A RARE FLY AND ITS PARASITIC BEHAVIOR TOWARD AN ANT
(DIPTERA: PHORIDAE, HYMENOPTERA: FORMICIDAE)—(Note).
The second known specimen of Apocephalus tenipes Borgmeier, 1963: 184;
a female, was collected during the mid-morning of 26 September 1978. The
first behavioral observations also were recorded for this species. The only
other known specimen, the holotype female, was collected on 11 September
1938 at Polk City, Polk County, FL, by Paul W. Oman. The new specimen
was collected in Lake Harbor, Palm Beach County, FL. The holotype at the
U.S. National Museum of Natural History is damaged so this new specimen
in the Florida State Collection of Arthropods, Florida Department of Agri-
culture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL, is the only 1 in good condi-
tion.

The new specimen was captured on U.S. Sugar Corporation property,
7 mi (11 km) S of Lake Okeechobee, approximately in the middle of a suga-
cane field planted on muck soil. The sugarcane was quite dense and over 8
ft (2.5 m) tall as it also was in the surrounding miles of fields.

The serendipitous second capture of this species occurred while the
author collected baits made of hamburger meat and honey-agar which were
used to survey ant populations in the field. Two or 8 small phorids of
the same gross morphology were seen flying and hovering above the ants,
Pheidole dentata Mayr, which were attracted to a pair of baits. These
phorids, 1 of which was the collected specimen, had no apparent interest in
the actual bait. Their flight usually remained within 1-8 m (2.5-7.6 cm) of
the ground and consisted of short darting flights, followed by brief periods
of almost motionless hovering. When the flies approached closely, the ants
moved quickly and raised their heads, with a few of them pivoting rapidly.
This caused the phorids to rapidly resume their flight-hover pattern tem-
porarily at a greater height. At least 1 fly was definitely seen landing on an
ant’s dorsal abdominal or posterior thoracic region. This ant quickly turned
its head toward the fly. The phorid took wing immediately, but the ant con-
tinued to either examine or groom the area where the fly had landed. I
believe that the fly that was collected was this same individual. The ant, un-
fortunately, was not captured although only P. dentata minor workers were
collected on the baits.

Parasitism of P. dentata Mayr by another member of the same phorid
genus, Apocephalus aridus Malloch, was reported by W. E. LeBerge (1953.
J. Kansas Ent. Soc. 26(2): 69). Most members of the genus Apocephalus
and many members of related genera seem to be myrmecophilous according
to Borgmeier (1963. Studia Ent. 6: 1-256), even though the biology of rela-
tively few species have been studied in detail.

I would like to thank the following individuals: Mrs. Maryanne H. Cago
for her always cheerful assistance; Dr. W. W. Wirth (Systematic Entomol-
ogy Laboratory, USDA) for the identification of the phorid; Dr. H. V.
Weems, Jr. (Florida State Collection of Arthropods) for assistance in the
preparation and publication of the manuscript; and Dr. Daniel P. Wojcik
(Insects Affecting Man and Animals Laboratory, USDA) for the identifica-
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tion of the ants and for creating an atmosphere wherein all subordinates are encouraged to think and act creatively and independently under any circumstances that they fined or create.—RICHARD J. BURGES, Dept. of Entomology and Nematology (USDA), Univ. of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611.

REPORT OF *ARRHENOPHAGUS CHIONASPIS* ON *PSEUDAULACASPIA PENTAGONA* IN FLORIDA—(Note). Inspection of peach twigs with a heavy infestation of white peach scale, *Pseudaulacaspis pentagona* (Targioni-Tozzetti), disclosed that many of the males were parasitized by *Arrhenophagus chionaspis* Aurivillius (Hymenoptera:Encyrtidae). The infestation came from a commercial orchard in Madison Co., FL on 8 November 1978. This is the only record of *A. chionaspis* from *P. pentagona* in the United States, although fairly extensive surveys have been made (Hughes, I. W. 1960. Fla. Ent. 43: 89-92; Dobb, M. L., et al. 1973. J. Econ. Ent. 66: 1290-2; Collins, F. A., and W. H. Whitcomb. 1975. Fla. Ent. 58: 15-21.). This species was first reported parasitizing *P. pentagona* in Japan (Tachikawa, T. 1958. Insecta Mateumurana 21: 118-9.).

As its name indicates, *A. chionaspis* is primarily a parasite of the male scale. Escapees from the field sample contaminated our insectary culture of *P. pentagona* contributing to its near collapse over a 7 month period, possibly through reduced mating within the population. At the end of this period, 86% of the males were parasitized and 32% of the parasites survived. Although 48% of the female scale were also parasitized, only 11% of those parasites survived to emerge. Parasite mortality was fairly equally divided between late instar larvae (51%) and adults (41%) which were unable to escape from the host mummy. Parasites were observed to oviposit in the settled 1st instar host; emergence was from the 2nd instar.

*Arrhenophagus chionaspis* was found recently in another peach orchard in Madison Co. and at present may be fairly common. Why this species was not found in previous surveys remains a mystery; it has been reported in the United States since 1895 (Howard, L. O. 1895. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 3: 239-40.). Certainly competition is not a factor, since this is the only parasite to attack the male scale. Perhaps to maximize parasite emergence, survey collections concentrated on samples with mature females which would have been past the emergence period of *A. chionaspis*.—J. C. BALL, University of Florida, Agricultural Research Center, Monticello, 32344, and L. A. STANGE, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Plant Industry, Gainesville, 32602.
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