	$100.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{A}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	50	$60.0 \pm 4.1 \text{ B}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	100	$97.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ A}$
		S. feltiae (SN)	50	$99.1\pm0.8~A$
		S. feltiae (SN)	100	$100.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{A}$
Coptotermes formosanus	Adult	Control	0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$ C
1 5		S. rarum (17C)	5,000	$42.0 \pm 8.0 \text{ B}$
		S. rarum (17C)	20,000	$94.0 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{A}$
		H. bacteriophora (Hb)	5,000	$48.0 \pm 7.3 \text{ B}$
		H. bacteriophora (Hb)	20,000	$90.0 \pm 5.5 \text{ A}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	5,000	$40.0 \pm 7.1 \text{ B}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	20,000	$48.0\pm2.0~\mathrm{B}$
Spodoptera frugiperda	3 <sup>rd</sup> instar	Control	0	3.3 + 3.3 E
Speak provide general and		$S_{rarum}$ (17C)	10	$46.7 \pm 3.3$ D
		$S_rarum (17C)$	50	$100.0 \pm 0.0$ A
		$S_rarum (17C)$	100	$100.0 \pm 0.0$ A
		$S_{carbocabsae}$ (All)	10	433 + 33D
		S. carbocapsae (All)	50	$73.3 \pm 3.3$ C
		S. carbocapsae (All)	100	$100.0 \pm 0.0$ A
		H hacteriophora (Hb)	10	33.3 + 8.8 D
		H hacteriophora (Hb)	50	$90.0 \pm 5.8$ B
		H. bacteriophora (Hb)	100	$90.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ B}$
	D		0	
S. frugiperda	Prepupae	Control	0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$ F
		S. rarum $(17C)$	10	$33.3 \pm 3.3$ BCD
		S. rarum $(17C)$	50	$50.7 \pm 3.3 \text{ A}$
		S. $rarum (17C)$	100	$53.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ A}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	10	$20.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ DE}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	100	$46.7 \pm 9.3 \text{ DE}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	100	$40.7 \pm 0.0$ AD $12.9 \pm 2.9$ E
		H. bacteriophora (HB)	10	$13.3 \pm 3.3 \text{ L}$
		H. bacteriophora (HD)	50 100	$30.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ CD}$
		H. bacteriophora (HB)	100	43.3 ± 8.2 ABC
S. frugiperda	Pupae	Control	0	$0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{F}$
		S. rarum (17C)	10	$26.7 \pm 3.3 \text{ DE}$
		S. rarum (17C)	50	$43.3 \pm 3.3 \text{ BC}$
		S. rarum (17C)	100	$60.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ A}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	10	$16.8 \pm 3.3 \text{ E}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	50	$33.3 \pm 3.3$ CD
		S. carpocapsae (All)	100	$56.7 \pm 3.3 \text{ AB}$
		<i>H. bacteriophora</i> (Hb)	10	$26.7 \pm 6.7 \text{ DE}$
		<i>H. bacteriophora</i> (Hb)	50	$40.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ BC}$
		<i>H. bacteriophora</i> (Hb)	100	$43.3 \pm 8.8 \text{ BC}$
Trichoplusia ni	Pupae	Control	0	$0.0\pm0.0~\mathrm{D}$
-	-	S. rarum (17C)	10	$17.0 \pm 3.3$ ABC
		S. rarum (17C)	50	$30.0 \pm 5.8 \text{ A}$
		H. bacteriophora (Hb)	10	$6.6 \pm 3.3$ CD
		H. bacteriophora (Hb)	50	$26.7\pm3.3~\mathrm{A}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	10	$10.0 \pm 5.7 \text{ BC}$
		S. carpocapsae (All)	50	$23.3 \pm 5.7 \text{ AB}$
		· · · ·		

TABLE 1. Mortality of various pest insects following exposure to steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Number of replicates and insects used in assays were: four replicates of 30 insects for *A. grandis*, five replicates of 10 insects for *C. formosanus*, and three replicates of 10 insects for all stages of *S. frugiperda* and *T. ni*. All assays were conducted in soil cups except *C. formosanus* were in petri dishes.

<sup>b</sup> Infective juvenile (IJ) nematodes were applied at various concentrations.

used for *A. ipsilon* (5 IJ/3rd instar) and *D. abbreviatus* (500 IJ/8th-10th instar). For *S. invicta*, there were 10 replicates of 10 3-4<sup>th</sup> instars, pupae, or workers per cup at 1,000 and 10,000 IJ/insect. *Solenopsis invicta* alates were assayed separately with 10 replicates of 20 insects (10 male and 10 female) at 1,000 and 10,000 IJ/insect. Differences in mean percentage insect mortality among

treatments were detected through analysis of variance of (using arcsine transformed means) and LSD (SAS,  $P \le 0.05$ ).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Dead *G. mellonella* turned red 2 or 3 d after they were exposed to the nematodes. The red color faded gradu-

# SEM studies

*First-generation females:* Cephalic papillae in females were absent (Fig. 1D) in a number of specimens (10% for US isolate 17C). Perhaps this is why Doucet (1986) stated that females of this species did not have cephalic papillae. Cephalic papillae present in many specimens

but are small and sometimes difficult to detect (Fig. 1A-C). Perioral disc hexagonal-star shaped (Fig. 1A–C). Labial papillae six, prominent. The hexagonal-star perioral disc makes each lip resemble an eye. The hexagonal-star shaped perioral disc and eye-shaped labial papillae are new features for *S. rarum* and have not been reported for other *Steinernema* spp. Amphids inconspicuous. Tail conoid (Fig. 1E). Vulva with surrounding annules (Fig. 1F).

*First-generation males:* Body C-shaped when relaxed (Fig. 2A). Head truncate; six labial, and four cephalic



FIG. 1. SEM photographs of some morphological structures of the first-generation females of *S. rarum*. A–C) Variation in face views of four females showing oral aperture, hexagonal-star perioral disc (po), six prominent eye-shaped lips with papillae (lp), and small cephalic papillae (cp). D) Head of a female showing labial papillae but without cephalic papillae. E,F) First-generation female tail and vulva. Scales: A,B = 6.67  $\mu$ m, C = 7.5  $\mu$ m, D,F = 10  $\mu$ m, E = 30  $\mu$ m.

32 Journal of Nematology, Volume 38, No. 1, March 2006



FIG. 2. SEM photographs of some structures of the first-generation males of *S. rarum*, US isolate 17C. A) Entire C-shaped body. B) Anterior region showing oral aperture, six labial papillae (lp), two of four cephalic papillae, and one of two amphids. C) Posterior region showing four preanal papillae, and protruding spicules. D) Ventral view of posterior region of a male showing seven pairs of genital papillae and a small mucron. E) Subventral view of posterior region of a male showing lateral, subventral, caudal dorsal papillae, and a single precloacal papilla (s). F) Ventral view of posterior region of a male showing pairs of genital papillae and a single precloacal papilla (s). Scales: A = 268  $\mu$ m, B = 6  $\mu$ m, C = 67  $\mu$ m, D = 16.8  $\mu$ m, E = 11.9  $\mu$ m, F = 27.3  $\mu$ m.

papillae prominent (Fig. 2B); amphid present. Tail tip with small mucron (Fig. 2D). Posterior region with 10– 11 pairs of genital papillae: four to five pairs preanal (Fig. 2C), one pair lateral, two pairs adanal, three pairs postanal (Fig. 2D,F), and a single ventral preanal (Fig. 2E,F). Poinar et al. (1988) noted that in place of the single ventral preanal papilla in other species of *Steinernema*, double papillae were observed in *S. rarum*. Of isolates from the US and Argentina, males of *S. rarum* have only one preanal, ventral papilla (Fig. 3A,B).



FIG. 3. Comparison of SEM photographs of posterior regions of a male of *S. rarum*, Argentinean (first column) and US isolate 17C (second column). A,B) Both isolates show only one preanal genital papilla (arrows). C,D) Cloaca showing spicule tips and two unique openings posterior to the edge of cloaca. Note that the openings in Argentinean (arrows) strains are wide, but those of US strains (arrows) are slit-like in shape. Scales:  $A = 16.7 \mu m$ ,  $B = 27.3 \mu m$ ,  $C = 3 \mu m$ ,  $D = 6 \mu m$ .

Two special structures were observed in *S. rarum*: two openings present on posterior lip of cloaca of both the US and Argentinean strains. The shape is sometimes slit-like, sometimes pore-like (Fig 3C,D). These two structures are reported the first time for *Steinernema* and have not been observed on other *Steinernema* species.

Spicules and gubernacula (Fig. 4) of the US isolates differ in shape from those of other species of *Steinernema*. Spicule head short, velum prominent, extending more than two-third of blade. Posterior third of spicule straight, ventral side with a depression area close to spicule tip (Fig. 4B). Gubernaculum tapering anteriorly to a somewhat ventrally curved end. Corpus separated posteriorly (Fig. 4D). Cuneus rod-like, a good character for species identification. General shape of spicules of Argentinean isolates are similar to those of US strains (Fig. 4E,F).

*Infective juveniles:* Head not annulated, labial papillae not observed, four cephalic papillae prominent, amphid pronounced (Fig. 5A). Lateral field is very variable. Lateral field pattern begins with two ridges. Near excretory pore level, the number of ridges is eight (Fig. 5B,C). The two submarginal ridges at mid body are not raised as others and difficult to see, only six are seen under light microscope. Posterior part of the lateral field is very variable. Sometimes (5%) the number of ridges becomes 10 (Fig. 5D). Near anus the number of ridges usually becomes six then two at some annules anterior (Fig. 5E) or posterior (Fig 5F) to phasmid. In the posterior part of some individuals (about 10%), the pattern changes into two marginal bands and a central large and smooth band (Fig. 5G). Finally, the lateral field turns into one smooth band. In about 10% of the population, lateral field has two wide ridges at the beginning and then turns into a smooth band that extends to the end of lateral field (Fig. 5H).

One noticeable character of the US isolates is that at room temperature (25°C) most IJ are spiral-shaped (Fig. 5I).

## Morphometric studies

Morphometrics of adults and IJ of *S. rarum* are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4. Character differences between the two US strains are not significant (Table 2,3).



FIG. 4. SEM photographs of spicules and gubernacula of *S. rarum*, US and Argentinean isolates. A–C) US strain 17C, all spicules are not similar in shape, with short head, prominent velum. D) Gubernaculum, ventral view with rod-like cuneus, and posterior end separated. E,F) Argentinean strain, spicules are not similar in shape. Scales:  $A-D = 15 \mu m$ ,  $E,F = 12 \mu m$ .

Although the body length of females and males of Argentinean isolates are different from those of the US isolates, most diagnostic characteristics of IJ are similar. For males, spicule lengths of males of the first generation of the two isolates are not significantly different, but gubernaculum length, SW, GS, and D% are significantly different (Table 2). For second-generation males, spicule, gubernaculum lengths, and SW are significantly different, but for D% and GS ratios, the differences are not significant. Local conditions may play a role in the differences as one isolate was from Louisiana and the other from Mississippi. Some differences were observed between males of the Argentinean and US isolates, such as gubernaculum length, D%, and GS ratio, but comparable data of isolates from Argentina are not available for statistical comparison. Data from Doucet et al. (2003) were used for comparison with US strains in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

### Phylogenetic characterization

The sequences of the ITS regions of the two *S. rarum* US strains were identical in length to each other and to

isolates Sarmiento and Noetinger and very similar to Arroyo Cabral from Argentina (sequence length = 935 bp, ITS1 = 251 bp, ITS2 = 312 bp; Table 5). Phylogenetic relationships between Steinernema species with short and medium body lengths (446 to 769 µm) are shown in Figure. 6. Steinernema rarum from the US and Argentina form a monophyletic group, but with an unresolved relationship to the other taxa. This tree topology for ITS regions is similar to that produced by LSU D2/D3 regions as reported by Nguyen and Adams (2003). To compare the differences between S. rarum US strains and other Steinernema species, we found that S. rarum 17C and S. riobrave have the fewest substitution/indel events with 166 bp (Table 5); the greatest number of changes is 296 bp between S. rarum 17C and S. bicornutum.

Pairwise distances of the ITS regions showed that there are only two base-pair differences between *S. rarum* 17C and isolate Arroyo Cabral and no difference between isolate 17C and Argentinean isolates Sarmiento and Noetinger. In a study of phylogenetic relationships between 81 ITS-region sequences of *Stei*-



FIG. 5. SEM photographs of infective juveniles of *S. rarum*, US isolate 17C. A) Head showing three of four prominent cephalic papillae (c), one of two amphids (a). B) Lateral field showing two ridges anteriorly followed by eight ridges. C) Lateral field at mid-body with eight ridges, two submarginal ridges (2, 7) difficult to see. D) Posterior part of the body showing lateral field with 10 ridges. E,F) Near anus, the number of ridges in lateral field becomes six, then decreases to four and to two at some annules anterior (E) and posterior (F) to phasmid. G) In one form, lateral field changes into three bands, two marginal ones and a large central band, and then becomes one band near tail tip. Scales: A = 3.74 µm, B = 3.75 µm, C, D, E = 6 µm, F = 5.98 µm, G = 4.24 µm, H = 10 µm, and I = 250 µm. H) Lateral field showing two wide ridges anteriorly and then turning into a smooth band. I) IJ with spiral shape at room temperature.

	Firs	st generation male <sup>b</sup>		Seco	nd generation male <sup>1</sup>	)
Character <sup>a</sup>	Argentina ACAB	Louisiana 17C	Mississippi J1	Argentina ACAB	Louisiana 17C	Mississippi J1
	(Doucet et al. 2003)	(present study)	(present study)	(Doucet et al. 2003)	(present study)	(present study)
Body length	$1200 \pm 100$	$1262 \pm 94$	$1189 \pm 112$	$800 \pm 100$	738 ± 52	$919 \pm 59$
Body width	(1100-1400)	(1120-1488)	(909-1392)	(700-1000)	(662-843)	(837-1030)
	$105 \pm 18.4$	$112 \pm 9$	$99 \pm 8$	$48 \pm 3.9$	$50 \pm 5$	$66 \pm 5$
	(77, 140)	(00, 187)	(84, 114)	(41 - 54)	(49, 60)	(56, 70)
EP	(77-140)	(90-127)	(84-114)	(41-54)	(42-60)	(50-79)
	$65 \pm 3.8$	$68 \pm 6.5$	58 ± 6	56 ± 4.6	$49 \pm 7$	50 ± 5
	(57-79)	(61-82)	(42-68)	(46-64)	(41-55)	(36-55)
NR	(37-72) 98 ± 8.9 (82-110)	$106 \pm 7.6$ (95–124)	$105 \pm 6$ (96-117)	(10-04) $89 \pm 5.4$ (76-97)	$104 \pm 7$ (94–115)	$106 \pm 11$ (80-192)
ES	$126 \pm 7.8$ (110–137)	$139 \pm 8$ (123-155)	$(137 \pm 11)$ (129-153)	(105, 37) $114 \pm 4.4$ (105-122)	(31 - 110) $135 \pm 7$ (121 - 144)	$143 \pm 10$ (130-158)
Testis reflexion	$292 \pm 66$	$331 \pm 72$	$339 \pm 53$	$167 \pm 38$	$177 \pm 29$	$196 \pm 24$
	(175-543)	(204-416)	(265-440)	(100-230)	(150-216)	(153-241)
Tail length	$32.1 \pm 3.5$	$29 \pm 2.6$	$27 \pm 3$	$26 \pm 2.3$	$22.2 \pm 3$	$24 \pm 3$
	(25–37)	(24-33)	(23-30)	(23-32)	(17-28)	(18-27)
Anal body width (ABW)	$34 \pm 3.4$	$35 \pm 3.5$	$39 \pm 3.3$	$27 \pm 1.2$	$23.6 \pm 2.7$	$32 \pm 3.4$
	(30-42)	(29-42)	(35-44)	(25–29)	(21-30)	(27-38)
Spicule length (SpL)	$52 \pm 4$	$48.6 \pm 3.6a$	$49 \pm 3.3a$	$42 \pm 5.2$	$43 \pm 4a$	$47 \pm 3.6b$
	(42-59)	(41-55)	(41–54)	(31–50)	(32-49)	(41-53)
Gubernaculum length	$27 \pm 2.3$	$37 \pm 3.4a$	$35 \pm 4.5b$	$28 \pm 1.7$	$28.4 \pm 4a$	$31 \pm 3b$
	(22-30)	(32-45)	(30-44)	(24-31)	(23-35)	(23-36)
D%	$52 \pm 4$	$48.5 \pm 4.8a$	$43 \pm 5b$	$49 \pm 3.6$	$35.2 \pm 4.7a$	$35 \pm 4a$
	(42-62)	(37–54)	(31-53)	(41-55)	(26-53)	(25-42)
SW	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.43 \pm 0.1a$	$1.26 \pm 0.1b$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.8 \pm 0.3a$	$1.5 \pm 0.2b$
	(1.2–1.8)	(1.1-1.7)	(1.1-1.5)	(1.2–1.8)	(1.5-2.3)	(1.24–1.76)
GS	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.76 \pm 0.1a$	$0.68 \pm 0.1b$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.66 \pm 0.1a$	$0.66 \pm 0.1a$
	(0.3-0.6)	(0.64–0.96)	(0.52-0.81)	(0.5-0.9)	(0.55-0.83)	0.52 - 0.86)

TABLE 2. Comparative morphometrics (in µm) of males of Steinernema rarum USA and Argentina strains (n = 20).

<sup>a</sup> EP = distance from anterior end to excretory pore; NR = distance from anterior end to nerve ring; ES = distance from anterior end to base of esophagus; H% = length of hyaline portion/tail length × 100.

<sup>b</sup> Means followed by the same letters in a row are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's multiple range test (for 2 USA strains only). Notes: Isolates ACAB came from Arroyo Cabral, Argentina; 17C, from Louisiana; and J1 from Mississippi.

*nernema* spp., Spiridonov et al. (2004) reported that the intra-specific variations in many species are quite large; among strains of *S. affine*, there were two to five base

pairs different ; among *S. kraussei*, from one to 21 bp; and an isolate of *S. bicornutum* from Russia had 17 bp differences from the topotype isolate (Yugoslavia). We

TABLE 3. Comparative morphometrics (in µm) of females of Steinernema rarum strains USA (17C and J1), and Argentina strain (ACAB).

	Fir	rst generation female		Seco	ond generation female	
Character <sup>a</sup>	Argentina ACAB (Doucet et al. 2003)	Louisiana 17C (present study)	Mississippi J1 (present study)	Argentina ACAB (Doucet et al. 2003)	Louisiana 17C (present study)	Mississippi J1 (present study)
Body length	$5000 \pm 600$	$4803 \pm 685$	$3605 \pm 541$	$2000 \pm 200$	$1400 \pm 160$	$1600 \pm 150$
, 0	(4000 - 7000)	(3212 - 6394)	(2727 - 4485)	(2000 - 3000)	(1100 - 1700)	(1200 - 1900)
Body width	$166 \pm 13$	$190 \pm 17$	$167 \pm 23$	$94 \pm 7.4$	$79 \pm 10$	$82 \pm 5.5$
,	(145 - 195)	(162 - 228)	(114 - 205)	(87-112)	(62 - 105)	(72 - 89)
EP	$87 \pm 10^{-10}$	$89 \pm 13$	$67 \pm 6$	$75 \pm 3.3$	$59 \pm 10^{-10}$	$62 \pm 6.0$
	(72 - 107)	(67 - 111)	(58 - 76)	(67-80)	(51 - 65)	(47 - 73)
NR	$173 \pm 9$	$163 \pm 13$	$144 \pm 16$	$132 \pm 6.8$	$127 \pm 13.4$	$133 \pm 12$
	(155 - 187)	(138 - 189)	(94 - 171)	(122 - 145)	(103 - 145)	(112 - 150)
ES	$226 \pm 12.4$	$231 \pm 18$	$203 \pm 11$	$183 \pm 10.3$	$173 \pm 10.2$	$181 \pm 13$
	(195 - 250)	(198 - 259)	(188 - 227)	(165 - 200)	(154 - 192)	(165 - 208)
Tail length	$39 \pm 4.8$	$33 \pm 3$	$30 \pm 2$	$42 \pm 3.1$	$47 \pm 5.3$	$51 \pm 6.5$
0	(30-50)	(29 - 39)	(24 - 33)	(37-47)	(31 - 56)	(41 - 71)
ABW	$58 \pm 5.6$	$67 \pm 8$	$55 \pm 7$	$43 \pm 2.9$	$39 \pm 3.4$	$39 \pm 3$
	(42 - 70)	(52 - 85)	(45-68)	(37-50)	(35 - 47)	(36 - 47)
D%	_	$38 \pm 7$	$33 \pm 4$	_	$34 \pm 3$	$34 \pm 3$
	_	(29-49)	(26 - 39)	_	(28-40)	(27 - 38)
V	$57 \pm 3.2$	$52 \pm 4$	$57 \pm 3$	$56 \pm 1.9$	$57 \pm 1.7$	$58 \pm 1.6$
	(52-66)	(46-64)	(54-61)	(52-58)	(54-59)	(53-60)

<sup>a</sup> EP = distance from anterior end to excretory pore; NR = distance from anterior end to nerve ring; ES = distance from anterior end to base of esophagus; ABW = anal body width;  $D\% = EP/ES \times 100$ ; V = distance from anterior end to vulva/body length  $\times 100$ .

- Data not available.

		Isolates <sup>b</sup>		
Character <sup>a</sup>	Argentina ACAB (Doucet et al. 2003)	Argentina NOE (Doucet et al. 2003)	Louisiana 17C (present study)	Mississippi J1 (present study)
Body length (L)	$492 \pm 30$	$465 \pm 30$	$492 \pm 36a$	510 ± 31a
, ,	(450 - 560)	(400-510)	(422 - 554)	(446 - 578)
Body width (W)	$22 \pm 1.2$	$22 \pm 1.2$	$24.5 \pm 2$	$26 \pm 3$
· · ·	(20-24)	(19-25)	(19-26)	(23 - 29)
EP	$36 \pm 1.3$	$37 \pm 1.6$	$37 \pm 1.6a$	$38 \pm 1.6a$
	(34-39)	(34-41)	(33-40)	(36-41)
NR	$68 \pm 2.2$	$66 \pm 2.9$	$67 \pm 4$	$66 \pm 7$
	(65-74)	(59-71)	(62-76)	(53 - 76)
ES	$97 \pm 4.9$	$92 \pm 6.7$	$99 \pm 8a$	$95 \pm 9a$
	(86-105)	(76 - 104)	(88-117)	(76 - 109)
Tail length (T)	$47 \pm 3.9$	$47 \pm 2.3$	$48 \pm 3a$	$47 \pm 4a$
0	(41-57)	(42-50)	(42-52)	(41 - 55)
Anal body width	$13 \pm 0.8$	$12 \pm 0.9$	$13 \pm 1$	$14 \pm 1.3$
,	(12–15)	(11–14)	(11 - 15)	(12 - 15)
a = L/W	$22 \pm 1.2$	$21 \pm 1.3$	$20.2 \pm 1.7$	$20 \pm 1.2$
	(20-24)	(18–23)	(18.1 - 23.2)	(17.2 - 21.4)
b = L/ES	$5 \pm 0.4$	$5 \pm 0.4$	$5.0 \pm 0.3$	$5.4 \pm 0.3$
	(4.6-6)	(4.5-6)	(4.4 - 5.7)	(4.8-6.0)
c = L/T	$10 \pm 0.8$	$10 \pm 0.7$	$10.4 \pm 0.7$	$10.8 \pm 0.6$
	(9-130)	(8-11)	(9.4 - 11.5)	(9.6 - 11.8)
$D\% = EP/ES \times 100$	$37 \pm 2.3$	$41 \pm 3.5$	$38.0 \pm 3$	$40.7 \pm 3.3$
	(34-43)	(37-50)	(32-43)	(36-43)
$E\% = EP/T \times 100$	$77 \pm 6.5$	$79 \pm 4.6$	$79 \pm 5a$	$81 \pm 5.5a$
	(63-90)	(71–91)	(73-88)	(67 - 91)
Η%		_	$59 \pm 3.5$	$56 \pm 5$
	_	_	(55-66)	(45-63)

TABLE 4. Comparative morphometrics (in µm) of infective juveniles of four strains of *Steinernema rarum*, USA (17C and J1), and Argentina (ACAB, NOE) (n = 20).

<sup>a</sup> EP = distance from anterior end to excretory pore; NR = distance from anterior end to nerve ring; ES = distance from anterior end to base of esophagus; H% = length of hyaline portion/tail length × 100.

<sup>b</sup> Means followed by the same letters in a row are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's multiple range test (for 2 USA strains only). - Data not available.

previously found intra-specific differences that ranged from 0 to 14 bp among 12 isolates of S. riobrave (from Texas and Louisiana), 0 to 20 bp among eight isolates of S. feltiae, and one to six bp among 10 isolates of S. carpocapsae (unpubl. data). All of these isolates were reproductively compatible with members of their respective species based on crossbreeding tests. Thus, the intra-specific distances between sequences of ITS regions of Steinernema species, as delimited by the biological species concept, range from 0 to as many as 21 base pairs. These ITS distances are much greater than those of LSU rDNA sequences reported by Stock et al. (2001) and Nguyen and Adams (2003), with distances between two species as low as one base pair (between S. hermaphroditum and S. scarabaei, and S. glaseri and S. cubanum). There are no differences between any of the S. rarum isolates at the D2/D3 locus (AY253296, DQ221118, DQ221119). Additionally, as shown in Table 5, interspecific differences among nematode species with short and medium body lengths (446-769 µm) are large. The fewest differences occur between S. carpocapsae and S. scapterisci with 115 base pairs different; the greatest occur between S. bicornutum and S. intermedium with 315 base pairs different.

Comparison of intraspecific variation (Table 5; Fig. 6) suggests that the US isolates are S. rarum. Variation between isolates of this species is consistent with morphological, morphometric, and molecular variation observed in other species of Steinernema. In this case, crossbreeding studies could have been done to explore reproductive incompatibility (since live cultures of the requisite isolates were unavailable for this study). However, while such studies may shed light on the potential for gene flow, they are irrelevant to species ontology (Adams, 1998). Differentially fixed autapomorphies among distinct evolutionary lineages do provide evidence of historical lineage independence, and thus speciation events. However, the acquisition of a single autapomorphy in one lineage without subsequent evolution of an autapomorphy in the sister taxon is insufficient to delimit species and reflects variation among populations within a species (Adams, 1998, 2001). This is the pattern of variation observed among the isolates of S. rarum sampled for this study. Given the low number of populations sampled, low number of autapomorphies (from a single genetic locus; one autapomorphy for the Arroyo Cabral isolate), and relatively high level of intraspecific variation at this locus

	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14
1 S. monticolum	1	0.25647	0.28921	0.24830	0.26023	0.31166	0.25947	0.34199	0.35938	0.26377	0.26636	0.26519	0.26519	0.56906
2 S. ceratophorum	228	I	0.22445	0.26524	0.27575	0.32492	0.22117	0.30248	0.34676	0.30266	0.30266	0.30155	0.30155	0.57830
3 S. bicornutum	260	213	I	0.28750	0.30137	0.32847	0.27027	0.32538	0.39915	0.32000	0.32108	0.32000	0.32000	0.58818
4 S. carpocapsae	219	248	276	I	0.12156	0.2961	0.25762	0.32244	0.16598	0.27352	0.27571	0.27462	0.27462	0.54171
5 S. scapterisci	229	257	286	115	I	0.30255	0.27234	0.36000	0.23932	0.28319	0.28540	0.28429	0.28429	0.56303
6 S. intermedium	287	309	315	281	285	I	0.31295	0.39407	0.39118	0.30195	0.30195	0.30195	0.30195	0.59803
7 S. abbasi	233	211	260	245	256	302	I	0.34968	0.3495	0.30197	0.30088	0.30088	0.30088	0.57684
8 S. riobrave	158	134	150	148	162	186	164	I	0.46552	0.37054	0.36830	0.37054	0.37054	0.52818
9 S. siamkayai	230	241	283	121	168	275	245	135	I	0.36732	0.37031	0.36882	0.36882	0.61905
10 S. rarum 17C	225	273	296	250	256	279	276	166	245	I	0.00215	0.00000	0.00000	0.55867
11 S. rarum Arg A <sup>a</sup>	228	273	297	252	258	279	275	165	247	5	I	0.00214	0.00214	0.55759
12 S. rarum Arg S <sup>a</sup>	227	272	296	251	257	279	275	166	246	0	2	I	0.00000	0.55651
13 S. rarum Arg N <sup>a</sup>	227	272	296	251	257	279	275	166	246	0	7	0	I	0.55651
14 C. elegans	515	565	587	526	536	607	563	253	455	519	518	517	517	I



FIG. 6. Phylogenetic relationships among 13 short-body isolates of Steinernema species based on analysis of ITS regions. Previous work has shown this clade to be monophyletic, with the exception of S. intermedium and S. rarum (Nguyen and Adams, 2003). Note that the four isolates of Steinernema rarum form a monophyletic group. The numbers at the nodes represent bootstrap proportions where greater than 50%.

observed in several other members of the genus, we suggest that as of yet there is insufficient evidence to infer lineage independence.

## Biological analysis

Differences in reproductive capacity were detected among nematodes. Analysis of IJ production per insect indicated a higher yield from H. indica than S. rarum with H. bacteriophora being intermediate and not different from the other species (Fig. 7). Analysis of yield per gram of insect, however, indicated higher reproduction in H. indica than both S. rarum and H. bacteriophora (which were not different from each other) (Fig. 7). The results confirm that greater resolution in reproductive capacity can be obtained when standardizing yield on a per-weight basis. The reproductive capacities observed for S. rarum and H. bacteriophora in this study are consistent with other reports for these nematode species (Grewal et al., 1994; Koppenhöfer and Kaya, 1999) and confirm the ability of H. indica to produce high yields (Shapiro et al., 1999). Although the yield of



FIG. 7. Infective juvenile (IJ) nematode production in *Galleria* mellonella. Sra = Steinernema rarum (J1 strain); Hb = Heterorhabditis bacteriophora (Hb strain); Hi = H. indica (Hom1 strain). Bars with the same uppercase and lowercase letters are not significantly different for IJ per insect and IJ per gram insect, respectively (LSD,  $P \le 0.05$ ).

S. rarum in G. mellonella was less than H. indica, these results should not be taken as a hindrance to mass production. Indeed, production in S. rarum appears to be similar to H. bacteriophora (a commercially available species) and greater than other species that have been successfully commercialized, e.g., S. feltiae (Grewal et al., 1994). Productivity of S. rarum in liquid culture remains to be determined.

Virulence of S. rarum to A. grandis, C. formosanus, S. frugiperda, and T. ni was similar or greater than the other nematodes tested (Table 1). Differences in virulence among nematodes were detected in all hosts except for T. ni (Table 1). Steinernema rarum and S. feltiae caused greater mortality in A. grandis than S. carpocapsae at the lower concentration tested (Table 1). Steinernema rarum caused greater mortality in C. formosanus than S. carpocapsae (at the higher concentration) but not H. bacteriophora (Table 1); S. carpocapsae has been previously shown to have some potential for termite control (Epsky and Capinera, 1988).

Overall, S. rarum was more virulent to S. frugiperda than the other nematodes tested. Specifically, in all stages of S. frugiperda assayed, S. rarum was more virulent than S. carpocapsae and/or H. bacteriophora at one or more concentration, e.g., at 50 IJs/insect, S. rarum virulence to 3–4th instars and prepupae was superior to the other nematodes (Table 1). Furthermore, in no instance did S. carpocapsae or H. bacteriophora cause greater mortality than S. rarum (Table 1). Richter and Fuxa (1990) reported significant suppression of S. frugiperda with S. carpocapsae under field conditions. Thus, we believe the potential for S. rarum against this pest is promising indeed.

In contrast to the results indicated in Table 1, *S. rarum* exhibited relatively poor virulence to several hosts. Percentage *D. abbreviatus* mortality was significantly higher in *H. bacteriophora* and *S. riobrave* treatments (81.7  $\pm$  7.0 and 95.0  $\pm$  2.2, respectively) than in the *S. rarum* treatment (41.1  $\pm$  4.7), which was not dif-

ferent from the control  $(25.0 \pm 7.2)$ . Percentage A. *ip*silon mortality was greater in S. carpocapsae  $(90.0 \pm 5.1)$ than in H. bacteriophora (40.0  $\pm$  9.7) or S. rarum (45.0  $\pm$ 5.6), all of which were greater than the control (1.7  $\pm$ 1.7). Similar to our results, S. carpocapsae was also more virulent than H. bacteriophora in a previous study (Capinera et al., 1988). Although pathogenicity to all S. invicta stages was detected in all nematodes (as indicated by a mortality difference in at least one concentration compared, data not shown), virulence to all stages of S. invicta was low for all nematodes tested. Even with 10,000 IJ/insect, the highest percentage S. invicta mortality was  $26.0 \pm 6.4$  (from *H. bacteriophora* in 3–4<sup>th</sup> instars). The S. invicta mortality levels we observed were lower than those reported by Drees et al. (1992), but in general our findings agree with others that indicate the potential for field suppression of S. invicta with entomopathogenic nematodes is limited (Jouvenaz et al., 1990; Drees et al., 1992).

In summary, although direct comparisons among the hosts themselves were not made in our study, virulence assays generally appear to be in agreement with Koppenhöfer and Kaya's (1999) finding (using the Argentinean strain Sargento Cabral) that the nematode is well suited to lepidopteran hosts. Our findings also indicate certain curculionids (e.g., *A. grandis*) or other Coleoptera may be substantially susceptible. Clearly, further studies on biocontrol potential in lab and field studies are warranted. In particular, our observations of high *S. rarum* virulence to *S. frugiperda* are especially intriguing and should be pursued further.

### LITERATURE CITED

Adams, B. J. 1998. Species concepts and the evolutionary paradigm in modern nematology. Journal of Nematology 30:1–21.

Adams, B. J. 2001. The species delimitation uncertainty principle. Journal of Nematology 33:153–160.

Adams, B. J., Burnell, A. M., and Powers, T. O. 1998. A phylogenetic analysis of *Heterorhabditis* (Nemata: Rhabditidae) based on internal transcribed spacer 1 DNA sequence data. Journal of Nematology 30:22–39.

Doucet, M. M. A. 1986. A new species of *Neoaplectana* Steiner, 1929 (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) from Cordoba, Argentina. Revue de Nématologie 9:317–323.

Doucet, M. M. A., Bertolotti, M. A., and Doucet, M. E. 2003. Morphometric and molecular studies of isolates of *Steinernema rarum* (Doucet, 1986) Mamaya, 1988 (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) from the province of Cordoba, Argentina. Journal of Nematode Morphology and Systematics 6:27–36.

Capinera, J. L., Pelissier, D., Menout, G. S., and Epsky, N. D. 1988. Control of black cutworm, *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), with entomogenous nematodes (Nematoda: Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 52:427–435.

Drees, B. M., Miller, R. W., Vinson, S. B. and Georgis, R. 1992. Susceptibility and behavioral response of red imported fire ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) to selected entomogenous nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae & Heterorhabditidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 85:265–370.

Epsky, N. D., and Capinera, J. L. 1988. Efficacy of the entomogenous nematode *Steinernema feltiae* against a subterranean termite, *Reticulitermes tibialis* (Isoptera: Rhinotermidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 81:1313–1317. Grewal, P.S., Selvan, S., and Gaugler, R. 1994. Thermal adaptation of entomopathogenic nematodes—niche breadth for infection, establishment, and reproduction. Journal of Thermal Biology 19:245–253.

Flanders, K. L., Miller, J. M., and Shields, E. J. 1996. In vivo production of *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* 'Oswego' (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae), a potential biological control agent for soil-inhabiting insects in temperate regions. Journal of Economic Entomology 89: 373–380.

Hominick, W. M., Briscoe, B. R., del Pino, F. G., Heng, J., Hunt, D. J., Kozodoy, E., Mracek, Z., Nguyen, K. B., Reid, A. P., Spiridonov, S., Sturhan, D., Waturu, C., and Yoshida, M. 1997. Biosystematics of entomopathogenic nematodes: Current status, protocols, and definitions. Journal of Helminthology 71:271–298.

Jouvenaz, D. P., Lofgren, C. S., and Miller, R. W. 1990. Steinernematid nematode drenches for control of fire ants, *Solenopsis invicta*, in Florida. Florida Entomologist. 73:190–193.

Kaya, H. K., and Stock, S. P. 1997. Techniques in insect nematology. Pp. 281–324 *in* L. A. Lacey, ed. Manual of techniques in insect pathology. San Diego: Academic Press.

Koppenhöfer, A. M., and Kaya, H. K. 1999. Ecological characterization of *Steinernema rarum*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 73: 120–128.

Maddison, W. P., and Maddison, D. R. 2002. MacClade, v. 4.0. Sinauer, Massachusetts Sunderland.

Mamiya, Y. 1988. *Steinernema kushidai* n. sp. (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) associated with scarabaeid beetle larvae from Shizuoka, Japan. Applied Entomology and Zoology 23:313–320.

Nguyen, K. B., and Adams, B. J. 2003. SEM and systematic studies of *Steinernema abbasi* Elawad et al., 1997 and *S. riobrave* Cabanillas et al., 1994 (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) Zootaxa 179:1–10.

Nguyen, K. B., and Duncan, L. W. 2002. *Steinernema diaprepesi* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), a parasite of the citrus root weevil *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (L) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Nematology 34:159–170.

Nguyen, K. B., and Smart, Jr., G. C. 1995. Scanning electron microscope studies of *Steinernema glaseri* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae). Nematologica 41:183–190.

Nguyen, K. B., Maruniak, J., and Adams, B. J. 2001. The diagnostic and phylogenetic utility of the rDNA internal transcribed spacer sequences of *Steinernema*. Journal of Nematology 33:73–82. Poinar Jr., G. O., Mracek, Z., and Doucet, M. M. A. 1988. A reexamination of *Neoaplectana rara* Doucet, 1986 (Steinernematidae: Rhabditidae). Revue de Nématologie 11:447–449.

Richter, A. R., and Fuxa, J. R. 1990. Effect of *Steinernema feltiae* on *Spodoptera frugiperda* and *Heliothis zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in corn. Journal of Economic Entomology 83:1286–1291.

Shapiro, D.I., Cate, J. R., Pena, J., Hunsberger, A., and McCoy, C. W. 1999. Effects of temperature and host range on suppression of *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) by entomopathogenic nematodes. Journal of Economic Entomology 92:1086–1092.

Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. 2001. Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes to pecan weevil larvae *Curculio caryae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in the laboratory. Journal of Economic Entomology 94:7–13.

Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Gardner, W. A., Fuxa, J. R., Wood, B. W., Nguyen, K. B., Adams, B. J., Humber, R. A., and Hall, M. J. 2003. Survey of entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi endemic to pecan orchards of the Southeastern United States and their virulence to the pecan weevil (Coeloptera: Curculionidae). Environmental Entomology 32:187–195.

Spiridonov, S. E., Reid, A. P., Podrucka, K., Subbotin, S. A., and Moens, M. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Steinernema* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) as inferred from analyses of sequences of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA and morphological features. Nematology 6:547–566.

Stock, S. P., Campbell, J. F., and Nadler, S. A. 2001. Phylogeny of *Steinernema* Travassos, 1927 (Cephalobina: Steinernematidae) inferred from ribosomal DNA sequences and morphological characters. Journal of Parasitology 87:877–889.

Stock, S. P., and Koppenhofer, A. M. 2003. *Steinernema scarabaei* n. sp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae), a natural pathogen of scarab beetle larvae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) from New Jersey. Nematology 5:191–204.

Swofford, D. L. 2002. PAUP\* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods). Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.

Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D. G. 1997. The CLUSTAL X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 25:4876–4882.

White, G. F., 1927. A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from culture. Science 66:302–303.