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The object of this study is to investigate the use of perturbation techniques for determining the effect of small changes in parameters on the response of a system. Perturbation techniques have been applied to analog computation for many years (see references). However, an attempt will be made here to present a comprehensive tutorial development of the theory and then illustrate its use by applying it to a particular problem. The problem is studied in detail by first developing the perturbation equations and solving them on the analog computer, and then making an error analysis by solving the equations on the digital computer. A summary of the results is given at the end.

PERTURBATION EQUATIONS

THERE ARE TWO METHODS for developing the perturbation equations, both of which yield the same result. They are called the incremental method and the Taylor series expansion. The second method is considered here. If we expand the function \( Z = f(x, y) \) in a Taylor series and neglect terms of second order and higher, we obtain:

\[
f(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) = f(x_0, y_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \Delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \Delta y + \text{higher order terms} \quad (1)
\]

For a particular example let \( Z = XY \). Note that for this case:

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = Y, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = X
\]

therefore:

\[
z_0 + \Delta z = x_0 y_0 + y_0 \Delta x + x_0 \Delta y \quad (2, 3)
\]

where the error would be the sum of the higher order terms. Breaking equation (4) into two parts

\[
z_0 - z_0 = x_0 y_0 + y_0 \Delta x + x_0 \Delta y \quad (5, 6)
\]

This is the same result which can be obtained by the incremental method.

INVESTIGATION

AN INVESTIGATION WAS MADE on a particular system which is described below. The object was to determine the response of the system to small changes in one of the parameters using perturbation techniques. First of all the perturbation equation was developed for the system using the incremental method. A study was then made using both the analog and digital computers.

For the first phase of the study both the nominal and perturbation equations were mechanized for the analog computer. A total of five runs were made utilizing increments of 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, and 5% in the system parameter which was the mass flow rate of fluid in the system. Both the nominal and perturbed values of temperature were recorded on the X-Y plotter.

For the second phase, the system equations were programmed in Hytran Simulation Language, (HSL). This is a digital simulation language which permits the direct programming of...
dynamic systems in block diagram format. The integration scheme employed was fourth order Runge-Kutta. First the nominal equation was solved to give the steady state temperature, and then the incremental temperature was obtained by solving the perturbation equation and also by perturbing the original equation and subtracting the nominal value from it. This last value was taken to be correct since it was computed from the original equation to eight significant figures, and compared to that obtained from the perturbation equation. The percent error was computed and compared to that predicted by evaluating the error term in the perturbation equation. These results are summarized later in a table.

PERTURBATION PROBLEM

A jacketed tank with constant level control is being heated by steam. How long will it take to reach its steady state temperature and what will it be? What is the effect of a small change in flow rate from the prescribed flow rate?

Figure 1

A = area for heat transfer, ft²
V = volume, ft³
U = heat transfer coefficient, Btu/hr-F⁰-ft²
ρ = density in lbs/ft³
Cₚ = specific heat in Btu/F⁰-lb
T = temperature of contents of vessel, F⁰
W = mass flow rate in lb/hr
Tᵢ = inlet temperature, F⁰
Tₛ = steam temperature, F⁰

The overall equation of the process is

\[ \frac{dT}{dt} = -\frac{W}{V C_p} (T_s - T) - \frac{V}{V C_p} (T - T_i) \] (7)

The flow rate W is perturbed such that \( W = W_0 + \Delta W \) and as a result, T changes by \( \Delta T \), i.e., \( T = T_0 + \Delta T \) and

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = \left( \frac{V}{V C_p} \right) \left( \frac{T_s - T_0}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \right) \] (8)

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = \left( \frac{V}{V C_p} \right) \left( \frac{T_s - T_0}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \right) + \frac{V}{V C_p} \left( \frac{T_s - T_0}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \right) \] (9)

where:
- \( V = 150 \text{ Btu/hr-F} \text{-F} \)
- \( A = 7.5 \text{ ft}² \)
- \( \rho = 60 \text{ lbs/ft}³ \)
- \( C_p = 0.8 \text{ Btu/F} \text{-lb} \)
- \( K = 0.176 \text{ hr}^{-1} \)
- \( k_2 = 8 \text{,000 lb} \)

Equation (9) can be thought of as the sum of the nominal values and the incremental values. It can be separated into two equations:

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = \frac{K_1 T_0 - \Delta T}{K_2} \] (10)

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = -\frac{K_1 T}{K_2} + \frac{\Delta T}{K_2} \] (11)

The same equations can be derived by the Taylor series expansion.

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = \left( \frac{V}{V C_p} \right) \left( T_s - T_0 - \frac{V}{V C_p} (T - T_i) \right) \] (12)

The nominal equation becomes:

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = -\frac{W}{V C_p} (T_s - T_0) + \frac{V}{V C_p} (T - T_i) \] (13)

and the incremental equation becomes:

\[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = -\frac{W}{V C_p} (T_s - T_0) + \frac{V}{V C_p} (T - T_i) \] (14)

These equations agree exactly with equations (10) and (11) and thus verify the equivalency of the two methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>SCALE FACTOR</th>
<th>SCALED VARIABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1/250</td>
<td>T₀/250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tₛ</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1/250</td>
<td>Tₛ/250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tₛ - T₀)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1/250</td>
<td>(Tₛ - T₀)/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tᵢ</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>Tᵢ/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tₛ - Tᵢ)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1/100</td>
<td>(Tₛ - Tᵢ)/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ΔT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that ΔT is scaled to a maximum of one. Although there is a five percent change in the parameter ΔT, there is less than one degree change in temperature. The parameter ΔT does not have to be scaled since it does not appear as the output of an amplifier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCALED EQUATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = \left( \frac{V}{V C_p} \right) \left( \frac{T_s - T_0}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \right) ] (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ \frac{dT_0}{dt} = -\frac{K_1 T}{K_2} + \frac{\Delta T}{K_2} ] (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Equations (17), (18), (19), and (20) are mechanized as depicted by Figure 2.

\[
\left( \frac{T_s - T'_{0}}{100} \right) - 2.5 \left( \frac{T_s - 250}{250} \right) - 2.5 \left( \frac{T_{0} - 250}{250} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{T_s - T'_{0}}{100} \right) - 2.5 \left( \frac{T_{0} - 250}{250} \right) - 2.5 \left( \frac{T_{0} - 250}{250} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{-T_{0} - T'_{0}}{100}
\]

\[
\frac{2W_{o}/5R_{2}}{(T_{0} - T'_{0})/100}
\]

\[
\frac{100 \Delta W}{R_{2}}
\]

\[
100 \Delta W = \frac{K_{2}}{W_{o}/R_{2}}
\]

\[
\frac{P_{1} - P_{o}}{R_{1}/2.5} = P_{d}
\]

\[
P_{d} + \Delta P_{d} = P_{1} \left( V_{o} + \Delta V \right) = P_{0} \left( V_{o} + 2 R \Delta V_{o} \right)
\]

\[
\Delta P_{d} = 2 R \Delta V_{o}^{2}
\]

\[
\Delta P_{d} = 2 R \Delta V_{o}^{2} + \Delta \Pi = \frac{2 \Delta V_{o}}{\frac{\sqrt{K_{2}}}{R_{1}}}
\]

\[
\Delta V_{o} = 1000 \text{ lb/hr; } P_{d0} = 15 \text{ psi; then } R_{1} = 15 \times 10^{-6}
\]

\[
2 \Delta W = \Delta P_{d} / (2 \times 15 \times 10^{-6} \times 1 \times 10^{-3}) = \Delta P_{d} / 3 \times 10^{-2}
\]

Thus the same configuration on the analog can be employed except that now the flow \(W_{o}\) and \(\Delta W\) must be expressed in terms of \(P_{d0}\) and \(\Delta P_{d0}\).

The plot on the following page gives the results from the analog computer runs. It gives the steady temperature \(T_{o}\), plus the incremental change \(\Delta T\) for five values of \(\Delta W\).

**RESULTS**

The results of the analog computer runs for \(\Delta T\) for the five increments in \(\Delta W\) agree with the digital computer runs. The data from the digital computer runs are tabulated in Table 4. The first column gives the percentage change in \(\Delta W\) for each run. The second column gives the percent change in \(T\) resulting from the increment \(\Delta W\). The third column gives the predicted error in the perturbation equation found by evaluating the small term \(\Delta W \Delta T/k_{2}\) which was dropped from the equation. The last column gives the measured error which was found by computing \(\Delta T\) as the difference between the nominal value \(T_{o}\) and \(T\) which was calculated by perturbing the original equation and getting the result to eight significant figures, and comparing this with the value of \(\Delta T\) computed by the perturbation equation.

**TABLE 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\Delta W)</th>
<th>(\Delta T)</th>
<th>E pred.</th>
<th>E meas.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>.0528%</td>
<td>.125%</td>
<td>.429%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>.1056%</td>
<td>.25%</td>
<td>.818%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>.1585%</td>
<td>.375%</td>
<td>1.214%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>.2113%</td>
<td>.50%</td>
<td>1.616%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>.2641%</td>
<td>.625%</td>
<td>2.008%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
eluded then that using the perturbation equation on the analog computer will give much better results than perturbing the original equation and trying to take the difference of nearly equal numbers.

The problem with using conventional techniques, especially on the analog computer, is that the effect on the system response is slight and the isolating of the change by subtraction of nearly equal numbers can produce serious errors. By solving the perturbation equation directly, we can achieve a result which is scaled to the full range of the computer minimizing the error.
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**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pot#</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Time Scaled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1/2.5)</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(T_{1/250})</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/2.5</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(K_{1/2.5})</td>
<td>.0704</td>
<td>.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2N_o/K_2)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(\bar{W}_o/K_2)</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(K_1)</td>
<td>.176</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100 (W/K_2)</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(T_s/250)</td>
<td>.9999</td>
<td>.9999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**

**CASE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pot#</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1/2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(T_{1/250})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(K_{1/2.5})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>((2/5) \sqrt{\frac{P_{do}}{R_v}\frac{\bar{W}_o}}/K_2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(\sqrt{\frac{P_{do}}{R_v}}/K_2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(K_1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10 (\Delta P_{do}/K_2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(T_s/250)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>