

# Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Flexibility with the Term "Local" 1

Joy N. Rumble and Caroline G. Roper<sup>2</sup>

This EDIS publication focuses on Florida consumer flexibility with the term "local." It is the fifth in a six-part series about Florida consumers and their perceptions of local food, Talking Local. The Talking Local series focuses on ways Extension agents can assist Florida farmers and ranchers in the labeling, sale, and promotion of locally produced products. This series provides Extension faculty who are interested in local food programming or who work with local food clientele with information about Florida consumers' perceptions of local food. The following publications are included in the Talking Local series:

- Talking Local: Florida Consumer Definitions of Local Food
- Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Local Food Purchasing Behaviors
- Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Reasons for Purchasing Local Food
- Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Food Buying Decisions when Given Local Food Information
- Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Flexibility with the Term "Local"
- Talking Local: Florida Consumers' Fresh from Florida Perceptions

#### **Introduction to Local Food**

Consumer demand for and interest in locally grown foods has significantly increased in recent years (Conner et al. 2009). As individuals and organizations continue to make decisions about how and why they purchase or eat particular foods (Coit 2008), it continues to be necessary to expand localized consumer markets (Zepada and Li 2009). Local food plays a large role in Florida agriculture; in 2011–2012 the local food industry contributed \$8.3 billion to the state's economy (Hodges and Stevens 2013).

In response to growing consumer interest in local foods and their impact on Florida agriculture, the UF/IFAS Center for Public Issues Education conducted a study to explore consumers' perceptions of local food. In order to understand Florida consumers' perceptions of local food, a series of 10 focus groups was conducted, with two taking place in each of Florida's Extension Administrative Districts. A total of 93 participants were involved in the study, which included participants from a variety of ethnic backgrounds, occupations, and ages. Focus groups are not generalizable beyond those who participate in the study.

### "Local Choice" Scenarios

In the focus group, consumers were presented with three "local choice" scenarios, which were scripts presented by the facilitator discussing growing locations of three

- 1. This document is AEC515, one of a series of the Agricultural Education and Communication Department, UF/IFAS Extension. Funding for the research reported in this EDIS document was provided by a United States Department of Agriculture/Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services specialty crop block grant. Original publication date September 2014. Visit the EDIS website at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu.
- 2. Joy N. Rumble, assistant professor, and Caroline G. Roper, graduate assistant; Agricultural Education and Communication, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office.

commodities: tomatoes, apples, and coffee. The scenarios were read aloud to consumers while concurrently projected onto a screen. After introducing each scenario, the presenter asked consumers how they would define *local* when applied to that commodity and whether or not that impacted their purchasing decisions surrounding the commodity.

#### **Tomatoes**

The first scenario presented to consumers was about tomatoes. The script read as follows:

Florida is the nation's largest producer of fresh tomatoes. In fact, Florida produces about ½ of the domestic supply. From October–June, south Florida produces almost all of the fresh tomatoes available in the United States. Aside from Florida, Mexico, and Canada also make up large portions of the U.S. tomato market. What do you consider to be a local tomato?

#### **Definition of Local Tomatoes**

After being presented with information about the seasonality (October–June) and growing locations of tomatoes (Florida, Mexico, and Canada), consumers were asked how the information impacted their definition of a local tomato. A South Central Florida consumer considered a local tomato to be from "neighboring counties." A Northwest Florida consumer considered tomatoes from the grocery store as local and said, "Whatever is at the grocery store is local to me."

Consumers also discussed having a broader definition of the term "local" when applied to tomatoes. A Northeast Florida consumer said, "I think I consider local more like a fifty-mile radius. But then, in comparison to another country, Florida is more local than another country, so I think I'd kind of layer my ideas on what local is."

#### **Non-Florida Local Tomatoes**

Consumers also discussed what they would consider a local tomato when Florida tomatoes were out of season. During the off-season, consumers did not consider local tomatoes available for purchase. A South Florida consumer said, "I would say that there just aren't local tomatoes during that time. Unless you've got something locally grown."

Consumers also discussed accepting a broader definition of local tomatoes during the off-season. Consumers discussed accepting tomatoes from the Southeast U.S. as local, and a Northeast Florida consumer said, "Florida, Georgia, and the Southeast. For me, that's still local." Consumers also

discussed accepting any American-produced tomato as local; a South Florida consumer said, "Something grown in the United States as opposed to overseas."

## **Apples**

The second scenario presented to consumers was about apples. The script read as follows:

In the United States, most apples are produced in the Northeast and on the West Coast. The top five apple producing states are Washington, New York, Michigan, Pennsylvania, and California. However, apple production is limited in the southeastern United States. Aside from the United States, China, the European Union, Turkey, and India produce most of the world's supply. What do you consider to be a local apple?

#### **Definition of Local Apples**

In order to define a local apple, consumers broadened their definition to apples produced within the United States. A Central Florida consumer said, "I think for an apple, it'd be local for the United States." Consumers also discussed humidity in Florida playing a role in apple production and the need to support U.S. apple growers. A Northeast Florida consumer said, "It's too humid for Florida to grow apples, so if you want to define local, then you can only define it as supporting our country's apple producers."

#### **Preference for U.S. Apples**

Consumers discussed a preference for U.S.-produced apples during the focus groups. A Northwest Florida consumer said, "I would rather have an apple from the U.S., obviously, than I would from China." A South Florida consumer discussed their purchasing preference for domestic products and said, "I'd rather buy something domestic than imported, international."

## **Coffee**

The final scenario presented to consumers was about coffee. The script read as follows:

Coffee trees grow best in tropical climates with high altitudes. In the United States, Hawaii and Puerto Rico have the only climates which support the growth of coffee trees. Other places that produce coffee include Central America, South America, Africa, the Middle East, and Asia. What do you consider to be local coffee?

#### **Local Coffee**

Consumers had mixed reactions when defining local coffee. Some consumers discussed local coffee as coffee produced within the U.S. or its territories. A Northeast Florida consumer said, "Only if it's grown in Hawaii or Puerto Rico, given that is here, I would say that it is local." Consumers also discussed not putting thought into coffee's production location; a Central Florida consumer said, "If I was talking about buying coffee from Hawaii or Mexico when there was a choice or one of the other countries, I would buy it from the U.S., but I really don't know that I've ever put that much thought into where my coffee comes from." Consumers also discussed a broader definition of the term "local" when applied to coffee as any coffee from the Northern Hemisphere. A South Central Florida consumer said, "Maybe this hemisphere. Maybe this side of the globe."

#### **Coffee Is Not Local**

Consumers also discussed that they did not consider coffee to be a local commodity. A Central Florida consumer said, "I wouldn't consider there to be local coffee." Consumers also discussed not being concerned with the origin of their coffee. When referring to interest in the growing location of coffee, a Northeast Florida consumer said, "I don't care, give me my coffee."

#### The Value of Local Processing

Consumers were also asked if they valued local processing or roasting of coffee. Consumers discussed that local processing would promote the local economy. A Central Florida consumer said, "If it will help jobs here locally, even if they weren't grown here, it would be good for the economy and people, labor." Consumers also discussed having more knowledge of the regulatory process for American-produced products; a South Florida consumer said, "I would say processing would be important if it is done here, because you know what is going on. More or less the laws and everything, the restrictions."

Consumers also discussed that locally processed or roasted coffee was important to them. A Northeast Florida consumer said, "Yeah, it's sort of like when a car might be a foreign manufacturer, but like they make the engines in America, it makes a difference to me. It does, yeah it feels either more local or at least made in the USA."

## **Opportunities for Extension Programming/Agents**

The results of these focus groups suggest several ways Extension agents/programs can promote local food, make use of consumers' definitions of local food, etc. Extension agents should share this knowledge of consumer definitions of local with producer clientele through economic, marketing, and production programing.

Encourage producers to clearly label the production location. The results in this EDIS reveal that consumers have a flexible definition of "local" and may have different definitions depending on the product. Generally, consumers showed a preference for food produced as close as possible to their location, and they preferred not to buy food grown in other countries if a U.S. product was available. Therefore,

clearly labeling the production location of their products could sway consumer decisions, because consumers would prefer to buy products produced closer to home or in the U.S.

**Don't define "local."** Because of the flexible definition that consumers have of local food, organizations and producers can benefit by not defining local. Extension should encourage producers and organizations to utilize the state *Fresh from Florida* brand or just clearly label the production location, and let consumers make their own decision about the locality of the product. More information about the benefits of using the state brand can be found in Talking Local: Consumers' *Fresh from Florida* Perceptions.

## **In Summary**

"Local" does not always mean the same thing, depending on the commodity under discussion. Consumers presented with three "local choice" scenarios, featuring tomatoes, apples, and coffee, discussed their flexibility with the term "local." They were at times willing to accept products from different regions of the state, other neighboring states, the United States, and as far away as anything in the northern hemisphere. Extension programming and product labeling might benefit from indicating the point-of-origin on the packaging, rather than defining the product as "local."

## References

Coit, M. 2008. "Jumping on the next bandwagon: An overview of the policy and legal aspects of the local food movement." *Journal of Food Law & Policy* 4:45–70. Retrieved from http://heinonline.org/.

Conner, D., K. Colasanti, R. Ross, and S. Smalley. 2010. "Locally grown foods and farmers markets: Consumer attitudes and behaviors." *Sustainability* 2:742–756. doi:10.3390/su2030742.

Hodges, A. W. and T. J. Stevens. 2013. *Local food systems in Florida: Consumer characteristics and economic impacts*. Unpublished report, Food and Resource Economics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. Retrieved from: http://www.fred.ifas.ufl.edu/economic-impact-analysis/pdf/Florida-statewide-local-food-survey-2-6-13.pdf.

Zepada, L. and J. Li. 2006. "Who buys local food?" *Journal of Food Distribution Research* 37(3):1–11. Retrieved from http://fdrs.tamu.edu/FDRS/JFDR\_Online.html.