

Pesticide Interactions¹

Frederick M. Fishel²

This document describes the types of interactions that can occur when pesticides are mixed and applied with other agricultural chemicals. Examples of typical incompatibilities and interactions are provided.

Introduction

An agricultural consultant's report on a peanut crop takes note of an economic threshold level thrips population as well as the emergence of several species of weeds. Since the weather forecast predicted a dominant rainy system over the next few days, the farm manager faced the all-too-common dilemma of either quickly treating the crop for both problems, or hoping for the chance that the rain might clear. Deciding that the most expedient choice was to treat the crop for both problems at once, he applied a tank mix of herbicide and insecticide.

Applying mixtures of different pesticides, or pesticides in combination with various adjuvants and fertilizers, can be an efficient approach, but there can also be negative effects. When choosing to tank-mix various agricultural chemicals, the applicator should be aware of the potential for adverse effects. Some manufacturers' pesticide labels will expressly state that the applicator assumes all liability if tank-mixing (Figure 1). However, unless expressly prohibited by a pesticide's label, mixing is legal.

TANK MIXES

NOTICE: Tank mixing or use of this product with any other product which is not specifically and expressly authorized by the label shall be the exclusive risk of user, applicator and/or application advisor. Read and follow the entire label of each product to be used in the tank mix with this product.

Figure 1. Some manufacturers' product labels contain a disclaimer regarding tank-mixing.

Incompatibilities and Mixing

Some labels will provide precautions regarding potential physical or chemical compatibility problems when combining the contents with certain substances. For example, if the effectiveness of a pesticide's active ingredient is reduced or rendered ineffective, then that is considered a chemical incompatibility. In areas where pesticides, particularly certain insecticides, are mixed with alkaline water, inactivation has been known to occur,

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A. & M. University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Larry Arrington, Dean

^{1.} This document is PI-145, one of a series of the Pesticide Information Office, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Original publication date April 2007. Visit the EDIS Web Site at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu.

Frederick M. Fishel, associate professor, Agronomy Department, and Director, Pesticide Information Office; Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611.

The use of trade names in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information. UF/IFAS does not guarantee or warranty the products named, and references to them in this publication does not signify our approval to the exclusion of other products of suitable composition. All chemicals should be used in accordance with directions on the manufacturer's label. Use pesticides safely. Read and follow directions on the manufacturer's label.

Pesticide Interactions

especially when held in suspension overnight or extended periods. Physical incompatibilities refer to mixtures that form flakes, gels, layers, crystals, or other precipitates that are not suitable for application through spraying equipment. More detailed information on incompatibilities involving different types of pesticide formulations may be obtained from the UF/IFAS EDIS Extension Document Spray Additives and Pesticide Formulations at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/LH06. This publication also outlines the proper mixing sequence when combining pesticides of different formulations. If you are planning to mix pesticides with unknown compatibilities, its always wise to mix proportionate amounts of all ingredients on a small-scale, such as in a pint- or quart-sized jar. Manufacturers' labels will also recommend this practice.

Kinds of Interactive Responses

Synergism

A synergistic response is one in which a tank-mix of 2 pesticides, or a pesticide with another substance, produces a greater response than the added effects of each substance applied separately. This can be a benefit because lower application rates are required than when the two chemicals are applied separately and therefore smaller amounts of pesticides enter the environment. A common example of a synergistic response occurs when piperonyl butoxide is mixed with pyrethrum insecticides.

Enhancement

This response is similar to synergism, with the difference that it involves the mixing of a pesticide with some type of adjuvant. When enhancement occurs, the response to the pesticide mixed with adjuvant is greater than that which would occur if the pesticide was applied alone. Adjuvants essentially function the same as inert ingredients that are added by a manufacturer – to make the product easier to apply and more effective. Examples of commonly-used adjuvants include various formulations of surfactants and crop oils. Many postemergence herbicide labels will routinely advise the user to add an adjuvant, usually crop oil concentrate or surfactant, for maximizing weed

control. This is another common example of enhancement.

Antagonism

This is an undesirable response for several reasons. If pesticides are applied in a mixture, the result may be a lower level of pest control than if either pesticide were applied alone. Another undesirable antagonistic response is crop injury. Examples of antagonistic responses have been well documented. Some of the most common involve mixtures of postemergence grass and broadleaf herbicides (Figure 2). Most often, the level of grass control is reduced when postemergence grass herbicides are mixed with postemergence broadleaf herbicides. Crop injury due to mixtures of herbicides and certain insecticide families, particularly carbamate and organophosphate insecticides, has been known to occur (Figure 3).

Application With Broadleaf Herbicides

For best results, apply ASSURE® II alone or in sequence with a broadleaf herbicide(s). Tank mixtures of ASSURE® II with chlorimuron-ethyl (e.g. DuPont[™] CLASSIC®) or with cloransulam-methyl (e.g. "FirstRate") containing herbicides may fail to control certain grass species normally controlled by ASSURE® II alone. Under arid or stressful environmental conditions, tank mixtures with other broadleaf herbicides may show a small reduction in control of some grass species. Activity of the postemergence broadleaf herbicide in the tank mixture is not affected.

Figure 2. Label statement regarding reduced weed control.

Do not tank mix **Basagran** with **malathion** or **Sevin*** **insecticides**. The tank mix addition of an insecticide to **Basagran** may increase the potential for crop injury.

Figure 3. Crop injury is an example of an antagonistic response from mixing pesticides.

Additive

An additive effect occurs when a mixture of pesticides is neither synergized nor antagonized, but each pesticide provides the same response as if either were applied (Figure 4).

Additional Information

Brecke, B.J. and J.B. Unruh. 2003. Spray Additives and Pesticide Formulations. UF/IFAS Pesticide Interactions

III. Additives

To achieve consistent weed control, one of the following additives are needed: crop oil concentrate, urea ammonium nitrate, or ammonium sulfate. Additives may cause some leaf burn, but new growth is normal and crop vigor is not reduced. The potential for leaf burn is increased when relative humidity and temperature are high. See **Table 2. Additive Rate Per Acre** for additive rates.

Figure 4. Label recommendation for an additive.

EDIS Extension Document ENH-82 http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/LH06.

•