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This document is one in a series on ocean acidification (OA). The series Introduction, Ocean Acidification: An Introduction, contains information on the causes and chemistry of OA. Because OA is very large-scale and complex, each document in the series addresses a specific aspect of this issue. Florida, with an extensive coastline and deep cultural and economic ties to marine resources, will be directly affected by changes in seawater chemistry. Thus, each topic in the series also highlights information of specific relevance for Florida.

Introduction

Increased atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) has led to increased levels of dissolved CO\textsubscript{2} in the Earth's oceans. This has generally decreased the pH of, or “acidified,” ocean water. Decreased pH, along with other chemical changes ultimately caused by an increase in dissolved CO\textsubscript{2}, could have direct effects on the physiology and behavior of fishes. (“Physiology” is the study of how an organism works; an organism's physiology refers to the biological systems that allow it to function and respond to its environment.) Scientists have dedicated a lot of time and effort to studying the potential effects of OA on fish physiology and behavior. This publication will summarize the current state of our understanding on the topic, with special emphasis on Florida fishes. It will also address current challenges in understanding the real-world effects of a complex global process using data largely collected on isolated fish in laboratory experiments.

Ocean Acidification and Fish Physiology

The most direct effects of pH on fish physiology occur in the respiratory and circulatory systems. Fish gills, similar to lungs in people, are respiratory organs designed to remove dissolved oxygen from the environment and transfer it into the blood. Blood is then circulated to the entire body to deliver these gases and other substances needed to sustain life. In people, most fishes, and indeed most vertebrates, blood pH is maintained within a relatively narrow range. This process is called acid–base regulation. Most fishes have several ways to regulate the pH of their blood, and studies have shown that they are able to do this when breathing water with much lower pH than that predicted for future seawater (Brauner and Baker 2009). While contradictory studies do exist, the consensus in scientific literature is that, in most fishes, basic indicators of physical fitness such as survival, aerobic capacity, and swimming speed are not affected by pH levels representing current or future OA conditions (Branch et al. 2013). This consensus is also supported by observations from aquaculture, where fish are often raised in pH drastically lower than predicted future values (Ellis et al. 2016). Having to perform more acid–base regulation should not threaten a fish's immediate survival, but as with any physiological response, acid–base regulation involves tradeoffs among body systems and comes at some biological cost to the animal (Heuer and Grosell 2014). For example, think of shivering when you are cold. While quite different from one another, both shivering and acid–base
regulation are physiological responses that require the use of energy and body systems that could be devoted to other ends, such as, for fish, spawning and reproduction.

Branch et al. (2013) reviewed several experiments testing for effects of OA on reproduction and early life stages of fish. While there is some variability among species, there have been generally no or limited effects on fish sperm motility or embryo development, even at oceanic levels of pH/CO$_2$ predicted for the year 2300. Hamilton et al. (2017) illustrated the importance of considering variability among species by simultaneously conducting identical experiments on two species of rockfish in the genus *Sebastes*. One species showed decreased swimming speed and aerobic capacity as pH decreased, while the other was not affected. These species-specific differences in sensitivity to OA make it important to consider the overall body of research on this topic and not give too much weight to any single result.

**Ocean Acidification and Fish Behavior**

While current consensus is that present day and future OA conditions have limited direct effects on basic physiological processes such as respiration and reproduction, an emerging body of literature suggests that more complex interactions of physiology and environment can be affected in potentially important ways. It is important to note that studying a complex trait such as behavior is much less straightforward than studying basic physiological processes such as respiration. When we refer to fish behavior, we mean the array of muscle and body movements fish make in response to sensory cues. Diverse sensory cues are interpreted in a fish’s brain. The way the fish moves in response to its environment—how it behaves—is driven by its neural physiology, the systems in the fish’s body that allow it to sense and react to its environment. Behavior involves interactions among the brain, sensory organs, and muscles, which are all connected by nerves. Decreased pH has been shown to affect senses in fish including sight (Ferrari et al. 2012) and hearing (Simpson et al. 2011), and especially the sense of smell, which is called olfaction. A review of published experiments determined that olfaction is the sense most affected by OA conditions (Cattano et al. 2018). Cattano et al. (2018) analyzed OA physiology experiments from 64 different studies covering 42 marine fish species from different areas of the world. Overall, OA affected fish behavior by decreasing direct response to sensory stimuli by 54% and increasing general activity levels by 108%. In real-world terms, this resulted in things like decreased foraging for food and increased predation risk from higher activity and less propensity for hiding. Conversely, the size of overall effects on basic physiology ranged from a 7% increase in resting metabolic rate, which is the basic metabolism required to sustain the fish and does not include movements, to a 4% decrease in larval yolk reserves. Several basic physiological measurements including survival, metabolic scope, reproduction, and growth were unaffected by OA. Thus, Cattano et al. (2018) further support the conclusion that fishes are largely able to cope with OA at the most basic levels of physiology, but that senses and behaviors are affected. Interestingly, some research has suggested that the behavior of some fish living in areas that naturally experience low or fluctuating pH, such as coastal upwelling zones, may be less affected by OA (Kwan et al. 2017). As noted in the previous section, species-specific differences are important to consider. Hamilton et al. (2017) studied a fish from the same area using a similar pH range and found that OA conditions disrupted behavior. Thus, while supported by some evidence, it should not be assumed that all fishes from naturally low or variable pH zones will be less affected by OA.

One interesting example of the effects of OA is on a behavior called lateralization. Individual fish are often either left or right “handed,” which means that they have a pronounced tendency to turn one direction over the other. An early experiment on larval damselfish, which has been supported by others, showed that OA reduced lateralization, or decreased the “handedness,” of these larvae (Domenici et al. 2012). This example demonstrates OA effects on a very basic brain function. Others better illustrate how behavioral changes might affect fish in real-world scenarios. Many coral reef fishes use the sense of smell to choose where to settle as larvae, avoid predators, or maintain a home range. Multiple studies have suggested that OA may impair these behaviors by affecting the sense of smell (Devine et al. 2012a; Devine et al. 2012b; Munday et al. 2009). OA has also been shown to decrease predator avoidance by affecting vision (Chung et al. 2014; Ferrari et al. 2012). Sensory effects that lead to behavior changes such as these have the potential to affect fish ecology by changing distributions and predator-prey interactions. Tresguerres and Hamilton (2017) provide a comprehensive review of the specific mechanisms thought to be responsible for OA effects on neural function in fishes.
Florida is the only contiguous US state with coral reef ecosystems. Most of the sensory and behavioral studies to date have been on reef fishes and larvae. While these were primarily conducted on species from a different region of the globe—the Indo-Pacific—it is reasonable to expect that the negative impacts on neurological function observed in these studies would translate to coral reef fishes in Florida and the Caribbean.

The effects of OA on a few Florida native fishes have been studied directly. The inland silverside *Menidia beryllina* is a very common and widespread species that inhabits brackish water estuaries and freshwater habitats in Florida. A study by Baumann et al. (2012) found reduced growth (18% decrease) and survival (78% decrease) when inland silverside eggs and larvae were exposed to OA conditions through the first week after hatch. This result appears to contradict the previously stated consensus regarding growth and survival effects on marine fishes. Thus, this species, and newly hatched larvae generally, deserve further study. The Gulf toadfish *Opsanus beta* inhabits sand bottoms and seagrass meadows of shallow bays on Florida’s Gulf Coast. A study of OA effects on acid–base regulation and respiratory physiology in this species was one of the first to support the general conclusions that neurosensory systems are compromised, and cardiovascular systems tend to compensate (Esbaugh et al. 2012). More recent work on red drum or redfish *Sciaenops ocellatus*, a very popular sportfish throughout coastal Florida, found that the species was able to compensate for OA conditions, but part of the compensation included physical changes in the gills (Esbaugh et al. 2016). Beyond these three studies, there is not a lot of readily available information on how OA affects Florida fishes. Given the species-specific nature of how OA affects fish and the relative scarcity of studies conducted on Florida natives, our understanding of how Florida’s fisheries might be affected could be improved with additional study.

**Translating OA Effects on Fishes from Lab to Nature**

Most OA studies on fish physiology have been conducted in laboratories and were designed to exclude as many variables as possible and isolate the effects of OA on the fish. While this is necessary to understand how OA affects fish directly, it can make it difficult to translate results to the real world, which is full of variables and in constant flux. Recently, scientists have begun to study fishes at natural CO$_2$ seeps, which may mimic future OA conditions, to see if behavioral changes noted in the lab translate to nature (Cattano et al. 2017; Milazzo et al. 2016; Munday et al. 2014). Multi-generational studies may also help to reveal what capacity fish have to adapt to OA across generations. Major questions that remain include (1) the ability of individual fish to acclimatize to lower pH over longer time periods or move to areas of higher pH; (2) adaptive parental effects, or the possibility that parent fish exposed to OA produce offspring that are more resilient to this condition; and (3) the degree to which genetic variation and natural selection in fish will be affected by future OA conditions. Finally, a freely available paper (Browman 2016) introduces a set of articles that take a broader look at OA and how it might affect fishes and marine ecosystems generally. While we understand a great deal about how OA affects specific fish species, we are just beginning to scratch the surface of predicting what it might mean for future global fisheries.
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