

Prescribed Fire as a Tool for Controlling Tick Populations in the Southeastern United States¹

Jennifer M. Fill, Hope M. Miller, and Raelene M. Crandall²

Ticks: A small but mighty problem

Ticks are vectors of several diseases that affect humans. In the United States, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has reported an increasing number of tick-borne illnesses in humans (Figure 1; Wormser et al. 2020). Tick geographic ranges in the United States are expanding past historical boundaries due to environmental change (Esteve-Gassent et al. 2016; Sonenshine 2018). In the southeastern United States, there are five common disease-transmitting tick species (Figure 2). The American dog tick, blacklegged tick, lone star tick, and brown dog tick are widely distributed in the eastern United States (although the brown dog tick has a worldwide distribution). The Gulf Coast tick is found in the southeastern and southern midwestern United States, although its range is expanding. These species are vectors of diseases such as ehrlichiosis, Lyme disease, spotted fever, and Rocky Mountain fever (Mayo Clinic 2022). Although there are many more tick species worldwide, this publication focuses on these five commonly encountered species (Leonovich 2015; Burtis et al. 2019).

To prevent the spread of disease, land managers and health officials seek affordable and environmentally friendly ways to control ticks (Mader et al. 2021). Prescribed fire is already an effective tool for managing vegetation and wildlife. Prescribed fires can kill ticks or decrease their populations when the habitat changes after repeated fires (Allen 2009;

Gleim et al. 2019; Gallagher et al. 2022). By showing how fire can affect tick populations, this publication should help fire and natural resource managers, landowners, and interested individuals learn more about the usefulness of prescribed fires for controlling tick populations in the southeastern United States.




Figure 1. Reported cases of tick-borne disease in the United States have increased from 2004 to 2019.

Credits: Data from CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/ticks/data-summary/index.html>

1. This document is FOR398, one of a series of the School of Forest, Fisheries, and Geomatics Sciences. Original publication date July 2023. Visit the EDIS website at <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu> for the currently supported version of this publication.

2. Jennifer M. Fill, postdoctoral researcher; Hope M. Miller, Masters student; and Raelene M. Crandall, assistant professor; School of Forest, Fisheries, and Geomatics Sciences, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, Florida 32611.




Figure 2. The five most common disease-transmitting species of tick in the southeastern United States are American dog tick (*Dermacentor variabilis*; A, blacklegged tick (*Ixodes scapularis*; B, brown dog tick (*Rhipicephalus sanguineus*; C, Gulf Coast tick (*Amblyomma maculatum*; D, and lone star tick (*Amblyomma americanum*; E). All photos are of adult female ticks; Sizes are not relative.

Credits: Jim Gathany, CDC, https://www.cdc.gov/ticks/geographic_distribution.html

Tick Life Cycle

Ticks pass through several stages during their life cycle: egg, larva, nymph, and adult. Each stage can last anywhere from a few months to several years (Figure 3). To transition to the next stage, all five tick species must attach to a host and feed on its blood for at least a few days before dropping off. Four of the five species require a different host for each life stage. The exception is the brown dog tick, which can feed on the same animal throughout its life cycle. All stages of the brown dog tick prefer dogs as a host species, but nymphs and larvae of the other four tick species tend to feed on birds and small mammals and are sometimes found on amphibians and reptiles (Barnard 1986; Gleim 2020). Adult ticks feed on larger mammals such as horses, humans, dogs, and coyotes; adult blacklegged and lone star ticks primarily attach to white-tailed deer but can feed on other large mammals.




Figure 3. Different life stages of the blacklegged tick (*Ixodes scapularis*). Dime in the background for scale.

Credits: CDC

Ticks acquire and transmit pathogens while feeding on their host (CDC 2020). Their propensity to feed on numerous hosts increases the likelihood that they will acquire and transmit diseases between individual hosts, including humans. Hosts that serve as reservoirs for pathogens include mice species in the genus *Peromyscus*, the eastern chipmunk, masked shrew, short-tailed shrew (Gallagher et al. 2022), and dogs (Mather and Coughlin 1994). Although they are not considered to carry pathogens, some hosts,

such as white-tailed deer and eastern turkey, can amplify tick species that carry diseases (Gallagher et al. 2022).

Habitat conditions are important for tick survival and for encountering hosts. Larvae, nymphs, and adults of all five species display “questing” or host-seeking behavior, which often involves climbing vegetation and waiting for hosts to pass (Figure 4; Arsnoe et al. 2015). Ticks can sense their host by smell, body heat, and vibrations or air movement. All five species are most active during the warmer months, with the specific time of peak activity depending on location (e.g., inland or coastal, northern or southern) (Teel et al. 2010; Leonovich 2015; Burtis et al. 2019). American dog ticks and blacklegged ticks overwinter in the soil (Burg 2001) or on the ground under litter, but these species and the others might remain active if temperatures are warm enough. Not all ticks or stages require the same environmental conditions. For example, humid conditions, such as dense, moist litter, are generally favorable for eggs of American dog ticks and blacklegged ticks. Gulf Coast tick larvae, nymphs, and adults prefer dry environments such as prairies and coastal uplands, while brown dog ticks can be found under a variety of conditions, including those of woodlands, houses, and dog kennels.



Figure 4. Ticks questing for a host at the edge of a leaf in Austin Cary Forest, Gainesville, Florida.

Credits: David Godwin, UF/IFAS

Ticks and Fire

Fire affects ticks by directly killing them and by changing their habitat and thus limiting their ability to find shelter or encounter hosts (Gallagher et al. 2022). For example, heat from a fire kills ticks, but environmental changes after fire (e.g., less vegetation or litter) can also decrease tick survival and questing success. Changes to habitat from fire can also change the behavior of tick hosts and predators in ways that affect ticks.

Direct Effects of Fire on Tick Populations

The heat from prescribed fires can be hot enough or last long enough to kill ticks. Temperatures $>200^{\circ}\text{C}$ for longer than 2.5 seconds can kill all tick life stages (Barnard 1986). Evidence shows that ticks may seek refuge in the leaf litter of unburned patches and other moist places to escape the heat produced by fires (Schulze et al. 1995). Thus, several studies have shown that a single prescribed burn is insufficient to reduce tick populations significantly (Barnard 1986), but long-term repeated burning maintains low tick numbers (Gleim et al. 2014).

Indirect Effects of Fire on Tick Habitat

Fire also changes tick habitat. By burning off or reducing vegetation, especially the humid litter layer, fire can promote hotter and drier conditions, decreasing available shelter and questing habitat (Callaham et al. 2003; Rosendale et al. 2017). Such conditions can negatively affect eggs, nymphs, and larvae of ticks that need moist conditions to survive (Schulze et al. 1995). For example, removing leaf litter reduces the abundances of all stages of lone star ticks; in one study, clearing vegetation reduced the abundance of larval lone star ticks by 72% two years after the fire (Hair and Howell 1970). However, because American dog ticks and Gulf Coast ticks are more tolerant of dry conditions, they might be less affected by vegetation removal (Gleim et al. 2013; White and Gaff 2018). Additionally, because brown dog ticks can also live inside homes and other structures, they might be less directly affected by changes to outdoor environments.

Effects of Fire on Tick–Host Encounters

Tick hosts use recently burned areas in different ways. For instance, white-tailed deer, a common host of blacklegged and lone star ticks, will avoid recently burned areas but gradually increase use as fresh forage returns (Fill and Crandall 2018). This could result in fewer encounters shortly after fire, but more host encounters and increasing tick abundance over time (Ivey and Clausey 1984; Allen 2009; Willis et al. 2012). Experimentally eliminating deer has been shown to decrease populations of blacklegged tick larvae and nymphs (Wilson et al. 1988). Wild turkeys also tend to forage in recently burned areas (Fill & Crandall 2018). Turkey poults foraging in recently burned areas were found to have fewer lone star ticks than those in unburned areas (Jacobson and Hurst 1979). However, small mammals, such as rodents, tend to use recently burned areas less, possibly because of greater chances of predation (Greenberg et al. 2007). Other mammals, such as raccoons, may avoid recently burned areas because there is less food (Jones et al.

2004; Jorge et al. 2020). Thus, shifts in host availability over time can influence tick population size (Barnard 1986).

Effects of Fire on Tick Predators

Fires can increase populations of tick predators such as northern bobwhite quail, turkey, and arthropods (Samish and Alexeev 2001, Ginsberg et al. 2021). More predators may temporarily reduce tick populations and associated illnesses (Patterson and Knapp 2018). For example, population booms of northern bobwhite quail, which benefit from fire, have been correlated with decreases in Lyme disease cases the following year. Alternatively, fire can reduce predator abundance by killing predators or changing their habitat (Callaham et al. 2003; Samish and Alexeev 2001). In addition, red imported fire ants (*Solenopsis invictus*) have been reported as predators of lone star tick nymphs and adults and are more prevalent in disturbed and burned areas (Harris and Burns 1972; Fleetwood et al. 1984).

Using Prescribed Fire Regimes to Manage Ticks

The frequency, intensity, and seasonality of a prescribed fire regime can affect ticks (Figure 5). Fire frequency refers to how many fires occur within a certain time. Fire intensity, often visibly estimated by the length of flames in the fire, refers to the rate or amount of heat or energy released. Longer flames indicate a more intense fire (Wade 2013). Fires that burn for a long time can have different effects than a rapidly moving fire. Fire seasonality refers to the timing of fire within a year. The effects of fire seasonality depend on weather and moisture conditions at the time of the fire as well as the species' phenology, or seasonality of stages in the tick life cycle.

Repeated burning causes lasting changes in vegetation structure. Some of these changes can be worse for ticks. A high fire frequency, or many fires within a short period, keeps landscapes open (Fill et al. 2022) and less hospitable for most of the five tick species, except perhaps the Gulf Coast tick (Gallagher et al. 2022). Changing the environment can decrease encounter rates of blacklegged and lone star ticks with white-tailed deer because there would be less vegetation from which to quest. Frequent fires also support the habitat structure favorable for tick predators such as northern bobwhite quail, which require fire return intervals of 18–30 months to reach large population sizes (TTRS 2020).

1 Fires reduce canopy closure and increase sunlight, making areas less hospitable to ticks.



2 The heat from prescribed fires can directly kill ticks.

3 Patchy fires during different seasons may leave leaf litter as refuge for ticks.



4 Fires can reduce tick-host encounter rates by altering habitat structure.

5 Fires can alter the availability and number of tick hosts and predators

Figure 5. Summary of main direct and indirect effects of prescribed fire on tick populations in the southeastern United States. Credits: Raelene Crandall, UF/IFAS

The effects of fire seasonality depend on the activity of ticks and their hosts. For example, late spring through summer is an active period for blacklegged tick larvae and nymphs and lone star tick adults and nymphs (Barbour and Fish 1993; White and Gaff 2018). Patchy burns during seasons of peak tick activity could also leave areas of refuge for ticks while at the same time meeting the varied needs of their hosts and predators across the landscape (Fill and Crandall 2018). Burning during the winter could decrease the survival of overwintering species such as American dog and blacklegged ticks but also have less effect on dormant plants, which could favor the rapid recovery of suitable habitat for these five tick species. Studies investigating the effects of fire size or patchiness on ticks have therefore produced mixed results (Hoch et al. 1972).

Although fire's effects are complex, research suggests that burning southeastern landscapes regularly over the long term (1–4 year return intervals) can help control tick populations. To date, the tick populations controlled with fire have for the most part been lone star and blacklegged ticks. These species do not do well in dry open conditions (White and Gaff 2018), so clearing vegetation with fire has been a relatively effective control for them. There is evidence that the abundances of different stages of American dog ticks and blacklegged ticks can be reduced by fire within the same year (White and Gaff 2018). Gulf Coast ticks survive better in burned than in unburned habitats (Gleim et al. 2013), so it is uncertain how prescribed fire affects their populations directly in the long term. If you are considering using prescribed burns to control tick populations, consider what is known about the species, their habitats, and their hosts, and monitor the tick populations before and after fire to determine whether prescribed burning achieves the desired result. Methods for sampling tick abundances include trapping them and collecting them from host animals (see descriptions of potential methods: https://entnemdept.ufl.edu/creatures/urban/medical/deer_tick.htm).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding for the fire science delivery program “The Southern Fire Exchange: Putting Fire Science on the Ground” from the Joint Fire Science Program and in agreement with the United States Forest Service, Southern Research Station. The Southern Fire Exchange supports the dissemination of and access to fire science information. To learn more, visit <https://southern-fireexchange.org/>.

References

- Allan, B. F. 2009. “Influence of Prescribed Burns on the Abundance of *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the Missouri Ozarks.” *Journal of Medical Entomology* 46 (5): 1030–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0509>
- Arsnoe, I. M., G. J. Hickling, H. S. Ginsberg, R. McElreath, and J. I. Tsao. 2015. “Different Populations of Blacklegged Tick Nymphs Exhibit Differences in Questing Behavior That Have Implications for Human Lyme Disease Risk.” *PLoS One* 10 (5): e0127450. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127450>
- Barbour, A. G., and D. Fish. 1993. “The Biological and Social Phenomenon of Lyme Disease.” *Science* 260:1610–1616. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8503006>
- Barnard, D. R. 1986. “Density Perturbation in Populations of *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Beef Cattle Forage Areas in Response to Two Regimens of Vegetation Management.” *Journal of Economic Entomology* 79 (1): 122–127. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/79.1.122>
- Burg, J. G. 2001. “Seasonal Activity and Spatial Distribution of Host-Seeking Adults of the Tick *Dermacentor variabilis*.” *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 15:413–421. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0269-283x.2001.00329.x>
- Burtis, J. C., J. B. Yavitt, T. J. Fahey, and R. S. Ostfeld. 2019. “Ticks as Soil-Dwelling Arthropods: An Intersection Between Disease and Soil Ecology.” *Journal of Medical Entomology* 56 (6): 1555–1564. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz116>
- Callahan, M. A., J. M. Blair, D. J. Kitchen, and M. R. Whiles. 2003. “Macroinvertebrates in North American Tallgrass Prairie Soils: Effects of Fire, Mowing, and Fertilization on Density and Biomass.” *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35 (8): 1079–1093. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(03\)00153-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00153-6)
- Center for Disease Control. 2020. “How Ticks Spread Disease” Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ticks/life_cycle_and_hosts.html
- Cilek, J. E., and M. A. Olson. 2000. “Seasonal Distribution and Abundance of Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) in Northwestern Florida.” *Journal of Medical Entomology* 37 (3): 439–444. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/37.3.439>

- Esteve-Gassent, M. D., I. Castro-Arellano, T. P. Ferial-Arroyo, R. Patino, A. Y. Li, R. F. Medina, A. A. P. de León, and R. I. Rodríguez-Vivas. 2016. “Translating Ecology, Physiology, Biochemistry, and Population Genetics Research to Meet the Challenge of Tick and Tick-Borne Diseases in North America.” *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 92 (1): 38–64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.21327>
- Fleetwood, S. C., P. D. Tee, and G. Thompson. 1984. “Impact of Imported Fire Ant on Lone Star Tick Mortality in Open and Canopied Pasture Habitats of East Central Texas.” *Southwest Entomology* 9:158–162.
- Gallagher, M. R., J. K. Kreye, E. T. Machtinger, A. Everland, N. Schmidt, and N. S. Skowronski. 2022. “Can restoration of fire-dependent ecosystems reduce ticks and tick-borne disease prevalence in the eastern United States?” *Ecological Applications* e2637. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2637>
- Ginsberg, H. S., G. J. Hickling, R. L. Burke, N. H. Ogden, L. Beati, R. A. LeBrun, et al. 2021. “Why Lyme Disease Is Common in the Northern US, but Rare in the South: The Roles of Host Choice, Host Seeking Behavior, and Tick Density.” *PLoS Biology* 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001066>
- Gleim, E. R. 2020. “Lyme Disease Ecology: Working to Understand Lyme Ecology in a Newly Emerging Hot Spot & Implications for Beyond.” Webinar, North Atlantic Fire Science Exchange. Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3PB8Or0Md5c>
- Gleim, E. R., L. M. Conner, and M. J. Yabsley. 2013. “The Effects of *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) and Burned Habitat on the Survival of *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) and *Amblyomma maculatum* (Acari: Ixodidae).” *Journal of Medical Entomology* 50 (2): 270–276. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ME12168>
- Gleim, E. R., L. M. Conner, R. D. Berghaus, M. L. Levin, G. E. Zemtsova, and M. J. Yabsley. 2014. “The Phenology of Ticks and the Effects of Long-Term Prescribed Burning on Tick Population Dynamics in Southwestern Georgia and Northwestern Florida.” *PLoS One* 9 (11): e112174. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112174>
- Gleim, E. R., G. E. Zemtsova, R. D. Berghaus, M. L. Levin, M. Conner, and M. J. Yabsley. 2019. “Frequent Prescribed Fires Can Reduce Risk of Tick-Borne Diseases.” *Scientific Reports* 9:9974. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46377-4>
- Greenberg, C. H., S. Miller, and T. A. Waldrop. 2007. “Short-Term Response of Shrews to Prescribed Fire and Mechanical Fuel Reduction in a Southern Appalachian Upland Hardwood Forest.” *Forest Ecology and Management* 243 (2): 231–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.03.003>
- Hair, J. A., and D. E. Howell. 1970. “Lone Star Ticks: Their Biology and Control in Ozark Recreation Areas.” Oklahoma State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Bulletin B-679, Stillwater, OK.
- Harris, W. G., and E. C. Burns. 1972. “Predation of the Lone Star Tick by the Imported Fire Ant.” *Environmental Entomology* 1:362–365. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/1.3.362>
- Hoch, A. L., P. J. Semtner, R. W. Barker, and J. A. Hair. 1972. “Preliminary Observations on Controlled Burning for Lone Star Tick (Acarina: Ixodidae) Control in Woodlots.” *Journal of Medical Entomology* 9 (5): 446–451. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/9.5.446>
- Ivey, T. L., and M. K. Causey. 1984. “Response of White-Tailed Deer to Prescribed Fire.” *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 12 (2): 138–141.
- Jacobson, H. A., and G. A. Hurst. 1979. “Prevalence of Parasitism by *Amblyomma americanum* on Wild Turkey Poults as Influenced by Prescribed Burning.” *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 15 (1): 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-15.1.43>
- Jones, D. D., L. M. Conner, T. H. Storey, and R. J. Warren. 2004. “Prescribed fire and raccoon use of longleaf pine forests: implications for managing nest predation?” *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 32 (4): 1255–1259. [https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-7648\(2004\)032\[1255:PFARUO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-7648(2004)032[1255:PFARUO]2.0.CO;2)
- Jorge, M. H., E. P. Garrison, L. M. Conner, and M. J. Cherry. 2020. “Fire and Land Cover Drive Predator Abundances in a Pyric Landscape.” *Forest Ecology and Management* 461:117939. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117939>
- Leonovich, S. A. 2015. “Ontogenesis of the Questing Behavior of Hard Ticks (Ixodidae).” *Entomological Review* 95 (6): 795–804. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0013873815060135>
- Mader, E. M., C. Ganser, A. Geiger, L. C. Harrington, J. Foley, R. L. Smith, N. Mateus-Pinilla, P. D. Teel, and R. J. Eisen. 2021. “A Survey of Tick Surveillance and Control Practices in the United States.” *Journal Of Medical Entomology* 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjaa094>

- Mather, T. N., D. Fish, and R. T. Coughlin. 1994. "Competence of Dogs as Reservoirs for Lyme Disease Spirochetes (*Borrelia burgdorferi*)." *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 205 (2): 186–188.
- Mayo Clinic. 2021. "Slideshow: Guide to different tick species and the diseases they carry." Available at: <https://www.mayoclinic.org/tick-species/sls-20147911>
- Patterson T., and P. Knapp. 2018. "Longleaf Pine Masting, Northern Bobwhite Quail, and Tick-Borne Diseases in the Southeastern United States." *Applied Geography* 98:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2018.06.010>
- Rosendale, A. J., M. E. Dunlevy, A. M. Fieler, D. W. Farrow, B. Davies, and J. B. Benoit. 2017. "Dehydration and Starvation Yield Energetic Consequences That Affect Survival of the American Dog Tick." *Journal of Insect Physiology* 101:39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.06.012>
- Samish, M., and E. Aleskseev. 2001. "Arthropods as Predators of Ticks (Ixodoidea)." *Journal of Medical Entomology* 38:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-38.1.1>
- Schulze, T. L., R. A. Jordan, and R. W. Hung. 1995. "Suppression of Subadult *Ixodes scapularis* (Acari: Ixodidae) Following Removal of Leaf Litter." *Journal of Medical Entomology* 32 (5): 730–733. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/32.5.730>
- Tall Timbers Game Bird Program. 2020. Bobwhite basics. Available at: <https://talltimbers.org/game-bird-program-bobwhite-basics/>
- Teel, P. D., H. R. Ketchum, D. E. Mock, R. E. Wright, and O. F. Strey. 2010. "The Gulf Coast Tick: A Review of the Life History, Ecology, Distribution, and Emergence as an Arthropod of Medical and Veterinary Importance." *Journal of Medical Entomology* 47 (5): 707–722. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/47.5.707>
- Sonenshine, D. E. 2018. "Range Expansion of Tick Disease Vectors in North America: Implications for Spread of Tick-Borne Disease." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 15 (3): 478. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15030478>
- Willis, D., R. Carter, C. Murdock, and B. Blair. 2012. "Relationship between Habitat Type, Fire Frequency, and *Amblyomma americanum* Populations in East-Central Alabama." *Journal of Vector Ecology* 37 (2): 373–381. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1948-7134.2012.00241.x>
- White, A., and H. Gaff. 2018. "Application of Tick Control Technologies for Blacklegged, Lone Star, and American Dog Ticks." *Journal of Integrated Pest Management* 9 (1): 12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pmy006>
- Wormser, G. P., D. McKenna, N. Piedmonte, V. Vinci, A. M. Egizi, B. Backenson, and R. C. Falco. 2020. "First Recognized Human Bite in the United States by the Asian Longhorned Tick, *Haemaphysalis longicornis*." *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 70 (2): 314–316. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz449>