Hypoaspis nr. aculeifer: a Mite Predacious on Root-knot and Cyst Nematodes¹

R. N. INSERRA² and D. W. DAVIS³

Journal of Nematology 15(2):324-325. 1983.

Among the biological agents that may limit nematode population densities, mites are the least well known and studied. There are only a few reports (1,2,3,4,5) of mites feeding on nematodes. There is also a lack of information on the species of mites that are nematode predators. Evidence that soil mites of the suborder *Mesostigmata*

Received for publication 21 June 1982.

¹Journal Paper No. 2787.

Logan, UT 84322.

feed on the egg sac of Heterodera sp. and on Meloidogyne sp. has been shown experimentally (2,3). Unidentified species have also been reported preying on root-knot nematodes (1). Macrocheles muscaedomesticae (Scopoli) and Lasioseius scapulatus Ven. have been reared, respectively, on a microbivorous nematode, Rhabditella leptura (Cobb) Chitwood, and a mycophagus nematode, Aphelenchus avenae Bastian, (2,4).

Colonies of a laelapid mite, Hypoaspis nr. aculeifer (Canestrini), have been found in greenhouse soil at Logan, Utah, in association with tomato (Lycopersicon esculen-



Fig. 1. Hypoaspis nr. aculeifer feeding on Meloidogyne chitwoodi egg masses on the surface of a tomato root. Scale bar = $248 \mu m$.

²Nematologist, Istituto Nematologia Agraria, CNR, Bari, Italy. Present address: Crops Research Laboratory, Utah State University UCM 63, Logan, UT 84322.

³Professor of Biology Department, Utah State University,

Appreciation is extended to Dr. G. O. Evans of University College, Dublin, for the mite identification.

tum Mill.) roots infected with Meloidogyne chitwoodi Golden et al. and M. hapla Chitwood, and sugarbeet (Beta vulgaris L.) roots infected with Heterodera schachtii Schmidt. Several specimens were observed feeding on the egg masses of both the cyst nematode and root-knot nematodes (Fig. 1), indicating that H. aculeifer is a nonspecific biological agent, as are many other predators. The possibility that H. aculeifer feeds only on the gelatinous matrix that surrounds the nematode eggs can not be excluded, though several mite specimens have been observed with the rostrum and the chelicerae penetrated into the gelatinous matrix. Mite colonies with eggs were found in cracks on the stem and roots of tomato plants. Specimens of a similar, but as yet unidentified, species were also detected in soil samples collected from M. chitwoodi infested fields at Fort Hall, Idaho.

Whether *H. aculeifer* is a factor in limiting plant-parasitic nematodes is not known, but *H. aculeifer* has also been re-

ported feeding on nematodes in Europe (G. O. Evans, personal communications). It appears that this mite, as well as other possible biological agents, may be important in balancing these pest nematode populations in field ecosystems.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Linford, M. B., and J. M. Oliveira. 1938. Potential agents of biological control of plant-parasitic nematode. Phytopathology 28:14.
- 2. Mankau, R., and J. L. Imbriani. 1978. Studies on the mite Lasioseius scapulatus, a predator on soil nematodes. Nematropica 8:15.
- 3. Murphy, P. W., and C. C. Doncaster. 1957. A culture method for soil meiofauna and its application to the study of nematode predators. Nematologica 2:202-204.
- 4. Rodriguez, J. G., C. F. Wade, and C. N. Wells. 1962. Nematodes as a natural food for Macrocheles muscaedomesticae (Acarina: Macrochelidae), a predator of the house fly egg. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer. 55:507-511.
- 5. Sharma, R. D. 1971. Studies on the plant parasitic nematode Tylenchorhynchus dubius. Meded. Landbouwhogeschool Wageningen 71:98-104.