classroom

THE USE OF LOTUS 1-2-3 MACROS IN ENGINEERING CALCULATIONS

EDWARD M. ROSEN Monsanto Company St. Louis, MO 63167

)hÐ

THERE IS A GROWING recognition of the potential usefulness of spreadsheet programs throughout the chemical engineering curriculum. This has been confirmed by the Education and Accreditation Committee of AIChE in its listing of the CACHE Corporation's recommendation of "Desired Computer Skills for Chemical Engineering Graduates" [1]. One of the desired skills is the use of the spreadsheet.

For educational use, the spreadsheet provides some appealing features:

- The student must have a complete understanding of the problem. He does not use a "canned" program which may hide the solution method.
- The spreadsheet allows the student to view the problem's solution directly without the need to print out iterations or look at an output file.
- The spreadsheet facility is generally available when other computational facilities may not be.

For industrial users, the spreadsheet is also of considerable interest:

- The user can use one system for a variety of problems. He need not learn multiple systems to carry out his job.
- There is a certain level of integration the user of the spreadsheet program can achieve by reading files from and sending files to other programs.

Edward M. Rosen is a senior fellow in the Monsanto Chemical Company. He received his BS and MS degrees in chemical engineering from Illinois Institute of Technology and his PhD from the University of Illinois. He is coauthor (with E. J. Henley) of the book Material and Energy Balance Computations (John Wiley, 1969). A past chairman of the CAST Division of AlChE, he is currently chairman of the Process Engineering Task Force of the CACHE Corporation.



The use of the spreadsheet in chemical engineering calculations has been recently reviewed [2]. However, the use of macros was not indicated. Such macros extend the usefulness of the spreadsheet into a variety of applications which would be quite improbable without them. In this discussion, the macros of the popular spreadsheet program LOTUS 1-2-3 [3] will be used (Version 2.01).

MACROS

Macros were originally intended to simply allow the user to store a series of keystrokes so that they wouldn't have to be reentered in routine applications. Macros, however, allow programming in a broader sense. The early version of LOTUS 1-2-3 macros (/X commands) were difficult to use and to follow. However, with Release 2 the Advanced Macro Commands have become available. These are named in such a way as to be much more understandable, and one can follow a listing with comparative ease.

One of the limitations of the standard worksheet is that it doesn't allow for the use of "loop within loop" calculations which arise so often in chemical engineering. However, with macros, this limitation is removed and the capability to use subroutines, much as in FORTRAN, is possible.

A related limitation of the standard spreadsheet is the inability to jump to an arbitrary location as a result of a conditional evaluation. With macros, this is not only possible but also invaluable.

Since learning the macro language takes time and effort, it is fair to ask whether it is worthwhile to learn macros—especially if a calculation can be carried out in another way, say by using FORTRAN or BASIC. The answer certainly depends on system availability, accessibility, familiarity, and the time available for solution. However, it is worthwhile to note that the advanced macro capability of LOTUS 1-2-3 can be made useful with about one day's effort.

© Copyright ChE Division ASEE 1990

SUBROUTINES

There are differences in using subroutines in LOTUS 1-2-3 as compared to FORTRAN. The first is the ability in LOTUS 1-2-3 to address and manipulate any cell in the spreadsheet whether or not it is passed as an argument to the subroutine. Results from the subroutine cannot be placed in a relative location (*i.e.*, the address cannot be passed on output). Instead the output must be picked up from locations designated by the subroutine.

These comments are illustrated in Figure 1 which shows the coding for a general purpose subroutine, ROOTX, based on Wegstein's method [4] for solving a one dimensional equation in the form

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

The subroutine operates in two modes. If 'code'

To Run:	H1:	Prob No. 2	P1:	upper	0.8
Set H1			P2:	lower	-9
& H3	H3:	x 409.9927	P3:		
Then alt q	H4 :	f(x) 409.9927	P4:	x	409.9927
			P5:	f(x)	409.9927
Problem 1	van der	Waals Constants	P6:	code	1
from Myers			P7:	counter	4
& Seider	H8:	a= 1351000	P8:	x1	409.3106
Page 455	H9:	b= 38.64	P9:	f1	410.0009
	H10:	R= 82.06	P10:	x2	409.9942
van der	H11:	T=(deg K) 173.15	P11:	f2	409.9927
Waals Eqn	H12:	P=(atm) 50	P12:	slope	-0.01200
			P13:	t	0.988133
Initial v	H14:	RT 14208.68	P14:	t*delta	-0.00151
in H3: 600	H15:	a/v^2+P 77.30286	P15:	term1	0.683556
			P16:	denom	0.683556
Soln: 222.4454	EVAL	(LET H14, H10*H11)			
		(LET H15, H8/(H3*H3)+H12)			
		(LET H4, H14/H15+H9)			
			P21:	ROOTX	(DEFINE P4:VALUE, P5:VALUE)
			P22:		(CALC)
			P23:		(IF P6=0)(BRANCH P25)
			P24:		(BRANCH P27)
Problem 2	H25:	3339.3	P25:		/CP6~P7P16~
from Reklaitis	H26:	451.1603	P26:		(RETURN)
Page 445	H27:	613.8811	P27:		(LET P7, P7+1)
	H28:	188.4174	P27:		(LET P8, P10)
	H29:	18.65373	P29:		(LET P9, P11)
Initial T			P30:		(LET P10,P4)
in H3: 700			P31:		(LET P11,P5)
	EVAL1	(LET H25,3339.3)	P32:		(LET P15, @ABS(P10-P8))
Soln: 409.9927		(LET H26,1.138E-2*(H3^2-298^2)/2.)	P33:		(IF P15>0)(BRANCH P36)
		(LET H27,0.4338E-4*(H3^3-298^3)/3.)	P34:		(LET P16, 1)
		(LET H28,0.37E-7*(H3^4-298^4)/4.)	P35:		(BRANCH P37)
		(LET H29,1.01E-11*(H3^5-298^5)/5.)	P36:		(LET P16, P10-P8)
		(LET H4,298+(H25+H26-H27+H28-H29)/2	9.88) P37:		(LET P12, AMIN(P1, AMAX(P2, (P11-P9)/P16)))
			P38:		(LET P13, 1/(1-P12))
			P39:		{LET P14,P13*(P11-P10)}
			P40:		(LET P4,P4+P14)
			P41:		(1F P7=1)(LET P4,P5)
			P42:		(RETURN)
\٩	(LET PE	,0) Clear			
	(ROOTX	H3, H4)			
	(LET PE	,1) Set ROOT)			
G47:	CIF H1=	1)(BRANCH G50) Select Pr	ob 1 or 2		
	(EVAL1)				
	(BRANCH	G51)			
G50:	(EVAL)				
G51:	(IF BAB	S(H3-H4)<1.E-6)(BRANCH G55) Test Com	rergence		

FIGURE 1. Use of subroutine ROOTX in Macrola.

Get new x Set x to f(x)

Complete

Evalute function

(ROOTX H3.H4)

(LET H3,P4) (BRANCH G47)

(CALC)

655.

(cell P6) is set to 0, then the working cells (P7 to P16) are cleared, and control is returned to the calling routine. If 'code' is set to 1, then ROOTX will return a new x for each pair of values x and f(x) supplied as arguments which are passed to cells P4 and P5 using the DEFINE keyword. Note that the upper and lower bounds on the slope in Wegstein's method are set in cells P1 and P2.

The calling program is given in the macro q. It is set up to solve either of two problems determined by cell H1 (Problem Selection). The arguments passed to the subroutine, H3, x, and H4, f(x), are specified in the call to the subroutine, *i.e.*, {ROOTX H3,H4}. The new value of x generated by ROOTX is picked up from location P4 and passed to location H3 with the macro command {LET H3,P4}. The convergence criterion is given in the calling program and can be problem dependent. Depending on the problem specification given by H1(1 or 2) the macros EVAL or EVAL1 are used to evaluate f(x). Problem 1 (EVAL) is taken from Myers and Seider [5] in which the value of v is sought in the van der Waals equation in the form v = f(v). Problem 2 is taken from Reklaitis [6] in which a temperature is sought in an equation in the form T = f(T). The solutions found in each case agree with those given by the authors. Note that in each case (EVAL and EVAL1) the value of H4, f(x), is calculated from the value of H3, x.

PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

The use of the spreadsheet to solve the steady state LaPlace equation in two dimensions and the simple parabolic equation in one dimension and time was discussed in [2]. In each of these cases the problem could be set up in a single two dimensional table and solved by an appropriate finite difference formulation using the standard spreadsheet. However, this is not possible in the following case.

Consider heat transfer in a cylinder of radius a and height L:

$$\rho \mathbf{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \theta} = \mathbf{k} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{R}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{R}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{Z}^2} \right)$$
(2)

where

- ρ = density
- c = heat capacity
- k = thermal conductivity
- T = temperature
- R = radial coordinate
- Z = height coordinate
- $\theta = time$

with boundary conditions

In order to put Eq. (2) into dimensionless form, let

$$r = \frac{R}{a}, \quad z = \frac{Z}{a}, \quad u = \frac{(T - T_i)}{(T_w - T_i)}, \quad t = \frac{\omega\theta}{a^2} \text{ where } \omega = \frac{k}{\rho c}$$
 (3)

Then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} = \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^2}\right) \tag{4}$$

with boundary conditions

at t=0 u=0 at 0 < r < 1 and 0 < z < L/aat $t \ge 0$ u=1 at r=1 and z=0 and z=L/a

Eq. (4) may be solved by finite differences using the

stable Crank-Nicolson method [7,8]. Let

i = index in the r direction

j = index in the z directionn = index in time t

n = index in time t

Then using finite difference approximations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{i,j,n}}{\Delta t}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial r^2} \approx 0.5 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i+1,j,n} - 2\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n} + \mathbf{u}_{i-1,j,n}}{(\Delta r)^2} \\ + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i+1,j,n+1} - 2\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n+1} + \mathbf{u}_{i-1,j,n+1}}{(\Delta r)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial r} \approx \frac{1}{2i\Delta r} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n} - \mathbf{u}_{i-1,j,n}}{\Delta r} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{i-1,j,n+1}}{\Delta r} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial z^2} \approx 0.5 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,j+1,n} - 2\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n} + \mathbf{u}_{i,j-1,n}}{(\Delta z)^2} \\ + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{i,j+1,n+1} - 2\mathbf{u}_{i,j,n+1} + \mathbf{u}_{i,j-1,n+1}}{(\Delta z)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Substituting these approximations into Eq. (4) and solving for $u_{i,j,n+1}$ there results

$$u_{i,j,n+1} = \frac{1}{A - \frac{B}{i}} \begin{pmatrix} (D + B / i)u_{i,j,n} + (B - B / i)(u_{i-1,j,n} + u_{i-1,j,n+1}) \\ + B(u_{i+1,j,n+1} + u_{i+1,j,n}) \\ + C(u_{i,j-1,n+1} + u_{i,j-1,n} + u_{i,j+1,n+1} + u_{i,j+1,n}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where

$$A = \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{(\Delta r)^2} + \frac{1}{(\Delta z)^2}$$
$$B = \frac{1}{2(\Delta r)^2}$$
$$C = \frac{1}{2(\Delta z)^2}$$
$$D = \frac{1}{\Delta t} - \frac{1}{(\Delta r)^2} - \frac{1}{(\Delta z)^2}$$

Eq. (5) allows the computation of u at time n + 1 from the values at time n. This permits the following scheme:

1. Set up a table to store the values of u at time n. Initially this will be all zeros, except at the boundaries. Call this table the Storage Table (C100..AC125). It is shown in Figure 2 with the cells labeled.

CHEMICAL ENGINEERING EDUCATION

102

FIGURE 2. Spreadsheet solution to Eq. (4) using Macro \a.

				1.	(BREAKON)		
					(IF E4=2)(BRANCH G28)		1.157407407 0.000000003
					(LET 84,0)		2.314814814 0.00000087
				65:	/CE84-87810-	Time in hrs	
Solution to Perabolic					/CE84-AY1AY100-	(AY) and	4.629629629 0.000003741
Two Dimensional Unste	ady State in Cyl	indrical Con	ordinates		/CE84-A21 A2100-	Scaled	5.787037037 0.000014418
	To Run set	E4 to 1 (&	alt a) and then 2		/DFC99.AC99-	Temperature	6.94444444 0.000044122
Counter: 84:	50 Set 1-Init	ialize E4:	2		-1-1	at	8.101851851 0.000112568
	Set 2-Run			G10:	/DF8100.8125-	a/2 and $L/2$	9.259259259 0.000248133
Completed					0-1	(AZ)	10.41666666 0.000485837
Iter 87:	50				/CE86-C100AC100-		11.57407407 0.000863705
Time Hrs 88: 57.870	37037				/CE86-AC101 AC125-		12.73148148 0.001418828
Temp 89: 0.1627	92552				/CE86-C125 AC125-		13.88866868 0.002184161
Target 810: 0.7857	14285			615:	/DFC199.AC199-		15.04629629 0.003186492
Times 811:	50				-1-1		16.20370370 0.004445556
Physical Properties:					/DF8200.8225~		17.36111111 0.005974040
ayarcat Properties:					0-1		18.51851851 0.007778144
Redius					/CE86-C200 AC200-		19.67592592 0.009858463
Height	Heters	E62:	0.5	620:	/CE86-AC201AC225-		20.8333333 0.012210978
neight	Heters	E63:	1		/CE86-C225 AC225-		21.99074074 0.014828040
Init Temp			_		/CE84-C101.AB124-		23.14814814 0.017699274
	Cent	E65:	75		(LET A99, TIME HRS)		24.30555555 0.020612363
Wall Temp	Cent	E66:	5		(LET A199, TIME MRS)		25.46296296 0.024153711
Target Temp	Cent	E67:	20	625 :	(LET E93,0)		26.62037037 0.027708980
				923:	(LET A100,0)		27.77777777 0.031463521
Density	KG/M 3	E69:	1000		(LET A200,0)		28.93518518 0.035402703
Thermal Cond	W/(M*C)	E70:	0.15	628:	(CALC)		30.09259259 0.039512162
Heat Cap	J/(KG*C)	E71:	2500		(IF E4=1)(QUIT)		31.25 0.043777964
				630:	(LET E93,1)		32.40740740 0.048186832
Thermal Diff			an and a second second	630:	(LET 84,84+1)		33.56481481 0.052726031
Omega	WM2/J	E74:	6.0000E-08		(LET A200, (E79*84*E80)/3600.)		34.72222222 0.057383614
					(LET A100,A200)		35.87962963 0.062148349
Table Increments:					(LET 87,84)		37.03703703 0.067009744
Delta r (R/a)		E77:	0.04	G35:			38.19444444 0.071958031
Delta z (Z/a)		E78:	0.08	637:	(LET 88,A200) (LET 810,E85)		39.35185185 0.076964146
Delta Dimensionless	Time	E79: E80:	1.0000E-03 4.1667E+06		(CALC)		40.50925925 0.082079706
a*a/Omega		EOU:	4.100/2400		(RECALC C201AB224, (84<0), 12)		41.66666666 0.087236963
					/RVC201AB224~		42.82407407 0.092448781
Table Values:							43.98148148 0.097708587
Initial Temperature	(scaled)	E84 :	0	G40:	C101.AB124~		45.138888888 0.103010338
Final Scaled Temper	ature	E85:	0.7857142857		(LET \$9,0.25*(Q112+Q113+P112+P113))		46.29629629 0.108348481
Wall Temperature (S	icaled)	E86:	1		(CALC)		47.45370370 0.113717919
					(IF #4=1)(GOTO)AY1~		48.61111111 0.119113971
A		E88:	1781.25		(IF #4>1)(DOWN 1)(LEFT 1)		49.76851851 0.124532344
		E89:	312.5	G45:	/RV88		50.92592592 0.129969097
c		E90:	78.125		(RIGHT 1)		52.08333333 0.135420614
D		E91:	218.75		/RV89		53.24074074 0.140883577
					(IF 89>810)(QUIT)		54.39814814 0.146354939
Initiator		E93:	1		(IF 87=811)(QUIT)		55.55555555 0.151831902
				G50:	(BRANCH G28)		56.71296296 0.157311894
							57.87037037 0.162792552

Storage table after 50 iterations.

			Center Line							
		C97/C197	D97/D197	E97/E197	P97/P197	997/9197	297/2197	AA97/AA197	AB97/AB197	AC97/AC197
		C98/C198	D98/D198	E98/E198	P98/P198	998/9198	Z98/Z198	AA98/AA198	AB98/AB198	AC98/AC198
TIME HRS		-1	0	1	12	13	22	23	24	25
57.87037037	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
101/201:	1	0.8019503696	0.801785688	0.8019503696	0.8282357983	0.8351236273	0.9502170828	0.9669669609	0.9836623894	1
102/202:	2	0.616361832	0.616042838	0.616361832	0.6672779054	0.6806200387	0.9035656241	0.9360117568	0.9683524371	1
103/203:	3	0.4533459104	0.452891384	0.4533459104	0.5258953404	0.5449065092	0.8625868594	0.908820593	0.9549041101	1
104/204:	4	0.3190524537	0.318486287	0.3190524537	0.4094218807	0.4331029499	0.8288267437	0.8864192765	0.9438247564	1
105/205:	5	0.2152089802	0.214556496	0.2152089802	0.3193564049	0.3466482528	0.8027200517	0.8690963093	0.9352570679	1
106/206:	6	0.1397606841	0.139045490	0.1397606841	0.2539176133	0.2838327042	0.7837509686	0.8565094415	0.9290317776	1
107/207:	7	0.0881935758	0.087435520	0.0881935758	0.2091912275	0.2408991162	0.7707853961	0.8479061644	0.9247767107	1
108/208:	8	0.0550020135	0.054216366	0.0550020135	0.1804025826	0.2132643534	0.7624396849	0.842368376	0.9220377909	1
109/209:	9	0.03487747	0.034075089	0.03487747	0.1629476435	0.1965090051	0.7573794531	0.8390106594	0.9203771053	1
110/210:	10	0.0234283931	0.022616488	0.0234283931	0.1530174582	0.1869768206	0.754500637	0.8371004192	0.9194323234	1
111/211:	11	0.017458014	0.016641140	0.017458014	0.1478392427	0.1820061544	0.7529994459	0.8361043026	0.9189396559	1
112/212:	12	0.0149517193	0.014132758	0.0149517193	0.1456655323	0.1799195729	0.7523692811	0.8356861562	0.9187328457	1
113/213:	13	0.0149517192	0.014132758	0.0149517192	0.1456655323	0.1799195729	0.7523692811	0.8356861562	0.9187328457	1
114/214:	14	0.0174580139	0.016641140	0.0174580139	0.1478392426	0.1820061544	0.7529994459	0.8361043026	0.9189396559	1
115/215:	15	0.023428393	0.022616488	0.023428393	0.1530174581	0.1869768205	0.754500637	0.8371004192	0.9194323234	1
116/216:	16	0.0348774697	0.034075088	0.0348774697	0.1629476434	0.1965090049	0.7573794531	0.8390106593	0.9203771053	1
117/217:	17	0.0550020132	0.054216365	0.0550020132	0.1804025825	0.2132643533	0.7624396849	0.842368376	0.9220377909	1
118/218:	18	0.0881935755	0.087435519	0.0881935755	0.2091912273	0.2408991161	0.770785396	0.8479061644	0.9247767107	1
119/219:	19	0.1397606837	0.139045489	0.1397606837	0.2539176131	0.2838327041	0.7837509686	0.8565094415	0.9290317776	1
120/220:	20	0.2152089798	0.214556496	0.2152089798	0.3193564047	0.3466482527	0.8027200517	0.8690963093	0.9352570679	1
121/221:	21	0.3190524533	0.318486287	0.3190524533	0.4094218805	0.4331029497	0.8288267437	0.8864192765	0.9438247564	1
122/222:	22	0.45334591	0.452891384	0.45334591	0.5258953403	0.5449065091	0.8625868594	0.908820593	0.9549041101	1
123/223:	23	0.6163618318	0.616042837	0.6163618318	0.6672779053	0.6806200386	0.9035656241	0.9360117568	0.9683524371	1
124/224:	24	0.8019503694	0.801785687	0.8019503694	0.8282357983	0.8351236273	0.9502170828	0.9669669609	0.9836623894	1
125/225:	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

D201: [¥12] @1F(\$E\$93=0,0,(0101*(\$E\$91)+\$E\$89*(E201+E101)+\$E\$89*(C201+C101)+\$E\$90*(D102+D100+D200+D202))/(\$E\$88)) E201: [¥14] @1F(\$E\$93=0,0,(E101*(\$E\$91+\$E\$89/E\$99)+\$E\$89*(F201+F101)+\$E\$89*(1-1/E\$99)*(D201+D101)+\$E\$90*(E102+E100+E202+E200))/(\$E\$88-\$E\$89/E\$99))

2. Set up a second table (the Calculate Table, C200..AC225) which will calculate the values of u at time n+1 from the formula of Eq. (5). Since the value of $u_{i,j,n+1}$ depends on the values at n+1 as well as at n, iterate until convergence (say at least twelve iterations). The cells are labeled together with the cells for the Storage Table.

Figure 2 illustrates the spreadsheet setup for a cylinder of radius 0.5 meters and a height of 1 meter with the following physical properties:

ρ	=	1000 kg/m ³	density
k	=	0.15 watts/(m deg C)	thermal conductivity
c	=	2500 J/(kg deg C)	heat capacity

The increments chosen (based on a table of 25 cells by 25 cells) were

- $\Delta r = 0.04$ $\Delta z = 0.04$ * Height/Radius = 0.08
- $\Delta t = 0.001$

The macro a is set to run in two modes. If E4 is set to 1, then the tables are both set to zero (the Calculate Table is set to zero by having E93 set to zero as shown in the commands for cells D201 and E201) and the boundary values are set to 1. If E4 is set to 2, then the macro allows the Calculate Table to be generated from the Storage Table. The *values* are copied from the Calculate Table to the Storage Table after the temperatures at time n+1 are determined and the process is repeated until a fixed number of

TABLE 1 Spreadsheet Value of u versus the Analytical Solution at L/2

R	r	s	Analytical Solution		
-	-	$\Delta r = 0.025$ $\Delta z = 0.050$ $\Delta t = 0.001$	$\Delta r = 0.04$ $\Delta z = 0.08$ $\Delta t = 0.001$	$\Delta r = 0.04$ $\Delta z = 0.08$ $\Delta t = 0.0005$	
0.	0.	0.01421	0.01413	0.01413	0.01599
0.1	0.2	0.02897	0.02891	0.02892	0.03064
0.2	0.4	0.09399	0.09312	0.09313	0.09694
0.3	0.6	0.2684	0.2666	0.2666	0.2723
0.4	0.8	0.5922	0.5907	0.5907	0.5950
0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

steps (*i.e.*, time) is taken or a particular temperature is exceeded. The macro uses columns AY and AZ to record time and temperature at the location a/2 and L/2. The results are shown in Figure 2. The computation stopped after 50 time steps (57.87 hrs) which was reached before the specified target temperature. Each of the time steps takes about 45 seconds on a Vectra ES/12 (80286) with a math coprocessor (80287).

The Calculate Table was constructed by copying the contents of cell D201 to cells D202..D224 and the contents of cell E201 to E202..AB224. By symmetry the values of column C were set to those of column E.

Carslaw and Jaeger [8] give an analytical solution for this case:

$$u = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} J_{0}(R\alpha_{m})}{(2k+1)\alpha_{m} J_{1}(a\alpha_{m})} \cos \frac{(2k+1)\pi x}{2h} \exp\left(-\omega h \left(\alpha_{m}^{2} + (2k+1)^{2} \pi / 4h^{2}\right)\right)$$
(6)

where

$$h = L/2$$
$$-h < x < h$$

and $\alpha_{\rm m}$ is calculated from the roots of the zeroth order Bessel function J_0 : *i.e.*,

$$\alpha_1 = (1st root)/a$$

 $\alpha_2 = (2nd root)/a$

 $\alpha_{\rm m} = (\rm mth \ root)/a$

The upper limit on m was taken as 40 and the upper limit on k was 50. The first forty roots of the zeroth order Bessel function were taken from Jahnke and Emde [10].

Table 1 compares Eq. (6) to the spreadsheet solution at L/2 (x = 0) at a number of values of R. Since the spreadsheet solution is not given exactly at L/2, the average value of the surrounding cells at a given r was used. The table also gives values found when the time increment was reduced to 0.0005 and the values of the coordinate increments were $\Delta r = 0.025$ and $\Delta z = 0.050$.

Reducing the values of Δr and Δz (a 40 x 40 table) improved the accuracy somewhat, but cutting the value of Δt did not. Eq. (3) may be used to convert the dimensionless solution to the scaled solution sought. An error of 0.005 in u corresponds to an error in T of 0.5 deg C if (T_w - T_i) = 100.

CONCLUSIONS

Macros allow the use of the spreadsheet for a greater variety of problems than can be done without

them. The LOTUS 1-2-3 advanced macro commands are relatively easy to learn and apply. Their use should be considered.

REFERENCES

- 1. AIChE Education and Accreditation Committee, "Evaluating Programs in Chemical Engineering," August 1, 1988. Appendix VI
- Rosen, E.M. and R.N. Adams, "A Review of Spreadsheet Usage in Chemical Engineering Calculations," *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, **11** (6), pp 723-736 (1987)
- 3. LOTUS, 1-2-3 Reference Manual, Release 2
- Henley, E.J., and E.M. Rosen, Material and Energy Balance Computations, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1969)
- Myers, A.L., and W. D. Seider, Introduction to Chemical Engineering and Computer Calculations, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey (1976)
- 6. Reklaitis, G.V., Introduction to Material and Energy Balances, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1983)
- von Rosenberg, Dale U., Methods for the Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations, Gerald L. Farrar & Assoc., Inc., Tulsa, OK; p 86 (1977)
- Carnahan, B., H.A. Luther, J.O. Wilkes, Applied Numerical Methods, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1969)
- 9. Carslaw, H.S., and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*, Clarendon Press, Oxford; p 227 (1959)
- 10. Jahnke, E., and F. Emde, *Tables of Functions*, Dover Publications, New York; p 166 (1945) □

REVIEW: Aerosols and Hydrosols *Continued from page 99.*

In the third chapter, the author focuses on procedures for modeling granular media in filters. Rather than utilizing a "black box" approach to describe the filter (inherent in the methods described in Chapter Two) in order to determine concentration histories and pressure drops, the specifications of the collector's structure, geometry, size, size distribution, and the fluid flow fields around the particles are considered.

In Chapter Four, a variety of mechanisms for the deposition of particles on collectors from flowing suspensions are discussed. Expressions for collection efficiencies for these various deposition mechanisms are derived, utilizing the media modeling methods described in Chapter Three.

In the fifth chapter, the author illustrates that trajectory analysis, along with the media models described in the third chapter, can be used to estimate filtration rates. Because of complexities associated with representing fluid drag forces acting upon suspended particles and also representing particle surface geometry as deposition proceeds, the method of trajectory analysis is limited mainly to clean filters.

In Chapter Six we find that because of distinct differences in the particle deposition mechanisms, it becomes necessary for the author to depart from the integrated approach he has followed up to this point and to treat aerosols and hydrosols separately. In this chapter he focuses on prediction and measurement of initial collection efficiencies for the granular filtration of aerosols. Collection efficiencies are predicted using the trajectory analysis methods discussed in the fifth chapter. A variety of experimental methods for determining initial collection efficiencies are briefly discussed, and appropriate experimental results are provided. Empirical correlations and their relative merits are also discussed.

In the seventh chapter, the author describes the prediction of initial collection efficiencies for hydrosols using trajectory analysis. Results of these calculations are compared with experimental data. Limitations of the trajectory analysis approach and possibilities for improving it are discussed.

In Chapter Eight the author begins to look at the entire process of particle deposition, not just the initial stages which have been addressed up to this point. Dendrite growth of deposited particles and stochastic simulations of particle deposition are the focus of much of this chapter. The author points out that much of what is covered in this chapter is still in the developmental stage and, as such, is included to demonstrate general principles and the potential of the methods.

Finally, in Chapter Nine, the author focuses on a number of actual investigations (case studies) dealing with the granular filtration of aerosols and hydrosols. Topics discussed include filter ripening, effects of deposit morphology on filter performance, effects of deposition on aerosol filtration, aerosol deposition in fluidized filters, and the increase in hydrosol filter coefficient.

In summary, the author has done a nice job of writing about a sharply focused area of separations which, up to now, has been largely dealt with in scientific journals. A great deal of information has been brought together in a coherent, logical format. It is particularly useful because it tells you how to do things. The book is fairly easy to read, and the figures, graphs, and tables are clear and easy to understand. The author does not get bogged down in tedious mathematical derivation. That which is not shown directly is usually referenced adequately. The text is designed to bring someone who is interested in further work in the area "up to speed" in a reasonable period of time. The references are extensive and will be particularly helpful to anyone interested in the field. Since the subject matter of the book is admittedly narrow, it would only be useful as a course text or supplemental text for advanced, research oriented graduate courses.