UF IFAS Extension UNIVERSITY of FLORIDA

University of Florida Potato Variety Trials Spotlight: 'Marcy'¹

Mario H. M. L. Andrade, Rodrick Z. Mwatuwa, Christian T. Christensen, and Lincoln Zotarelli²

There are several potato varieties available in the market today. Most of them have been bred or developed in production regions other than Florida. The University of Florida Potato Variety Evaluation Program screens new germplasm from public and private breeding programs and identifies the most promising cultivars for commercial potential considering broad adaptability to Florida climate and conditions and market purpose: processing, freshmarket and specialty-type varieties. Over the years, the UF/ IFAS Potato Variety Program has become an important reference to vegetable growers, seed producers, processors, crop insurance agencies, and brokers looking for alternative potato varieties to explore different markets, improved characteristics, and yield. This UF/Potato Variety Trials Spotlight presents a summary of the field evaluation of tuber yield and quality performance of the potato variety 'Marcy' cultivated in Florida.

General Comments

'Marcy' is a white-flesh and white-skinned fresh-market potato variety released from the Cornell University Potato Breeding program in 1990. The cultivar was selected from a cross between 'Atlantic' and Q155-3 (De Jong et al. 2006). In trials conducted at the University of Florida, 'Marcy' demonstrated high yield and good tuber characteristics compared to its matched commercial standards, 'Atlantic' and 'La Chipper'. Since its release, 'Marcy' has been cultivated in several trials in Florida. Production and quality results provided here are from variety trials conducted by the University of Florida Hastings Agricultural and Extension Center in 2002 and from 2011 to 2019.



Figure 1. Typical tuber and internal flesh color of 'Marcy' potato variety. Credits: Lincoln Zotarelli, UF/IFAS

- 1. This document is HS1277, one of a series of the Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date March 2016. Revised February 2019, April 2020, and February 2021. Visit the EDIS website at https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu for the currently supported version of this publication.
- Mario H. M. L. Andrade, research scholar; Rodrick Z. Mwatuwa, former graduate assistant; Christian T. Christensen, regional specialized agent II, director of Hastings Agricultural and Extension Center; Lincoln Zotarelli, assistant professor; Horticultural Sciences Department, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office. U.S. Department of Agriculture, UF/IFAS Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A & M University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Nick T. Place, dean for UF/IFAS Extension.

General Characteristics

'Marcy' has white skin with an oval, slightly flattened shape. In field trials, marketable yield ranged from 155 to 326 cwt/ ac and on average was 3% greater than that of 'Atlantic' and 44% greater than 'Red LaSoda'. Approximately 90% of the tuber size distribution was found between the A1 and A3 size classification, which is considered marketable. The specific gravity of 'Marcy' is slightly lower than that of 'Atlantic', but this is not a limitation for the tablestock market. It has greater yield potential than other freshmarket potato varieties adapted for Florida production conditions. The variety was slightly susceptible to hollow heart, corky ringspot, and internal heat necrosis in Florida conditions (Table 3).

Diseases

'Marcy' is resistant to brown rot, common scab, and race Ro1 of the golden cyst nematode. The variety is susceptible to PVX and PVY (De Jong et al. 2006). The standard UF/ IFAS Extension recommended disease and weed control program described under *Potato Production* (Chapter 14 of the *Vegetable Production Handbook for Florida*, https://edis. ifas.ufl.edu/cv131) should be followed.

Season Length and Growth

Average season length for 'Marcy' for Florida conditions was 95 days. The tuber size should be closely monitored in the weeks prior to vine killing. When desired size distribution has been achieved, vines should be killed. This typically occurs about 85 to 95 days after planting depending on growing conditions during the season. The period from vine kill to harvesting varies by season; however, the plant should be given two weeks for tubers to mature and set skin. For more information on vine-kill chemicals, see *Potato Vine Killing or Desiccation* (Zotarelli et al. 2016).

Fertilization

During the reported trials, fertilizer was applied two or three times at planting, then once or twice as side-dress applications, once at plant emergence and once when vines reached 6"–8" in height. The cumulative season N rate applied was approximately 200 lb N/acre. The first application of 100 lb N/acre was applied at planting and then also at side-dress (split into one or two applications). Special consideration should be given to the management of nitrogen. Phosphorus and potassium applications follow the UF/IFAS guidelines described in Liu et al. (2019) and normally range between 45 to 100 lb/ac of P_2O_5 and 170 to 235 lb/ac of K₂O, depending on the soil test results.

Planting

Tubers should break dormancy before planting. In Florida, recommended seed pieces of $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 oz should be planted 8 inches apart in rows spaced 40 inches apart. Closer in-row spacing reduces harvest tuber size. Excessive soil moisture late in the season degrades lenticel appearance and delays skin set.

Other Information

For additional information on cultivation and management, see the *Potato Production* chapter of the *Vegetable Production Handbook*, available at https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/cv131.

References

De Jong, W. S., D. E Halseth, B. B. Brodie, L. K. Perry, J. B. Sieczka, B. J. Christ, B. J., G. A. Porter, K. M. Paddock, M. W. Peck, and R. L. Plaisted. 2006. "Marcy: A chipping variety with resistance to common scab and the golden nematode." *American Journal of Potato Research* 83 (2): 189–193. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF02872154

Hutchinson, C. M., J. M. White, D. M., Gergela, P. A. Solano, K. G. Haynes, R. Wenrich, and C. S. Lippi. 2003. "Performance of chip processing potato varieties in northeastern Florida." *HortTechnology* 13 (4): 706–711.

Liu, G., E. H. Simonne, K. T. Morgan, G. J. Hochmuth, S. Agehara, and R. Mylavarapu. 2019. *Chapter 2. Fertilizer Management for Vegetable Production in Florida. In Vegetable Production Handbook for Florida, 2019–2020 Edition.* CV296. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/cv296

Sisson, J. A., and G. A. Porter. 2002. "Performance evaluations of potato clones and varieties in the northeastern states-1999." *Maine Agr. For. Expt. Sta., Misc. Publ.* 751.

Zotarelli, L., P. J. Dittmar, P. D. Roberts, P. Stansly, H. A. Smith, and S. E. Webb. 2019. *Chapter 14. Potato Production*. In *Vegetable Production Handbook for Florida*, 2019–2020 *Edition*. HS733. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/ cv131

Zotarelli, L., S. Sargent, P. Dittmar, and M. Makani. 2016. *Potato Vine Killing or Desiccation*. HS181. Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences. https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/hs181

Table 1. Florida rating codes for potato tuber characteristics.

			Tuber	Characteristics ¹				
Rating Code	Vine Maturity	Internal Flesh Color	Skin Color	Skin Texture	Tuber Shape	Eye Depth	Overall Appearance	
1	dead	white	purple	partial russet	round	very deep	very poor	
2	+-	cream	red	heavy russet	mostly round	+-	+-	
3	yellow and dying	light yellow	pink	moderate russet	round to oblong	deep	poor	
4	+-	medium yellow	dark brown	light russet	mostly oblong	+-	+-	
5	moderately senesced	dark yellow	brown	netted	oblong	intermediate	fair	
6	+-	pink	tan	slightly netted	oblong to long	+-	+-	
7	starting to senesce	red	buff	moderately smooth	mostly long	shallow	good	
8	+-	blue	white	smooth	long	+-	+-	
9	green and vigorous	purple	cream	very smooth	cylindrical	very shallow	excellent	

¹ Adapted from Hutchinson et al. (2003) and Sisson and Porter (2002).

Table 2. Summary of production statistics and specific gravity of 'Marcy' potato variety grown at the UF/IFAS Hastings Agricultural Extension Center, Hastings, FL.

Year	Total Yield	Marketable	% STD		Si	ze Class	s (Distril	bution b	Range %		Specific		
		yield ¹	ATL	RLS	С	В	A1	A2	A3	A4	A1 to A3	Culls	Gravity
2002	230	208	66	59	n.a.	3	45	49	3	0	97	6	1.070
2011	349	290	107	104	2	11	64	18	5	0	87	4	1.067
2012	370	326	103	230	1	4	53	25	17	0	95	8	1.069
2013	285	230	101	155	2	7	64	15	10	1	90	12	1.060
2014	364	303	144	155	1	10	68	12	8	0	88	6	1.063
2015	331	265	104	138	1	10	65	11	13	0	89	10	1.058
2016	359	318	156	314	2	6	82	7	3	0	93	4	1.067
2017	238	155	75	109	3	14	77	4	3	0	83	25	1.062
2018	245	208	99	101	3	11	80	5	2	0	87	3	1.067
2019	265	228	76	76	3	7	46	40	4	0	90	5	1.068
Average	314	261	103	144	2	8	64	17	8	0	90	8	1.064

Table 3. Yield, vine maturity, tuber characteristics, and internal tuber defects of 'Marcy' potato variety grown at the UF/ IFAS Hastings Agricultural Extension Center, Hastings, FL.

Year	Vine Maturity	Tuber Characteristics ¹							Internal Defects				
		Internal Flesh Color	Skin Color	Skin Texture	Tuber Shape	Eye Depth	Overall Appearance	Hollow Heart	Brown Rot	Corky Ring Spot	Internal Heat Necrosis		
2002	4	1	5	5	3	8	5	0	0	1	0		
2011	5	1	6	5	4	5	6	1	0	10	1		
2012	7	2	7	5	4	4	6	0	1	0	1		
2013	6	1	6	5	3	6	6	3	0	1	1		
2014	3	1	6	5	3	6	6	0	0	0	0		
2015	7	1	6	5	3	9	7	0	0	0	0		
2016	8	1	6	5	2	6	7	0	0	1	0		
2017	7	1	6	5	3	7	7	1	0	0	0		
2018	7	1	6	6	3	8	7	0	0	0	0		
2019	7	1	6	5	3	7	7	0	0	0	2		
Average	6	1	6	5	3	6	6	1	0	2	1		

¹ See rating system outlined in Florida Rating Code Table (Table 1).