Nematode Population Changes and Forage Yields of Six Corn and Sorghum Cultivars¹

R. McSorley and R. N. Gallaher²

Abstract: Two temperate corn (Zea mays) hybrids (Pioneer 3320 and Northrup King 508), two tropical corn cultivars (Pioneer X304C hybrid and Florida SYN-1 experimental open pollinated cultivar), the sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) × sudangrass (Sorghum sudanense) hybrid DeKalb SX-17, and the sorghum hybrid DeKalb FS25E were compared for effect on nematode densities and forage yield in three plantings (one single-crop and one double-crop system) in Florida. Final population densities of Meloidogyne incognita in the three plantings ranged from 0 to 13/100 cm³ soil on the two Sorghum spp. and were lower ($P \le 0.001$) than those obtained on the corn cultivars (range 147 to 762/100 cm³ soil). Early planted temperate corn and sorghum generally gave higher forage yields than did tropical corn. As second crops in double-cropping systems, tropical corn cultivars generally produced greater yields than temperate corn hybrids did. At 35% dry matter, double crop forage corn yield ranged from 51.1 to 64.8 ton/ha, and sorghum ranged from 79.8 to 102.2 ton/ha. Tropical corn, forage sorghum, and sorghum-sudangrass were profitably grown at all planting dates. Late summer planting of temperate corn was unprofitable. DeKalb SX-17 sorghum × sudangrass first crop plus the ratoon double crop gave the highest net return of \$1,133/ha. Among the corn cultivars, Florida SYN-1 gave the highest double crop net return of \$652/ha.

Key words: corn, Criconemella ornata, Criconemella sphaerocephala, cropping systems, double cropping, Meloidogyne incognita, nematode, Paratrichodorus minor, Pratylenchus scribneri, Sorghum bicolor, sorghum-sudangrass, Zea mays.

The 12-month growing season in Florida and other southeastern states allows year-round forage production (2). Because of their tolerance to insects and diseases, tropical corn (Zea mays L.) hybrids are adaptable to cropping systems in the southeastern United States and may provide a better quality forage than sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) (4). In selecting candidate crops for a cropping system, the potential of an individual crop to increase populations of damaging plant-parasitic nematodes should be determined (9). For example, the tropical corn hybrid Pioneer X304C can be severely damaged by Belonolaimus longicaudatus Rau (6). In cropping systems, both corn and sorghum can increase populations of several nematode species (3,6,8); however, the potential of sorghum for maintaining low population densities of Meloidogyne spp. may be a useful feature in some cropping systems (3,7). The objective of the current study was to compare the nematode buildup and forage yields of several selected corn and sorghum cultivars useful as spring and summer crops in north and central Florida.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All experiments were conducted in two adjacent fields at the University of Florida Pine Acres Research Farm in Marion County, Florida, during the summer of 1990. During 1989, the west field was planted with the sorghum cultivar Northrup King 300 and the east field with the corn cultivar Northrup King 508. Both fields received a forage crop of Wrens Abruzzi rye (*Secale cereale* L.) during the winter of 1989–90. The soil type was an Arredondo sand–Gainesville loamy sand association (92% sand, 3% silt, 5% clay; pH 5.6; 2.8% organic matter).

In all experiments, the design was a randomized complete block with six treatments and eight replications. The four-row plots were 75 cm wide and 9 m long. Treatments consisted of four corn cultivars (two temperate hybrids, Pioneer 3320 and Northrup King 508; and two tropical hybrids, Pioneer X304C and an experimental open pollinated synthetic, Florida SYN-1),

Received for publication 26 February 1991.

¹ Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series No. R-01386. This research was supported by a grant from the Florida Dairy Farmers.

² Professors, Department of Entomology and Nematology and Agronomy Department, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611.

the sorghum x sudangrass (S. sudanense (Piper) Stapf) hybrid Dekalb SX-17, and the sorghum hybrid DeKalb FS25E.

Plots in the west field were planted on 2 April 1990 under conventional tillage management. These plots were harvested for forage in early June. For double cropping, corn cultivars were planted with a notillage planter on 20 July into the corn stubble of the same plots they occupied previously. Sorghum and sorghum × sudangrass were allowed to ratoon for the second crop. The July-planted corn and ratoon crops were harvested in early November. Plots in the east field were planted on 20 May 1990 and harvested in late August. All crops were treated with 1.7 kg a.i./ha of carbofuran at planting for control of lesser cornstalk borer (Elasmopalpus lignosellus Zeller). Fertilizer was applied based on soil test and extension recommendations. Irrigation was by low-pressure center pivot irrigation. Weed control on 2 April and 20 May was by use of both cultivation and preemergence applications of atrazine (1.3 kg a.i./ha) and metolachlor (2.2 kg a.i./ha). In addition to the above herbicides, a preemergence application of paraquat (0.16 kg a.i./ha) was used in the 20 July planting. Harvests were taken from the middle two rows from each plot and dried at 70 C to determine dry matter. Forage yields were adjusted to 35% dry matter.

Soil samples for nematode analysis were collected by removing and compositing six cores 2.5 cm d \times 20 cm long. Sampling dates for plots in the west field were 17 April, 18 July, and 22 October. The sample collected on 18 July provided both the final nematode population (Pf) from the first planting and the initial population (Pi) for the second planting. Sampling dates for plots in the east field were 5 June and 30 August. Nematodes were extracted from 100-cm³ soil subsamples with a modified sieving and centrifugation procedure (5).

Yield and nematode data were subjected to analysis of variance, and, where significant ($P \le 0.05$), differences among means were examined by Duncan's multiple-range

test. Nematode data were log-transformed $(\log_{10} [x + 1])$ before analyses. For nematode population density data, single degree of freedom orthogonal contrasts between the corn cultivars and the sorghums were also determined (1,11). Economic evaluations were based on the cost of all inputs, including both variable and fixed costs. Variable cost was applied only to the 2 May planting and not to the succeeding crop planted 20 July in the double-cropping system. Both variable and fixed costs were applied to the 20 May planting in the east field. Value of forage was based on average market prices growers received in Florida in 1990. The difference between cost and return was net return.

RESULTS

Plant-parasitic nematodes in the experimental plots included Meloidogyne incognita (Kofoid and White) Chitwood, Paratrichodorus minor (Colbran) Siddiqi, Pratylenchus scribneri Steiner, and Criconemella spp. (= Macroposthonia spp.). The latter consisted of a mixture of 84% C. sphaerocephala (Taylor) Luc and Raski and 16% C. ornata (Raski) Luc and Raski. Helicotylenchus dihystera (Cobb) Sher, Xiphinema spp., and Hoplolaimus spp. were found only occasionally and were not included in the analyses.

Criconemella spp. were more abundant initially in the west field, which had been planted to sorghum the previous season, than in the east field (Table 1). Population increase was lower (all Pf/Pi \leq 1.94) in the west field, where Pi values were higher than in the east field. Although some mean separations in Criconemella spp. numbers were observed in the first crop planted in the west field, these trends were not observed in the other two plantings. No differences ($P \leq 0.05$) in Criconemella spp. numbers were observed between the corn cultivars and the Sorghum spp.

Although initial population densities of *M. incognita* in both fields were low, they increased greatly following corn crops (Table 1). Population densities of *M. incognita* decreased on the second corn crop in the west field from the high densities present

		West field, first crop		West field, second crop		East field			
Crop	Cultivar	Pf	Pf/Pi	Pf	Pf/Pi	Pf	Pf/Pi		
Criconemella spp.									
Corn	Pioneer 3320	608 c	0.45	1,182 a	1.94	508 a	1.93		
Corn	Northrup King 508	1.047 bc	0.78	1,155 a	1.10	682 a	2.59		
Corn	Pioneer X304C	1,002 bc	0.75	637 a	0.64	518 a	1.97		
Corn	Florida SYN-1	1,363 ab	1.02	1,292 a	0.95	586 a	2.22		
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	830 bc	0.62	599 a	0.72	647 a	2.46		
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	1,799 a	1.34	1,480 a	0.82	894 a	3.40		
Corn vs.	sorghum:†	NS		NS		NS			
Initial population (Pi):‡		$1,341 \pm 119$		Pf, first crop		263 ± 41.5			
		Me	loidogyne in	cognita					
Corn	Pioneer 3320	437 a	34.98	191 a	0.44	375 a	300.0		
Corn	Northrup King 508	409 a	32.72	162 a	0.40	147 a	117.8		
Corn	Pioneer X304C	762 a	60.96	234 a	0.31	437 a	350.0		
Corn	Florida SYN-1	654 a	52.30	249 a	0.38	306 a	245.0		
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	6 b	0.44	0 b	0	4 b	2.8		
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	10 b	0.76	5 b	0.55	13 b	10.6		
Corn vs.	sorghum:†	*		*		*			
Initial population (Pi):‡		12 ± 2.2		Pf, first crop		1.2 ± 0.62			
Paratrichodorus minor									
Corn	Pioneer 3320	11 b	11.2	18 a	1.61	22 a	7.33		
Corn	Northrup King 508	43 a	43.0	16 a	0.37	22 a	7.40		
Corn	Pioneer X304C	18 b	17.5	11 a	0.64	16 a	5.33		
Corn	Florida SYN-1	16 b	16.2	19 a	0.17	22 a	7.33		
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	59 a	58.8	6 a	0.10	36 a	12.00		
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	44 a	43.8	8 a	0.19	22 a	7.50		
Corn vs.	sorghum:†	*		NS		NS			
Initial po	pulation (Pi):‡	1.0 ± 0.4	0	Pf, first cro	p	3.0 ± 0.80)		
Pratylenchus scribneri									
Corn	Pioneer 3320	28 с	1.12	255 a	8.95	952 a	24.05		
Corn	Northrup King 508	74 ab	2.88	332 a	4.52	907 a	22.90		
Corn	Pioneer X304C	104 ab	4.08	411 a	3.95	1,102 a	27.82		
Corn	Florida SYN-1	62 b	2.45	600 a	9.60	998 a	25.20		
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	166 a	6.50	400 a	2.41	120 Ь	3.02		
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	190 ab	7.44	270 a	1.42	204 b	5.15		
Corn vs. sorghum:t		*		NS		*			
Initial population (Pi):‡		26 ± 2.1		Pf, first crop		$40~\pm~10.3$			

TABLE 1. Final nematode densities on corn and sorghum cultivars in three plantings in Marion County, Florida, in 1990.

Data are means of eight replications. For each nematode genus, means in columns followed by the same letter do not differ $(P \le 0.05)$ according to Duncan's multiple-range test. Pf = final nematode population per 100 cm³ soil.

† Orthogonal contrast of corn vs. sorghum significant at $P \le 0.001$ (*) or not significant at $P \le 0.05$ (NS). ‡ Mean initial population per 100 cm³ soil ± standard error. For the second crop in the west field, Pi is Pf from the first crop.

at the beginning of that planting. In all three plantings, M. incognita populations on the sorghums were much lower ($P \le 0.001$) than those on the corn cultivars.

Densities of *P. minor* were higher ($P \leq$ 0.001) on the sorghum cultivars than on corn following the first crop in the west field (Table 1). No differences ($P \le 0.05$) were observed in subsequent plantings. Trends in P. scribneri Pf were inconsistent (Table 1). Following the first crop in the west field, higher Pf were observed on sorghum than on corn, but the reverse was true in the east field.

In the west field, early-planted temperate corn, sorghum x sudangrass, and sorghum generally gave higher forage yields than did tropical corn (Table 2). Tropical corn yields generally exceeded those of temperate corn when planted as second

Crop	Cultivar	Yield (ton/ha)†	Value (\$/ha)	Production cost (\$/ha)	Net return (\$/ha)						
West field, first crop											
Corn	Pioneer 3320	38.1 bcd	1,690	1,341	349						
Corn	Northrup King 508	41.3 bc	1,818	1,363	455						
Corn	Pioneer X304C	34.8 d	1,531	1,314	217						
Corn	Florida SYN-1	36.1 cd	1,591	1,324	267						
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	50.0 a	1,682	1,373	309						
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	41.5 b	1,371	1,302	69						
West field, second crop											
Corn	Pioneer 3320	12.8 d	563	776	(213)‡						
Corn	Northrup King 508	17.9 cd	790	810	(20)‡						
Corn	Pioneer X304C	24.2 с	1,067	850	217						
Corn	Florida SYN-1	28.7 с	1,265	880	385						
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	51.3 a	1,697	873	824						
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	38.3 b	1,267	788	479						
West field, first plus second crop											
Corn	Pioneer 3320	51.1 d	2,253	2,117	136						
Corn	Northrup King 508	59.2 cd	2,608	2,173	435						
Corn	Pioneer X304C	59.0 cd	2,598	2,164	434						
Corn	Florida SYN-1	64.8 с	2,856	2,204	652						
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	102.2 a	3,379	2,246	1,133						
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	79.8 b	2,638	2,090	548						
East field											
Corn	Pioneer 3320	33.2 ab	1,462	918	544						
Corn	Northrup King 508	33.6 ab	1,660	947	713						
Corn	Pioneer X304C	40.8 a	1,798	967	830						
Corn	Florida SYN-1	39.5 ab	1,738	959	779						
Sorghum	DeKalb SX-17	32.3 b	1,067	835	232						
Sorghum	DeKalb FS25E	24.2 c	800	783	17						

TABLE 2. Yields, values, and net returns of corn and sorghum cultivars in three plantings in Marion County, Florida, in 1990.

Values in columns for yield among the six cultivars following by the same letter are not different (P < 0.05) according to Duncan's multiple-range test.

† At 35% dry matter.

‡ Net loss for this system.

crops in this double-cropping system. Double-crop combined forage corn yields ranged from 51.1 to 64.8 ton/ha, with the Florida SYN-1 having the highest yield. The double crop of sorghum-sudangrass DeKalb SX-17 produced the highest combined forage yield of all cultivars, with a total of 102.2 ton/ha. Yields of corn crops grown in the east field planted on May 20 were intermediate to the other two plantings. Tropical corn had less drop in yield than temperate hybrids when planted late.

Tropical corn, forage sorghum, and sorghum-sudangrass were profitably grown at all planting dates and locations. Late summer planting of temperate corn was unprofitable. DeKalb SX-17 sorghum x sudangrass first crop plus the ratoon double crop gave the highest net return of \$1,133/ ha. Among the corn cultivars, Florida SYN-1 experimental open pollinated synthetic gave the highest double-crop net return of \$652/ha.

DISCUSSION

In terms of nematode management, the differences among cultivars in final population densities of *P. minor*, *P. scribneri*, and *Criconemella* spp. were probably not great enough nor consistent enough to be of practical significance. Consistently low densities of *M. incognita* were maintained by the sorghum cultivar DeKalb FS25E and by DeKalb SX-17 sorghum-sudangrass, much lower than on the corn cultivars. This is consistent with previous comparisons of corn and sorghum in cropping systems (3) and is not unexpected, given the susceptibility of corn (12) and the relatively low susceptibility of sorghum (7) to *Meloidogyne* spp. Although rotation with corn or sorghum alone may not successfully manage *Meloidogyne* spp. in some systems (10), populations of *M. incognita* remained low on the selected sorghum cultivars under the conditions of this test.

Yield data indicate that corn planted 2 April and 20 May was more profitable than the DeKalb FS25E forage sorghum. Since sorghum and sorghum \times sudangrass from the 2 April planting did not need to be replanted in July, profits were greater than for corn at this date. These data show that profits in double cropping of corn, sorghum, or sorghum x sudangrass in the warm season in Florida depend on the choice of crop and cultivar.

Production of several of the cultivars evaluated here would be profitable for forage production in a spring-summer double-crop system. In addition, the sorghum cultivars may provide the additional benefit of maintaining low root-knot nematode densities. Additional studies are needed to determine the effect of specific cultivars on given nematode species and races, as well as to determine whether the effects of sorghum in holding down *M. incognita* populations are sufficient to provide a beneficial effect on subsequent root-knotsusceptible crops in the system.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Freed, R., S. P. Eisensmith, S. Goetz, D. Reicosky, V. W. Smail, and P. Wolberg. 1987. User's guide to MSTAT (version 4.0). Michigan State University, East Lansing.

2. Gallaher, R. N., and D. G. Cummins. 1976. Yearround forage production utilizing multiple croppingminimum tillage management. Georgia Agricultural Research 17:13-14.

3. Gallaher, R. N., D. W. Dickson, J. F. Corella, and T. E. Hewlett. 1988. Tillage and multiple cropping systems and population dynamics of phytoparasitic nematodes. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology 20:90–94.

4. Gallaher, R. N., and E. S. Horner. 1983. Evaluation of late summer planted no-tillage corn. Agronomy Research Report AY-83-11, Agronomy Department, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville.

5. Jenkins, W. R. 1964. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Disease Reporter 48:692.

6. McSorley, R., and D. W. Dickson. 1989. Effects and dynamics of a nematode community on maize. Journal of Nematology 21:462-471.

7. McSorley, R., M. L. Lamberts, J. L. Parrado, and J. S. Reynolds. 1986. Reaction of sorghum cultivars and other cover crops to two races of *Meloido*gyne incognita. Soil and Crop Science Society of Florida Proceedings 46:141-143.

8. McSorley, R., J. L. Parrado, R. V. Tyson, V. H. Waddill, M. L. Lamberts, and J. S. Reynolds. 1987. Effect of sorghum cropping practices on winter potato production. Nematrópica 17:45–60.

9. Noe, J. P. 1986. Cropping systems analysis for limiting losses due to plant-parasitic nematodes: Guide to research methodology. Department of Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University and U.S.A.I.D., Raleigh.

10. Rodriguez-Kabana, R., and J. T. Touchton. 1984. Corn and sorghum as rotational crops for management of *Meloidogyne arenaria* in peanut. Nematrópica 14:26-36.

11. Sokal, R. R., and F. J. Rohlf. 1969. Biometry. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Company.

12. Windham, G. L., and W. P. Williams. 1987. Host suitability of commercial corn hybrids to *Meloi*dogme arenaria and *M. incognita*. Supplement to the Journal of Nematology 19:13-16.