

OPTIMIZATION OF A BIOASSAY METHOD FOR SPECIFIC  
ACTIVITY OF ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE OF B BIOTYPE *BEMISIA TABACI*  
(HEMIPTERA: ALEYRODIDAE)

QIYUN XU<sup>1,\*</sup>, FANGHUA CHAI<sup>2</sup>, XINCHENG AN<sup>1,\*</sup> AND SHICHOU HAN<sup>1</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Guangdong Entomological Institute, Guangzhou 510260 China

<sup>2</sup>South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xingke Road #723, Tianhe District,  
Guangzhou 510650, China

\*Corresponding author; E-mail: anxc@gdei.gd.cn

ABSTRACT

The whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), a major pest of protected and outdoor crops worldwide, has developed resistance to a wide range of insecticides including organophosphates (OPs) and carbamates (CBs). The biochemical target of OPs and CBs is acetylcholinesterase (AChE). The objective of this study was to optimize AChE bioassay conditions including enzyme concentration, substrate concentration, pH, temperature and reaction time in order to quickly and accurately monitor specific activity of AChE and monitor resistance of *B. tabaci* to insecticides. The results of an orthogonal array test showed that the optimum combination of levels was A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>D<sub>5</sub>E<sub>1</sub>, in which the enzyme concentration was 20 adults/mL, substrate concentration was  $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L, pH was 7.5, temperature was 45 °C, and reaction time was 5 min. The specific activity of AChE from B Biotype *B. tabaci* was 41.23 nmol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg<sup>-1</sup> under this set of conditions. This optimum combination proved to be reliable and accurate for testing the specific activity of AChE.

Key Words: B biotype, insecticide resistance, specific activity, orthogonal array

RESUMEN

La mosca blanca *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), una plaga importante en cultivos sembrados en lugares protegidos y en el campo en todo el mundo, ha desarrollado resistencia a una amplia gama de insecticidas, incluyendo organofosforados (OF) y carbamatos (CBs). El enfoque bioquímico de los OFs y carbamatos es acetilcolinesterasa (AChE). El objetivo de este estudio fue el optimizar las condiciones del bioensayo de AChE incluyendo la concentración de enzima, la concentración de sustrato, pH, temperatura y tiempo de reacción. Los resultados de una prueba de matriz ortogonal mostró que la combinación óptima de los niveles fue A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>D<sub>5</sub>E<sub>1</sub>, en el que la concentración de enzima fue 20 adultos /ml, concentración de sustrato de  $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L, pH de 7.5, temperatura de 45 °C y la reacción tiempo de 5 min. La actividad específica de AChE en el biotipo B de *B. tabaci* fue 41.23 nmol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg<sup>-1</sup> bajo estas condiciones. Esta combinación óptima demostró ser fiable y precisa para probar la actividad específica de AChE.

Palabras Clave: biotipo B, resistencia a insecticidas, actividad específica, matriz ortogonal

The whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is one of the most destructive insect pests of numerous protected and field crops worldwide, infesting more than 600 plant species (Xu et al. 2011; Pan et al. 2012). The pest has been considered as a species complex that includes more than 24 biotypes such as A, B, Q and Ms, which can be morphologically indistinguishable, and among which biotypes B and Q are the 2 most invasive and widely distributed (Perring 2001; Liu et al. 2007; Chu et al. 2010; Xie et al. 2012).

The pest status of *B. tabaci* has risen considerably in the past 20 yr, because of widespread invasions by the B and Q biotypes (De Barro et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2012). Biotype B has caused especially serious economic losses (Teng et al. 2010). *Bemisia tabaci* directly damages the plants by

feeding on phloem sap, and by excreting honeydew on the leaves and fruit (Horowitz et al. 2011), which supports soot mold, which interferes with photosynthesis. Thus *B. tabaci* is considered to be a species complex of diverse cryptic biotypes with differences in host range, host plant adaptability, development rate, insecticide resistance and virus-transmission capability (Bedford et al. 1994; Brown et al. 1995; Wang & Tsai 1996; Berry et al. 2004; Horowitz et al. 2005; Cloyd et al. 2012).

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE; EC 3.1.1.7), an essential hydrolytic enzyme in the cholinergic nervous system, is responsible for catalyzing the degradation of acetylcholine (ACh) into acetate and choline (Dvir et al. 2010; Shi et al. 2012). As a key enzyme in the insect central nervous system, it is a target for the development of insecticides that inhibit it.

*Bemisia tabaci* has developed resistance to a wide range of insecticides including organophosphates (OPs), carbamates (CBs), synthetic pyrethroids (SPs), cyclodienes, neonicotinoids and insect growth regulators (IGRs) (Cahill et al. 1996; Ahmad et al. 2002; El Kady & Devine 2003; Horowitz et al. 2004; Roditakis et al. 2005; Roditakis et al. 2006; Roditakis et al. 2009; Denehy et al. 2010; Vassiliou et al. 2011; Yuan et al. 2012). Toxicity of OPs and CBs to animals is attributed to their ability to inhibit the AChE enzyme from breaking down ACh, leading to an increase of both the level and duration of action of the neurotransmitter, ACh (Dulin et al. 2012). Two important classes of inhibitors, OPs and CBs, are analogs of the substrate, ACh, and account for more than 35% of total global insecticide sales (Alon et al. 2008).

Use of chemical insecticides has been the primary strategy for controlling *B. tabaci* (Yuan et al. 2012), and OPs and CBs have played a key role for this purpose for several decades because of their efficacy, fast knockdown activity and low persistence. OP- and CB- resistance in *B. tabaci* is geographically widespread (Cahill et al. 1995). Insensitive AChE has been identified as the most important mechanism of resistance of *B. tabaci* to these 2 classes of insecticides (Byrne & Devonshire 1997).

The optimum conditions for determining the activity of an enzyme activity—such as pH, substrate concentration, temperature, etc.—vary depending on which tissue of an organism is utilized, and which arthropod species is being assayed (Thompson 1999). The primary aim of the present study was to determine the optimum conditions to bioassay the AChE of *B. tabaci* including enzyme concentration, substrate concentration, pH, temperature and reaction time. This information is needed in order to quickly and accurately monitor the specific activity of AChE, and thereby monitor the development of resistance of *B. tabaci* to OP and CB insecticides.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Bemisia tabaci* Strain

The susceptible reference strain of *B. tabaci* was collected from a cucumber field, which had not been subjected to applications of any insecticides, and subsequently maintained for 10 generations in the greenhouse of the Guangdong Entomological Institute, Guangzhou, China. This population was identified as the B biotype by use of a mtDNA COI marker in the laboratory (Luo et al. 2002).

### Chemicals

Reagents used in these experiments included acetylthiocholine iodide (ATChI, Sigma), 5,5'-di-

thio bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, Shanghai Yanhui Biotechnology LLC.), eserine (Sigma), albumin bovine V (BSA, Sigma), Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 (Sigma).

### Preparation of Enzyme Solution

Uniformly sized *B. tabaci* adults were selected. They were homogenized in 1 mL 0.1 mol/L of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), containing 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100. After homogenization, the preparation was centrifuged at 4 °C, 15,000 × g for 20 min and the supernatant was used as the enzyme source.

### Measurement of AChE Activity

AChE activity of *B. tabaci* was measured according to the method of Gorun et al. (1978), which is based on the method of Ellman et al. (1961). In this method the hydrolysis of the substrate analog acetylthiocholine (ATChI) was determined colorimetrically by the absorbance of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate at 405 nm, after the reaction of DTNB with the liberated thiocholine. Thus 0.1 mL enzyme preparations, 0.1 mL 0.075 mol/L ATChI solution and 0.9 mL  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  DTNB were combined and mixed. After the reaction was largely complete, 0.1 mL  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  mol/L eserine was added to terminate it. Next a 200 µL solution of the 1.2 mL final volume was placed in a microplate well and monitored colorimetrically at 405 nm. The inactivated enzyme solution served as the control. Each treatment was replicated 3 times.

### Determination of the Protein Content of the Enzyme Solution

Determination of the protein content was accomplished by the method of Bradford (1976). A 0.1 mL sample was added to 0.5 mL Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. After mixing, the OD<sub>595</sub> value was measured by a microplate reader at 595 nm between 2 min and 1 h. The protein content of the enzyme solution was calculated based on the standard curve.

### Orthogonal Design of Bioassay Method for Specific Activity of AChE

In order to obtain an optimized bioassay method for the specific activity of AChE of *B. tabaci*, a 5-factor 5-level orthogonal test was used (Yang 2002). The adopted 5 factors were: A: enzyme concentration (adults/mL), B: substrate concentration (mol/L), C: pH, D: temperature (°C), and E: reaction time (min). An orthogonal table of L<sub>25</sub>(5<sup>6</sup>) was used. The experimental factors and their levels are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. EXPERIMENTAL FACTORS AND THEIR LEVELS IN ORTHOGONAL TESTS OF ACETYLCHOLINE ESTERASE OF *BEMISIA TABACI* B BIOTYPE.

| Level | Factors* |                      |     |    |    |
|-------|----------|----------------------|-----|----|----|
|       | A        | B                    | C   | D  | E  |
| 1     | 10       | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6.0 | 25 | 10 |
| 2     | 15       | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6.5 | 30 | 15 |
| 3     | 20       | $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7.0 | 35 | 20 |
| 4     | 25       | $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7.5 | 40 | 25 |
| 5     | 30       | $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8.0 | 45 | 30 |

\*the adopted 5 factors were: A: enzyme concentration (adults/ml), B: substrate concentration (mol/l), C: pH, D: temperature (°C), and E: reaction time (min).

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Statistical analyses

were performed on 3 replicates of data obtained per treatment by Tukey's test at  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$  to identify significant and highly significant differences between groups.

The specific activity of AChE from B Biotype *B. tabaci* (Table 2) varied from 0.43 to 38.11  $\text{nmol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ , reflecting the differences of AChE activity in different conditions.

As shown in Table 3, the R-value of range analysis demonstrated that the effect of these variables was reduced in the order of  $E > A > D > C > B$ . It was obvious that reaction time was the most potent factor followed in descending order by enzyme concentration, temperature, pH and substrate concentration.

The results of range analysis revealed the optimum level of each factor to be as follows: A = 3, i.e., enzyme concentration of 20 adults/mL; B = 3, i.e., substrate concentration was  $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/L;

TABLE 2. SPECIFIC ACTIVITY VALUES DETERMINED IN THE ORTHOGONAL ARRAY TEST FOR ACETYLCHOLINE ESTERASE OF *BEMISIA TABACI* B BIOTYPE.

| No. | Combination <sup>2</sup>   | Factors <sup>1</sup> |                       |     |        |         | Blank | Specific activity ( $\text{nmol} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ ) |
|-----|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----|--------|---------|-------|--|
|     |  | A (adults/mL)        | B (mol/L)             | C   | D (°C) | E (min) |       |  |
| 1   | A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub> C <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub> E <sub>1</sub> | 10                   | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.0 | 25     | 5       | 1     | 5.70 ± 0.64  |
| 2   | A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub> E <sub>2</sub> | 10                   | $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.5 | 30     | 10      | 2     | 1.62 ± 0.17  |
| 3   | A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> D <sub>3</sub> E <sub>3</sub> | 10                   | $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.0 | 35     | 15      | 3     | 1.61 ± 1.21  |
| 4   | A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub> C <sub>4</sub> D <sub>4</sub> E <sub>4</sub> | 10                   | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.5 | 40     | 20      | 4     | 1.48 ± 0.96  |
| 5   | A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>5</sub> C <sub>5</sub> D <sub>5</sub> E <sub>5</sub> | 10                   | $10.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8.0 | 45     | 25      | 5     | 0.43 ± 0.75  |
| 6   | A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub> C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>3</sub> E <sub>4</sub> | 15                   | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.5 | 35     | 20      | 5     | 11.95 ± 0.47   |
| 7   | A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub> D <sub>4</sub> E <sub>5</sub> | 15                   | $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.0 | 40     | 25      | 1     | 5.53 ± 1.65  |
| 8   | A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> D <sub>5</sub> E <sub>1</sub> | 15                   | $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.5 | 45     | 5       | 2     | 38.11 ± 1.22   |
| 9   | A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub> C <sub>5</sub> D <sub>1</sub> E <sub>2</sub> | 15                   | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 8.0 | 25     | 10      | 3     | 11.23 ± 0.48   |
| 10  | A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>5</sub> C <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub> E <sub>3</sub> | 15                   | $10.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6.0 | 30     | 15      | 4     | 3.88 ± 0.92  |
| 11  | A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub> C <sub>3</sub> D <sub>5</sub> E <sub>2</sub> | 20                   | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.0 | 45     | 10      | 4     | 22.16 ± 1.67   |
| 12  | A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> D <sub>1</sub> E <sub>3</sub> | 20                   | $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.5 | 25     | 15      | 5     | 10.13 ± 0.29   |
| 13  | A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>5</sub> D <sub>2</sub> E <sub>4</sub> | 20                   | $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 8.0 | 30     | 20      | 1     | 9.22 ± 0.36  |
| 14  | A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub> D <sub>3</sub> E <sub>5</sub> | 20                   | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.0 | 35     | 25      | 2     | 6.18 ± 0.44  |
| 15  | A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>5</sub> C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>4</sub> E <sub>1</sub> | 20                   | $10.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6.5 | 40     | 5       | 3     | 27.99 ± 0.52   |
| 16  | A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>1</sub> C <sub>4</sub> D <sub>2</sub> E <sub>5</sub> | 25                   | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.5 | 30     | 25      | 3     | 5.33 ± 0.38  |
| 17  | A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C <sub>5</sub> D <sub>3</sub> E <sub>1</sub> | 25                   | $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 8.0 | 35     | 5       | 4     | 23.74 ± 1.42   |
| 18  | A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub> D <sub>4</sub> E <sub>2</sub> | 25                   | $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.0 | 40     | 10      | 5     | 13.59 ± 1.08   |
| 19  | A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>5</sub> E <sub>3</sub> | 25                   | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.5 | 45     | 15      | 1     | 11.65 ± 0.59   |
| 20  | A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>5</sub> C <sub>3</sub> D <sub>1</sub> E <sub>4</sub> | 25                   | $10.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7.0 | 25     | 20      | 2     | 3.69 ± 0.12  |
| 21  | A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>1</sub> C <sub>4</sub> D <sub>3</sub> E <sub>5</sub> | 30                   | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 8.0 | 40     | 15      | 2     | 11.62 ± 0.79   |
| 22  | A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C <sub>5</sub> D <sub>4</sub> E <sub>1</sub> | 30                   | $7.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.0 | 45     | 20      | 3     | 3.69 ± 0.88  |
| 23  | A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub> D <sub>5</sub> E <sub>2</sub> | 30                   | $8.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 6.5 | 25     | 25      | 4     | 4.41 ± 0.24  |
| 24  | A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub> E <sub>3</sub> | 30                   | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  | 7.0 | 30     | 5       | 5     | 17.71 ± 1.35   |
| 25  | A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>5</sub> C <sub>3</sub> D <sub>2</sub> E <sub>4</sub> | 30                   | $10.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7.5 | 35     | 10      | 1     | 11.78 ± 1.49   |

<sup>1</sup>The adopted 5 factors were: A: enzyme concentration (adults/mL), B: substrate concentration (mol/L), C: pH, D: temperature (°C), and E: reaction time (min).

<sup>2</sup>The arrangements of A, B, C, D and E were decided by orthogonal design for 5 (factor) × 25 (run number). Every row of A run number represents 1 experimental replicate. Every run was replicated 3 times and every replicate was measured 3 times. Values are mean ± SD.

TABLE 3. RANGE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF ORTHOGONAL PROJECTS TO OPTIMIZE DETERMINATION OF ACETYLCHOLINE ESTERASE OF *BEMISIA TABACI* B BIOTYPE.

|                | Specific activity of AChE (nmol · min <sup>-1</sup> · mg <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |       |       |        |
|----------------|--|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|                | A  | B     | C     | D     | E      |
| K <sub>1</sub> | 10.84  | 56.76 | 33.04 | 23.21 | 113.25 |
| K <sub>2</sub> | 70.70  | 44.70 | 57.61 | 37.76 | 60.39  |
| K <sub>3</sub> | 75.67  | 66.94 | 50.70 | 55.25 | 38.88  |
| K <sub>4</sub> | 58.00  | 48.25 | 66.83 | 60.20 | 30.02  |
| K <sub>5</sub> | 49.20  | 47.77 | 56.24 | 76.04 | 21.88  |
| k <sub>1</sub> | 2.17   | 11.35 | 6.61  | 4.64  | 22.65  |
| k <sub>2</sub> | 14.14  | 8.94  | 11.52 | 7.55  | 12.08  |
| k <sub>3</sub> | 15.13  | 13.39 | 10.14 | 11.05 | 7.78   |
| k <sub>4</sub> | 11.60  | 9.65  | 13.37 | 12.04 | 6.00   |
| k <sub>5</sub> | 9.84   | 9.55  | 11.25 | 15.21 | 4.38   |
| R              | 12.97  | 4.45  | 6.76  | 10.57 | 18.27  |
| Optimal level  | 3  | 3     | 4     | 5     | 1      |

Notes: K<sub>i</sub> is the sum of the specific activity of AChE of the *i* level of different factors, and *k<sub>i</sub>* represents the average of K<sub>i</sub>. The adopted 5 factors were: A: enzyme concentration (adults/mL), B: substrate concentration (mol/L), C: pH, D: temperature (°C), E: reaction time (min).

C = 4, i.e., pH was 7.5; D = 5, i.e., temperature was 45 °C; and E = 1, i.e., reaction time was 5 min.

Range analysis of the orthogonal test results was relatively simple and intuitively obvious, but did not provide estimates of the magnitude of the error. Therefore more extensive calculations with ANOVA were needed to provide estimates of the magnitude of the error terms. The results of analysis of variance are shown in Table 4. The specific activity of AChE from *B. tabaci* B biotype was significantly affected by the variable factors of enzyme concentration, substrate concentration, pH, temperature and reaction time of which reaction time and enzyme concentration had the greatest effects on the determination of specific activity. In descending order the magnitude of this effect was as follows: E > A > D > C > B, i.e. reaction time > enzyme concentration > temperature > pH > substrate concentration.

In conclusion, the results of range analysis and analysis of variance were consistent. The optimum

combination of factor levels was A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>D<sub>5</sub>E<sub>1</sub>: enzyme concentration was 20 adults/mL, substrate concentration was 7.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mol/L, pH was 7.5, temperature was 45 °C, reaction time was 5 min. However, the best combination (A<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>D<sub>5</sub>E<sub>1</sub>) was not included in the orthogonal experimental design table (Table 2). The specific activity of AChE from *B. tabaci* B Biotype was determined under this condition. The result was 41.23 nmol · min<sup>-1</sup> · mg<sup>-1</sup>, which was higher than the results of any combination of the orthogonal design table. We found the optimum combination to be reliable and accurate for testing the specific activity of AChE.

DISCUSSION

The relationship of AChE to insecticide resistance of *B. tabaci* needs to be further studied. Variability of insensitivity of the AChE of *B. tabaci* was affected by enzyme concentration, substrate concentration, pH, temperature and reac-

TABLE 4. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) OF THE RESULTS FROM ORTHOGONAL PROJECTS TO OPTIMIZE DETERMINATION OF ACETYLCHOLINE ESTERASE OF *BEMISIA TABACI* B BIOTYPE.

| Variance origin         | Sum of square | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | F        |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| A (enzyme conc.)        | 528.887       | 4                  | 132.222     | 15.705** |
| B (substrate Conc.)     | 65.407        | 4                  | 16.352      | 1.942*   |
| C (pH)                  | 125.348       | 4                  | 31.337      | 3.722*   |
| D (Temperature °C)      | 227.678       | 4                  | 56.920      | 6.761**  |
| E (reaction time - min) | 1075.926      | 4                  | 268.981     | 31.948** |
| Error                   | 33.677        | 4                  | 8.419       |          |
| Total                   | 4853.852      | 25                 |             |          |

Notes: In order to improve the accuracy of the analysis, errors of replication and blank row were consolidated in the error item. “\*” and “\*\*” represent significant difference (P ≤ 0.05) and highly significant difference (P ≤ 0.01), respectively.

tion time. Reaction time, enzyme concentration, temperature had extremely significant effects on the measurement of AChE in *B. tabaci*. Therefore, these 3 factors should be strictly controlled to improve the accuracy of the determination.

Physicochemical properties of AChE, such as molecular size, shape, solubility, etc., may vary during the course of development of a species. The AChE activities of some insect species were found to increase with each successive instar (Bradford 1976), however, the reverse occurred in some other species (Hill 1989). *Bemisia tabaci* adults used for determining the specific activity of AChE must be consistent in size and age.

The AChE of insecticide-susceptible insects is inhibited by OPs and CBs, whereas that of resistant insects is insensitive to insecticide inhibition. The mechanism of OP - and CB - resistance in *B. tabaci* from different regions of the world has been found to be insensitive AChE (Dittrich et al. 1985, 1990; Byrne & Devonshire 1993, 1997; Byrne et al. 1994; Anthony et al. 1998; Byrne & Toscano 2002; Byrne et al. 2003; Erdogan et al. 2008). We have provided a quick and accurate method of determination of specific activity of the AChE of *B. tabaci*, which is a direct indication of the level of resistance to OPs and CBs.

#### ENDNOTES

The authors are thankful to Professor Hui Zhao of South China Agricultural University for her assistance identifying the biotype of *B. tabaci*. Also the authors thank the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) for the financial support (grants no. 30970438 and no. 31071708). Drs. Qiyun Xu and Xincheng An contributed equally to the conduct of this research.

#### REFERENCES CITED

- AHMAD, M., ARIF, M. I., AHMAD, Z., AND DENHOLM, I. 2002. Cotton whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) resistance to organophosphate and pyrethroid insecticides in Pakistan. *Pest Mgt. Sci.* 58: 203-208.
- ALON, M., ALON, F., NAUEN, R., AND MORIN, S. 2008. Organophosphate resistance in the B biotype of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is associated with a point mutation in an ace1-type acetylcholinesterase and overexpression of carboxylesterase. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 38: 940-949.
- ANTHONY, N. M., BROWN, J. K., FEYEREISEN, R., AND FRENCH-CONSTANT, R. H. 1998. Diagnosis and characterization of insecticide-insensitive acetylcholinesterase in three populations of the sweetpotato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. *Pestic. Sci.* 52: 39-46.
- BEDFORD, I. D., BRIGHTON, R. W., BROWN, J. K., ROSELL, R. C., AND MARKHAM, P. G. 1994. Geminivirus transmission and biological characterization of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) biotypes from different geographic regions. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 125: 311-325.
- BERRY, S. D., FONDONG, V. N., REY, C., ROGAN, D., FAUQUET, C. M., AND BROWN, J. K. 2004. Molecular evidence for five distinct *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) geographic haplotypes associated with cassava plants in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 97: 852-859.
- BRADFORD, M. M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72: 248-254.
- BROWN, J. K., FROHLICH, D. R., AND ROSELL, R. C. 1995. The sweetpotato or silverleaf whiteflies: biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* or a species complex. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 40: 511-534.
- BYRNE, F. J., AND DEVONSHIRE, A. L. 1993. Insensitive acetylcholinesterase and esterase polymorphism in susceptible and resistant populations of the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn). *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 45: 34-42.
- BYRNE, F. J., AND DEVONSHIRE, A. L. 1997. Kinetics of insensitive acetylcholinesterase in organophosphate-resistant tobacco whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 58: 119-124.
- BYRNE, F. J., AND TOSCANO, N. C. 2002. Evaluation of peracid activated organophosphates in studies of insecticide resistance conferred by insensitive acetylcholinesterases. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 95: 425-429.
- BYRNE, F. J., CAHILL, M., DENHOLM, I., AND DEVONSHIRE, A. L. 1994. A biochemical and toxicological study of the role of insensitive acetylcholinesterase in organophosphorus resistant *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera, Aleyrodidae) from Israel. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 84: 179-184.
- BYRNE, F. J., CASTLE, S., PRABHAKER, N., AND TOSCANO, N. C. 2003. Biochemical study of resistance to imidacloprid in B biotype *Bemisia tabaci* from Guatemala. *Pest Mgt. Sci.* 59: 347-352.
- CAHILL, M., BYRNE, F. J., GERMAN, K., DENHOLM, I., AND DEVONSHIRE, A. L. 1995. Pyrethroid and organophosphate resistance in the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera, Aleyrodidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 85: 181-187.
- CAHILL, M., DENHOLM, I., GORMAN, K., DAY, S., ELBERT, A., AND NAUEN, R. 1996. Baseline determination and detection of imidacloprid resistance in *Bemisia tabaci*. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 86: 343-349.
- CHU, D., ZHANG, Y. J., AND WAN, F. H. 2010. Cryptic invasion of the exotic *Bemisia tabaci* biotype Q occurred widespread in Shandong province of China. *Florida Entomol.* 93: 203-207.
- CLOYD, R. A., WILLIAMS, K. A., BYRNE, F. J., AND KEMP, K. E. 2012. Interactions of light intensity, insecticide concentration, and time on the efficacy of systemic insecticides in suppressing populations of the sweetpotato whitefly (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and the citrus mealybug (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 105: 505-517.
- DE BARRO, P. J., LIU, S. S., BOYKIN, L. M., AND DINSDALE, A. B. 2011. *Bemisia tabaci*: a statement of species status. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 56: 1-19.
- DENNEHY, T. J., DEGAIN, B. A., HARPOLD, V. S., ZABORAC, M., MORIN, S., FABRICK, J. A., NICHOLS, R. L., BROWN, J. K., BYRNE, F. J., AND LI, X. C. 2010. Extraordinary resistance to insecticides reveals exotic Q biotype of *Bemisia tabaci* in the new world. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 103: 2174-2186.
- DITTRICH, V., ERNST, G. H., RUESCH, O., AND UK, S. 1990. Resistance mechanisms in sweetpotato whitefly (Homoptera, Aleyrodidae) populations from Sudan, Tur-

- key, Guatemala, and Nicaragua. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 83: 1665-1670.
- DITTRICH, V., HASSAN, S. O., AND ERNST, G. H. 1985. Sudanese cotton and the whitefly - a case-study of the emergence of a new primary pest. *Crop Prot.* 4:161-176.
- DULIN, F., HALM-LEMEILLE, M. P., LOZANO, S., LEPAILLEUR, A., SANTOS, J. S. D., RAULT, S., AND BUREAU, R. 2012. Interpretation of honeybees contact toxicity associated to acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 79: 13-21.
- DVIR, H., SILMAN, I., HAREL, M., ROSENBERRY, T. L., AND SUSSMAN, J. L. 2010. Acetylcholinesterase: from 3D structure to function. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 187: 10-22.
- EL KADY, H., AND DEVINE, G. J. 2003. Insecticide resistance in Egyptian populations of the cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Pest Mgt. Sci.* 59: 865-871.
- ELLMAN, G. L., COURTNEY, K. D., ANDRES, V., AND FEATHERSTONE, R. M. 1961. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 7: 88-95.
- ERDOGAN, C., MOORES, G. D., GURKAN, M. O., GORMAN, K. J., AND DENHOLM, I. 2008. Insecticide resistance and biotype status of populations of the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera : Aleyrodidae) from Turkey. *Crop Prot.* 27: 600-605.
- GORUN, V., PROINOV, I., BALTESCU, V., BALABAN, G., AND BARZU, O. 1978. Modified Ellman procedure for assay of cholinesterases in crude enzymatic preparations. *Anal. Biochem.* 86: 324-326.
- HILL, E. F. 1989. Divergent effects of postmortem ambient-temperature on organophosphorus-inhibited and carbamate-inhibited brain cholinesterase activity in birds. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 33: 264-275.
- HOROWITZ, A. R., ANTIGNUS, Y., AND GERLING, D. 2011. Management of *Bemisia tabaci* whiteflies. The whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) interaction with Gemin, pp. 293-322 *In* W. M. O. Thompson [eds.], Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- HOROWITZ, A. R., KONTSEDALOV, S., AND ISHAAYA, I. 2004. Dynamics of resistance to the neonicotinoids acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 97: 2051-2056.
- HOROWITZ, A. R., KONTSEDALOV, S., KHASDAN, V., AND ISHAAYA, I. 2005. Biotypes B and Q of *Bemisia tabaci* and their relevance to neonicotinoid and pyriproxyfen resistance. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 58: 216-225.
- LIU, S. S., COLVIN, J., AND DE BARRO, P. J. 2012. Species concepts as applied to the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* systematics: How many species are there? *J. Integ. Agr.* 11: 176-186.
- LIU, S. S., DE BARRO, P. J., XU, J., LUAN, J. B., ZANG, L. S., RUAN, Y. M., AND WAN, F. H. 2007. Asymmetric mating interactions drive widespread invasion and displacement in a whitefly. *Science* 259: 74-77.
- LUO, C., YAO, Y., WANG, R. J., YAN, F. M., HU, D. X. AND ZHANG, Z. L. 2002. The use of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase mtCOI gene sequences for the identification of biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) in China. *Acta. Entomol. Sin.* 45: 759-763.
- PAN, H. P., LI, X. C., GE, D. Q., WANG, S. L., WU, Q. J., XIE, W., JIAO, X. G., CHU, D., LIU, B. M., XU, B. Y., AND ZHANG, Y. J. 2012. Factors affecting population dynamics of maternally transmitted endosymbionts in *Bemisia tabaci*. *PLoS one* 7(2): e30760.
- PERRING, T. M. 2001. The *Bemisia tabaci* species complex. *Crop Prot.* 20: 725-737.
- RODITAKIS, E., GRISPOU, M., MOROU, E., KRISTOFFERSEN, J. B., RODITAKIS, N., NAUEN, R., VONTAS, J. T., AND SAGKARAKOU, A. 2009. Current status of insecticide resistance in Q biotype *Bemisia tabaci* populations from Crete. *Pest Mgt. Sci.* 65: 313-322.
- RODITAKIS, E., RODITAKIS, N. E., AND TSAGKARAKOU, A. 2005. Insecticide resistance in *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) populations from Crete. *Pest Mgt. Sci.* 61: 577-582.
- RODITAKIS, E., TSAGKARAKOU, A., AND VONTAS, J. 2006. Identification of mutations in the para sodium channel of *Bemisia tabaci* from Crete, associated with resistance to pyrethroids. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 85: 161-166.
- SHI, X. W., ZHOU, Z., WANG, L. L., YUE, F., WANG, M. Q., YANG, C. Y., AND SONG, L. S. 2012. The immunomodulation of acetylcholinesterase in zhihong scallop *Chlamys farreri*. *PLoS one* 7(1): e30828.
- TENG, X., WAN, F. H., AND CHU, D. 2010. *Bemisia tabaci* biotype Q dominates other biotypes across China. *Florida Entomol.* 93:363-368.
- THOMPSON, H. M. 1999. Esterases as markers of exposure to organophosphates and carbamates. *Ecotoxicology* 8: 369-384.
- VASSILIOU, V., EMMANOULIDOU, M., PERRAKIS, A., MOROU, E., VONTAS, J., TSAGKARAKOU, A., AND RODITAKIS, E. 2011. Insecticide resistance in *Bemisia tabaci* from Cyprus. *Insect Sci.* 18:30-39.
- WANG, K., AND TSAI, J. H. 1996. Temperature effect on development and reproduction of silverleaf whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 89: 375-384.
- XIE, W., MENG, Q. S., WU, Q. J., WANG, S. L., YANG, X., YANG, N. N., LI, R. M., JIAO, X. G., PAN, H. P., LIU, B. M., SU, Q., XU, B. Y., HU, S. N., ZHOU, X. G., AND ZHANG, Y. J. 2012. Pyrosequencing the *Bemisia tabaci* transcriptome reveals a highly diverse bacterial community and a robust system for insecticide resistance. *PLoS one* 7(4): e35181.
- XU, C. X., WANG, X. M., STANSLY, P. A., AND REN, S. X. 2011. Behavioral interactions between *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) and *Tetranychus truncatus* (Acarina: Tetranychidae). *Florida Entomol.* 94:800-808.
- YANG, D. 2002. Design and analysis of experiments, pp. 171-201 *In* China Agriculture Press, Beijing, China.
- YUAN, L., WANG, S., ZHOU, J., DU, Y., ZHANG, Y., AND WANG, J. 2012. Status of insecticide resistance and associated mutations in Q-biotype of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, from eastern China. *Crop Prot.* 31:67-71.