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**Introduction**

Several native and introduced species of flies in the genus *Hydrellia* (*Figure 1*) are important because they feed on hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata* L.f. Royle), an invasive aquatic plant that has been classified as a Federal Noxious Weed. Hydrilla has invaded aquatic ecosystems in Florida and across the U.S. Larvae of *Hydrellia* spp. mine the leaves of hydrilla. In Florida, there are four species that have been associated with the invasive aquatic weed hydrilla: two native species and two species that were introduced for biological control of hydrilla. The native species are *Hydrellia bilobifera* Cresson and *Hydrellia discursa* Deonier. The introduced species are *Hydrellia pakistanae* Deonier and *Hydrellia balciunasi* Bock.

**Distribution**

*Hydrellia bilobifera* is known to feed on hydrilla (Balciunas and Minno 1985), although it also feeds on other aquatic weeds (Center et al. 1998). Although it is not known if *Hydrellia discursa* also feeds on hydrilla, the species is found often in association with hydrilla, so the weed is likely to act as a food source (Cofrancesco et al. 2005c). In contrast to the introduced species, *Hydrellia bilobifera* causes minimal leaf damage but mines the stems.

The Asian hydrilla leaf mining fly, *Hydrellia pakistanae*, was introduced to Florida first in 1987 after being collected in India in 1985. The native range of *Hydrellia pakistanae* includes India, Pakistan, and China (McCann et al. 1996). The Australian hydrilla leaf mining fly, *Hydrellia balciunasi*, was introduced to Florida in 1989 after being collected in Australia in 1982 and taken to Florida for evaluation as a biological control agent and for mass rearing. Releases of *Hydrellia pakistanae* resulted in successful establishment of the fly in Florida as well as in other southeastern states (Cofrancesco et al. 2005a).

*Hydrellia balciunasi* failed to establish in Florida and is found in low numbers in only a couple of water bodies in Texas (Cofrancesco et al. 2005b). Thanks to natural dispersal of the flies, most of the hydrilla-infested water bodies in Florida have established populations of *Hydrellia*...
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*pakistanae*. However, hydrilla is still a serious problem in many water bodies. The lack of control provided by *Hydrellia pakistanae* in these instances is likely due to low densities in the field as a result of various abiotic and biotic factors (Wheeler and Center 2001; Cuda et al. 2008) such as parasitism by the native wasp *Trichopria columbiana* (Ashmead).

**Description**

**Eggs**

Eggs are glossy, white, around 0.5 mm in length and look similar to a grain of rice (Figure 2). Under magnification, the eggs exhibit longitudinal ridges, which are characteristic of the genus. Eggs are laid individually on hydrilla or other aquatic vegetation, and a female may lay hundreds of eggs throughout her life span (Cofrancesco et al. 2005a).

**Larvae**

Larvae are white, soft bodied, and difficult to differentiate among species (Cofrancesco et al. 2005c). There are three instars. Each instar increases in size from around 0.5 to 2 mm in length and 0.2 to 0.5 mm wide. The color changes depending upon nutritional status; feeding larvae are often green due to chlorophyll in the hemolymph. After hatching, larvae burrow into the plant tissue of the hydrilla leaf, forming burrows or mines (Figure 3). The mouthparts consist of a pair of hooks to rasp away the inner tissue of the leaf (Cofrancesco et al. 2005c). Each larva will feed on about 12 leaves before it is ready to pupate (Cofrancesco et al. 2005a). When it is ready to pupate, the late third instar uses its needle-like modified spiracular peritremes (i.e., the area around the spiracle) to pierce the tissue of the stem. This attachment provides oxygen to the pupae (Deonier 1971).

**Pupae**

Pupae are found within a cigar-shaped puparium that is formed from the last larval cuticle and looks like the leaf bud of hydrilla (Figure 4; Grodowitz et al. 1997). The puparia are about 28 mm long and 0.75 mm wide and initially are transparent. At this point, the puparia appear green in color, due to the plant material ingested by the larvae. As the pupa develops, the puparium turns from green to brown. The puparium is attached to a leaf axil and is filled with air.
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**Adults**
The adult emerges from the puparium and floats to the water's surface in an air bubble. In *Hydrellia balciunasi*, this occurs between 2 and 4 p.m. (Buckingham et al. 1991). The adult flies are about 1.5 mm to 2 mm in length and resemble small house flies (Figure 1). The adults have shiny golden heads with green eyes, dark antennae and light-colored maxillary palps. Males can be distinguished from the females by the presence of two lobes at the end of the abdomen (Center et al. 1998). The two introduced species are not strong fliers and prefer hopping across the water surface to rest on emergent vegetation. The introduced species also are difficult to separate and differentiate from the native *Hydrellia* species. However, there are slight differences in the adult genitalia that a trained professional can distinguish (Grodowitz et al. 1993).

**Life Cycle/Biology**
*Hydrellia* spp. undergo complete metamorphosis. Life stages include the egg, three larval instars, the pupa and the adult. After 3 to 4 days, the larvae hatch from the eggs. The larval stage lasts about two weeks before pupation and the development time depends upon the ambient temperature. Pupae develop within the puparium over a period of 6 to 15 days, and adults live approximately 10 to 20 days. Adults of *Hydrellia balciunasi* mate within two hours of emergence (Buckingham et al. 1991). The entire life cycle of *Hydrellia* spp. ranges from 25 to 30 days.

**Host Plants**
The imported hydrilla leaf mining flies were first collected from hydrilla in their respective countries of origin. Laboratory studies and field surveys demonstrated that both imported hydrilla leaf mining fly species are specific to hydrilla. Although the females may lay their eggs on other aquatic plants, larvae prefer to feed on hydrilla.

In host-specificity testing, *Hydrellia pakistanae* females oviposited on all 29 plant species as well as inert objects but preferred hydrilla (Buckingham et al. 1989). Larvae developed on five out of 51 plant species tested but only in low numbers (Buckingham et al. 1989). Curly leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus* L.) supported larval development and produced the most adults but did not sustain subsequent generations (Buckingham et al. 1989). Of 19 aquatic plants examined from its native range in Pakistan, *Hydrellia pakistanae* developed only on hydrilla and *Potamogeton* spp., including *Potamogeton crispus*, *Potamogeton indicus*, *Roxb.*, and *Potamogeton perfoliatus* L. (Baloch et al. 1980). Development on *Potamogeton* spp. was limited, and larvae moved onto hydrilla if given the choice (Baloch et al. 1980).

In contrast, *Hydrellia balciunasi* appears to be more host specific. Only one other plant species (*Potamogeton crispus*) out of 14 in a no-choice test and out of 27 in a multi-choice test supported larval development (Buckingham et al. 1991). In the field in its native range in Australia, larvae were found on 24 aquatic plant species, but 97% of the specimens were collected from hydrilla (Balciunas and Burrows 1996).

**Damage**
Larvae of the hydrilla leaf mining fly are the damaging stage. Larvae are leaf miners that burrow into the leaf and feed on the inner tissue of the leaf. The mining removes almost all the leaf tissue resulting in transparent leaves. *Hydrellia pakistanae* has been shown to reduce total biomass (30% reduction), tuber biomass (60% reduction), and tuber number (55% reduction) in tank tests (Doyle et al. 2002). Although each fly larva will consume only about 12 leaves, the reduction in photosynthetic capability (Doyle et al. 2002) and the increased chance of pathogen transmission (Balciunas et al. 2002) are detrimental, especially when the larvae feed in great numbers. A high level of damage by the feeding larvae (70-90%) results in approximately 60% loss in photosynthetic capacity.

The reduction in leaf area also reduces the buoyancy of the plant, and when larval feeding damage is high the plant will die and sink. Patches of hydrilla with intense feeding damage turn brown (Cofrancesco et al. 2005a). In most natural aquatic ecosystems in the U.S., hydrilla is considered to be undesirable either for aesthetic or practical reasons, and
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tremendous effort and resources are directed toward controlling the plant. Feeding damage by *Hydrellia pakistanae* is thought to have a significant impact on hydrilla biomass and tuber density. Therefore, many releases have been made across the U.S. in an attempt to control this invasive plant without the need for excessive herbicide applications. However, the density of flies at field sites usually remains low, resulting in limited damage and control, perhaps due to population declines during the winter as well as other factors, such as predation and parasitism (Wheeler and Center 2001, Cuda et al. 2008). A known parasite of *Hydrellia* flies is the wasp *Trichopria columbiana* (Ashmead).

Combining the fly releases with other treatments as part of an integrated pest management program is likely to be more effective than the fly damage alone at reducing hydrilla biomass. A combination of herbivory by *Hydrellia pakistanae* and a plant disease-causing pathogen may facilitate plant pathogen transmission and increase plant damage and could provide a sustainable alternative to conventional herbicide-only control efforts. Studies have demonstrated compatibility of the hydrilla leaf mining fly with other control organisms, such as plant pathogens isolated from hydrilla (Shabana et al. 2003).

**Importance as a Biological Control Agent**

*Hydrellia pakistanae* is well established in Florida and is found in most water bodies where hydrilla is present. The Asian hydrilla leaf mining fly was collected in India and brought into quarantine in the U.S. in 1985 (Buckingham et al. 1989). Over 3 million individuals were released between 1987 and 1997 in seven states: Florida, Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, Georgia, California and Texas (Center et al. 1997). Early releases in Florida between 1987 and 1989 of over 37,000 individuals at 12 sites failed to lead to established populations (Center et al. 1997). Alterations to the rearing and release protocol, including maintaining the colony in the laboratory for a shorter time period and releasing greater numbers of larvae into cages for protection, as opposed to releasing smaller numbers of unprotected eggs, resulted in establishment after later releases (Center et al. 1997).

In southern Florida, 70% of the release sites showed establishment (Center et al. 1997). Dispersal to other sites with hydrilla also has been recorded. For example, high numbers of *Hydrellia pakistanae* were collected in the Miami Canal in 1993, with the nearest release site being over 15 km away (Center et al. 1997). In 1997, *Hydrellia pakistanae* was found to be established from northern Alabama to southern Florida, with records in Texas, Alabama, Georgia, and Florida (Center et al. 1997). The effect on hydrilla varies between sites, but as of 1992, 39% of surveyed release sites had well established populations and substantial declines in hydrilla (Grodowitz et al. 1993). However, a decline in hydrilla also was observed in 36% of surveyed sites that had no or limited establishment.

*Hydrellia balciunasi*, the Australian hydrilla leaf mining fly, was collected in Queensland, Australia, in 1985 and brought into quarantine in the U.S. in 1988 (Balciunas and Burrows 1996; Grodowitz et al. 1997). It was hoped that, being from Australia, *Hydrellia balciunasi* would be better suited to the climate in the U.S. than *Hydrellia pakistanae* and establish further north (Buckingham et al. 1988). The species was released in Florida in 1989 and in Texas in 1991 (Grodowitz et al. 1997). Between 1989 and 1997, over 280,000 individuals were released at seven sites in Florida and four in Texas (Grodowitz et al. 1997).

In 1997, a survey recorded definite establishment (adults collected for four consecutive months) at only one site in Texas, Sheldon Reservoir (Harris County), and none in Florida (Grodowitz et al. 1997). The site corresponded to the site with the second highest releases (76,000 individuals), whereas the most released at any one site in Florida was 20,000 individuals (Grodowitz et al. 1997). Despite many releases at that site, the numbers of adults remained low and only 5% damage to hydrilla was recorded at peak fly densities in 1992 (Grodowitz et al. 1997). Failure of this insect to establish has been attributed to several factors including competition with *Hydrellia pakistanae*, incompatibility with the U.S. strains of hydrilla, parasitism by the native wasp *Trichopria columbiana* (Ashmead) and inbreeding due to mass rearing (Grodowitz et al. 1997).

**Monitoring and Management**

Several methods can be used to monitor *Hydrellia* fly activity. First, hydrilla can be collected and the leaves examined for the characteristic damage caused by the larvae (Grodowitz et al. 1997). The larvae and pupae also may be detected under a light microscope. However, this method does not allow for identification to species. To identify the flies to species, it is necessary to either rear the immature stages to adults or collect adults in the field (Grodowitz et al. 1997). One of the best methods to collect adults in the field involves the use of a specially designed hand-held vacuum (Cofrancesci et al. 2005). Berlese funnels also can be used to extract larvae and adults from plant material (Grodowitz et al. 1997; Cofrancesco et al. 2005).
In a few specific situations, presence of hydrilla may be desired, e.g., in ponds or fishing lake; in these situations, the flies may need to be controlled to prevent damage to the plant. Researchers conducting experiments with hydrilla also may need to chemically or physically exclude *Hydrellia* flies or other hydrilla-attacking insects in order to produce suitable plant material for their investigations.
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