

Host Suitability of Twelve Leguminosae Species to Populations of *Meloidogyne hapla* and *M. chitwoodi*¹

G. D. GRIFFIN² AND M. D. RUMBAUGH²

Abstract: Legumes of the genera *Astragalus* (milkvetch), *Coronilla* (crownvetch), *Lathyrus* (pea vine), *Lotus* (birdsfoot trefoil), *Medicago* (alfalfa), *Melilotus* (clover), *Trifolium* (clover), and *Vicia* (common vetch) were inoculated with a population of *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* from Utah or with one of three *M. hapla* populations from California, Utah, and Wyoming. Thirty-nine percent to 86% of alfalfa (*M. scutellata*) and 10% to 55% of red clover (*T. pratense*) plants survived inoculation with the nematode populations at a greenhouse temperature of $24 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$. All plants of the other legume species survived all nematode populations, except 4% of the white clover (*T. repens*) plants inoculated with the California *M. hapla* population. Entries were usually more susceptible to the *M. hapla* populations than to *M. chitwoodi*. Galling of host roots differed between nematode populations and species. Root-galling indices (1 = none, 6 = severely galled) ranged from 1 on pea vine inoculated with the California population of *M. hapla* to 6 on yellow sweet clover inoculated with the Wyoming population of *M. hapla*. The nematode reproductive factor (Rf = final nematode population/initial nematode population) ranged from 0 for all nematode populations on pea vine to 35 for the Wyoming population of *M. hapla* on alfalfa (*M. sativa*).

Key words: host suitability, legumes, leguminosae, *Meloidogyne chitwoodi*, *M. hapla*, pathology, reproduction, root galling, root-knot nematode, resistance, shoot weight, survival, susceptibility.

Approximately 86% of the 153 million hectares of rangeland in the Intermountain Region of the western United States is considered to be in less than good condition, and is estimated to produce only 60% of its potential (21). Nitrogen, an essential constituent of protein necessary for cell protoplasm, must be in an available form for all flora organisms (1,2). Vegetative plant growth on 72 million hectares of rangeland in the Northern Great Plains is limited by a lack of available nitrogen (24). A symbiotic relationship exists between nitrifying bacteria (*Rhizobium* spp.) and Leguminosae that enrich the soil with nitrogen. The use of adapted legumes increases the amount of available nitrogen and improves pasture and rangeland conditions (17,19). Legumes are not only beneficial for making atmospheric nitrogen available for other plants but also aid in land reclamation and are used as an energy supply for wildlife and livestock (16). Several spe-

cies of legumes are adapted to rangeland conditions and are beneficial to rangeland ecology (19).

Plant-parasitic nematodes, including populations of the northern root-knot nematode (*M. hapla* Chitwood) and the Columbia root-knot nematode (*M. chitwoodi* Golden, O'Bannon, Santo, & Finley), parasitize and suppress the growth of legumes and rangeland vegetation in the United States (4-8,10-12,15,18,22,23). The objective of this study was to compare host suitabilities of 12 Leguminosae species to geographically separated populations of *M. hapla* and *M. chitwoodi*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode populations studied were *M. chitwoodi* isolated from potato, *Solanum tuberosum* L., at Beryl, Utah (13), and three *M. hapla* populations isolated from alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) at Visalia, California (11; lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) at Ogden, Utah; and alfalfa at Laramie, Wyoming (9). Nematode populations were cultured on 'Rutgers' tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) under greenhouse conditions, and inocula (eggs) were collected with an NaOCl method (14). The *Meloidogyne* populations are differentiated by their relationships to the alfalfa germplasm Nevada

Received for publication 1 August 1995.

¹ Cooperative investigation by USDA Agricultural Research Service and the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station. Journal Paper No. 4801. Mention of a trademark, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture or Utah State University.

² Nematologist and former Plant Geneticist, USDA ARS, Forage and Range Research Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322-6300.

Synthetic XX (Nev Syn XX). Nev Syn XX is resistant to *M. hapla* from Utah and Wyoming and susceptible to *M. chitwoodi* and *M. hapla* from California (9).

The legumes examined were milk vetch (*Astragalus cicer* L.), sickle pod milk vetch (*A. falcatus* Lam.), crownvetch (*Coronilla varia* L.), pea vine (*Lathyrus* L. sp.), birds-foot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus* L.), alfalfa (*Medicago falcata* L.), alfalfa (*M. sativa* L.), alfalfa (*M. scutellata* (L. All.), yellow sweet clover (*Melilotus officinalis* Willd.), white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.), and common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.).

Seeds were scarified and germinated on filter paper. Twenty-eight days after imbibition seedlings were transplanted into individual 6-cm-diameter plastic containers containing 540 cm³ steam-pasteurized Kidman fine sandy loam soil (coarse-loamy mixed mesic Calcic Haploxeroll [84% sand, 8% silt, 8% clay; pH 7.4, 1% OM]). An aqueous suspension of eggs of a single *Meloidogyne* population was poured into four holes 10 cm deep in the soil around the hypocotyl base at a rate of 2.0 eggs/cm³ soil. Uninoculated plants were included as controls. Plants were grown at a soil temperature of 24 ± 3 °C and received 19 hours of light per day; light was supplemented with high-output fluorescent lamps. The experiment was a 4 × 12 × 2 factorial (4 nematode species × 12 plant entries × 2 inoculum densities) in a randomized complete block design with 100 replications (containers), one plant per replicate or single container. Plants were watered daily and fertilized monthly with a complete nutrient solution. Plants were harvested 95 days after inoculation. The dry shoot weight and a root galling index (1 = no galling, 2 = 1% to 10%, 3 = 11% to 20%, 4 = 21% to 50%, 5 = 51% to 80%, 6 = 81% to 100% root tissue galled) were determined. Nematode eggs were extracted from the entire root system of each plant by the NaOCl method (14), and the nematode reproductive factor (Rf = final nematode population/initial nematode population) and resistance classification

were calculated for each plant. The criterion for classifying a plant as resistant was Rf < 1 (3). The experiment was repeated, and the data presented are means of the two experiments. Data were analyzed with analysis of variance, and differences among means were compared at *P* < 0.05 using LSD. Each parameter measured was regressed against nematode populations. Percentage data on plant survival were transformed with arcsine \sqrt{x} before analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Alfalfa (*M. scutellata*) and red clover (*T. pratense*) were the most susceptible of all the legume species evaluated. Plant survival rates were 86%, 39%, 46%, and 39% for *M. scutellata* and 55%, 40%, 28%, and 10% for red clover when inoculated with *M. chitwoodi* and with *M. hapla* from California, Utah, and Wyoming, respectively. All plants of all other legume species survived inoculation with all nematode populations, except for 4% of the white clover (*T. repens*) plants infested with the California *M. hapla* population.

Based on dry shoot weights, suppression of plant growth differed among entries but not nematode populations (Table 1). Shoot weights of all entries except sickle pod milk vetch (*A. falcatus*), crownvetch (*C. varia*), pea vine (*Lathyrus* sp.), birdsfoot trefoil (*L. corniculatus*), and alfalfa (*M. falcata*) were reduced (*P* < 0.05) by one or more nematode population. Generally, entries were more susceptible (*P* < 0.05) to the *M. hapla* populations than to *M. chitwoodi*.

Root-knot galling differed (*P* < 0.05) among nematode populations and species (Table 2). Overall, galling of plants was greater with *M. hapla* than with *M. chitwoodi*. All legume species were moderately to heavily galled except for pea vine, where little or no galling occurred with any nematode populations. As observed in a previous study (20), pea vine was the most resistant of all legumes. The greatest galling occurred on yellow sweet clover exposed to the Wyoming population of *M. hapla*.

TABLE 1. Effect of a *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* population from Utah (MCUT) and *M. hapla* populations from California (MHCA), Utah (MHUT), and Wyoming (MHWY) on dry shoot weight of 12 legume species.^a

Plant entry	Dry shoot weight (g) ^b					LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)
	MCUT	MHCA	MHUT	MHWY	Control	
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 1 ^c	0.81	0.91	0.96	0.95	1.26	0.3
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 2 ^c	0.93	1.06	1.25	1.16	1.32	0.3
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 1	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.11	NS
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 2	0.18	0.24	0.22	0.24	0.22	NS
<i>Coronilla varia</i>	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.22	NS
<i>Lathyrus</i> sp.	1.60	1.66	1.56	1.54	1.60	NS
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.27	NS
<i>Medicago falcata</i>	0.60	0.57	0.52	0.56	0.67	NS
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 1	1.49	1.25	1.24	1.29	1.80	0.4
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 2	1.51	1.33	1.35	1.26	1.81	0.3
<i>Medicago scutellata</i>	0.99	0.67	0.70	0.68	1.22	0.3
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	2.07	1.72	1.60	1.69	2.55	0.9
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	0.46	0.42	0.47	0.51	0.90	0.4
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.33	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.49	0.1
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	0.49	0.48	0.45	0.41	0.80	0.3
LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)	0.12	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.23	

^a Twenty eight-day-old plants inoculated with 2.0 eggs/cm³ soil and grown for 95 days after inoculation on a greenhouse bench at 24 ± 3 °C.

^b Each value is the mean of 100 replicates.

^c Denotes different seed lots.

All Leguminosae species, except pea vine, were hosts (*R*_f > 1) (3) for *M. chitwoodi* and the three populations of *M. hapla* (Table 3). However, entries differed (*P* < 0.05) for percent-resistant plants (Ta-

ble 4). The pea vine seed lot was 100% resistant, whereas the white clover seed lot was 100% susceptible to both *M. hapla* and *M. chitwoodi*. There were also genetic differences within seed lots of the other en-

TABLE 2. Root galling of 12 Leguminosae species by a *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* population from Utah (MCUT) and *M. hapla* populations from California (MHCA), Utah (MHUT), and Wyoming (MHWY).^a

Plant entry	Root-galling indices ^b				LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)
	MCUT	MHCA	MHUT	MHWY	
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 1 ^c	3.1	4.9	4.9	5.2	0.9
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 2 ^c	4.4	5.1	4.8	5.4	0.6
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 1	3.2	3.7	3.4	3.4	NS
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 2	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.5	NS
<i>Coronilla varia</i>	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.0	NS
<i>Lathyrus</i> sp.	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	NS
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	2.5	2.0	2.9	2.2	0.6
<i>Medicago falcata</i>	2.6	4.4	4.4	4.8	0.8
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 1	2.3	4.4	4.0	4.1	0.7
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 2	2.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	0.7
<i>Medicago scutellata</i>	3.0	4.8	4.9	5.1	0.9
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	2.9	5.6	5.2	6.0	0.8
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.3	NS
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	3.5	5.1	5.2	5.4	1.0
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	2.8	4.6	4.5	5.0	0.8
LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.4	

^a Twenty eight-day-old plants inoculated with 2.0 eggs/cm³ soil and grown for 95 days after inoculation on a greenhouse bench at 24 ± 3 °C. Each value is the mean of 100 replicates.

^b Root-galling indices (1 = no galling; 6 = 80%–100% root tissue galled). Readings made 120 days after inoculation.

^c Denotes different seed lots.

TABLE 3. Reproduction of a *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* population from Utah (MCUT) and *M. hapla* populations from California (MHCA), Utah (MHUT), and Wyoming (MHWY) on 12 Leguminosae species.^a

Plant entry	Nematode reproductive indices (Rf) ^b				LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)
	MCUT	MHCA	MHUT	MHWY	
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 1 ^c	4	29	31	27	4
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 2 ^c	6	32	29	26	5
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 1	8	12	15	13	5
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 2	10	11	10	8	NS
<i>Coronilla varia</i>	7	12	12	14	4
<i>Lathyrus</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	—
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	4	13	16	17	7
<i>Medicago falcata</i>	8	14	18	13	6
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 1	12	31	34	29	8
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 2	10	29	31	35	8
<i>Medicago scutellata</i>	10	30	30	33	7
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	10	29	34	32	7
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	9	30	27	33	6
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	10	29	27	32	7
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	6	26	24	23	6
LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)	2	5	5	7	

^a Twenty eight-day-old plants inoculated with 2.0 egg/cm³ soil and grown for 95 days after inoculation on a greenhouse bench at 24 ± 3 °C. Each value is the mean of 100 replicates.

^b Nematode reproductive index Rf (final nematode population/initial nematodes population).

^c Denotes different seed lots.

tries, which accounts for differences among entries in relation to shoot growth, galling, and reproduction.

A greater percentage of plants were re-

sistant to *M. chitwoodi* than to *M. hapla* for all legume species evaluated, except for sickle pod milk vetch (seed lot 1), pea vine, and birdsfoot trefoil. The percentage of

TABLE 4. Resistance and susceptibility of 12 Leguminosae species to a *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* population from Utah (MCUT) and *M. hapla* populations from California (MHCA), Utah (MHUT), and Wyoming (MHWY).^a

Plant entry	Percent resistant plants ^b				LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)
	MCUT	MHCA	MHUT	MHWY	
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 1 ^c	17	9	14	11	6
<i>Astragalus cicer</i> 2 ^c	20	0	0	0	2
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 1	10	7	14	9	NS
<i>Astragalus falcatus</i> 2	20	15	17	14	NS
<i>Coronilla varia</i>	29	10	7	13	4
<i>Lathyrus</i> sp.	100	100	100	100	NS
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	25	38	25	35	7
<i>Medicago falcata</i>	77	12	10	14	11
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 1	50	0	0	0	3
<i>Medicago sativa</i> 2	64	12	15	7	9
<i>Medicago scutellata</i>	14	0	0	0	3
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	29	0	0	0	2
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	45	0	0	0	2
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	17	10	13	9	6
LSD (<i>P</i> < 0.05)	14	8	12	7	

^a Inoculated when 28 days old with 2.0 eggs/cm³ soil and grown for 95 days after inoculation on a greenhouse bench at 24 ± 3 °C.

^b Each value is the mean of 100 replicates. Plant classified as resistant when Rf < 1. Nematode reproductive factor = final nematode population/initial nematode population.

^c Denotes different seed lots.

plants resistant to *M. hapla*, except for pea vine, varied from 0% for milk vetch, yellow sweet clover, alfalfa (*M. sativa* and *M. scutellata*), white clover, and red clover for all *M. hapla* populations to 38% of birds-foot trefoil plants resistant to the California population.

There were few differences ($P > 0.05$) in Rf among the three populations of *M. hapla* (Table 3). However, reproduction of *M. hapla* was greater than *M. chitwoodi* on all legumes but sickle pod milk vetch. There were differences ($P < 0.05$) in the Rf among the different nematode populations on the different plant selections. Except for pea vine, the maximum and minimum Rf of *M. chitwoodi* were 12 and 4 on alfalfa (*M. sativa*) and milk vetch, respectively. This compared to Rf of 35 and 8 for the Wyoming population of *M. hapla* on alfalfa (*M. sativa*) and sickle pod milk vetch, respectively.

The three *M. hapla* populations did not vary ($P > 0.05$) for Rf or for the severity of galling produced on most legume entries in this study. Conversely, variability among *M. hapla* populations in alfalfa has been found in previous studies (9). The *M. hapla* populations caused root galling on most plant species and had a higher reproductive factor than *M. chitwoodi*. Root galling and nematode reproduction were highly correlated ($r = 0.74$) for *M. hapla* for most of the nematode-plant entry relationships. The correlation ($r = 0.59$) between root galling and nematode reproduction was less evident for *M. chitwoodi*.

Root galling alone is not always a good criterion for determining resistance or susceptibility of a plant to a *Meloidogyne* spp. Although there was a significant correlation between root galling and nematode reproduction in this study, there have been reported incidences where this is not the case. A previous study showed that while there were no differences ($P > 0.05$) in the root-galling indices, there were differences ($P < 0.05$) in the Rf values of four *M. hapla* populations on alfalfa (9). Both root-galling and nematode reproduction must be considered when determining the

resistance and susceptibility of a plant selection to a *Meloidogyne* spp. (3).

Leguminosae entries that had relatively low root gall indices and Rf may be useful sources of genetic resistance to *M. hapla* and/or *M. chitwoodi* in the development of legumes adapted for rangeland conditions. Resistance to *M. hapla* should be considered when breeding and improving legumes for forage production and adaptation. Inasmuch as resistance is the major means of controlling *Meloidogyne* spp., geneticists and plant breeders should be aware that the physiology of nematode populations and races differs by geographical origin. Evaluating a broad range of germplasm and then selecting and increasing the more resistant introductions often has resulted in sufficient improvement for the release of economically important entries of legumes planted as minor crops (19).

LITERATURE CITED

1. Allen, O. N., and E. K. Allen. 1981. Leguminosae. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
2. Bergerson, F. J. 1977. Factors controlling nitrogen fixation by rhizobia. Pp. 151-165 in A. Ayanaba and P. J. Dart, eds. Biological nitrogen fixation in farming systems of the tropics. New York: John Wiley.
3. Cook, R., and K. Evans. 1987. Resistance and tolerance. Pp. 179-231 in R. H. Brown and B. R. Kerry, eds. Principles and practice of nematode control in crops. New York: Academic Press.
4. Goplen, B. P., E. H. Stanford, and M. W. Allen. 1959. Demonstration of physiological races within three root-knot nematode species attacking alfalfa. *Phytopathology* 49:653-656.
5. Griffin, G. D. 1984. Nematode parasites of alfalfa, cereals, and grasses. Pp. 243-321 in W. R. Nickle, ed. Plant and insect nematodes. New York: Marcel Dekker.
6. Griffin, G. D. 1991. Differential pathogenicity of four *Pratylenchus neglectus* populations on alfalfa. *Journal of Nematology* 23:380-385.
7. Griffin, G. D. 1992. Effects of two populations of *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* on *Triticum aestivum* L. and *Hordeum vulgare* L. *Nematropica* 22:65-74.
8. Griffin, G. D., and K. H. Asay. 1989. Pathological reaction of crested wheatgrass entries to four *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* populations. *Journal of Nematology* 21:446-452.
9. Griffin, G. D., and F. A. Gray. 1995. Biological relationship of *Meloidogyne hapla* populations to alfalfa entries. *Journal of Nematology* 27:353-361.
10. Griffin, G. D., R. N. Inerra, and N. Volvas.

1984. Rangeland grasses as hosts of *Meloidogyne chitwoodi*. *Journal of Nematology* 16:399–402.

11. Griffin, G. D., and M. V. McKenry. 1989. Susceptibility of Nevada Synthetic XX germplasm to a California race of *Meloidogyne hapla*. *Journal of Nematology* 21:292–293.

12. Griffin, G. D., M. D. Rumbaugh, and D. L. Crebs. 1990. Northern root-knot nematode populations and soil temperature effect on alfalfa. *Crop Science* 30:541–544.

13. Griffin, G. D., and S. V. Thomson. 1988. The Columbia root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne chitwoodi*, discovered in the state of Utah. *Plant Disease* 72:363.

14. Hussey, R. S., and K. R. Barker. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp. including a new technique. *Plant Disease Reporter* 57:1025–1028.

15. Ingham, R. E., and J. K. Detling. 1990. Effect of root-feeding nematodes on aboveground net primary production in a North American grassland. *Plant and Soil* 121:279–281.

16. Lorenz, R. J. 1982. Alfalfa in western grazing management systems. Pp. 1–3 in *Alfalfa for dryland grazing*. Bulletin No. 444. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service.

17. Ries, R. E. 1982. Environmental factors and alfalfa persistence in dryland pastures and rangeland. Pp. 4–7 in *Alfalfa for dryland grazing*, Bulletin No. 444. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service.

18. Riggs, R. D., J. L. Dale, and M. L. Hamblen. 1962. Reaction of bermudagrass varieties and lines to root-knot nematodes. *Phytopathology* 52:587–588.

19. Rumbaugh, M. D. 1982. Legumes—their use in wildland plantings. Pp. 115–122 in S. B. Monsen and N. Shaw, compilers. *Managing intermountain rangelands—improvement of range and wildlife habitats*. *Symposia Proceedings*; June 22–24; Elko, NV.

20. Rumbaugh, M. D., and G. D. Griffin. 1992. Resistance of *Lathyrus* species and plant introduction accessions to the northern root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne hapla* Chitwood. *Journal of Nematology* 24:729–734.

21. Schmautz, J. E., M. D. Belinger, and R. W. Harris. 1980. Range. Pp. 246–315 in *An assessment of the forest and rangeland situation in the United States*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, FS-345. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

22. Smolik, J. D. 1974. Nematode studies at the cottonwood site. *US/IBP Grassland Biome Technical Report*. No. 251. Colorado State University.

23. Thorne, G., and R. B. Malek. 1968. Nematodes of the northern great plains. Part I. Tylenchida (Nemata: Secernentea). *Technical Bulletin* No. 31. Agricultural Experiment Station, South Dakota State University.

24. Wight, J. R. 1976. Range fertilization in the northern Great Plains. *Journal of Range Management* 29:180–185.