1 **New Jersey's Longshore Current Pattern**

Gail M. Ashley^a, Susan D. Halsey^b, and Christopher B. Buteux^a

"Department of Geological Sciences Rutgers - The State University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

bDivision of Coastal Resources CN-401 New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Trenton, New Jersey 08625 **ABSTRACT_••••••••••••••••••••_**

ASHLEY, G.M., HALSEY, S.D., and BUTEUX, C.B., 1986. New Jersey's longshore current pattern. *Journal o{Coastal Research,* 2(4), 453-463. Fort Lauderdale, ISSN 0749-0208.

The regional longshore **current pattern of New Jersey consists of a nodal zone separating** ongshore current pattern of two wersey consists of a houding one separating
ongshore currents that flow away from the node in opposite directions. A similar pattern
ongshore in the same of the Mid-Media Direct Theories is by a combination of. (I) wave refraction over paleochannel topography on the continental
y a combination of. (I) wave refraction over paleochannel topography on the continental
half, (2) a sideal drift oficial currents, an **long term between the seasonal effects ofstorm and fairweather swell processes. Superim**posed on this regional pattern are smaller scaled circulation cells: (A) wave refraction around ebb tidal deltas(>1 km), and (B) rip current circulation ($<$ 1 km). Thus, the longshore **current pattern is complex and is a function** ofthis **hierarchy of circulation cells. Shore pro**tection plans should be designed to accommodate this highly variable (locally and on short ection plans should be designed to accommodate this highly variable (locally and on short
line scales) yet quite persistent (regionally and on a long time scale) pattern of coastal

ADDITIONAL INDEX WORDS: *Circulation cells, longshorecurrents, rip-currents:*

INTRODUCTION

The regional and long-term longshore current pattern displayed by several coastal compartments (Cape Cod, Long Island, New Jersey, Delmarva, and Virginia-North Carolina) of the Mid-Atlantic Bight consists of currents which separate and flow in opposite directions from a nodal zone (FISHER 1967,1979) (Figure 1). Several studies carried out on the 200 km New Jersey coast using a wide variety of data reveal little agreement on the position of the nodal zone (COOK, 1868, 1882; JOHNSON, 1956; BUMPUS 1965; CALDWELL, 1966; HALSEY, 1968; and BUTEUX, 1982). In addition, smaller local current reversals (on a scale of 100s of m or a few

¹*The authors are grateful {or the following support during various phases of this u-orh:New Jersey Sea Grant Program* o{ *the New Jersey Marine Sciences Consortium (Project No. RS/2: Grants NA79AA-D-0006:i and NAHI AA-lJ-0006S), Department of* Geo*logical Sciences* o{ *Rutgers* - *The State University: State of New Jersey: Neu- Jersey Geological Survey and the Dioision of Coastal* R esources. This is New Jersey Marine Sciences Consortium Pub*lication No. NJSG-86-136. 85040 received 8 November, 1985; accepted* in revision 14 January 1986.

Figure I. Coastal compartments of the Mid-Atlantic Bightshowing longshore current directions (after FISHER, 1967).

km) are superimposed on the regional pattern (EVERTS, 1975; FITZGERALD, 1981, 1982; SORENSEN and WEGGEL, 1985).

km) are superimposed on the regional pattern

New Jersey is a highly developed coastline and has the dubious distinction of standing as the model for an over-engineered coast (PILKEY, 1981). During the last 100 years, 300 groins have been built, along with extensive revetments, bulkheads, seawalls and five jettied inlets (PSUTY, 1986). In 1981, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) adopted the innovative Shore Protection Master Plan to use as a blue print for future beach restoration measures. The Plan requires non-structural methods such as beach replenishment, dune building and sediment recycling rather than costly hard engineering (structural methods). During the development of the Plan the disparity between the well-entrenched concept of a

DELMARVA

PENINSULA

fixed nodal zone and the apparently highly variable (temporally and spatially) current pattern observed in modern field studies became obvious. Therefore, a provision was established in the Plan that preemplacement monitoring programs be implemented before instituting a beach nourishment project to optimize positioning, as well as residence time of $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

fixed nodal zone and the apparently highly variable and the appearance of the appearance of the appearance of
In the appearance of the appearance of

The purpose of this paper is to describe the temporal and spatial variations in the longshore current pattern of New Jersey. This investigation is based on our field measurements and a compilation of interpretations from other studies. Such a summary has many applications. First, knowledge of the location and areal extent of the regional nodal zone is critical to all shore protection projects (NJDEP, 1981). In order to accommodate the apparently variable (regionally and over long time scales) pat-

 $\overline{}$

 40^o

^o //

-,

NEW

JERSEY

Figure 2. Diagrammatic representation of the residual bottom current on the continental shelf (after BUMPUS, 1965). Flow is predominantly to the south except in the north where flow is north and westward into Raritan Bay.

 $\int\!\!\!\!\!\!\int\limits_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

/'

 $+$ $+$ 39°

tern of coastal currents it is clear that more flexible and environmentally sensitive shore protection designs should be implemented. Second, knowledge of the historic variability of the nodal zone, (i.*e.,* changes in location and extent during the last few hundred years) may indicate the range of variability that can be expected in the future. The concept of a permanently fixed nodal zone is unfortunately a very well-entrenched idea. Third, a descriptive model developed for New Jersey's longshore currents may be used as a guide for examining similar patterns in the other coastal compartments.

REGIONAL LONGSHORE CURRENT PATTERN

Possible Causes

The direction of longshore currents on oceanic coasts varies both seasonally and with passing weather systems. Analyses of regional currents for long time periods remove local and short term variations to provide a common longshore current pattern that is repeated over several coastal compartments (JOHNSON, 1965; FISHER, 1967, 1979) (Figure 1). This pattern is exemplified by the New Jer-

Figure 3. Locations of the nodal zone (or nodal point) as concluded by previous workers (solid lines); this study (dashed line).

sey coast and consists of a nodal zone in which separate longshore currents flow away from the node in opposite directions. Ideas on the mechanisms responsible for this current pattern fall into three categories: (1) seasonal variation in wave approach, (2) wave refraction around shelf topography, and (3) residual southward drift of intruding shelf (geostrophic) currents with a northward tidal component into Raritan Bay.

sey coast and consists of a nodal zone in which which which we have a nodal zone in which which we have a noda

The first idea involves the complex interplay between long term effects of storm waves (predominantly northeast storms but occasionally hurricanes) and the normal effects of the prevailing fairweather swell waves from the east-southeast (NORDSTROM $et al.$, 1977). The longshore current direction and the nodal zone are therefore dependent on the long term balance between storm and swell processes. Ultimately this balance is a function of the vagaries of the wave climate of the The second is second in the superior support in the material support is the material of the material support in \mathcal{L} region.
The second idea was first suggested by MacClin-

tock (in LEET and JUDSON, 1965) who attributed the bifurcation of longshore currents to refraction around shallow offshore remnants of eroding headlands. Erosion of headlands was also the mechanism responsible for the current pattern displayed in FISHER's (1967) coastal compartments. More recent workers such as (V. Goldsmith, pers. comm.) have attributed nodal zones in the Mid-Atlantic Bight to wave refraction over paleochannel topography on the continental shelf. Thus, New Jersey's nodal zone could be created by refraction of deep water waves over the Hudson Canyon and the shelf topography between it and the New Jersey shoreline.

The third idea is based on studies of water circulation on the continental shelf of the Mid-Atlantic Bight (BUMPUS, 1965). His work revealed a general southward current (residual drift) along the coastline of New Jersey except in the vicinity of Sandy Hook where flow diverges north and westward into Raritan Bay (Figure 2). An interpretation of his data suggests that the overall pattern of geostrophic shelf circulation and tidal driven flow produces a current bifurcation and therefore the point of bifurcation (the nodal zone) in the headlands por-**Previous Studies**

Previous Studies

The concept of a bifurcation in the longshore currents was introduced by early geomorphologists such as $COOK$ (1868, 1882) and MacClintock (in LEET and JUDSON, 1965) as they attempted to explain coastal landform development. These workers hypothesized that during the Holocene transgression, waves refracted around an approximately 30 km long eroding headland area from Monmouth Beach to Bay Head (Figure 3). They believed sediment eroded from the headland area and transported northward built the baymouth barriers across the Shrewsbury and Navesink estuaries as well as the Sandy Hook spit, while sediment transported southward was responsible for the formation of the Squam Beach $-$ Island Beach barrier extending south from Bay Head (COOK, 1868, 1882; MacCLintock, in LEET and JUDSON, 1965; HAUPT, 1906; LEWIS and KUMMEL, 1914; JOHNSON, 1938; and KUMMEL, (40) ,

ment. These workers hypothesized that during the second that

JOHNSON (1956, 1957) was one of the first workers to attempt a regional synthesis of geomorphic-based interpretations of longshore current directions. Johnson suggested that the long term average position of the New Jersey nodal zone was located somewhere south of Manasquan Inlet possibly near Lavallette but was north of Barnegat Inlet (Figure 3). This description delineated a 15 km zone, some 10 km south of MacClintock's more northerly zone. Caldwell's work in 1966 designated Mantoloking as the long term average position of the nodal zone based mainly on air photo analysis of sand trapped by groins and $\frac{1}{2}$ the oceanographic study by $\frac{1}{2}$ study by $\frac{1}{2}$ study by $\frac{1}{2}$

An extensive oceanographic study by BUMPUS (1965) using thousands of seabed drifters over a 3 year period produced a shelf and nearshore current pattern which contains a nodal zone approximately 20 km long centered on Manasquan Inlet (Figures 2 and 3). A study examining the genesis of sand ridges on the New Jersey continental shelf suggested the nodal zone is in the vicinity of Lavallette (DUANE, $et \ al.$ 1972) (Figure 3).

Other interpretations of the position of the nodal zone have been based on the examination of historical documents and charts. One study indicated that Cranberry Inlet (now closed) migrated northward approximately 6 kilometers between 1690, when it was charted as open, and 1812 when it closed naturally (MILLER, 1981). This migration implies that the northern extent of the nodal zone during that time was somewhere south of Toms River $(Figure 3)$.

After the closure of Cranberry Inlet, Barnegat Inlet underwent migration to the south $(800 \text{ m in } 50)$

years) causing destruction of the original Barnegat Lighthouse. This inlet migration indicates a net southerly longshore drift during this period along Squam Beach - Island Beach and this direction of net drift appeared to persist until just before Barnegat Inlet was stabilized (1939-1941). Examination of U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey charts indicate that by 1938, the inlet had begun to migrate northward (FIELDS, 1984).

Analysis of the geomorphology of the ebb tidal delta and main ebb channel of Barnegat Inlet through time (ASHLEY *et al.,* 1981) revealed that net longshore drift had been directed both to the north and the south of Barnegat Inlet each for extended periods between 1940 and 1980. This analysis indicates that historically the nodal zone may have been located both north and south of the inlet.

From the predominant buildup of sand on the north side of groins along the lower one-third of Long Beach Island and the southerly migration of the Holgate spit at the southern end of Long Beach Island (HALSEY,1968), the southern limit of the nodal zone during 1967-1968 was interpreted to be located somewhere near Brant Beach on Long Beach Island (Figure 3).

Combining the findings over the last 300 years

Figure 4. Results of regional longshore current study conducted in 1980 (BUTEUX, 1982). Percent of the 20 observations (days) are shown diagrammatically by length of arrow,

Figure 5. Longshore current directions (N = Northward, S = Southward, O = no current measured) within a 6 hour period at the 5 locations shown on Figure 4. Heavy dashed line depicts schematically the seasonal migration of the longshore current bifurcation nodal zone.

(Figure 3), the area interpreted to be included by the mean annual position of the nodal zone may be 100 km in length along a 200 km coast extending as far south as Brant Beach (HALSEY, 1968) and as far north as Lavallette (JOHNSON, 1957).

Modern Field Study

A modern process field study (BUTEUX, 1982) was conducted in 1980 to: (1) examine the variation in direction of wave generated currents along a 100 km stretch of coastline in central New Jersey, and (2) determine the geographic position of the nodal zone on a given day under reasonably consistent weather and wave conditions. Five sites were selected which spanned the suspected range of the regional nodal zone (Figure 4). Wave driven longshore currents were measured (using drogues) inside the surf zone at each of these sites, generally within a 5-6 hour period. Direction and magnitude of longshore currents were measured at two locations $(0.5 \text{ km}$ apart) at each site and the results of the two were averaged to represent the site. Data were collected approximately every two weeks for a year yielding 20 separate observation days representing the four seasons and a wide variety of wind and wave conditions.

The results of the directional aspect of the study are shown in Figure 5. During the summer months (May-September), the nodal zone was located south of Barnegat Inlet and commonly south of Beach Haven Inlet. The regional longshore current pattern during summer is dominated by S-SW fairweather winds and an occasional northeast storm, tropical storm or hurricane. During the winter months (December-February), the point of current bifurcation is well north of Barnegat Inlet, often to the north of Bradley Beach. The regional current pattern during winter appears to be dominated by northeast storms. The times in between these two extremes (spring and fall) tend to be more unsettled and the position of the nodal zone showed no consistent pattern. BUTEUX (1982) concluded that during 1980 the stretch of coastline over which the nodal zone occurred on any given day is similar to the areal extent documented from previous studies (Figure 3). He also concluded that these were seasonal trends and that the average for the year placed the nodal zone north of Barnegat Inlet. The results of this study clearly reveal the wide range of coastline over which the position of longshore current bifurcation may occur on a variety of time scales and should dispel the well entrenched concept that the nodal zone is fixed or migrates within a narrow (a few tens of kilometers) geographic range. In fact, on a given day the longshore currents can move to the north along the entire coastline, or to the south, or separate in the middle. It is only by averaging the current direction over a long time period (years) that a persistent pattern emerges.

Figure 6. Inlet-wave refraction cell. Percentage of daily longshore current measurements taken during post- beach fill monitoring study at Barnegat Inlet (1979-1980). Area heachfilled is shown by hatched lines.

LOCAL VARIATIONS IN LONGSHORE CURRENT PATTERN

Inlet-wave Refraction Cells

Local reversals in the longshore current direction have tended to complicate observations and thus obscure the regional pattern. Most of the 12 inlets of New Jersey are likely to have a local current reversal which develops due to wave refraction around the ebb tidal delta as predicted by the work of HAYES (1979). He demonstrated that wave refraction over and around the ebb tidal delta shoals produces a local reversal in the regional current, direction and consequently an erosional zone at the location of current reversal. Longshore drift moves sediment away from this zone not only in the direction of regional longshore currents but also against

the regional trend (updrift) towards the inlet and consequently these areas are sites of erosion (WALTON and DEAN, 1976; HAYES, 1979).

An evaluation of the 1979 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers beach nourishment projectfor the northern end of Long Beach Island provided an opportunity to examine in detail the longshore current pattern in a restricted area a few kilometers in length (ASHLEY *et al.,* 1980; HALSEY *et al.,* 1981; ASHLEY *etal.,* 1981; *HALSEYetal.,* 1982). The project was initiated in March 1979 as a result of critical erosion from a trio of severe northeast storms during the winter of 1977-1978. Sand was pumped from the shoals within the intra-jetty area of Barnegat Inlet and emplaced along a 4.5 km reach south of Barnegat's south jetty (Figure 6).

As part of the data collection to assess longevity

Figure 7A. Rip current cell circulation (from PETHICK, 1984).

Figure 7B. Air photo looking south along Island Beach reveals circulation cells approximately 500 m wide.

of the beach fill, three longshore current stations were established and Littoral Environmental Observations (LEO) (SCHNEIDER, 1981) were taken daily during several 2-3 month periods spanning 2 years. Results were based on 103 observations over

44 days in 1979 and 153 observations over 75 days in 1980. The results are summarized on Figure 6. and reveal a zone of current reversal 1.5-3 km south of the inlet. This local nodal zone is predicted by the inlet-wave refraction model of HAYES (1979).

44 day s in 1979 and 153 observations over75 day's

Figure 8. Landsat photograph of the New Jersey coast showing the probable location of current reversals (associated with wave refractions around inlet shoals) superimposed on the regional longshore current pattern. Rip-current circulation cells would be too small to be visible.

In order to determine whether the current reversal south of Barnegat Inlet represented the regional nodal zone or a local circulation cell (produced by inlet shoal wave refraction), we used the results from BUTEUX's (1982) regional study and correlated simultaneous measurements. On 6 days that Buteux measured southerly currents at all his sites, northerly currents were measured in the vicinity of the inlet. Thus, it appears that the Barnegat Inlet flow reversal was a local phenomenon (wave-refraction circulation cell) superimposed upon the regional current pattern. **Rip** Current Circulation Cells

In order to determine whether the current reverse whether the current reverse \sim

Rip Current Circulation Cells

The development of evenly spaced circulation cells in the littoral zone is common and a well documented phenomenon (BOWEN and INMAN 1969, KOMAR and INMAN 1970, MAY and TANNER 1973, STAPOR and MAY 1983). The cells develop from slow onshore mass transport which is transformed into longshore currents shoreward of the breakers (Figure $7A$). Shore parallel flow is then returned by narrow streams (rip currents) moving offshore. It has been suggested that the presence of edge waves provides the necessary along shore variation in wave height to drive the circulation (PETHICK, 1984). The presence of small-scale $($ 1 km) circulation cells has been observed along portions of the New Jersey coastline which have no engineering structures (Figure 7B). No detailed. studies on these features have been published.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Although the cause of the regional nodal zone was not the focus of this study, results of analyses carried out by others under a variety of time scales indicates multiple causes. Seasonal variation in wave approach, large-scale wave refraction and residual drift of ocean currents on the shelf probably all interact and play a part in the persistent current pattern common to New Jersey and other coastal compartments in the Mid-Atlantic Bight. All investigations of the regional and long term longshore current pattern of New Jersey have interpreted a current bifurcation zone of flow reversal. however the designated position of that zone varies over a distance of 100 km of the 200 km ocean coastline. It appears that timing (when and for how long the currents were studied) has a significant affect on the results, *i.e.* the interpretation of the nodal zone position. The study with the shortest data base (1 year), BUTEUX (1982) (dashed line Figure 3) shows the longest stretch of shoreline (100 km) over which the nodal zone migrates. The study with the longest data base (3 years) is BUMPUS. (1965) and his nodal zone is the shortest (20 km) . This indicates that on the short term the regional longshore current pattern is quite variable however long term averages smooth out the variability. An overall average of the studies, places the zone of bifurcation in the vicinity of the communities of Mantoloking and Lavallette. Because the regional nodal zone is a long term average of both northward and southward moving currents the coastline in the nodal zone area is not expected to experience a negative sediment budget (erosion).

Superimposed on this regional state-long current pattern are smaller-scaled current reversals (Figure 8): (1) relatively permanent reversals (longshore current circulation cells) associated with wave refraction around ebb tidal deltas, such as Barnegat Inlet and which are estimated to be a few kilometers wide, and (2) small circulation cells likely related to rip currents and probably are on the order of 1 km wide.

Both of these smaller-scale current reversals that are likely to be fixed geographically are expected to show negative sediment budgets $(i.e.$ be sites of erosion) as currents are moved consistently away from the sites. DOLAN (1971) examining North Carolina barriers, KOMAR (1971), the Oregon coast, and WRIGHT and SHORT (1982), the Australian coast have all noted an association between accentuated erosion and the location of rip-current cells.

In conclusion, shore protection plans utilizing non-structural methods should be designed to accommodate this highly variable (locally and short time scales) yet quite persistent (regionally and long time scales) pattern of coastal currents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many thanks to Raymond D. Buteux for drafting assistance. Special thanks go to Dr. Stewart Farrell of Stockton State College for his participation in previous studies and his students, Paul Burt and Sandra Mosher, who conducted the L.E.O. studies under his supervision.

ASHLEY, G.M.; HALSEY, S.D., and FARRELL, S.C ..

ASHLEY, G.M.; HALSEY, S.D., and FARRELL, S.C., 1980. Evaluation of the suitability of Barnegat Inlet dredge spoil as beach nourishment for the northern end α *A* Long Beach Island, New Jersey. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, 12, 22.

- ASHLEY, G.M.; HALSEY, S.D., and FARRELL, S.C., 1981. Growth and modification of an ebb tidal delta sand body in response to changes in sediment supply and hydrographic regime. *Geological Society ofAmerica Abstracts with Programs,* 13, 121.
- BOWEN, A.J. and INMAN, D.L., 1969. Rip Currents 2, Laboratory and field observation. *Journal of Geophysical Research,* 74,5479-90.
- BUMPUS, D.F., 1965. Residual drift along the bottom on the continental shelf in the Middle Atlantic shelf area. Limnology and Oceanography, Suppl. 3, 48-53.
- BUTEUX, C.B., 1982. Variations in magnitude and direction of longshore currents along the central New Jersey coast. M.S. thesis, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, 132p.
- CALDWELL, J.M. 1966. Coastal processes and beach erosion. *Journal Soceety Civil Engineering,* 53, 142-157.
- COOK, G.H., 1868. *Geology ofNew Jersey.* Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Geological Survey, 900p.
- COOK, G.S., 1882. *Annual Report ofthe State Geologist for the year* 1882. Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Geological Survey, 30p.
- DOLAN, R, 1971. Coastal landforms: crescentic and rhythmic. *Geological Society of America Bulletin,* 82, 177-180.
- EVERTS, C., 1975. *Sediment budget; Great Egg Harbor to Townsend Inlet; New Jersey.* Report for Philadelphia District (Corps of Engineers) 91 p.
- FIELDS, L.M., 1984. Physical processes and sedimentation in the intrajetty area, Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey. Unpublished M.S. thesis, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, 156p.
- FISHER, J.J., 1967. Origin of barrier island chain shorelines: Middle Atlantic States. *Geological Society of America,* Special Paper 115, 66-67.
- FISHER, J.J., 1979. Regional geomorphology and sedimentation, Outer Cape Cod. *In'* S.P. Leathernam, (ed.), *Environmental Geologic Guide to Cape Cod National Seashore.* Field Trip Guidebook, Eastern Section S.E. P.M.,55-67.
- FITZ GERALD, D.M., 1981, Patterns of shoreline change along Atlantic City Beach caused by Absecon Inlet processes. *Tech. Rept: no.* 5, Coastal Environmental Research Group, Boston Univ., Dept. of Geology, 60p.
- FITZGERALD, D.M., 1982. Depositional processes along Ocean City, N.J. *Tech. Rept. no.* 7, Coastal Environmental Research Group, Boston University, Dept. of Geology,55p.
- HALSEY, S.D., 1968. Long-term and short-term changes of the Beach Haven and Little Egg Inlets, New Jersey. B.A. thesis, Univ. of Massachusetts (Amherst), 79p.
- HALSEY, S.D.; ASHLEY, G.M., and FARRELL, S.C., 1981. Post-beach nourishment sediment disposal patterns: northern Long Beach Island, New Jersey. *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs,* 13, 136.
- HALSEY, S.D.; ASHLEY, G.M., and BUTEUX, C.B., 1982. Reevaluation of the longshore current nodal zone concept: central New ,Jersey coast. 18*th International Conference on Coastal Engineering (American Society of Civil Engineers*), Cape Town, South Africa, 437.
- HAYES, M.O., 1979. Barrier island morphology as a function of tidal and wave regime. *In:* S.P. Leatherman, (ed), *Barrier Islands from the Gulf of St. Lawrence to the Gulf of Mexico.* New York: Academic Press, 1-27.
- HAUPT, L.M., 1906. Changes along the New Jersey coast. *In*: Annual Report of the State Geologist for 1905. Trenton, New Jersey Geological Survey, 25-93.
- ,JOHNSON, D.W., 1956. Dynamics of nearshore sediment movement. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin,* 40,2211-2232.
- ,JOHNSON, D.W., 1957. The littoral drift problem at shoreline harbors. *Journal Water and Harbors Division, American Association of Civil Engineers,* 83(WWl), paper 1211.
- JOHNSON, D.W., 1965. *Shore processes and shoreline development* New York: Hafner 584p.
- KOMAR, RD., 1971. Nearshore cell circulation and the formation of giant cusps. *Geological Society of America Bulletin,* 82, 2643-2650.
- KOMAR, RD. and INMAN, D.L., 1970. Longshore sand transport on beaches. *Journal of Geophysical Research,* 75,5914-27.
- KUMMEL, H.B., 1940. *The Geology of New Jersey.* Bulletin 50, Geolgy Series, Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Geological Survey, 203p.
- LEET, L.D. and JUDSON, S., 1965. *Physical Geology.* Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 406p.
- LEWIS, J.V. and KUMMEL, H.B., 1914. *The Geology of New Jersey.* Bulletin 50, Geology Series, Trenton, New Jersey: New Jersey Geological Survey.
- MAY, J.P. and TANNER, W.F., 1973. The littoral power gradient and shoreline changes. *In:* D.R Coates, (ed.), *Coastal Geomorphology.* Binghamton, New York: State University of New York, 43-60.
- MILLER, P.S., 1981. *Three centuries on Island Beach.* Toms River, New Jersey: Ocean County Historical Society, 46p.
- NEW ,JERSEY DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL PRO-TECTION, 1981. *Shore Protection Master Plan.* Trenton, New Jersey: Division of Coastal Resources, 150p.
- NORDSTROM, KF.; FISHER, S.F.; BURR, M.A.; FRANKEL, E.L.; BUCKALEW, T.C., and KUCMA, G.A., 1977. *Coastal Geomorphology of New Jersey* CCES Tech. Rept. 77-1, 130p.
- PETHICK, J., 1984. *An Introduction to Coastal* Geo*morphology.* London: Edward Arnold 260p.
- PILKEY, O.H., 1981. Geologists, engineers, and a rising sea level. *Northeastern Geology,* 3, 150-158.
- PSUTY, N., 1986. Shoreline structures of New Jersey and New York. *In'* H.J. Walter, (ed.), *Artificial Structures and the Shoreline.* International Geographical Union Commission on Coastal Environments Pub. (in press).
- SCHNEIDER, C., 1981. *The Littoral Environmental Observation* (L. *E.* 0.) *Data Collection Program.* CETA 81-5, U.S. Army, Coastal Engineering Research Center, $23p$.
- SORENSEN, R.M. and WEGGEL, J.R., 1985. Evaluation of beach behavior and coastal structure effect at Atlantic City, New Jersey. Fritz Engineering Lab. Report no. 200.85.811.1, 49p.
- STAPOR, F.W. and MAY, J.P., 1983. The cellular nature of littoral drift along the north- east Florida coast. *Marine Geology,* 51, 217-37.
- WALTON, T. and DEAN, R, 1976. The use of outer bars of inlets as sources of beach nourishment material. *Shore and Beach,* 44(2),13-19.
- WRIGHT, L.D. and SHORT, A.D., 1982. Dynamics of a high energy dissipative surf-zone. *Marine Geology, 45,* 41-62.

~.~.