# CONTROL OF ANTHRACNOSE SCALE ROT ON LILIUM LONGIFLORUM THUNB. ('GEORGIA')<sup>2</sup>

## R. O. MAGIE<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

Anthracnose scale rot or black scale caused by *Colletotrichum lilii* makes lily bulbs unmarketable. Experiments carried through 1963-66 showed that Daconil 2787 applied to bulbs as a post-harvest dust or a pre-planting soak (30 minutes) was most effective in controlling the

1Plant Pathologist, Gulf Coast Experiment Station, Bradenton, Fla. 2Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations Journal Series No. 2530. disease and promoting good production of large, white bulbs. Phaltan was less effective than Daconil 2787 but better than captan dust and the standard ferbam-pcnb soak. The addition of Botran to Daconil 2787 improved bulb production.

## INTRODUCTION

The "black scale" disease incited by *Colletotrichum lilii* damages Easter lily bulbs grown in Florida and reduces their vigor. Since 1958, the disease has increased in severity, especially

- -

Table	1	Materials	and conc	ent	rations used	on E	aster	lily	bulbs in
		tests for	control	of	Colletotrichu	<u>m 1i</u>	<u>lii</u> so	cale	infection.

	Concentrat	Used alone		
Material	Dust(%) <sup>1</sup>	Dip	or with	
Puratized Agricultural Spray (PAS)		1 pt/100	Parathion	
Captan 50W	7.5, 12.5	4, 12 lb/100	Terraclor	
Phaltan (folpet) 50W	8.0	5, 12 1Ь/100	Botran	
Botran (dicloran) 50W	4, 8, 21	1.5, 6 1b/100	Daconil, Phaltan	
Daconil 2787, 75W Demosan 65W Actidione Cyclobeximide oxime	4, 7.5, 20	3, 8, 12 1b/100 4, 8 1b/100 5 ppm 25, 40 ppm	Botran,DMSO	
Fermate (ferham) 76W	7.5	5 16/100	Terraclor	
Terraclor (PCNB) 75 W Cyprex 65 W	7.5	5 1b/100 4 1b/100	Fermate	
Arasan (thiram) 75W	7.5			
Dexon-Terraclor	2.5 - 10.0			
Mycostatin	10.0			
Griseofulvin	5.0			
Parathion 4EC		1 pt/100	Dip fungicides	
DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide)		2,6%	Dip fungicides	
Pyrax (pyrophyllite talc diluent)			Dust fungicides	

<sup>1</sup>Percent active ingredients

on organic soils and peat-amended sands. Plakidas (3) in 1944 reported that the disease was serious in Louisiana after 1937 and named the fungus pathogen *C. lilii.* 

Soaking of bulbs in Puratized Agricultural Spray solution, recommended as a control measure in Louisiana by LeBeau and Reynolds (1), reduced flower and bulb production in Florida. The object of these trials was to improve on presently used methods of controlling scale rot. The results obtained during a 5 year period are herein reported.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

Pre-storage treatments. — Florida - grown 'Georgia' lily bulbs, 3-4 or 4-5 inches, were used in all experiments. Freshly harvested bulbs with roots removed were dusted with fungicides by tumbling the bulbs in closed paper bags with an excess of the dust mixture. Two cups of the mixture were used per bushel of bulbs. The bulbs were rolled from end to end of the bag six times. Bulbs were then packed in air-dry sawdust in plastic lined or wax-impregnated cardboard boxes and held at  $75^{\circ}$  F for nine weeks.

Pre-planting treatments were usually made by soaking the bulbs for 30 minutes or overnight in the chemical solutions. In-furrow drenches were tested to protect newly developing bulbs from soil-borne fungus infection. Drenches were applied at the rate of 2.5 gallons per 100 feet of furrow after bulbs were planted and before covering them. All plantings were made in late September or early October. Dip-treated bulbs were planted the day after treatment. Each experimental unit contained 50 bulbs per 17 feet of row and was replicated 4 times on a sandy muck soil.

The ability of some fungicides to eradicate the Colletotrichum fungus in bulb scales was tested by soaking diseased bulbs for 2-18 hours in fungicidal preparations. Infected scales were then removed and isolated aseptically on agar plates to determine whether the pathogen was eradicated.

Table 2.- Fungicides tested during 1961-63 on Easter lily bulbs for controlling infection by <u>Colletotrichum lilii</u>.

Treatment <sup>1</sup>	Results
PAS 1 pt/100 gal, 18 hour soak	Controls disease but reduces yields.
Captan, 7.5% dust at 4 cups/bu of bulbs <sup>2</sup>	Injures roots produced in storage; ineffective.
Demosan, one-half hour soak	Ineffective
Cycloheximide oxime, one-half hour soak	Ineffective
Fermate, one-half hour soak	Ineffective
Fermate-Terraclor dust, 7.5-7.5%	Ineffective and injurious
Terraclor, 5 1b/100 gal	Ineffective
Arasan dust, 7.5%	Ineffective
Dexon-Terraclor dust, 2.5-10%	Ineffective and injurious
Mycostatin dust, 10%	Ineffective
Griseofulvin dust, 5%	Ineffective

Dusts were applied to bulbs before packing and storing; soak treatments were made one day before planting the bulbs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Treatment applied to bulbs as they were packed in sawdust or peat after harvest.

The fungicides and other chemicals applied to bulbs during the course of the project are listed in Table 1. Ten experiments were conducted over the 5 year period and only the data from representative experiments are presented for illustrative purposes. Data were obtained on plant emergence when earliest plots were about 90% emerged. Data were also obtained on number and earliness of flower buds, number and size of bulbs harvested, and degree of bulb scale discoloration by disease.

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

1961-1963 tests .- The systemic and other fungicides tested before 1963 were ineffective against anthracnose scale rot or injurious to bulbs and to the roots initiated in storage (Table 2). An excess of captan dust injured roots produced in storage and was ineffective against the disease.

Isolations were then made from C. lilii infected bulbs which had been soaked 2-18 hours in chemical solutions. To obtain more systemic fungicidal action, dimethyl sulfoxide and acetone were added to the fungicides. Some of the infected scales from treated and untreated bulbs were removed and 15 scales from each treatment were isolated aseptically on ager plates to determine whether the pathogen was eradicated. The results of one test are shown in Table 3. All treatments were superior to control. Treatments containing Puratized Agricultural Spray. Daconil 2787, and cycloheximide oxime were most effective against C. lilii but only Daconil 2787 was non-toxic, allowing vigorous growth from the remaining scales.

Table 3. - Effect of certain fungicides in eradicating Colletotrichum lilii and other fungi in 4-5 inch Easter lily bulbs as shown by scales isolated on agar plates.

Fungicidal mixture used	Soak period	es	Vigor of growth from		
for bulb treatment	<u>(hrs)</u>	Co11.	Pen.	Fus.	scales <sup>2</sup>
Control, none		13	9	6	+
PAS, 1 pt/100 gallons PAS, 1 cup/100 gal + 2% DMSO	18	1	5 3	0	+
Daconil 2787, 3 1b/100 gal + DMSO 2% + acetone 1%	18	0	0	0	<del>+++</del>
Daconil 2787 (tech.), 1 1/2 lb/100	0 18	0	0	1	- <del> - -</del> ₽
Daconil 2787, 10 1b/100 gal	. 2	0	1	2	+++
Actidione 25 ppm + DMSO 2%	18	4	7	3	+
<pre>cycloheximide oxime 50 ppm + DMSO 27 + acetone 1%</pre>	18	1	6	3	++
Phaltan + Botran, 1 1/2 + 1 1/2/ 100 gal + DMSO 2% + acetone 1	18	3	5	0	<del></del>
Phaltan + Botran, 5 + 5/100 gal + DMSO 6% + acetone 3%	2	4	7	2	++

<sup>1</sup>Colletotrichum, Penicillium, and <u>Fusarium</u> fungi growing from 15 scales per treatment. <sup>2</sup>Scales used for planting test were not those used for fungus determinations

The more promising fungicides were tested as dust mixtures and applied to freshly harvested bulbs just before they were packed in sawdust. Nine weeks later, after storage at 75° F, captan and captan + Botran treated bulbs showed root retardation and injury but root growth on Phaltan + Botran and Daconil 2787dusted bulbs was normal. Daconcil 2787 treatments were superior to the other treatments in controlling the disease (Table 4). Phaltan + Botran was more effective than captan (dusted in bag) or captan + Botran. Bulb and flower production with Phaltan + Botran and the 4% Daconil 2787 dust treatments were as good or better than the production from other treatments.

1964-1965 tests.-To control infection from the soil-borne fungus, fungicidal drenches made in the open furrow over planted bulbs were tested in comparison with bulb dip treatments. The results of one test given in Table 5 indicate that drenching Daconil 2787 at .25 lb/2.5 gal/100 ft is promising in disease control but not as effective as soaking the bulbs in Daconil 2787 at 8 lb/100 gal. Daconil 2787 was the most effective treatment. In producing large bulbs Daconil 2787, cycloheximide oxime, Demosan, and captan were similarly effective.

dusting.-Results of a pre-Pre-storage storage dust experiment are given in Table 6. Daconil 2787 + Botran dusts were superior to Phaltan + Botran dust mixtures in flower and bulb production, and all treatments including Daconil 2787 alone were superior to no treatment. The Daconil 2787 plus Botran dusts were better in bulb and flower production than Daconil 2787 alone. Bulbs treated with Daconil 2787 produced whiter bulbs than those treated with Phaltan + Botran. The addition of Botran to Daconil 2787 did not affect bulb whiteness.

Storage temperature.—In the 1965 tests Botran dust treatments (Botran mixed with

Dust	No. of	Bulb production index <sup>2</sup>	Disease
formulation	flower buds <sup>1</sup>		index <sup>3</sup>
Control, none	2479 <sup>c</sup>	1996 <sup>cd</sup>	4.5 <sup>de</sup>
Captan 7.5% dusted over bulbs	2610 <sup>bc</sup>	1820 <sup>d</sup>	3.5 <sup>bc</sup>
as packed Captan 7.5% dusted on bulbs by shaking together in bag	2940 <sup>a</sup>	2112 <sup>bc</sup>	5.0 <sup>e</sup>
Captan 7% + Botran 21%	2740 <sup>abc</sup>	1856 <sup>d</sup>	4.0 <sup>cd</sup>
Phaltan 7% + Botran 21%	2982 <sup>a</sup>	2331 <sup>a</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>
Daconil 2787, 4%	2803 <sup>ab</sup>	2257 <sup>ab</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>
Daconil 2787, 20%	2584 <sup>bc</sup>	2278 <sup>ab</sup>	1.5 <sup>a</sup>

Table 4.- Effect of pre-storage dust treatment of Easter lily bulbs (4-5 inch) on control of Colletotrichum lilii.

<sup>1</sup>Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as determined by analysis of variance.

<sup>2</sup>Bulb production index is sum of products (X 0.1) obtained by multiplying the number of bulbs in each size class by the average bulb circumference (inches) of the class (bulbs smaller than 3 inches <sup>3</sup>Anthracnose scale rot symptoms rated 1 for slight (1 scale affected)

to 6 for severe (all outer scales affected).

Phaltan or Daconil 2787) discolored bulb scales at storage temperatures of  $75-80^{\circ}$  F. At temperatures of  $80-95^{\circ}$  bulbs were injured more severely, small bulbs being severely injured at the higher temperature. Botran dust alone was not tested.

Pre-planting dip treatment tests were made on 3-4 inch bulbs dusted with Phaltan + Botran after harvest. The pre-plant dips with Phaltan plus Botran, Daconil 2787, or Terraclor plus Fermate improved bulb production over the dip of Puratized Agricultural Spray (PAS) and control lot (Table 7). Bulbs dipped in Phaltan plus Botran also emerged earlier than PAS or control lots. The addition of DMSO and acetone to Daconil 2787 did not improve flower or bulb production.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Phaltan and Daconil 2787 alone or in combination with Botran or DMSO controlled *C. lilii* infection of lily scales without reducing flower and bulb production. Phaltan and Daconil 2787 were also outstanding in producing white bulbs whereas the bulbs in other treatments tended to be yellow. Daconil 2787-treated bulbs were whiter than those treated with Phaltan. Mc-Whorter (2) stated that there may be an association between the yellowing of bulbs and the presence of *Rhizoctonia* (*Corticium*) solani.

Since wetting of harvested bulbs by fungicidal dip treatment is detrimental as far as packaging and storing are concerned, fungicidal dusts are preferred for the pre-storage treatment which is made to control the rotting of

Table 5. Comparison of pre-planting bulb dip treatments and furrow drenches for controlling infection of Easter lily bulbs by <u>Colletotrichum lilii</u>.<sup>1</sup>

Treatment <sup>2</sup>	No. early flowers	No. large bulbs <sup>3</sup>	Disease index <sup>4</sup>
Check <sup>5</sup> Captan 12 1b/100, 30 min. soak Phaltan 12 1b/100, 30 min. soak Daconil 2787, 8 1b/100, 30 min. Demosan 8 1b/100, 30 min. soak Demosan 4 1b/100 DMSO 2%, 30 min. Cycloheximide oxime 40 ppm + DMSO 2%, 30 min. soak	2060 1857 1832 1878 2055 1803 1770	237 <sup>c</sup> 272 <sup>abc</sup> 252 <sup>bc</sup> 306 <sup>a</sup> 254 <sup>bc</sup> 294 <sup>ab</sup> 297 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>cd</sup> 3.50 <sup>c</sup> 1.75 <sup>b</sup> 1.00 <sup>a</sup> 4.50 <sup>d</sup> 3.75 <sup>cd</sup> 4.50 <sup>d</sup>
Demosan drench in furrow (30 1b/A) <sup>6</sup> Daconil 2787 drench in furrow (30 1b/A) <sup>6</sup>	5 1795 1850	275 <sup>abc</sup> 251 <sup>bc</sup>	4.50 <sup>d</sup> 2.00 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as determined by analysis of variance.
<sup>2</sup>All bulbs were dusted with 7.5% captan pre-storage.
<sup>3</sup>7-8 inch and larger
<sup>4</sup>Anthracnose scale rot symptoms rated 1 for slight (1 scale affected) to 6 for severe (all outer scales affected).
<sup>5</sup>Soaked 30 minutes in parathion EC4, 1 pint/100 gallons.
<sup>6</sup>12,000 feet of furrow/A.

packed bulbs by various fungi including *Rhizopus* spp. Further treatment of bulbs before planting by soaking them in Daconil 2787 or Phaltan dip preparations resulted in higher bulb quality and greater flower and bulb production.

Parathion was added to some of the fungicidal dips because of its significant growth stimulation reported by Overman (3). In some tests, however, emulsifiable parathion was incompatible with Daconil 2787, including root injury from the pre-planting treatment. Although the pathogen is carried in the soil as well as on bulbs, the bulb dip treatments were generally more effective than drenching fungicides over bulbs in the open furrow. However, the furrow drenches were promising and should be tested further.

## SUMMARY

Experimental results indicate that flower and bulb production and anthracnose control

Table	6	Production	n of	lily	bulbs	and	cor	ntrol	of	bro	own	scale	disea	se
		as affect	ed by	fun	gicidal	l dus	sts	app1:	ied	to	3-4	inch	bulbs	
		after har	vest,	196	5.									

No	. of	plants 11/18	No.	of fl buds	ower	Bulb	produ index	$\frac{1}{2}$
AI	BI	Sum <sup>3</sup>	Al	BI	Sum	Al	BI	Sum
42	42	84e	235	274	509 <sup>d</sup>	45	57	102 <sup>d</sup>
84	98	182 <sup>d</sup>	748	776	1524 <sup>b</sup>	100	95	195 <sup>c</sup>
97	129	226 <sup>c</sup>	674	864	1538 <sup>b</sup>	87	102	189 <sup>c</sup>
65	92	157 <sup>d</sup>	553	735	1288 <sup>c</sup>	102	111	213 <sup>bc</sup>
119	139	258 <sup>bc</sup>	661	744	1405 <sup>bc</sup>	96	109	205 <sup>c</sup>
138 146	157 153	295 <sup>ab</sup> 299 <sup>a</sup>	773 914	662 934	1848 <sup>a</sup>	121	112	233 <sup>b</sup>
130	162	292 <sup>ab</sup>	968	1011	1979 <sup>a</sup>	148	130	278 <sup>a</sup>
108			712		~ -	112		
	No emu A <sup>1</sup> 42 84 97 65 119 138 146 130 108	No. of emerged A <sup>I</sup> B <sup>I</sup> 42 42 84 98 97 129 65 92 119 139 138 157 146 153 130 162 108	No. of plants           emerged 11/18           A <sup>1</sup> B <sup>1</sup> Sum <sup>3</sup> 42         42         84e           84         98         182d           97         129         226c           65         92         157d           119         139         258 <sup>bc</sup> 138         157         295 <sup>ab</sup> 146         153         299 <sup>a</sup> 130         162         292 <sup>ab</sup> 108	No. of plants emerged 11/18 $A^1$ No. $A^1$ $B^1$ Sum <sup>3</sup> $A^1$ 4242 $84^e$ 2358498 $182^d$ 74897129 $226^c$ $674$ 6592 $157^d$ $553$ 119139 $258^{bc}$ $661$ 138 $157$ $295^{ab}$ $773$ 146 $153$ $299^a$ $914$ 130 $162$ $292^{ab}$ $968$ 108 $712$	No. of plants emerged 11/18 $A^1$ No. of f1 buds $A^1$ 424284e 98235274 844498182d74877697129226c6748646592157d553735119139258bc661744138157295ab773662146153299a914934130162292ab9681011108712	No. of plants emerged 11/18 $A^1$ No. of flower buds $A^1$ $B^1$ Sum <sup>3</sup> $A^1$ $B^1$ Sum424284e 98235274 $509^d$ 8498182d7487761524b97129226c6748641538b6592157d5537351288c119139258bc6617441405bc138157295 <sup>ab</sup> 7736621435bc146153299 <sup>a</sup> 9149341848a130162292 <sup>ab</sup> 96810111979a108712	No. of plants emerged 11/18 $A^1$ No. of flower buds $A^1$ Bulb424284° 84235274509d 45454498182d7487761524°10097129226°6748641538°876592157d5537351288°102119139258°6617441405°96138157295°7736621435°110146153299°9149341848°121130162292°96810111979°148108712112	No. of plants emerged 11/18 $A^1$ No. of flower buds $A^1$ Bulb product index $A^1$ 424284e 98235274509d 1524b45574498182d7487761524b1009597129226c6748641538b871026592157d5537351288c102111119139258bc6617441405bc96109138157295ab7736621435bc110101146153299a9149341848a121112130162292ab96810111979a148130108712112

<sup>1</sup> A series - amount of dust applied was in excess of that retained by bulbs.

B series - amount of dust applied was one-half the amount used in A series.

2 Bulb production index is sum of products obtained by multiplying the number of bulbs in each size class by the average bulb circumference of the class (bulbs smaller than 3 inches not included).

<sup>3</sup> Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as determined by analysis of variance.

4 Dusts containing over 5% active Botran (dicloran) were toxic to bulbs during 9 week storage at a temperature of 75°F, causing discoloration of scales. At summer temperatures (75° - 95°F) dusts containing 3% dicloran shrivelled and destroyed small bulbs in shed storage. Table 7. Production of lily bulbs and control of C. lilii by fungicidal dips applied to 3-4 inch bulbs before planting, 1965,1,2

Treatment <sup>3</sup> (Amt/100 gal water)	Period of dip (hrs)	Plants emerged 11/18	No. of flower buds	Bulb production index <sup>4</sup>
Control, pre-stor. dust only PAS, 1 pint		172abc	810bc 822abc	105b
PAS, 1 pint + parathion	18	167bc	759bc	107b
Terraclor + Fermate, 5 1b + 5 1b	0.5	174abc	739¢	138a
Phaltan + Botran, 5 lb + 5 lb	0.5	193a	862abc	140 <sup>a</sup>
Daconil 2787, 12 1b Daconil 2787 + DMSO + acetone, 12 1b + 5.5 gal + 5.5 gal	0.5	178 <b>abc</b> 190 <b>a</b> b	943 <b>a</b> 888ab	133 <sup>a</sup> 146 <sup>a</sup>

lValues within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as determined by analysis of variance.

 $^{2}$ All bulbs were dusted with Phaltan + Botran + Pyrax (3-2-20) after harvest (pre-stor.)

3All treatments except control and Puratized Agricultural Spray

(PAS) alone received 1 pint parathion 4EC per 100 gallons water. <sup>4</sup>Index obtained by multiplying the number of bulbs in each size class by 1/10 the average bulb circumference of the class; bulbs smaller than 3 inches were not included.

may be improved by thoroughly dusting Easter lily bulbs after they are harvested and cleaned, using a slight excess of 7.5% Daconil 2787 dust mixture. A pre-planting soak treatment of 30 minutes is also suggested, using 8 pounds of Daconil 2787 (75 W) plus 6 pounds Botran (50 W) per 100 gallons of water without wetting agent or sticker.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Mr. John A. Zilles, Horse Shoe Bulb

Farm, Sarasota, for cooperation and assistance during this study.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. LeBeau, F. J. and F. J. Reynolds. 1947. Treatment of lily bulbs for black scale control. Phytopathology 37: 801-808.

801-808.
2. McWhorter, F. P. 1957. Association between Rhizoctonia and yellow coloration of Easter lily bulbs. Phytopathology 47: 447-448.
3. Overman, A. J. 1961. Pre-storage treatment of lily bulbs with nematicides. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 74: 2020.002

386-388

4. Plakidas, A. G. 1944. Black scale: A disease of Easter lily bulbs. Phytopathology 34: 556-571.