

Thrips Management Program for Horticultural Crops¹

Vivek Kumar, Garima Kakkar, Cristi L. Palmer, Cindy L. McKenzie, and Lance S. Osborne²

Among the 5,500 (or more) well-described species of thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) worldwide, nearly 1% are known as economically important pests (Morse and Hoddle 2006). Owing to their polyphagous nature (ability to feed on multiple plants) and damage potential to nursery and greenhouse production, thrips inflict millions of dollars loss annually. Thrips can reduce yield and/or the aesthetic or economic value of plants directly by causing feeding and egg laying injury, and indirectly by transmitting plantdamaging viruses to their hosts. Their small size (1–2 mm), tendency to hide in tiny spaces (cracks/crevices), high reproductive rate, and ability to survive in a wide range of climatic conditions help explain their significant representation on invasive pest lists of many countries. Thrips infestations can greatly impact regional and international trade of plant materials and products, due to the quarantine risks and damage associated with several species in the order.

This document presents a program to manage important thrips pests, including western flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis*), chilli thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis*), and a few other thrips species—weeping fig thrips (*Gynaikothrips uzeli*), gladiolus thrips (*Thrips simplex*), and privet thrips (*Dendrothrips ornatus*)—known to damage several horticultural crops of economic importance in the United States (Funderburk et al. 2007). Western flower thrips, which vectors *Impatiens necrotic spot virus* (INSV), *Chrysanthemum stem necrosis virus* (CSNV), *Tomato spotted wilt*

virus (TSWV) was recently associated with transmission of *Groundnut ringspot virus* (GRSV) and *Tomato chlorotic spot virus* (TCSV) in Florida. Chilli thrips is also known to transmit *Peanut bud necrosis virus* (GBNV), *Groundnut yellow spot virus* (GYSV), and *Groundnut chlorotic fan-spot virus* (GCFSV) in different parts of the world (Reitz et al. 2011; Riley et al. 2011); however in the United States, no reports of chilli thrips-mediated disease transmission have surfaced yet. Considering recent reports of chilli thrips invasion in California rose production, it is extremely important that growers take appropriate measures to minimize economic damage. More information on these thrips species and their damage potentials can be found at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/IN1089 and http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/IN833.



Figure 1. Western flower thrips, Frankliniella occidentalis (Pergande) adult.

Credits: Garima Kakkar, UF/IFAS

- 1. This document is ENY-987, one of a series of the Department of Entomology and Nematology, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date September 2016. Visit the EDIS website at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu.
- 2. Vivek Kumar, post-doctoral associate, Department of Entomology and Nematology; Garima Kakkar, fruit and alternative crops agent, UF/IFAS Extension St. Lucie County; Cristi L. Palmer, IR-4 Project Headquarters, Rutgers University; Cindy L. McKenzie, United States Horticulture Research laboratory, ARS-USDA; and Lance S. Osborne, professor, Department of Entomology and Nematology, UF/IFAS UF/IFAS Mid-Florida Research and Education Center; UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office.



Figure 2. Chilli thrips, *Scirtothrips dorsalis* (Hood) adult. Credits: Lance S. Osborne, UF/IFAS

Symptoms of Damage

The kinds of damage thrips cause differ by species, but they can affect all the above-ground surfaces of their plant hosts, including leaves, fruits, and plant shoots. Feeding by commonly occurring thrips may produce one or more of the following symptoms: i) discoloring (silvering, darkening) of the leaf surface; stippled leaves and fruits; scarred petals, ii) linear thickenings of the leaf lamina; curled and distorted leaves/terminals, iii) brown frass markings on the leaves and fruits; iv) grey to black markings on fruits, often forming a conspicuous ring of scarred tissue around the apex; v) distorted or scabby fruits; vi) early senescence of leaves; and vii) stunted growth of the host.



Figure 3. *Tomato chlorotic spot virus*-affected jimsonweed, tomato, and pepper leaves.

Credits: Garima Kakkar, UF/IFAS



Figure 4. Chilli-thrips-associated damage on different hosts in Florida. Credits: Lance S. Osborne, UF/IFAS

Management Recommendations

In response to the potential economic impacts of thrips damage, a consortium of entomologists from different organizations developed the Thrips Management Program in 2011. The program provides guidance on best management practices, including scouting, sanitation, exclusion, biological control, and chemical control. Check with your local Extension agent or specialist for the latest management recommendations targeting specific thrips species. The Thrips Management Program does not recommend insecticide applications when thrips are first detected. Instead, the program outlines steps to manage and maintain thrips populations throughout initial plant propagation and growth stages at levels that allow the final plant material to be shipped.

Scouting

Regular scouting is essential to detect thrips incidence and avoid economic damage. Thrips presence on the plant and in the production system (greenhouse/nursery) can be detected by tapping suspected plant material (flower or foliage) over a white sheet of paper, or by randomly placing blue/yellow sticky trap cards near plants. Thrips count on the sticky trap can be an indicator of the direction of the thrips movement/incidence as well as the efficacy of the control measures applied (http://biocontrol.ucr.edu/wft. html). Growers should apply insecticides when scouting reports identify that population density approaches levels where experience indicates action should be taken. Extension personnel can also provide advice and help determine when the grower should apply insecticide. Density levels requiring treatment (or treatment thresholds) vary based on factors including the crop, source(s) of infestation, history of viral infection, and environmental conditions.

Sanitation

Remove weeds, old plant debris, and growing medium from within and around the greenhouse or nursery. Eliminate old stock plants, which can harbor thrips and viruses. Removing old flowers may reduce the number of western flower thrips adults and eggs. Place flowers into a sealed bag or container and dispose in a safe place immediately. Because pests are often dispersed via transport of infested materials, be careful not to carry infested plant material or debris unsealed in an open truck/vehicle.

Exclusion

Screen greenhouse openings such as vents and sidewalls with the appropriate screen size (<0.88 mm) to exclude adult thrips from entering the greenhouse. Airflow may be obstructed with the use of screening containing small pore sizes, so the screened surface area must be increased

to compensate. Check with your local Extension specialist about proper screen sizing.

Biological Control

Several biological control agents (BCA) are available for managing thrips, including predators (i.e. Neoseiulus or Amblyseius spp., Orius spp. and Hypoaspis mites), nematodes (Steinernema feltiae), and entomopathogenic fungi (i.e. Beauveria bassiana, Isaria fumosorosea). The key for effective biological control against thrips is to release BCA early in the infestation, before they enter terminal or flower buds. BCAs will not control an existing high population of thrips before significant crop damage occurs. Some of the generalist BCA, such as Amblyseius swirskii mite, can survive and reproduce well solely by feeding on plant pollen in the absence of pest population. The prophylactic release of such predators can ensure a well-established population of predators on the plant and in the greenhouse, which may give efficient control of the arriving thrips population. Consult with your local Extension specialist about the suitable biocontrol agents available for a specific crop and pest species. Information about different thrips species and their biological control agents can be accessed at: https:// firstdetector.org/pdf/chili_thrips_deck.pdf and http:// biocontrol.ucr.edu/wft.html.

Chemical Control

Chemicals provide a limited level of thrips control. It is important to initiate application before thrips populations increase to threshold levels. Reapply as needed based on label requirements. Use the shortest labeled interval when pest pressure is high and temperatures are warm. Insecticides' mode of action should be rotated with each treatment or at most with each generation of thrips, unless the label indicates otherwise. Modes of action (http://www.irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/?ext=pdf/) of different contact and systemic insecticides available for thrips control are included in Table 1.

The effectiveness of insecticides in managing thrips can be limited due to the insects' evasion of treated surfaces/ tissues. Thrips can evade impact of insecticides in many ways, including 1) taking refuge in weeds around the main crops, 2) laying eggs inside the host tissue (leaf, flowers, fruits), which allows next generation to survive chemical application after emergence, and 3) hiding in concealed places under the leaves, or in flowers where foliar and/or drench applications do not reach (Frank 2014). However, the most important of all is the reduced susceptibility to certain insecticides, which is a major concern for commercial growers. Frequent use of the same chemistry can bring insect pests under intense selection pressure to develop

resistance against that particular insecticide. Since resistance development in arthropods is an evolutionary process and cannot be ceased, it is vital to follow recommended practices in delaying selection for insecticide resistance. In order to avoid resistance and keep thrips population under check, pest management professionals should emphasize "smart" use of insecticides, rather than weekly calendar applications during growing seasons. "Smart" use may include, but is not limited to, scouting and applying soft insecticides or biologicals as prophylactic measures early in the season. If insecticide use is necessary, three consecutive applications of insecticides should be done at the interval of 5–7 days to break the thrips generation (Frank 2014). Check the label carefully for the repeated application of an insecticide.

Following are the steps and criteria for selecting among different thrips management programs:

Progam A: Agressive Treatment Program

Criteria: Plants are virus hosts and thrips are present.

Plants are ready to be shipped and thrips populations are present in high enough numbers to reduce crop marketability.

Plants have thrips populations exceeding treatment thresholds, but the plants are not virus hosts.

Actions: Remove any plants expressing virus symptoms. Rotate insecticides with different IRAC classes. If, after treatment, thrips populations are not reduced as expected, do not use the same insecticide for at least one thrips generation.

Progam B: Maintenance Treatment Program—not using biological control agents

Criteria: Plants are virus hosts, but they have no thrips.

Plants are not virus hosts, but have low thrips populations.

Actions: Same as Program A, with applications only made when thrips populations exceed treatment thresholds. If both viral symptoms and thrips are noticed during scouting, initiate aggressive treatment program.

Program C: Maintenance Treatment Program—using biological control agents

Criteria: Plants are virus hosts, but no thrips are present.

Plants are not virus hosts but have low thrips populations.

Actions: Same as Program B, except use insecticides that have minimal impact on biological control agents.

Program D. Maintenance Treatment Program—prior to introducing biological control agents

Criteria: Plants are virus hosts, but thrips are not present. Plants are not viral hosts, but have low thrips populations. *Actions*: Prepare to introduce biological control agents into Maintenance Treatment Program.

Key for suggested thrips applications

1 DI

1. Plants are susceptible to virus
a. Yes
2. Virus is present or has been present
a. Yes
3. Thrips are present
a. Yes
4. Plants are ready to be shipped
a. Yes
5. Plants are heavily infested
a. Yes
6. Plants are heavily infested
a. Yes
7. Biological controls are being used
a. Yes
8. Biological controls have been released
a. Yes

Selected References

Cluver, J., H. Smith, J. Funderburk, and G. Frantz. 2015. Western flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis* [Pergande]). ENY 883. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. http://edis.ifas. ufl.edu//IN1089. 24 October 2016.

Frank, S. 2014. How thrips evade insecticides. *Grower talks*, insecticide and fungicide guide (2014–2015), pp 4–11. https://www.greencastonline.com/PDF/OrnamentalPDF/2014-15-Syngenta-Insecticide-Fungicide-Guide.pdf. (May 11 2016).

Funderburk, J., S. Diffe, J. Sharma, A. Hodges, and L. Osborne. 2007. Thrips of ornamentals in the Southeastern US. ENY 845. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. http://ufdc.uflib.ufl.edu/IR00002838/00001/. 24 October 2016.

Hoddle, M., and Driesche R. V. 2013 (last updated). Western flower thrips in greenhouses: A review of its biological control and other methods. http://biocontrol.ucr.edu/wft. html. 24 October 2016.

Hodges, A., S. Ludwig, L. Osborne, and G.B. Edwards. 2009. Pest thrips of the United States: Field identification guide. North Central IPM Center. 28 species included. https://firstdetector.org/pdf/chili_thrips_deck.pdf. 24 October 2016.

IR-4. 2011. Thrips management program for ornamental horticulture. http://ipm.ifas.ufl.edu/pdfs/ThripsManagementProgram-February_2011-FINAL.pdf. (May 15 2016)

IRAC Mode of Action Classification, Version 8.1. 2016. http://www.irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/?ext=pdf. 24 October 2016.

Kumar, V., D. R. Seal, and G. Kakkar. 2009. Chilli thrips (*Scirtothrips dorsalis* Hood). ENY 463. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/IN833. 24 October 2016.

Morse, J. G., and M. S. Hoddle. "Invasion biology of thrips." 2006. *Annual Review of Entomology* 51: 67–89.

Osborne, L. 2008. *Scirtothrips dorsalis* update. Available at: http://mrec.ifas.ufl.edu/lso/thripslinks.htm#DAMAGE_PHOTOS. 15 May 2016.

Reitz, S. R., G.Yu-lin, and L. Zhong-ren. 2011 "Thrips: pests of concern to China and the United States." *Agricultural Sciences in China* 10: 867–892.

Rliey, D. G., S. V. Jospeh, R. Srinivasan, and S. Diffie. 2011. "Thrips vectors of tospoviruses." *Journal of Integrated Pest management*. 1 (2). doi:10.1603/IPM10020.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by funding from NIFA, NFRI, AFE, IRAC, the IR-4 program and ANLA.

Table 1. Recommended chemical controls for thrips pests (read the label carefully before application).

							Che	Chemical efficacy	acy			Treatmer	Treatment program	
Active ingredient	\$		u				(sil				A	8	U	۵
Foliar-applied insecticides trade name (common name)	ezsbi JARI	Registered use site(s)	киоск дом	Residual impact (days)	Restricted entry interval (hours)	Western flower thrips (Frankliniel occidentalis)	Chilli thrips (Scirtothrips dorsa	Weeping fig thrip (Gynaikothrips uzo	Gladiolus thrips (Xəlqmis sqindT)	Privet thrips (Dendrothrips ornatus)	Aggressive	eonsenstrisM AD8 tuodtiw	Maintenance ADB dtiw	ADB eroted
Allectus SC (Bifenthrin + imidacloprid)	3A + 4A	T,0				Д		Е	ш			В	ON	ON ON
Aria 50 SG (Flonicamid)	26	N,1,8	M	7-14	12 h	P-E	Gr	Ь	Ъ			В	С	D
Avid 0.15EC (Abamectin)	9	G, N, S	Ъ	Contact	12 h	P-E	Gr	Ь	Gr		A	В	**)	Q
AzaGuard (Azadirachtin)	N	G, I, N, S	S	7	4 h	P–G							C	D
Azatin XL (Azadirachtin)	N	G, I, N, S	S	7	4 h			Ь	g				C	D
Botanigard 22 WP (<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> GHA)	NA	G, I, N, S	M		4 h	Ь						В	*)	D
BotaniGard ES (<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> Strain GHA)	NA	G, I, N, S	M	3	4 h	P-E						В	*)	D
Conserve SC, Entrust (Spinosad)	5	G, L, N, S	F	5	4 h	P-E	G-Gr	Ь	Gr	g	A	В	**)	D
Diazinon 50W (Diazinon)	18	Z			12–24 h				В					
Discus Tablet (Cyfluthrin + imidacloprid)	3A + 4A	z	F	7	12 h			P-G	Е			В	ON	O _N
Dursban 50 W (Chlorpyrifos)	18	z	F		24 h			Ь				B	ON	ON
Flagship 25WG (Thiamethoxam)	4A	G, L, N, S	Ь		12 h	P-E	P-E	P-G	Ш	ъ	⋖	В	*** **	
Grandevo (MBI 203 DF) (Chromobacterium subtsugae NRRL B-30655)	1	N, Ö	S		4 h	P-G							U	

					'		Che	Chemical efficacy	acy			Treatme	Treatment program	_
Active ingredient	SS		um	,	Restricted	פוןמ	(silp				Α	B	O	D
	sslo DA	Registered use site(s)	ock do	Residual impact	entry interval	inilani				othrips	ÐΛ			
Foliar-applied insecticides trade name (common name)	ายเ		риу	(days)	(hours)	Westerr thrips (Fra occide	Chilli (Gcirtothrip	Weeping (Gynaikoth	uloibslƏ s sqirAT)	tevivet (Dendro orna	İssərggA	anetniaM B tuodtiw	anstniaM Sa dtiw	anetniaM Berore B
Mainspring (Cyantraniliprole)	28	G, L, N, S	Σ		4 h	F-E								
Marathon 1% granular (Imidacloprid)	4A	0, I, N	ш		12 h			Ь				В	ON	ON
Marathon II (Imidacloprid)	4A	G, N			12 h				Gr					
Mesurol 75WP (Methiocarb)	1A	G, N	ч	Contact	24 h	P-E			Gr		A	В	ON	
Met 52 (<i>Metarhizium</i> anisopliae strain F52)	ı	G, N	Σ	5-7	4 h	Ф								
NoFly WP (Paecilomyces fumosoroseus strain FE 9901)	1	g	Σ	3-7	4 h	P-E	P-E	P-E	P-E		А	В	C	D
Orthene 97 (Acephate)	18	G, N	ъ	7	24 h			Н	Ш		А	В	ON	
Overture 35 WP (Pyridalyl)	NU	G	M	7-14	12 h	P-E	ч	Ь	Gr		A	В	C	D
Pedestal (Novaluron)	15	G, N, S	S	7-14	12 h				Е		А	В	C **	D
Proud 3 (Thyme oil)	-	TBD		2-7	0 h	P-E								
Pylon (Chlorfenapyr)	13	g	M	7-14	12 h	P-E	В		Gr		Α	В	NO	NO No
Tempo Ultra (Cyfluthrin)	3A	_						פ				В	ON	NO
TickEx EC (Metarhizium anisopliae)	ı	G, N	M	5-7	4 h	P-E				g				
TriStar (Acetamiprid)	4A	G, L, N, S	Ь		12 h	P-E	G-E		E		Α	В	NO	D

Registered use sites: G = greenhouse; I = indoors; L = lath house; O = residential, commercial and industrial landscapes; N = nursery; S = shade house; T = non-golf course turf grass; TBD = to be determined Knockdown: Fast (< 1 day), Medium (1–7 days), Slow (>7 days)

Efficacy: P = Poor (< 70% control); F = Fair (70% to 80% control); G = Good (80% to 90%); Gr = Great (90%–95%); E = Excellent (>95% control)

Residual control taken from product technical and label information, recommendations on earliest application intervals. Thrips efficacy taken from latest IR-4 efficacy summary draft. Insecticide impacts on biological control agents for thrips sourced from Biobest and Koppert Biological Systems websites.

- * Results of efficacy trials have been variable for entomopathogens, and impact on beneficial organisms is presumed to be less than that of traditional pesticide chemistries, but the data are sparse.
 - ** This insecticide is toxic to many BCAs but has a short residual and may be suitable for treating hot spots and re-introducing BCAs soon thereafter.
 - *** Gynaikothrips sp. produces galls, making it very difficult to control this species with contact insecticides.
- **** Drenches of this product are typically less problematic than foliar applications and can be used in a maintenance program if additional releases are made.