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#### Abstract

Informal interviews were conducted with gladiolus growers in various farm-size categories to determine the kind and amount of production inputs necessary to produce flowers successfully for the cut-flower market. The purpose of the study was to analyze the most common production practices in order to estimate costs for the amounts of labor, machinery (both field and stationary) and materials required to perform each separate operation. Total production costs were calculated by summing the costs of the individual operations. All costs which could be quantified were included and the returns were handled as residuals.

The yearly labor flow was also tabulated to determine peak periods of labor usage and the number of workers required each month.


## Introduction

In June 1970 the research committee of the Florida Flower Association requested a follow-up study be made of Brooke's reports (1,2) on labor and material requirements for gladiolus. The present study was to determine the cost of labor, machinery, materials and facilities required to grow gladiolus in southwest Florida.

## Methods

In order to generate meaningful labor and machinery coefficients (e.g., hours per acre), a specific farm size (planted acreage) must be assumed and then an appropriate labor and machinery complement may be assigned. In this paper a "typical" farm of 325 acres, based on the approximate average gladiolus farm size in the 1969-70 crop year, was arbitrarily selected. Most producers of gladiolus in Florida operate farms which are either well above or below this acreage; however, most of the information on labor and machinery efficiency was obtained from larger growers. Therefore, the cash cost estimates fit most

[^0]of the efficient producers in Florida without regard to farm size.

Data were collected from gladiolus growers, research personnel of the Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations, industry representatives, the Soil Conservation Service and specialists with the Cooperative Extension Service. All prices paid for facilities, machinery and materials were based on present prices and reflect an amount of bargaining power which may be expected of a farmer in the farm size range who had greater than $\$ 500,000$ in gross receipts from gladiolus.

## Discussion

The initial investment in machinery, buildings and equipment, irrigation and drainage and investment in bulbs is shown in Table 1. Included in the field machinery category are 7 tractors, a large self propelled sprayer, 7 large trucks, 4 pickup trucks and 23 other implements. The stationary equipment includes: 1) the latest automated bulbcleaning machinery; 2) cold storage for 22,000 blub trays; and 3) flower packing equipment and other necessary machinery. The irrigation and drainage requirements are based on Soil Conservation Service specifications for southwest Florida's flatwoods soils. The investment in bulbs of $\$ 780$ per acre is based on planting 39,000 bulbs per acre.

Annual operating costs include repairs, maintenance and electricity. Field machinery operating costs are charged at an hourly rate based on expected life and proper maintenance of the equip-

| Item | New cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual fixed } \\ & \cos ^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Annuel operating cort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| Field machinery | 146,450 | 31,467 | charged hourly |
| Buildings \& stationary equipment | 297, 285 | 41,177 | 7,593 |
| Irrigation \& drainage | 61,736 | 11,596 | 7,665 |
|  | 253,500 | 20,939 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50,700 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hulbs } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 758,871 | 105,179 | 65,958 |
| Per acre cost | 2,335 | 324 | 203 |

[^1]Table 2.--Estimated preharvest, harvest and postharvest labor and machinery operating cost per acre of gladiolus in southwest Florida

| Item | Hours per acre |  | Cost per hour |  | Total cost per acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Machinery | Labor | Machinery | Labor | Machinery | Labor |
|  | No. | No. | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| Preharvest | 20.7 | 60.3 | 3.00 | 2.28 | 62.16 | 137.48 |
| Harvest | 9.0 | 152.0 | 2.87 | 2.27 | 25.83 | 345.04 |
| Pos tharvest | 16.5 | 60.0 | 3.10 | 2.38 | 51.15 | 142.80 |
| Total | 46.2 | 272.3 | -- | -- | 139.14 | 625.32 |

ment. Bulb stocks are replaced at the annual rate of 20 percent. As shown, the investment per acre is $\$ 2,335$ which, when amortized by Internal Revenue Service guidelines, amounts to an annual fixed cost of $\$ 324$ with an annual operating cost of $\$ 203$ per acre.

Labor and machinery coefficients and associated costs classified by seasonal operations are presented in Table 2. Not included in this table are the costs of materials and supplies. The preharvest costs
are for all operations from land preparation to spike cutting. Harvest includes moving the flowers from the field, through the packing house and placing the hamper on the dock at the local distribution point. The postharvest operations begin with the final two sprayings for disease control through digging and moving the bulbs into cold storage.

A fertilizing, spraying and dipping program for a "typical" farm is itemized in Table 3. The

Table 3.-Fertilization, spraying and dipping program for gladiolus in southwest Florida

| Item | Description of product used ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Times over | Unit | Quantity | Price per unit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ \text { per acre } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Do.llars | Dollars |
| Dolomite/lime Fertilizer | Dolomite, 1 ton/year <br> 2,200 1bs. 6-8-9, $25 \%$ organic, bagged, delivered at $\$ 59 /$ ton | 1 | ton | 1.0 | 9.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  | 22.0 | 2.95 | 64.00 |
| Nematicide | Dasinit, 10 lb . AI/A. | 1 | 1 b . | 10.0 | 3.15 | 31.50 |
| Herbicide | Lasso, 2 qts./ application | 2 | gal. | 1.0 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| Insecticides | Cygon, $11 / 2 \mathrm{pt} / .\mathrm{A} . / \mathrm{appl}$. | 4 | pt. | 6.0 | 1.98 | 11.88 |
|  | Lannate, $1 / 2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{A} . / \mathrm{appl}$. | 4 | 1 b . | 2.0 | 9.00 | 18.00 |
|  | Sevin, $2 \mathrm{lb} . / 50 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{A} . / \mathrm{app} 1$. | 6 | 1 b . | 12.0 | . 56 | 6.72 |
| Fungicide (flowers) | Manzate 200, $11 / 2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{A} . / \mathrm{app} 1$. | 14 | 1b. | 21.0 | . 86 | 18.06 |
| Spreader sticker <br> Fungicides (bulbs) <br> pre-store | 8 oz./100 gal. | 14 | qt. | 3.5 | . 75 | 2.63 |
|  | ```Benlate 50W, 1 1/2 lb./100 ga1. H2O, 1 wk. 397,800 bulbs in 450 gal. H2O, 12 acres``` | 1 | 1 b . | . 56 | 8.30 | 4.65 |
| pre-plant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dowicide } \mathrm{B}, 15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{planting} \\ & \text { in } 500 \mathrm{gal} . \mathrm{H}_{2} 0,468,000 \\ & \text { bulbs } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1b. | 1.25 | . 83 | 1.08 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$180.42 |

[^2]Table 4.--Estimated costs for overhead and supervisory labor needed for a "typical" 325 acre gladiolus farm in southwest Florida

| Job description | Main duties | Annual <br> salary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sacial } \\ & \text { Security } \end{aligned}$ | Workmen's compensation | Total annual compensation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| Assistant manager | Manage office, oversee bookkeeping, packing, sales | 14,000 | 405.60 | 98.80 | 14,504.40 |
| Secretary | Receptionist - typist sales | 5,200 | 270.40 | 98.80 | 5,569.20 |
| Secretary-dispatcher | Billing sheets - sales books | 5,200 | 270.40 | 98.80 | 5,569.20 |
| Field supervisor | Flower harvest, planting | 7,800 | 405.60 | 107.64 | 8,313.24 |
| Field supervisor | Bulb harvest, planting | 7,800 | 405.60 | 107.64 | 8,313.24 |
| Cold storage | Supervise cleaning storage | 7,800 | 405.60 | 107.64 | 8,313.24 |
| rigation foreman | Inrigate - help grow | 7,800 | 405.60 | 107.64 | 8,313.24 |
| Total |  | -- | -- | -- | 58,895.76 |
| General manager | Oversee entire operation sales | 17,000 | 405.60 | 107.64 | 17,513. 24 |

${ }^{\text {a Calculated }}$ at 5.2 percent up to $\$ 7,800$.
${ }^{b}$ calculated at 2.07 percent up to $\$ 5,200$ for outside employees and 1.9 percent for clerical personnel.
author acknowledges there are several ways that a program for accomplishing the operations noted may be carried out. The data presented in the table illustrates only one of these ways.

Determining the right number of overhead and/or supervisory employees needed to operate a 325 acre farm, because of the wide range of existing situations in Florida, is a most difficult task. However, based on a "typical" situation, the categories and job descriptions of the employees outlined in Table 4 tend to coincide with those on most gladiolus farms. The general manager's salary is not included in the totals presented in Table 3. The reason is that, if a management charge is assessed separately in the cost calculation format, one may take into consideration an owner-operator or a hired manager situation without having to recalculate to "break-out" a management charge.

The actual hourly wage rate an employer pays his workers is an important aspect of cost of production. Often a manager figures his wages per hour without taking into consideration fringe benefits or efficiency factors such as Social Security, workmen's compensation, vacation and down time due to unexpected repairs and inclement weather. These factors have been estimated under
southwest Florida conditions (Table 5). Gladiolus growers have generally concurred that the efficiency factor is 75 percent for year-round employees and 80 percent for hourly laborers. The higher efficiency for hourly workers is based on the fact that these workers are not put to doing "odd jobs" as year-round employees are.

Labor hours required per acre and for the total 325 acre "typical" farm are shown in Table 6. The labor coefficients per acre for skilled and unskilled workers will be applicable to most farms. To determine the number of workers for a different size operation one can multiply acreage by hours required to arrive at the approximate number. Skilled workers consist of tractor drivers, truck drivers and forklift operators. The unskilled workers are the field laborers used for cutting spikes, cleaning bulbs, packing flowers and miscellaneous duties. Argument may be avoided as to the classification of skilled or unskilled by realizing that most field and packing house workers are paid on "piece rates" and therefore a workman will earn according to his skill and productivity.

Table 7 contains the summary of the study or, more accurately, an enterprise budget for growing gladiolus. An enterprise budget is a listing of the

Table 5.--Estimated wages and work efficiency of hourly workers on gladiolus farms in southwest Florida

| Job | Hourly wage | Social Security | Workmen's compensation | Total hourly compensation | Efficiency factor | Total effective compensation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars |  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | - Percent | Dollars |
| Tractor driver | 2.00 | .104 | . 0414 | 2.1454 | 75 | 2.86 |
| Truck driver | 1.85 | . 0962 | . 0382 | 1.9844 | 75 | 2.65 |
| Hourly laborer | 1.65 | . 0858 | . 0341 | 1.7699 | 80 | 2.21 |

abased on an estimate of vacation, down time and time lost due to inclement weather.
expected annual costs and returns from a particular farm enterprise. This budget is developed in four main categories: 1) gross receipts, the product of yield times price; 2) cash expenses, the out-ofpocket costs that must be covered or paid for in a given production period; 3) other expenses (these expenses may be experienced by some growers and not by others; however, they are still costs which must be borne or paid) ; and 4) fixed expenses, which includes the depreciation and interest on capital investment that can be allocated to a specific enterprise.

The main objective in arranging a budget in this manner is to make it useful to all growers
regardless of farm size. If the budget has been constructed accurately, i.e., contains realistic coefficients, it can be utilized by a grower regardless of farm size. Depending on how a farm is organized, a grower can determine the applicability of the budget to his operation. The enterprise budget provides a useful format to the grower for changing any of the coefficients and inserting his own specific figures. For example, an owner-operator who does not rent land, borrow capital or hire management need only add his land taxes to his cash cost of 58 cents per dozen to arrive at his cash and other costs. However, a producer who has land rent, interest and management charges

Table 6.--Labor hours and man year equivalents, by months and type, required for a "typical" 325 acre gladiolus farm in southwest Florida

| Month | Hours per <br> acre | Total hours | Men <br> required | Hours per <br> acre | Total hours | Men <br> required |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 2.86 | 735 | 4 | 6.32 | 2,054 | 12 |
| August | 1.97 | 640 | 4 | 4.79 | 1,557 | 9 |
| September | 2.87 | 933 | 5 | 5.25 | 1,706 | 10 |
| October | 4.84 | 1,573 | 8 | 5.25 | 1,706 | 10 |
| November | 4.84 | 1,573 | 8 | 25.11 | 8,161 | 10 |
| December | 4.84 | 1,573 | 8 | 25.11 | 8,161 | 46 |
| January | 6.91 | 2,246 | 12 | 31.43 | 10,215 | 46 |
| February | 6.91 | 2,246 | 12 | 31.43 | 10,215 | 57 |
| March | 4.94 | 1,606 | 8 | 26.18 | 8,509 | 57 |
| April | 4.94 | 1,606 | 8 | 26.18 | 8,509 | 47 |
| May | 4.27 | 1,388 | 7 | 26.18 | 8,509 | 47 |
| June | 2.07 | 673 | 7 | 6.32 | 2,054 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |

[^3]Table 7.--Enterprise budget for gladiolus costs and returns on a "typical" 325 acre farm in southwest Florida

| Item | Description | Unit | Quan- <br> tity | Price <br> per unit | per acre | per doz. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Fixed expenses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machinery $\quad \$ 31,467{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 96.82 | . 04 |
| Buildings $41,177^{\text {a }}$ | 126.70 | . 05 |
| Irrigation 20,939 | 35.68 | . 01 |
| ```Interest on bulbs 39,000 bulbs/ acre @$20 M/``` |  |  |
| Total fixed expenses | 64.43 323.63 | . 1.13 |
| Total cash, other and fixed expenses | 1,938.00 | . 77 |
| Retum to ownership and risk | 143.25 | . 06 |


| a Data from Table 2. | CData from Table 3. | $e_{0.5}$ cent or less. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bData from Table 1. | data from Table 4. |  |

would have a total cash and other costs of 65 cents a dozen. In the former situation, the owner-operator who does not have funds tied up in land holdings will have a higher return to investment and ownership. Even though an input may be a cash expense to some growers and not others, it should be paid a return.

The fixed cost figure of 13 cents per dozen varies more between farms than other cost items. The annual charge for fixed costs is closely related to the value of physical facilities and sizes of operation. A more efficient operator may very well have costs of only half this amount. In any event, a 325 acre farm going into the gladiolus business today may expect cash costs to be 58 cents a dozen and fixed and other costs to be 20 cents a dozen, thereby making for a total cost of approximately 77 cents per dozen. With current prices, relatively good management and weather conditions which result in a 2,500 dozen per acre yield, a net return of 6 cents per dozen may be expected.

## Summary

To enter into the production of gladiolus on a scale of the "average" grower in Florida, an initial investment of approximately $\$ 759,000$ is necessary. Furthermore, to operate such a farm for the first year a credit line of at least $\$ 490,000$ will be required. The required investment of $\$ 2,335$ and
cash costs of at least $\$ 1,500$ per acre to realize a net return of $\$ 143$ help explain why the number of gladiolus growers in Florida is declining.
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# SHOOT-TIP CULTURE OF GLADIOLUS: AN EVALUATION OF NUTRIENT MEDIA FOR CALLUS TISSUE DEVELOPMENT 

G. J. Wilfreft<br>IFAS Agricultural Research and Education Center. Bradenton

## Abstract

Explants from vegetative shoots of gladiolus (G. grandiflorus) 'Spic \& Span' were cultured in vitro on 8 nutrient media, both liquid and solid. Liquid cultures were continuously agitated on a rotary shaker at 140 rpm . Shoot-tips grown on solid media either formed single plants (Hilde-

[^4] No. 4139.
brandt medium), multiple plantlets (Murashige \& Skoog medium), multiple plantlets and callus (Ziv, Halevy \& Shilo medium), or failed to develop (White medium). Explants in liquid Ziv, Halevy \& Shilo, or Murashige \& Skoog, or Linsmaier \& Skoog media developed callus within $4-5$ weeks. Explants in the 5 remaining liquid nutrient media showed limited root and shoot growth but no callus development.

## Introduction

Within the past twenty years plant tissue culture has made possible important advances in the fields of genetics, physiology, and pathology. It
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[^1]:    $a_{\text {Annual }}$ fixed cost includes atraight ine deprectation, interest on gverage inveatment calculated at 8.26 percent and taxes and insurance at 1 percent of new cost on equipment and 2 percent on buildings.
    $B_{\text {Bulbs are charged an opportunity interest rate of } 8.26 \text { percent. }} 8$.
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The use of trade names is for clarification purposes and does not constitute an endorsement of any product.

[^3]:    $a_{\text {Based }}$ on 200 man hours worked per month.
    b Based on 180 man hours worked per month.
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