Table 7. Projected income statement for first and fifth year of a bedding plant operation, small Florida nursery, 1977.

| Item | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First Year | Fifth Year |
|  |  |  |
| Income: |  |  |
| Plant Sales | 13,232 | 13,232 |
| Expenses: |  |  |
| Cell paks | 1,036 | 1,036 |
| Open flats | 1,626 | 1,626 |
| Pre-mix | 260 | 260 |
| Seeds | 1,194 | 1,194 |
| Tags | 746 | 746 |
| Growth regulator | 90 | 90 |
| Insecticides | 50 | 50 |
| Hired labor | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| Fuel | 450 | 450 |
| Electricity | 600 | 600 |
| Taxes, licenses, bonds | 166 | 166 |
| Insurance | 250 | 250 |
| Repairs | 450 | 450 |
| Depreciation | 1,236 | 1,236 |
| Total Expenses | 9,354 | 9,354 |
| Net Returns | 3,878 | 3,878 |
| Less interest paid | 636 | 0 |
| Net Income | 3,242 | 3,878 |
| Less note payment | 3,000 | 0 |
| Retained income | 242 | 3,878 |

recovered in year three with a 5.7 percent or lower discount rate. The net cash flow to the owner could be $\$ 1,480$ annually for the first four years, then increase to $\$ 5,116$ for the six months operation during year five. The net worth could increase from $\$ 7,242$ at the end of the first year of the project to $\$ 20,846$ at the end of the fifth year, assuming no owner salary was withdrawn from the business during this time.

This could be a suitable investment for someone with land and time on their hands, and who is able to forego an income stream for the first four years. Otherwise, it would not be recommended that this venture be undertaken on the scale investigated in this study. On a larger scale, a healthy return could perhaps be generated. However,

Table 8. Projected statement of financial position, small Florida bedding plant nursery, 1977.

| Balance Sheet Item | End of First Year |  | End of Fifth Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | 6,285 |  | 15,833z |  |
| 'Total Current Assets |  | 6,285 |  | 15,833 |
| Fixed Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Book value of fixed assets | 11,193 |  | 11,193 |  |
| Less-Accumulated deprec. Total Fixed Assets | 1,236 | 9,957 | 6,180 | 5,013 |
| Total Assets |  | \$16,242 |  | \$20,846 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes payable | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Total Liabilities |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Long Term Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable | 9,000 |  | 0 |  |
| Total Liabilities |  | \$9,000 |  | \$ 0 |
| Net Worth |  |  |  |  |
| Total Net Worth |  | 7,242 |  | 20,846 |
| Total Liabilities \& Net Worth |  | \$16,242 |  | \$20,846 |

${ }^{2}$ Sum of total cash difference Table 3, line 29 of 6,285 and annual cash flows for years 2 through 5 from Table 4.
different production techniques, hence capital requirements and operating expenses mean alternative size operations would need to be evaluated in the same manner as the operation evaluated in this report before a decision was made.
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## PIXIOLA: A NEW CUT FLOWER FOR FLORIDA ${ }^{1}$
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Abstract. Thirty-eight Pixiola (small-flowered gladiolus) cultivars were evaluafed for their flower and corm productivity in Florida. Criteria (i.e. spike length, flower size, etc.) were established to define a Pixiola for use as a new cut-flower crop for Florida production. Spike length among

[^0]cultivars ranged from 31.6 inches ('Monkey Face') to 46.1 inches ('Jackpot'). These 2 cultivars also produced the extreme rachis (flowerhead) lengths of 11.9 and 20.3 inches, respectively. Only 17 cultivars had flowers with a maximum diameter of 3 inches, the limit established for a Pixiola. 'Gallery' produced the most spikes per corm planted but 'Cheerleader' produced the greatest number of marketable spikes. Corm yields were proportional to number of flower spikes produced.

Gladiolus (G. hortulanus) have been developed through interspecific hybridization over the past 173 years into one of the major cut flowers grown in the United States (4). Over 148 million gladiolus spikes were sold in the U.S. in 1978, with a wholesale value of 16.2 million dollars (9). Historically, most breeders selected gladiolus plants with
the tallest spikes and largest flowers to exhibit and sell. These gladiolus cultivars were accepted readily by the conumer. But, by the nature of their 3-5 foot spikes with 4-6 inch florets, gladiolus were used primarily in large arrangements for weddings and funerals. Unfortunately, they have become known as "funeral flowers." Since the number of large funerals has decreased, the use and popularity of gladiolus have declined steadily.

During the gladiolus hybridization boom of the 1930 's, a few breeders felt a need to retain the smallness of gladiolus flowers for use in small arrangements or landscape plantings. They crossed G. natalensis (misidentified as G. primulinus) and $G$. psittacinus with the larger-flowered cultivars to produce plants with slender graceful spikes and small flowers (7). In 1945 the first ruffled small-flowered gladiolus ('Crinklette') was released and a new race of gladiolus called "Rufmins" developed. Many of these are the forefathers of the miniature gladiolus available today. Consumers generally are unaware that the miniature or small-flowered gladiolus exist since they are available in small quantities only during late spring and summer. Prior to 1977 few were grown in Florida, which provides most of the gladiolus flowers available in the U.S. in the fall, winter, and early spring.

Since miniature gladiolus have a use separate from the large-flowered type, they should not compete with one another in sales. They should complement each other (5), just as miniature and standard carnations, hybrid tea and sweetheart roses, and standard and pompon chrysanthemums. For promotional purposes and to prevent the possible misconception that the small-flowered gladiolus were culls or poorly grown large-flowered types, the Commercial Growers Division (CGD) of the North American Gladiolus Council (NAGC) initiated a contest in 1977 to find a new name for miniature gladiolus (1). "Pixiola" was the name selected by the CGD judging committee in 1978 and industry was encouraged to use this name in all promotions of miniature gladiolus (2).

Although a name was given to the small-flowered gladiolus, no standards were set to define a Pixiola. It was agreed that it should not be a large-flower type grown from a small corm or just a small spike from a poorly grown plant. It should be a type distinct in itself. For a commercial cut flower grown in Florida, the author suggests that a Pixiola should meet 6 criteria:

1. The spikes should be cut an overall length of 28 inches.
2. The rachis (flowerhead) length should be between 12 and 16 inches.
3. The flower number should be between 12 and 16 .
4. The flower size should be no greater than 3 inches in cliameter.
5. The spike should be produced from a 1 -inch diameter (Grade \#3) corm.
6. The flowers should open when cut in the "tight-bud" stage ( 0.25 inch of color visible in the basal flower).
The purpose of this study was to evaluate some of the small-flowered gladiolus cultivars which are available commercially and to compare them with the criteria established for the Pixiola.

## Materials and Methods

Corms of 38 Pixiola cultivars (Table 1) obtained from commercial propagators in Minnesota and New Jersey were stored for 3 weeks at $38^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 60 percent relative humidity at Bradenton. One day prior to planting, the 1 inch diameter corms were removed from cold storage, immersed in an agitated solution ( $75 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) of benomyl
(0.83 lb ai $/ 100 \mathrm{gal}$ ) and chlorothalonil ( 0.75 lb ai/ 100 gal ) for 15 minutes and allowed to drain overnight. Dolomitic limestone was broadcast at $1000 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre prior to rotovating the Myakka fine sand to obtain an initial pH of 6.2. Ground beds were prepared on 4.5 foot centers and a 6 -inch wide furrow was cut down the center of each bed. Corms were planted at 6 per foot in 2 staggered rows in the furrow on March 5, 1979. Beds were side-dressed with 6-6-6 (30\% organic) fertilizer, covered to a depth of 5 inches above the corms, and treated with alachlor ( 1.5 lb ai/acre) for weed control. During the growing season the plants were side-dressed with 6-6-6 three additional times for a total of $180 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} /$ acre. A preventive disease and insect spray program was used twice weekly. Watering was by open ditch seep irrigation. The experimental design was a randomized block of 3 replications, with 8 corms/plot.

Flower spikes were measured at the "tight-bud" stage of development ( 0.25 inch of color visible in the basal floret) and data were recorded daily. Specific flower characteristics measured were date of flowering, total spike length (from ground level to rachis terminal), rachis (flowerhead) length, number of florets, and diameter of basal floret when fully open. All corms were dug, cleaned, counted, and weighed and cormels were saved and weighed. A marketable spike was established by subtracting 4 inches from the total spike length (simulating field cutting and final trimming) and then determining if the spike met 3 criteria: 1) Total length at least 28 inches, 2) Rachis at least 12 inches, 3) Floret number at least 12. Significant differences among cultivar means for each of the parameters measured were determined by Tukey's original honest significant difference (HSD) test.

## Results and Discussion

A full range of colors from white to dark lavender was exhibited by the cultivars evaluated (Table 1). Mean number of days to flower ranged from 70 ('Coral Seas' and 'Fraulein') to 94 ('Baby Bunting'), with the majority of the cultivars averaging 73 to 79 days. In general, flowering within any one cultivar was concentrated over a 10-14 day interval. Exceptions to this were plants which showed symptoms of Fusarium (F. oxysporum f. sp. gladioli Snyd. \& Hans. and other Fusarium species (6) and viruses (Cucumber and Bean Yellow Mosaic (3)). These plants had delayed flowering and produced short, malformed flower spikes.

Spike length was variable among the cultivars and ranged from 31.6 to 46.1 inches, represented by 'Monkey Face' and 'Jackpot,' respectively. Although a minimum field height should be 32 inches to be able to market a 28 -inch spike (the 4 inches are lost to cutting and trimming the spike), a maximum height is more difficult to define. If only the spike, devoid of leaves, were marketed, then no maximum height need be established. Traditionally, gladiolus spikes are sold with 2-3 leaves subtending the base of the flower spike and the consumer expects these leaves. The maximum height then must be that which will yield a 28 inch spike and still include 2-3 leaves. Depending somewhat upon the cultivar, this maximum field height was observed to be about 40 inches. Five of the 38 cultivars evaluated were taller than this maximum field height.

The cultivars ranged in rachis length from 11.9 inches ('Monkey Face') to 20.9 inches ('Jack pot'). The rachis length should be in balance with the overall spike length but its proportionate length may not be the same as for the largeflower gladiolus which are used in big arrangements and need a long stem. In a "fancy grade" of the large-flower gladiolus, between 40 and 45 percent of the overall spike

Table 1. Inflorescence characteristics of 38 Pixiola cultivars grown at Bradenton, Spring 1979.

| Cultivar | Color | NAGC classif. number | Days <br> to <br> flowerz | Spike length (in.) | Rachis length (in.) | Number florets | Floret diam. (in.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baby Bunting | Light yellow | 212 | 94 | 36.4 | 13.9 | 16.8 | 3.1 |
| Bit O'Honey | Light peach | 320 | 82 | 37.5 | 16.1 | 13.6 | 3.2 |
| Bonfire | Orange scarlet | 354 | 71 | 42.7 | 17.3 | 15.7 | 3.4 |
| Bravo | Medium red | 353 | 85 | 37.9 | 15.2 | 16.2 | 3.3 |
| Break O'Dawn | White w/gold CTy | 301 | 78 | 41.0 | 17.1 | 12.6 | 3.5 |
| Bugs | Orange and yellow | 225 | 76 | 36.8 | 15.0 | 14.2 | 3.5 |
| Cheerleader | Yellow w/red CMy | 215 | 73 | 39.1 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 2.7 |
| Confetti | Lavender w/violet CM | 375 | 79 | 33.0 | 16.2 | 13.8 | 3.6 |
| Coral Seas | Coral w/orange CM | 327 | 70 | 35.4 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 3.8 |
| Cupcake | Apricot w/yellow CT | 233 | 75 | 32.8 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 3.0 |
| Foxfire | Orange scarlet | 226 | 75 | 36.3 | 13.5 | 12.4 | 2.9 |
| Fraulein | Light yellow | 212 | 70 | 40.0 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 3.2 |
| Funny Face | Rose w/dk rose CM | 265 | 81 | 40.2 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 3.1 |
| Gallery | Pink w/rose CM | 343 | 76 | 33.9 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 4.0 |
| Geminese | Lavender w/white CT | 374 | 79 | 33.0 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 3.3 |
| Green and Gold | Orange w/green CT | 225 | 75 | 36.5 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 2.5 |
| Greenbriar | Yellow-green | 304 | 71 | 35.7 | 15.6 | 14.4 | 3.8 |
| Hummingbird | Lavender w/white CT | 275 | 73 | 32.6 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 2.9 |
| Irish Lace | Light green | 204 | 77 | 32.6 | 14.7 | 17.8 | 3.0 |
| Jackpot | Orange w/ yellow CT | 233 | 79 | 46.1 | 20.3 | 18.7 | 2.9 |
| Junior Miss | Pink w/white CT | 340 | 85 | 36.0 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 3.3 |
| Lavender Petunia II | Lavender w/white CT | 276 | 73 | 33.7 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 3.2 |
| Little Jewel | Medium red | 255 | 72 | 34.3 | 14.2 | 12.8 | 3.1 |
| Littie Sweetheart | Rose-red | 264 | 77 | 35.9 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 2.4 |
| Little Tiger | Orange w/dark CM | 399 | 72 | 38.5 | 14.7 | 9.5 | 3.1 |
| Littlest Angel | White w/yellow CT | 201 | 77 | 37.6 | 16.5 | 13.0 | 3.4 |
| Majorette | Salmon w/yellow CT | 235 | 73 | 44.6 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 2.7 |
| Monkey Face | Brown w/yellow CT | 397 | 78 | 31.6 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 2.8 |
| Nugget | Medium yellow | 216 | 93 | 36.7 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 3.0 |
| Oriental Ruby | Yellow w/rose CM | 205 | 84 | 39.1 | 16.6 | 11.5 | 2.5 |
| Perky | Apricot w/yellow CT | 220 | 77 | 32.2 | 13.3 | 13.5 | 3.0 |
| Pinkic | ink w/white CT | 243 | 75 | 34.0 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 3.2 |
| Red Bantam | Light red | 252 | 72 | 34.9 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 2.5 |
| Skipper | Orange w/dark CM | 225 | 78 | 35.8 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 2.9 |
| Spring Green | Light green | 204 | 72 | 36.2 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 3.1 |
| Titmouse | Medium salmon | 236 | 78 | 33.8 | 15.4 | 17.7 | 2.5 |
| Welcome | Pink w/cream CT | 245 | 76 | 39.6 | 17.3 | 16.4 | 2.9 |
| Zing | Yellow w/red CM | 215 | 71 | 31.7 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 2.7 |
| Tukey's HSD, 5\% level |  |  | 7.2 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.9 |

${ }^{2}$ Mean of 3 replications with eight 1 -inch diameter corms planted per replication.
$\mathrm{yCM}=$ Conspicuous Mark, which designates a lip mark or blotch; $\mathrm{CT}=$ Contrasting Throat color or floret.
length is rachis $(8,10)$. Since the Pixiola is to be used in small arrangements, between 45 and 60 percent of the 28 inch spike should be flowers. The minimum length (12 inches) was achieved by all the cultivars except 'Monkey Face' which measured only 11.9 inches. Many of the cultivars had a rachis greater than 60 percent ( 16 inches) of the trimmed stem. This was expected since most of these were bred and selected for exhibition flowers, where a long rachis and many flowers are preferred. Number of flowers was closely related to rachis length and only 4 of the cultivars averaged less than 12 flowers per spike (Table 1).

Flower diameter is one of the most important parameters that would limit the cultivar in being considered a Pixiola. One of the initial criteria established in the definition of a Pixiola was a flower size equal or less than 3 inches in diameter. Only 17 of the 38 cultivars met this requirement (Table 1). The smallest flowers were 2.4 inches in diameter ('Little Sweetheart') and the largest were 4.0 inches ('Gallery'). Once the flower surpasses 3 inches in diameter, it would be too large for the other flowers (i.e. Gypsophila, Gomphrena, Dianthus, etc.) used in small arrangements and would be competitive with the large-flower gladiolus in use and sales.

Flower spike production is indicative of the health of the corm and the number of spikes should be equivalent to or greater than the number of corms planted. Yields of flower spikes ranged from 1.7 ('Nugget') to 12.7 ('Gallery') per 8-corm plot (Table 2). 'Nugget' showed typical Fusar-
ium symptoms in the field and most of the corms produced plants only to the 2 to 3 leaf stage before they died. Corms of 'Gallery,' which was grown from corms which were slightly oversized but not in the next higher grade, had a tendency to produce multiple shoots, indicative of a weak dominance of the terminal vegetative bud. In this cultivar, flower development was extended over a 30 -day period, with many of the later maturing flower spikes short and not marketable. Only 16 of the cultivars produced a flower spike for every corm planted, which might suggest that corms of many cultivars contained latent disease-causing organisms which killed the plants prior to flowering.

Number of marketable flowers produced is vital for the commercial cut-flower grower. Means of marketable flowers among cultivars ranged from 0.3 to 11.3 , with the extremes represented by 'Little Tiger' and 'Cheerleader,' respectively. Although 'Little Tiger' produced almost 1 spike per corm planted, the low floral bud count was unacceptable. Ten of the cultivars produced a minimum of 1 marketable spike per corm planted.

Corm yields, by number and weight, were proportional to number of flower spikes produced. Corms were not discarded unless they showed extreme disease symptoms, such as vascular rotting. Cormel yields are of vital importance to the corm propagator. Since 1 -inch diameter corms can be produced from cormels in 1 season's growth, the more cormels harvested, the greater the potential corm stock the following year. Cormel yields ranged from 0.2 ounces ('Con-

Table 2. Spike, corm, and cormel yields of 38 Pixiola cultivars grown at Bradenton, Spring 1979.

| Cultivar | Total flower spikes ${ }^{2}$ | Marketable flower spikes ${ }^{y}$ | Corms dug |  | Weight cormels dug (oz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Weight (oz) |  |
| Baby Bunting | 7.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 1.9 |
| Bit O'Honey | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 10.9 | 1.5 |
| Bonfire | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 1.4 |
| Bravo | 7.0 | 7.0 | 8.7 | 5.5 | 2.7 |
| Break O'Dawn | 8.3 | 6.0 | 9.3 | 7.1 | 2.2 |
| Bugs | 7.3 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 2.4 |
| Cheerleader | 11.7 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 14.7 | 1.7 |
| Confetti | 5.3 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| Coral Seas | 6.3 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 0.4 |
| Cupcake | 8.3 | 5.7 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| Foxfire | 5.7 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 1.3 |
| Fraulein | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 0.5 |
| Funny Face | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 1.0 |
| Gallery | 12.7 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 2.0 |
| Geminese | 11.7 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 0.6 |
| Green and Gold | 7.7 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 0.7 |
| Greenbriar | 5.3 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| Hummingbird | 7.7 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| Irish Lace | 5.7 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 0.4 |
| Jackpot | 9.3 | 8.7 | 11.3 | 15.1 | 3.3 |
| Junior Miss | 7.3 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 0.8 |
| Lavender Petunia II | 7.0 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 0.7 |
| Little Jewel | 6.7 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 0.7 |
| Little Sweetheart | 10.0 | 7.7 | 11.3 | 8.6 | 0.5 |
| Little Tiger | 7.7 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 1.2 |
| Littlest Angel | 7.7 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 1.1 |
| Majorette | 10.7 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 3.1 |
| Monkey Face | 8.3 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 0.7 |
| Nugget | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Oriental Ruby | 6.3 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 0.3 |
| Perky | 6.3 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.9 |
| Pinkie | 8.3 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 1.3 |
| Red Bantam | 9.0 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 1.8 |
| Skipper | 10.3 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 8.3 | 1.1 |
| Spring Green | 7.7 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 4.5 |
| Titmouse | 8.0 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
| Welcome | 9.0 | 8.7 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 1.9 |
| Zing | 7.1 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 0.5 |
| Tukey's HSD, 5\% level | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 1.2 |

${ }^{2}$ Mean of 3 replications with eight 1 -inch diameter corms planted per replication.
$y$ Marketable spike defined as having at least 12 florets on a minimum 12 -inch rachis and a minimum overall length of 28 inches.
fetti' and 'Nugget') to $4-5$ ounces ('Spring Green') per 8 corm plot. Although cormel production is related to corm health, it is also a heritable characteristic (author's unpublished data).

It was apparent from this study that a wide variation in flower color, height, health, and reproductivity exists among small-flowered gladiolus cultivars. The cut-flower producer needs to be selective in what he grows, being cognizant of the criteria established for a Pixiola. Some of the cultivars evaluated which met these criteria were: 'Cheerleader,' 'Majorette,' 'Red Bantam,' 'Spring Green,' and 'Welcome.' Several other factors should be considered for the Pixiola as a Florida commercial cut flower:

1. It should flower within 80 days from planting.
2. Flowering should be concentrated over a 10 day period from a single planting.
3. Each corm should produce at least one marketable flower spike.
4. Each corm should regenerate an equal or greater size daughter corm.
5. Each corm should yield at least 0.25 ounces of cormels.
6. Individual flowers should be flat and not hooded.
7. Individual flowers should face forward (not up, to the side, or all around the stem).
8. Flowers should not be widely spaced on the rachis.
9. Corms, foliage, and flowers should be highly tolerant of insects and diseases.

Pixiola flowers offer Florida growers a new crop for the floricultural trade. These flowers can be useful not only to the retail florist for inclusion in small arrangements but also can be utilized in the mass marketing operations which are prevalent in the U. S. and Europe.
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