# **Yield Response and Injury Levels of Meloidogyne incognita**  and M. javanica on the Susceptible Tobacco 'McNair 944<sup>11</sup>

M. L. Arens and J. R. Rich<sup>2</sup>

*Abstract:* The effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica* on a susceptible tobacco *(Nicotiana tabacum* L.) cv. McNair 944 were investigated in field microplots during 1978 and 1979. Three initial inoculum levels--4, 16, and 64 nematode eggs and/or second-stage larvae per 100 cm3 of soil--were used for each nematode species. Data obtained from the experiments included plant yield and the amount of reproduction of the two nematode species. At comparative inoculum levels, *M. javanica* was more aggressive than *M. incognita* on tobacco and caused approximately twofold more yieht suppression than *M. incognita.* The calculated initial population of *M. incognita,* derived from the average for 2 yr, which produced a 7% suppression in plant yield was four eggs and/or second-stage larvae per 100 cm3 of soil; whereas less than one M. *javanica* egg and/or second-stage larvae per 100 cm3 of soil was needed to achieve similar suppression. Nematode reproduction varied in the 1978 and 1979 tests, but similar trends were observed. Early season M. *javanica* populations were greater than those of M. *incognita,* but late season populations of *M. incognita* were twice anti three times those of *M. javanica. Key words:* aggressiveness, injury levels, *Nicotiana tabacum.* 

Studies have shown the importance of two root-knot nematode species, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood and *M. javanica* (Treub.) Chitwood, in Florida tobacco *(Nicotiana tabacum* L.) production (18,21). Of these two species, M. *incognita* is considered the most widespread but *M. javanica* causes the most damage in Florida tobacco. These observations are consistent with studies of Barker (3) who found that four root-knot nematode species differ in their ability to reduce tobacco yield. His regression model analysis indicated yield losses from a susceptible tobacco would be 19.9, 16.5, 8.8, and 3.7% for *M. ]avanica, M. arenaria, M. incognita,* and *M. hapla,* respectively, for each 10-fold increase in initial inoculum density.

A common approach to determine injury levels and nematode aggressiveness has been to study the relationship between nematode population levels and crop yield. Methods to accomplish this have included the use of microplots (4,15,20), the utilization of various nematicides under field conditions (6,10,19), and the use of greenhouse and growth chamber experiments (7,11).

In Florida only empirical evaluations of nematicide experiments have been used to

establish injury levels of root-knot nematodes on tobacco. Because of our need to improve predictive nematode management techniques, this study was conducted to establish the injury levels of *M. incognita*  (Race 1) and *M. iavanica* on tobacco and to determine if one species was more aggressive than the other under Florida conditions.

Aggressiveness in the context of this study is defined as the relative degree to which a pathogenic potential is expressed. Injury level is defined as the nematode density which produces a specified level of plant damage.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the fall of 1977 a Lakeland fine sand soil  $(93.1\% \text{ sand}, 3.9\% \text{ silt}, \text{and } 3.0\% \text{ clay})$ was treated with 988.8 kg/ha methyl bromide; 2 months later 64 fiberglass cylinders (76-cm-d) were installed in the soil (20). The microplot area was fallowed over winter until planting. Before planting the microplots were sampled and no plantparasitic nematodes were recovered.

Eggs and second-stage larvae for inoculum were extracted from roots of tomato *(Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cv. Rutgers with  $1\%$  sodium hypochlorite (12). On 6 April 1978 microplot soil was infested with 4, 16, and 64 *M. javanica* or *M. incognita*  eggs and/or second-stage larvae per  $100 \text{ cm}^3$ of soil by adding 20-ml of an aqueous nematode suspension at the appropriate concentration to each of 55 evenly spaced holes (8, 15, and 23 cm deep) within each microplot. One day later two plants of the root-knot

Received for publication 19 May 1980.

<sup>1</sup>Florida Agricultural Experimental Station, Journal **Series**  No. 2404. Portion of a thesis submitted by the senior author in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.S. degree in Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611.

<sup>:</sup>Graduate Assistant and Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology and Nematology, Agricultural Research Center, Live Oak, FL 32060. We thank Dr. D. W. Dickson for valuable suggestions concerning the experiment and the manuscript.

nematode susceptible tobacco cv. McNair 944 were planted 25 cm apart in each microplot. Eight replicates per treatment were arranged in a randomized complete block design.

Recommended fertilization rates under field conditions were used. The microplots were kept weed free, irrigated, and sprayed for insects as needed to promote good plant growth and development. Sixty-four days after planting, and as needed thereafter, axillary and terminal buds were removed. No sucker control agents were applied.

Sixty and 124 d after inoculation, five soil cores  $(2.84 \times 30 \text{ cm} \text{ deep})$  were taken from each microplot and mixed; 250 cm<sup>3</sup> of soil was then processed by a modified centrifugation-sugar-flotation technique (13). The soil samples were taken 10 cm from the plant crown, and sampling sites were different for each sampling date. Tobacco roots from a 250-cm<sup>3</sup> aliquant of soil from each microplot were placed on Baermann funnels for  $3 d$  (2). The number of nematodes inside the roots was determined. The total number of root-knot nematodes recovered from soil and roots was converted to nematodes per  $100 \text{ cm}^3$  of soil. After the last harvest, 124 d after planting, the tobacco roots systems were rated for galling according to the following index:  $0 = no$ galls, 1 = trace of galling  $(1-25\%)$ , 2 = moderate galling  $(26-50\%)$ , 3 = severe galling  $(51-75\%)$ , and  $4$  = very severe galling  $(76-100\%)$  (16).

Mature tobacco leaves were harvested five times: 70 d after planting and every 10 d thereafter. The leaves were dried at 49 C before being weighed. Average soil temperatures at a 10.2-cm depth and precipitation during April, May, June, and July were determined.

A similar experiment was conducted in 1979. Inoculum levels and inoculation procedure was as described above. Four soil cores were taken in each microplot 30, 60, 90, and 104 d after inoculation. Handling of soil, nematode population determination, root-gall rating, and tobacco leaf harvest were as in 1978. Thirty days after planting, tobacco roots from one 250-cm<sup>3</sup> soil aliquant per microplot were washed free of soil, fixed in formal-acetic-alcohol (6.1.20) for 48 h, and stained in cold acid fuchsinlactophenol (17). Forty hours later, roots were destained in lactophenol, mounted between two microscope slides and observed under a compound microscope  $(100 \times)$ . The number of nematodes inside the roots was determined. Tobacco roots collected at 60, 90, and 104 d after inoculation were processed as in 1978. Average soil temperatures and precipitation were determined as in 1978.

## RESULTS

In the 1978 test, foliar symptoms of M. *javanica,* but not *M. incognita,* damage were evident 5 wk after transplanting into the medium (16 nematodes/100  $\text{cm}^3$  of soil) and high  $(64$  nematodes/100  $\text{cm}^3$  of soil) initial inoculum density soils. Stunting was obvious on all plants and the lower leaves displayed necrosis along their margins (rimfiring). Before the first harvest, rimfiring symptoms were evident on several plants inoculated with the low  $P_i$  (four nematodes/ 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of soil) of *M. javanica*. By 124 d after transplanting into infested soil, two plants from the medium  $P_i$  of *M. javanica* and ten plants from the high  $P_i$  had died. Roots of those plants were heavily galled and deteriorated. Of the plants inoculated with *M. incognita,* only those inoculated with the high  $P_1$  showed widespread symptoms (stunting and rimfiring) of nematode damage. Occasionally stunted plants were found at the low and medium Pi of *M. incognita.* Similar results were obtained in 1979, except disease symptoms were more pronounced.

In both years, *M. javanica* significantly reduced tobacco leaf yield at each of the three inoculum levels as compared to the control or tobacco plants inoculated with the same levels of *M. incognita* (Table 1). Linear regression analysis of  $P_i$  and tobacco yield for the 1978 season showed a 13, 25, and 58% yield suppression at the low, medium, and high levels of *M. javanica,* respectively (Figure 1). At the low  $P_i$  of M. *incognita,* yield of tobacco plants was 3% greater than the control plants. At the medium and high P<sub>i</sub> of *M. incognita*, suppression of tobacco leaf yield was 4 and 35%, respectively. In 1979, regression analyses of  $P_i$  and tobacco yield showed a 31, 39, and  $70\%$  yield suppression at the low,

Table 1. Effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* and M. *javanica* on tobacco 'McNair 944' leaf yield at three initial inoculum levels  $(P_i)$ .



\*Average dry weight of leaves from two plants/ microplot per treatment.

tGrouped means followed by a common letter are not significantly different ( $P = 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple-range test.

medium, and high Pi of *M. ]avanica,* respectively. At the low, medium, and high  $P_i$  of *M. incognita,* suppression of tobacco leaf yields were 9, 13, and  $34\%$ , respectively.

Reproduction of nematodes varied in the 1978 and 1979 tests, but similar trends were observed (Table 2). In 1978, the numbers of *M. incognita* eggs and larvae extracted from the medium and high  $P_i$  soils after 124 d were twice those *of M. ]avanica.*  No differences in reproduction of the two species occurred at the low  $P_i$  or 60 d after inoculation at any inoculum level. In 1979, more larvae of *M. ]avanica* than of *M. incognita* were extracted from the soil at the low, medium, and high  $P_1$  30 d after inoculation. No differences in reproduction of the two species occurred at the medium and high  $P_i$  60 d after inoculation or at the low and medium  $P_i$  104 d after inoculation. Reproduction of the two species did not differ 90 d after inoculation at any inoculum level. Numbers of *M. ]avanica* larvae were four times those of *M. incognita* 60 d after inoculation at the low  $P_i$ . At the end of the season, numbers of *M. incognita* larvae were three times those of *M. ]avanica* at the high  $P<sub>1</sub>$ 

Coefficients of correlation between (i) root-gall index and  $P_1$ , (ii) yield and rootgall index, and (iii) yield and  $P_i$  were determined for plants infected with *M. incognita* and *M. ]avanica* in 1978 and 1979





Fig. l. Linear regression analysis of percentage yield suppression and four initial inoculum levels of *MeIoidogyne incognita* (M.i.) and *M. javanica* (M.j.) on tobacco 'McNair 944;



Table 2. Reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and M. *]avanica* on tobacco 'McNair 944' at three initial inoculum levels  $(P_i)$ .

\*Include nematodes present in root and soil in 10O cm 3 of soil.

+Average of five soil cores/microplot per treatment.

++Average of four soil cores/microplot per treatment.

§Grouped means and control followed by a common letter are not significantly different ( $P = 0.05$ ) according to Duncan's multiple-range test.

(Table 3). Except for *M. javanica* in 1979, the correlations between root-gall index and the  $P_i$  were low and not significant. Similarly, yield and root-gall index did not appear to be correlated, except galling caused by *M. javanica* in 1979. Yield and P<sub>i</sub> were significantly, and negatively, correlated for both nematode species in both sampling years.

In 1978, the average soil temperatures during April, May, June, and July were 26 C, 29 C, 34 C, and 34 C, respectively. The average precipitation for those same months was 0.22, 0.32, 0.21, and 0.84 cm, respectively. In 1979, the average soil temperatures during April, May, June, and July were 27, 30, 32, and 33 C, respectively. The average precipitation during those months was 0.41, 0.30, 0.16, and 0.76 cm, respectively.

#### DISCUSSION

Microplot test results provided useful information on the effects of initial inoculum densities of *M. incognita* and M. *javanica* on tobacco yield in Florida. Linear regression equations most closely fit data on  $P_1$  and percentage yield suppression with M. *incognita,* although quadratic equations provide better data for *M. ]avanica.* This is thought to be a result from the greater and early effects of M. javanica on tobacco growth and later effects of *M. incognita*  which allowed some plant compensation for nematode damage. For comparative purposes, linear equations were used and initial injury levels were arbitrarily set at the nematode  $P_i$  which caused 7% plant yield suppression. When using these criteria,

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of three variables with *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica* during 1978 and 1979 field tests on tobacco 'McNair 944?



 ${}^*P_1$  = initial inoculum level.

 $\dagger$ Column means followed by \*\* are significant at  $1\%$  level, according to the test of significance from Fisher and Yates.

initial injury levels were calculated to occur with fewer than one egg or second-stage *M. javanica* larva per 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of soil for both test years; eight eggs or second-stage *M. incognita* larvae were required in 1978, but only one in 1979. These low  $P_1$ 's reflect the highly aggressive nature of both nematode species on flue-cured tobacco as compared to other crop plants (3,5). These data do not include effects on tobacco leaf quality which would probably further lower all injury levels.

Because of the low number of nematodes necessary to cause tobacco damage, problems arise in correctly determining populations in the field. It is suspected that spring populations of these nematodes are at or below limits that can be detected with currently available sampling and extraction procedures. Thus, fall sampling would be more useful than spring nematode counts (23). In addition, injury levels differed considerably between the 1978 and 1979 growing seasons, further indicating the need to assign ranges in initial injury level determination. It is thought that in these tests plant response to environmental variables were responsible for most of the yield variation between years. For example, early season soil temperatures and precipitation were higher in 1979 than in 1978.

Populations of both nematodes increased rapidly over initial inoculum levels. Early in the two tests (30-60 d after inoculation), however, numbers of *M. javanica* were usually greater than those of *M. incognita.*  As the season progressed, numbers of M. *]avanica* either declined or did not increase in proportion to those of *M. incognita.* As evidenced from comparative yield data and foliar symptoms, greater invasion and reproduction of *M. /avanica* early in the season apparently did not allow the tobacco root systems to develop. Brodie and Dukes (8) similarly found that *M. javanica* caused the most damage early in the tobacco growing season. Conversely, invasion and reproduction *of M. incognita* occurred at a lower rate early in the season and allowed the plants to develop more normally. This situation is analogous to that of Seinhorst's hypothesis (22) in which he states that the amount of damage caused by a nematode is related to the preplant nematode density and the amount of exposed tissue not attacked. Differences in reproduction of these two nematodes have been found in growth chamber experiments, but reasons for these differences are unclear (1). Preliminary data, however, indicate that *M. javanica* larvae locate and more readily invade tobacco roots than do *M. incognita* at temperatures approximating those in the first 2 months of the growing season.

Microplots combine the advantages of ease of handling, close approximation to field conditions, the possibility of incorporating several nematode densities, and the establishment of fairly uniform densities within a treatment (9,14,15). It is a useful method for assessing injury levels of a crop exposed to several preplant densities of plant-parasitic nematodes. Microplot data, however, are only an approximation of minimal damage densities  $(5)$ . Homogeneity in nematode populations does not occur in the field, and calculated damaging densities under field conditions can only be approximated. These data, however, suggest growers should be aware that the presence of even a very low  $P_i$  of *M. javanica* in a tobacco field will cause reduction in yield. Since no resistant cultivars are available, other control measures must be optimally integrated to prevent *M. /avanica-induced*  losses in Florida tobacco fields.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Arens, M. L. 1979. Reasons for differential aggressiveness of three Meloidogyne spp. Goeldi, 1887 on tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.), and injury levels of two of these species. M.S. Thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville.

2. Baermann, G. 1917. Eine einfache Methode zur Auffindung von Ankylostomum (Nematoden) larven in Erdproben. Geneesk. Tijdschr, Ned.-Indie 57:131-137.

3. Barker, K. R. 1977. Yield losses caused by four species of Meloidogyne. J. Nematol. 9:263 (Abstr.).

4. Barker. K. R., B. I. Daughtry, and D. W. Corbett. 1979. Equipment and techniques for establishing field microplots for the study of soil-borne pathogens. J. Nematol. 11:106-108.

5. Barker, K. R., and T. H. A. Olthof. 1976. Relationships between nematode population densities and crop responses. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 14:327-353.

6. Barriga, R. 1968. Control quimico de nematodes en suelos cultivados con tabaco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) en el valle del Cauca, Colombia. Soil and Crop Sci. 8oc. Fla. Proc. 28:254-257.

**7. Bergeson, B. G.** 1968. Evaluation of factors

contrihuting to the pathogenicity of Meloidogyne incognita. Phytopathology 58:49-53.

8. Brodie, B. B., and P. D. Dukes. 1972. The relationship between tobacco yield and time of infection with Meloidogyne javanica. J. Nematol. 4:80-83.

9. Cole, C. S., and H. W. Howard. 1962. The effect of growing resistant potatoes on a potato-root eelworm population. Ann. appl. Biol. 50:121-127.

10. Ferris, H. 1974. Correlation of tobacco yield, value, and root-knot index with early-to-midseason and postharvest Meloidogyne population densities. J. Nematol. 6:75-81.

11. Graham, T. W. 1961. Responses of tobacco breeding lines to three species of root-knot nematodes in greenhouse tests. Plant Dis. Reptr. 45: 692-695.

12. Hussey, R. S., and K. R. Barker. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of Meloidogyne spp. including a new technique. Plant Dis. Reptr. 57:1025-1028.

13. Jenkins, W. R. 1964. A rapid centrifugalflotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Dis. Reptr. 48:692.

14. Jones, F. G. W. 1956. Soil populations of beet eelworm (Heterodera schachtii Schm.) in relation to cropping. II. Microplot and field plot results. Ann. appl. Biol. 44:25-56.

15. Jones, F. G. W. 1956. Soil population studies

using microplots. Nematologica 1:109-110.

16. Krusberg, L. R., and L. W. Nielsen. 1958. Pathogenesis of root-knot nematodes to the Porto Rico variety of sweetpotato. Phytopathology 48: 30-39.

17. McBeth, C. W., A. L. Taylor, and A. L. Smith. 1941. Note on staining nematodes in root tissues. Proc. Helminth. Soc. Wash. 8:26.

18. Miller, C. R., F. Clark, and V. G. Perry. 1969. Chemical control of nematodes of flue-cured tobacco. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc. 29:369-372.

19. Nusbaum, C. J., and F. A. Todd. 1970. The role of chemical soil treatments in the control of nematode-disease complexes of tobacco. Phytopathology 60:7-12.

20. Rich, J. R., and J. T. Johnson. 1979. A technique for establishing microplots in the field. Agronomy Abstr. p. 123.

21. Rich, J. R., and N. C. Shenck. 1979. Survey of North Florida flue-cured tobacco fields for rootknot nematodes and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Dis. Reptr. 11:952-955.

22. Seinhorst, J. w. 1965. The relation between nematode density and damage to plants. Nematologica 11:137-154.

23. Todd, F. A. 1980. Tobacco disease control practices for 1980. *In* 1980 Tobacco information. N. C. Agr. Ext. Set. Pub. AG-102.