Efficacy of Copper Sanitizers in Subirrigation Tanks
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Algae growth in irrigation systems can increase equipment clogging and insect pest problems, increases the required application rate for sanitizing agents for controlling waterborne plant pathogens, decreases aesthetic quality on growing media surfaces of ornamental plants, and can be a worker safety hazard on floors. The objective was to quantify the efficacy of copper for inhibiting growth of algae-inoculated nutrient solutions. Transparent vessels (500 mL) contained a blend of subirrigation solution used in irrigation at a Florida nursery, 100 mg·L⁻¹ N from a water soluble fertilizer (17N–1.8P–14.1K), eight Cu concentrations from 0 to 8.0 mg·L⁻¹ from either Cu ionization via electrolysis or Cu(NO₃)₂, Fe at 1 mg·L⁻¹ from either FeEDTA or FeEDDHA, and deionized water, with pH correction to 6.0. After 16 days of incubation in a greenhouse environment, vessels containing 0 to 0.25 mg·L⁻¹ Cu from Cu(NO₃)₂ showed similar increases in chlorophyll and biomass, after which algae content decreased to near zero at 4 mg·L⁻¹ Cu. Yeast and mold density followed similar trends with a 99.9% drop at 2 mg·L⁻¹ and a near zero colony count at 4 mg·L⁻¹ Cu ionization was more effective at a given mg·L⁻¹ Cu than Cu(NO₃)₂ at controlling algae and fungi. Algae content was higher with FeEDDHA than FeEDTA at concentrations of Cu below 2 mg·L⁻¹. Aerobic bacteria count, which is an indicator of potential equipment clogging from biofilm, was resistant to all Cu levels and was above recommended levels for irrigation water, averaging 3.56 x 10⁶ colony forming units/mL. This study establishes a protocol for quantifying algal content that can be applied to test the effectiveness of other algacicides.

Cu(II) ions are widely used as algacides and fungicides in irrigation systems and other applications such as swimming pools as an alternative to chlorination. The mode of action for copper ions may result from activity at the cell or capsid protein surface or on the nucleic acid of cells or viruses (Thurman and Gerba, 1989). Historically, the more common form of copper delivery is as a salt (Chase and Conover, 1993), paired with an anion such as sulfate, which is low cost and widely available. However, more recently there has been an increasing trend towards copper applied by way of electrolysis of elemental copper (Wohanka and Fehres, 2007). Copper bars or plates are exposed to a solution containing sufficient ionic content to facilitate an electric exchange. Through a redox reaction, the neutral copper is stripped of two valence electrons (oxidized) and dissolves into the solution. The electrons reduce water molecules, creating two hydroxide ions and releasing hydrogen gas. Copper dosage rates have a linear relationship with electrical conductivity at a given electrical charge.

Manufacturers of copper ionization units claim that copper from electrolysis provides more effective control of algae than an equivalent concentration of copper salts. However, scientific data comparing copper forms are limited.

Other considerations regarding copper as an algacide are its interactions with various macro and micro nutrients, most notably the chelates used to keep Fe nutrients dissolved in solution (Toppe and Thinggaard, 1998). Because of the tendency of Fe at higher pH levels to form oxides and precipitate out of solution, chelating agents such as EDTA and EDDHA are used to create metal complexes that keep the Fe dissolved over a wider range of concentrations and pH levels (Bugter and Reichwein, 2007). A potential issue is that Cu(II) has a slightly higher affinity for both EDTA and EDDHA than that of Fe(II). If a substitution reaction occurs, Cu(II) may remain in solution as a copper chelate, but may potentially become less active as an algacide.

The purpose of this research was to test the efficacy of copper salt and copper ionization for control of algae, yeast, mold and aerobic bacteria based on measurements of chlorophyll, biomass and microbial density, in the presence of two different iron chelate types (Fe-EDTA and Fe-EDDHA).

Materials and Method

Recirculated irrigation water was obtained from a commercial greenhouse (Agristarts, Apopka, FL) subirrigation tank that contained irrigation return water applied previously to crops on greenhouse benches, to provide a source of algae and other microbes. The algae were grown further in an open tank in a greenhouse supplemented with water-soluble fertilizer (17N–1.8P–14.1K; Greencare 17–4–17, Kankakee, IL) with 100 mg·L⁻¹ N from a water-soluble fertilizer (17N–1.8P–14.1K), eight copper concentrations (0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 mg·L⁻¹) from either FeEDTA or FeEDDHA, and deionized water, with pH correction to 6.0. After 16 days of incubation in a greenhouse environment, vessels containing 0 to 0.25 mg·L⁻¹ Cu from Cu(NO₃)₂ showed similar increases in chlorophyll and biomass, after which algae content decreased to near zero at 4 mg·L⁻¹ Cu. Yeast and mold density followed similar trends with a 99.9% drop at 2 mg·L⁻¹ and a near zero colony count at 4 mg·L⁻¹ Cu ionization was more effective at a given mg·L⁻¹ Cu than Cu(NO₃)₂ at controlling algae and fungi. Algae content was higher with FeEDDHA than FeEDTA at concentrations of Cu below 2 mg·L⁻¹. Aerobic bacteria count, which is an indicator of potential equipment clogging from biofilm, was resistant to all Cu levels and was above recommended levels for irrigation water, averaging 3.56 × 10⁶ colony forming units/mL. This study establishes a protocol for quantifying algal content that can be applied to test the effectiveness of other algacicides.
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mg L⁻¹), two copper forms (ionization and CuNO₃, salt), along with two iron chelates (1 ppm of either Fe-EDTA or Fe-EDDHA) with pH correction to 6.0 in de-ionized water (Table 1). Transparent polypropylene vessels (946 mL; PhytoTechnology, Shawnee Mission, KS) contained a total of 500 mL (450 mL fertilizer/copper/iron-chelate solution and 50 mL algae inoculum). Polypropylene lids with a 1.5-cm hole that was covered with gas exchange tape were snapped on each vessel. A randomized complete-block design with three blocks was used. Each block started one week apart and terminated after 16 d for data collection. Each of three sequential weeks therefore represented a block, with one vessel per combination of copper form, copper concentration, and iron chelate form replicated on each week.

The experiment was conducted on a greenhouse bench from 7 Feb. to 9 Mar. 2011, at an average 21.1 ± 3.7 °C air temperature, 19.4 ± 2.7°C solution temperature, and 14.0 ± 3.7 mol m⁻³ d⁻¹ of PAR light (mean ± standard deviation). Free and total copper were measured at the beginning and end of the trial using a Thermo Scientific Orion AQUAfast IV® AQA4000 colorimeter with Hach® free copper (Cat. 21823-69) and total copper (Cat. 2118869) reagents. Solution pH and EC were measured using a Thermo Scientific Orion 5 Star Bench-top meter. At the end of the experiment, the solutions were filtered for chlorophyll and biomass determinations. For each treatment, a 50-mL sample of solution was filtered with a Whatman® 24-mm diameter 934-AH 1.5-µm fiber glass filter to collect algae that was then placed in 10 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide and heated to 65 °C for 40 min so as to extract the chlorophyll. The total chlorophyll concentration of resulting solution was determined using a UV-Vis Spectrophotometer setting to 645 and 663 nm. An additional 50-mL sample was filtered with a Whatman® 47-mm-diameter 934-AH 1.5-µm fiber glass filter and the filtrate was then oven-dried at 105 °C for 60 min for total biomass determination. Additionally, for each treatment, a 5-mL sample was collected in a sterile glass tube for determination of aerobic bacteria, and yeasts and molds, using 3M Petriflms (3M Center, St. Paul, MN) at dilutions of 100 to 106.

Data were subject to SAS ANOVA (SAS PROC GLM) with mean separation using Tukey’s HSD at α = 0.05 level, and factors including the block, and interaction effects of copper type, copper concentration, and iron chelate type.

**Results**

The measured initial total copper concentration matched closely with the target concentrations (Table 1). Except for the low copper concentration treatments, the final total copper concentrations...
Table 2. Statistical analysis results for algae chlorophyll, total biomass, yeast and mold, and aerobic bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Chlorophyll</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Yeast and mold</th>
<th>Aerobic bacteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper form</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelate form</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper form × chelate form</td>
<td>0.9014</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0534</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper concn</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper form × copper concn</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>0.4934</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelate form × copper concn</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.9632</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper form × chelate form × copper concn</td>
<td>0.2474</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.2592</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NS**, **,**, ***: Nonsignificant or P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

at day 16 tended to be lower than the corresponding initial total copper concentration. Final copper concentration measurements for the low level of copper treatments were slightly higher than the corresponding initial concentration, which may have resulted from a lower solution volume. Overall the final EC values in the end were similar to the initial EC value (0.96 mS∙cm⁻¹).

Algae growth was estimated by the amount of chlorophyll and total dry biomass. Both chlorophyll content and biomass were significantly influenced by copper forms, copper concentration and iron chelate forms (Table 2). Both forms of copper showed inhibitive effects on algae growth as indicated by chlorophyll (Fig. 1A) and biomass (Fig. 1B). However, copper from the ionization was more effective in inhibiting algae growth than copper nitrate salt, showing complete algae control at 2 and 4 mg∙L⁻¹ for copper ionization and CuNO₃, respectively (Fig. 1A). Averaged across copper concentrations, chlorophyll content for copper nitrate salt and copper ionization was 14.9 and 8.3 mg∙L⁻¹, respectively. The average biomass was 76.3 and 54.1 mg∙L⁻¹ for copper nitrate salt and copper ionization across copper concentrations, respectively.

Chelate forms influenced the efficacy of copper sanitizers. The average chlorophyll concentration for Fe-EDDHA solutions was 13.7 mg∙L⁻¹, compared with 9.5 mg∙L⁻¹ for Fe-EDTA solutions. For both forms of copper ionization and copper nitrate salt, vessels with Fe-EDDHA also showed a higher amount of chlorophyll compared with equivalent copper concentration with Fe-EDTA (Fig. 1A). The amount of biomass for each chelate type differed from the trend in chlorophyll, whereby Fe-EDTA solutions had higher biomass (71.5 mg/L) than Fe-EDDHA solutions (59 mg∙L⁻¹).

Algae growth was inhibited as increasing copper concentration for both copper forms in either EDTA or EDDHA solutions (Fig. 1A–B). The chlorophyll content was close to zero at 2 mg∙L⁻¹ total copper from copper ionization and at 4 mg∙L⁻¹ total copper from CuNO₃, salt, respectively (Fig. 1A). Biomass estimates followed similar trends with a drop of approximately 85% at 2 mg∙L⁻¹ of total copper concentration (Fig. 1B). With both chelate forms, solutions with the first two copper concentrations of 0.125 ppm and 0.250 ppm resulted in higher growth than the control for the samples dosed with copper nitrate, but resulted in an inhibition over the control for vessels dosed by copper ionization (Fig. 1A).

Yeast and mold were also inhibited as copper concentrations increased for both copper forms, and regardless of chelate solution (Fig. 2A). Yeast and mold content had a 99.9% drop at 2 mg∙L⁻¹ of total copper concentration and a near zero count at 4 mg∙L⁻¹ of total copper concentration. Copper sanitizers did not have any control of aerobic bacteria over the 0 to 8 mg∙L⁻¹ range (Table 2 and Fig. 2B). Aerobic bacteria count, which is an indicator of potential equipment clogging from biofilm, was very high, averaging 3.56 × 10⁶ colony forming units (CFU)/mL.

**Conclusions**

Overall, the study indicated that copper ionization provided more effective control of algae and fungi at a given concentration than copper nitrate. However, neither copper form controlled aerobic bacteria. Copper concentrations of 2 to 4 mg∙L⁻¹ provided effective algae control. Further testing is required to ensure that this copper concentration is not phytotoxic to crop plants. This study establishes a protocol for quantifying algal content in order to test the effectiveness of other algacides.
Fig. 2. Effect of copper form (ionization or nitrate salt) and concentration (0 to 8 mg/L) on density of (A) yeasts and molds and (B) aerobic bacteria with two different iron chelate forms (EDTA or EDDHA). Both yeast and mold count and aerobic bacteria count was in CFU (colony forming units) and the units were transformed to square root in the y-axis. Overall 95% CI for (A) was ±223.4 cfu/mL and (B) ±83.1 cfu/mL.
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