Mango Fruit Fly, Marula Fruit Fly, *Ceratitis cosyra* (Walker) (Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae)¹

G. J. Steck²

Introduction

Ceratitis cosyra is commonly known as the mango fruit fly or marula fruit fly based on its common occurrence in these host plants. Marula is a native African fruit related to mango and sometimes known locally as wild plum. The fly is a serious pest in smallholder and commercial mango across sub-Saharan Africa and has been recorded in Ivory Coast, Kenya, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe, where it is more destructive than either Mediterranean fruit fly (Medfly; *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann)) or the Natal fruit fly (*Ceratitis rosa* Karsch) (Malio 1979; Labuschagne et al. 1996; Javaid 1979; De Lima 1979; Rendell et al. 1995; Lux et al. 1998). Its impact is growing along with the more widespread commercialization of mango in these countries. Late maturing varieties of mango suffer most in Zambia (Javaid 1986). In Ivory Coast, *C. cosyra* and *Ceratitis anonae* Graham are the main pests in guava (N'Guetta 1993). *Ceratitis cosyra*, as larvae in infested mangoes from Africa, is one of the most commonly intercepted fruit flies in Europe (I. M. White, The Natural History Museum,

London, personal communication). Fruit flies known as *Ceratitis giffardi* Bezzi and *Ceratitis sarcocephali* (Bezzi) may be the same as *C. cosyra*, but the taxonomy remains ambiguous (De Meyer 1998).

Distribution

It is widespread in sub-Saharan Africa, occurring in at least 22 countries, and Madagascar (CABI/EPPO 1999).

Description

Body and wing color yellowish; sides and posterior of thorax prominently ringed with black spots, dorsum yellowish except for two tiny black spots centrally and two larger black spots near scutellum; scutellum with three wide, black stripes separated by narrow yellow stripes; wing length 4 to 6 mm, costal band and discal crossband joined. Adults are similar in size, coloration, and wing markings to Medfly. However, the thorax of Medfly has much more black, and the apex of its scutellum is solid black; the costal band and discal crossband of the Medfly wing are not joined.

1. This document is EENY-286, originally published as DPI Entomology Circular 394, one of a series of Featured Creatures from the Entomology and Nematology Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Published: May 2003. This document is also available on Featured Creatures Website at <http://creatures.ifas.ufl.edu>. Please visit the EDIS Website at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>. Additional information on these organisms, including many color photographs, is available at the Entomology and Nematology Department website at <http://entnemdept.ifas.ufl.edu/>.

2. G. J. Steck, Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL.

Ceratitis cosyra differs also from the Natal fly, the latter being larger, lacking black spots laterally (postpronotal) on the thorax, and the costal band and discal crossband are not joined. Also, males of *C. cosyra*, as members of the subgenus *Ceratalaspis*, lack capitate setae such as are present in subgenus *Ceratitis* (e.g., Medfly), and they lack feathering of the tibia as in the subgenus *Pterandrus* (e.g., Natal fly).

Excellent keys to distinguish major fruit fly pests of the genus *Ceratitis* are available in White and Elson-Harris (1992). De Meyer (1998) provided a complete taxonomic treatment of the subgenus *Ceratalaspis*, including key characters to separate *C. cosyra* from other closely related and similar pest species, such as *Ceratitis discussa* Munro.

Figure 1. Adult female mango fruit fly, *Ceratitis cosyra* (Walker). Credits: Jeffrey Lotz, Division of Plant Industry

Life History

Life stage durations are approximately as follows: egg - two to three days, larvae - five or more days (nine to 15 days in laboratory colonies), pupae - nine to 12 days, adults - up to 41 days (60 days in lab colonies). Females first oviposit at about age five days, and oviposition continues up to age two weeks (up to eight weeks in lab colonies). Fruits are heavily infested, with an average of 50 larvae per fruit (Malio 1979; Lux, personal communication for lab colony data).

Hosts

Table 1. Mango fruit fly hosts.

Family	Species
Anacardiaceae	<i>Mangifera indica</i> (mango) <i>Sclerocarya birrea</i> (marula plum)
Anisophyllaceae	<i>Anisopyllea laurina</i>
Annonaceae	<i>Annona cherimola</i> (cherimoya) <i>A. reticulata</i> (custard apple) <i>A. senegalensis</i> (wild custard-apple) <i>Rollinia sieberi</i>
Apocynaceae	<i>Conopharyngia penduliflora</i> <i>Landolphia</i> sp. <i>Saba senegalensis</i>
Canellaceae	<i>Warburgia ugandensis</i>
Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Parinari mobola</i> <i>Chrysobalanus</i> sp.
Ebenaceae	<i>Diospyros mespiliformis</i>
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Uapaca kirkiana</i>
Flacourtiaceae	<i>Dovyalis caffra</i> (Kei apple)
Lauraceae	<i>Persea americana</i> (avocado)
Myrtaceae	<i>Psidium guajava</i> (guava)
Papilionoideae	<i>Cordyla africana</i>
Rosaceae	<i>Prunus persica</i> (peach)
Rubiaceae	<i>Nauclea latifolia</i> <i>Sarcocephalus esculentus</i>
Rutaceae	<i>Citrus aurantium</i> (sour orange)
(All from White and Elson-Harris 1992; De Meyer 1998; and S. Lux, ICIPE, Nairobi, personal communication).	

Monitoring and Management

Ceratitis cosyra adults may be attracted to terpinyl acetate, but not to trimedlure or methyl eugenol. Adult populations can be reduced with insecticidal protein-bait sprays in the same manner as other fruit flies. Post-harvest quarantine treatments include hot water dips (*C. cosyra* larvae survive heat treatment better than Medfly or Natal fruit fly, but exact treatment parameters are not yet established) and prolonged exposure to temperatures below 7.5°C.

Selected References

CAB International / European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (CABI/EPPO). 1999. *Ceratitis cosyra*. Distribution maps of plant pests. No. 592. 2 p.

De Meyer M. 1998. Revision of the subgenus *Ceratitis* (*Ceratalaspis*) Hancock (Diptera: Tephritidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 88: 257-290.

Javaid I, De Lima CPF. 1979. Attack of mangoes in Zambia by the fruit fly *Pardalaspis cosyra*. Bulletin of African Insect Science 3: 17.

Javaid I. 1986. Causes of damage to some wild mango fruit trees in Zambia. International Pest Control 28: 98-99.

Labuschagne T, Brink T, Steyn WP, De Beer MS. 1996. Fruit flies attacking mangoes -- their importance and post harvest control. Yearbook South African Mango Growers' Association 16: 17-19.

Lux SA, Zenz N, Kimani S. 1998. The African fruit fly initiative: development, testing and dissemination of technologies for the control of fruit flies. ICIPE Annual Scientific Report 1998- 1999 7: 78-80.

Malio E. 1979. Observations on the mango fruit fly *Ceratitis cosyra* in the Coast Province, Kenya. Kenya Entomologist's Newsletter 19: 7.

N'Guetta K. 1994. Inventory of insect fruit pests in northern Cote d'Ivoire. Symposium on tropical orchards, Montpellier, France, 30 August - 5 September, 1993. Fruits - Paris 49: 430- 431, 502-503.

Rendell CH, Mwashenyi E, Banga DJ. 1995. The mango fruit fly: population and varietal susceptibility studies. Zimbabwe Science News 29: 12-14.

White I M, Elson-Harris M. 1992. Fruit flies of economic significance: their identification and bionomics. International Institute of Entomology, London. 601 p. PI-01T-12